

FINITE ELEMENT MODEL FOR BRICK WALLS POST-TENSIONED BY EXTERNAL STEEL BARS

THESIS

Khalil Nijem

BOĞAZİÇİ UNIVERSITY Civil Engineering Deparment -1982

AT



FINITE ELEMENT MODEL FOR BRICK WALLS POST-TENSIONED BY EXTERNAL STEEL BARS

THESIS

Khalil Nijem

BOĞAZİÇİ UNIVERSITY Civil Engineering Deparment 1982

FINITE ELEMENT MODEL FOR BRICK

WALLS POST-TENSIONED BY EXTERNAL STEEL BARS

ΒY

KHALIL NIJEM



Submitted to the Faculty of the Engineering School in Partial Fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

> Bogazici university February,1982

176696



FINITE ELEMENT MODEL FOR BRICK

WALLS POST-TENSIONED BY EXTERNAL STEEL BARS

Approved by:

Dr. Hüsamettin ALPER (Thesis Advisor)

Prof.Dr. Vedat YERLICI

Doç.Dr. Başar CİVELEK

Dr. Sabri ALTINTAŞ

M. Bazas Civelek S. alto jutas

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to Dr. Husamettin Alper for his encouragement, guidance andsuzgestions throughout the course of study.

I would like also to thank all computer operators especially Mr. Nurettin Coskun, for their help in runing the program. Also I thank Mr. Johny Theodory for his help in preparing data and punching cards. ABSTRACT

A finite element model was developed to study the behavior of masonry walls externally post-tensioned by vertical steel bars under lateral loading in the plane of the wall. Material non-linearity of mortar and non-linearity due to failure of mortar joints and splitting of bricks are taken into consideration. Both the cracking pattern and post-cracking behavior can be studied. Comparison with analysis of unreitforced masonry wall was done. It is concluded that the model gives realistic prediction about the behavior of walls since analysis results conform to the expected behavior, in that, with post-tensioning the cracking strength, stiffness and post-cracking load capacity increased, and under service loads tensile stresses docreasead significantly.

(iii)



ÖZET

Düşey çelik çubuklara dışardan ön gerilme verilmiş tuğla duvariarrn, duvar düzleminde yatay yükler altında analizi için bir sonlu elemanlar modeli geliştirilmiştir. Harcın malzemesel lineer olmayan davranışı ile tuğlaların ve tuğla-harç birleşme yüzeylerinin kırılmasından doğan lineer olmayan davranış göz önüne alınmıştır. Modelle gerek çatlama şekilleri, gerek çatlak sonrası davranış incelenebilmektedir.

Analiz sonuçları beklenilen davranış ile uyum içinde olduğundan, modelin duvarların davranışı hakkında gerçekçi tahminler yapabildiği sonucuna yaşılmıştır. Şöyle ki, öngerilme duvarların çatlama mukavtmetini, rijitliğini ve çatlak sonrası yük kapasitesini mattarmaktu ve çalışma yükleri altında çekme gerilmelerini önemli ölçüde azaltmaktadır.

(iv)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		•	1 			Page
	Akn	owled	dgemen	ts		11
	Abe	strac	t			iii
	Öze	:t				ív
	Lie	st of	Figur	88		vii
	Lie	st of	Table	6		viii
	Lie	st of	Symbo:	ls		ix
	1-	Intr	oducti	on		1
				1.1	General	1
				1.2	Object and Scope	3
) .	2-	Prop	erties	and	Behavior of Masonry	5
				2.1	Masonry	5
				2.2	Bricks	ア
				2.3	Mortar	8
	3	Fini	te Ele	ment	Model	9
	.C2			3.1	Formulation and Solution of Finite Element Equa- tions - General Solution	9
				3.2	Masonry Wall Model	13
				3.3	Material Properties and the Corresponding Stiff- ness Matrices	15
					3.3.1 Bricks	15
	-				3.3.2 Mørtar (Joint Elements)	17
					3.3.3 Steel Elements	26
					3.3.4 Wood Elements	27
	4-	Solu	tion P	roce	dure	28
	ъ.			4.1	Mortar Failure	29

e

(vi)

		Page
	4.2 Brick Failure	31
	4.3 Convergence Criteria	35
	4.4 Computer Program	36
5- Application	s and Results	40
•	5.1 Description of the Walls Analyzed	40
· ·	5.2 Results and Discussions	42
6- Conclusions	н. В	47
References		49
Appendix I	Summary of Finite Element Equations	52
Appendix II	Finite Blement Discretization of The Post-tensioned Masonry Vall	55
Appendix III	Stiffness Matrix for Vertical Joint Elements	57
Appendix IV	Stresses and Strains in Joint Element	58
Appendix V	Finite Element Program	61

c

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	••••	Page
1	Typical element division	14
2	Typical post-tensioned wall	15
3	Steel-wood connection	15
• 4	Typical Rectangular finite element	16
5	Stress-strain curve for mortar in compression	18
6	Stress-strain curve for mortar in shear	18
7	Joint element	19
8	Vertical joint element transformation	25
9	Typical steel element	26
10	Mortar failure envelope	29
11	Subdivision of brick element	33
12	Instantaneous tangent method	36
13	Instantaneous secant method	36
14	General flow chart	39
15	Wall 1	41
16	Wall 2	41
17	Cracking pattern for Wall 1	42
1.8	Cracking pattern for Wall 2	43
19	Load-displacement curve for Wall 1	43
20	Load-displacement curve for Wall 2	44
21	Stress distribution at section A-A	45

Ó

¢

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.

Page

1

 \odot

 \bigcirc

Failure Criteria and Residual Properties

 \bigcirc

for Mortar

30

 $^{\circ}$

LIST OF SYMBOLS

1

[c:]	23	consistent damping matrix
[D]	==	material property matrix
[D]	-	material property matrix for uncracked brick
U		element
[D]	=	symbolic matrix for cracked part of brick
00		element
[D]	Ħ	unit joint stiffness matrix
D [†]	33	joint stiffness in the normal direction
D	m	joint stiffness in the tangential direction
{d}	*	nodal displacement vector
{a}	1 18	nodal velocity vector
{ ä }	#	nodal acceleration vector
E	=	Young's Modulus of elasticity
Et	=	instantaneous normal elastic modulus for the
		mortar
$\{F_{ext}\}$	Ħ	external nodal force vector
{F}	223	nodal pseudoload vector due to cracking
Fn	=	normal force
F	22	shear force
{ t }	22	initial end load vector
[G]	248	stryin matrix
G	¥	shear modulus of elasticity
G*	#	instantaneous shear modulus of elasticity '
[x]	=	element stiffnuer matrix
[к]	22	system stiffness matrix
[k] _b	=	stiffness matrix for uncracked brick elemen1
$[k]_{bc}$	24	stiffness matrix for the cracked portion of
	1	orick

[k] int	5 53 (-	joint stiffness matrix
[k]	=	rectangular element stiffness matrix
[k] +	, ==	element stiffness matrix for steel
[M]	Ħ	consistent mass matrix
[N]	=	shape function matrix
$\{\mathbf{P}^{i}\}^{i}$	=	vector of force per unit length
P	22	vector of body forces or distributed forces
P _n	Ħ	normal force per unit length
P	=	shear force per unit length
[s]	=	stress matrix
Т	=	wall thickness
t	171	mortar thickness
{u}	122	element generic displacement vector
ប្ត	=	strain energy stored in the element
พ้	=	work done by external forces on the element
{w}	=1	relative displacement vector
Wn	11	normal relative displacement
 พ _ุ	=	tangential relative displacement
10-1	*1	stress vector
{ribc	24	stress vector of the cracked portion of bric
$\{\sigma\}_{p}^{p}$	Ħ	principal stress vector for brick
$\{\nabla\}_{bc}^{p}$	=	principal stress vector for the cracked bric
∇_{n}	a	normal stress
V.6	=	tangential stress
{e}	=	strain vector
€n		normal strain
ۻ	Ħ	tangential strain
$[\Delta]$	Ħ	operator matrix of derivatives
Π	=	system potential energy
71 _e	Ħ	element potential energy
μ	:3	Poisson's ratio

¢

(x)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

In both developed and developing countries, mesonry elements are largely in use especially as partition elements, retag walls, and culverts. In countries where reinforced concret industry is not very advanced, the use of masonry ranges from 20%-70%, and sometimes goes up to 90% in some rural ares. Design and construction in these areas depend largely upon experience inherited as well as gained, rather than theory or code. In fact, it has been found out that most catastrophic failures in eartquakes have been of such unreinforced masonry buildings. On the other hand, if masonry were properly reinforced and confined, quite large deformations can be accommodated with some cracking but without collapse. (⁷)

Prestressed brick walls are highly recommended in areas of high seismic action in place of unreinforced walls.

- I-

Although the mechanical properties of materials improve with increasing rate of application of load, a member may fail because of fatigue at a stress smaller than the yield point of the matirial under many repetitions of loading. ⁽⁸⁾ A crack forms at a point of high stress concentration. As stress is repeated the crack slowly spreads, until the member ruptures without measurable yield. Fatigue strength of a material may be improve by prestressing in such a way as to introduce favorable internal stresses.

Bricks as well as mortar are basicly compressive materials with low and unreliable tensile strengths. Prestressing plies a precompression to the wall or member which reduces or eleminates undesirable tensile stresses that would otherwise be present. Moreover, cracking can be minimized if not avoided under service loads. Deflections, as well, may be limited to an acceptable value. However, in order to specify seismic forces properly, the behavior of prestressed walls under laterel loads must be studied, especially the post crocking behavior. The behavior of such walls is complicated by the presence of euch factors as the nonhomogeniety of the system, the low stren of bricks in tension, the nonlinear properties of bricks and mortar, and the influence of creep and shrinkage.

Considerable research has been done to investigate experimentally the behavior of mesonry under typical lateral and vertical loadings (5,6,15). Meli(7) has investigated unreinforced, reinforced and precompressed masonry walls under stati and dynamic loadings experimentally. Page (10) has formulated a finite element procedure based on a joint element adopted fro

-2-

Goodman and Taylor⁽³⁾. He has assumed that failure can occur only through the weaker joints. He has not accounted for any rupture or cracking occurring in brick elements. This is generally true for unreinforced masonry walls. While it was shown experementally that when precompressive forces are high or when brick elements are relatively week, crack lines pass throug brick elements^(4,7).

1.2 OBJECT AND SCOPE

In this study, taking some experimental results⁽⁴⁾ into consideration, a finite elementmodel is developed for the analysis of masonry walls post-tensioned by external, vertical sted bars under lateral loads in the plane of the wall. The complications mentioned above, except creep and shrinkage, are taken into consideration. The influence of creep and shrinkage would require a more elaborate analysis where the time factor is taken into consideration. The model developed here accounts for the failure of bricks by splitting as well as the failure of joints.

The model predicts the cracking and post-cracking ocuavior, and the deformational and stress charecteristics of masonry wells. Because the non-linear behavior of masonry is load path dependent, an incremental solution was adopted. At each increment, an iterative procedure is followed to check for both cracking and crack propagatin. Three types of finite elements are used in the model. For bricks, a rectangular plai stress element with eight degrees of freedom is used. The joi element adopted in the model is a line element first develope

- 3-

by Ngo and Scordellis⁽⁹⁾ for the analysis of reinforced concret It was adopted by Goodman and Taylor⁽³⁾for modeling rock and later by Page⁽¹⁰⁾for the analysis of masonry walls. For steel a bar element with two degrees of freedom is used.

In this study, the effect of post-tensioning is investgated by comparing the behavior of an unreinforced and a post--tensioned masonry wall of the same dimensions. Results of nonlinear and linear analyses are also compared.

The model proposed here can be used for future research to identify and analayze the effect of different parameters on the capacity of post-tensioned walls. Some of these parameters that influence the behavior of masonry are post-tensioning stre area of steel tendons, spacing and number of tendons, and the dimensions of wall panels.

- 4-

2. PROPERTIES AND BEHAVIOR OF

MASONRY

The behavior and general properties of masonry have been discussed in detail previously ^(4,5,7,10,11,15). Some relevant properties will be mentioned here to furnish a background for the mathematical model.

In the following sections, first the general behavior of masonry is discussed, and then the corresponding properties of brick and mortar are briefly stated.

2.1 MASONRY

Masonry is a nonhomogeneous two phase material of rele atively elastic bricks linked together with an inelastic motar matrix. At high stresses masonry starts to behave nonlinearly as a result of the nonlinear force-deformation characteristics

- 5-

Lateral load after cracking the constant of the second the second

of the joints under shear and compression, the local failure and slip that occurs in the joints, and the splitting failure of bricks. At the same time, redistribution of stress occurs which leads to a loss of bond between mortar and bricks; thus localized failure occurs which propagates to other elements as the load is increased.

Failure of post-tensioned or prestressed mesonry panels usually occurs either in joints, in bricks, or a combination of both. Failure in joints occurs when a tensile or shear bond strength criterion is violated. Failure in bricks, however, occurs by splitting when the tensile stress of bricks in one of the principal directions exceeds the tensile strength of brick. This is caused by the differential lateral deformation of the stiffer brick and the relatively more flexible mortar matrix.

In addition to the effect of prestressing, the performance and durability of masonry depend upon other factors such as the quality of materials, that is, of bricks, mortar and posttensioning steel. They also depend on the design, workmanship and methods followed in manufacturing and construction. In addition, the strength of a masonry panel depends largely on the ratio of compressive to shear forces. It is found that with higher compressive stresses the capacity of walls to carry lateral load after cracking increases with increasing the number of prestressing tendons⁽⁴⁾. The increase in cracking load due to precompression has been found to be approximately 40% of the total vertical load applied. However, although precompression on the wall causes an increase in strength, for

-6-

high vertical stresses, behavior tends to change to a brittle shear failure (7). It has also been found out that the strength and deformation characteristics of masonry depend highly on the orientation of stress, that is, $7/\sigma$ ratio, defining a friction coefficient independent of the type of mortar (5,6,7). It should be noted also that for walls with low precompression or low vertical reinforcement, failure is governed by yielding of reinforcement which is similar to an underreinforced concrete beam (4,7).

Some of the properties of the component materials that affect the behavior of masonry are discussed briefly in the following two sections.

2.2 BRICKS

The properties of bricks produced in different localities vary even for bricks from the same batch, depending upon workmanship and the clays and shales used in manufacturing. This causes a scatter of results of the mechanical properties obtained. Bricks have been found to exhibit elastic brittle behavior. They are not necessarily homogeneous or isotropic ⁽¹⁰⁾. The properties of bricks which affect the performance of walls are the compressive and tensile strengths, and water absorption and saturation coefficients. Properties that affect the bond between mortar and brick are the rate of absorption when laid and the surface texture of bricks⁽¹¹⁾.

-7-

2.3 MORTAR

The strength of mortar is usually less than that of bricks. Its stress-strain relationships for compression and shear are nonlinear which is responsible for the nonlinear behavior of masonry before cracking. Mortar has a low tensile but high compressive and shear strengths which are functions of the bond strength between mortar and brick, and the superimposed compressive force.

8

The bond strength is usually the critical factor for precompressed or post-tensioned masonry. Test results of masonry bonds give a wide range of results which are due to the large numbers of variables, some of which are hard to detect or control, such as flow of mortar, elapsed time betrading mortar and placing brick in contact with it, pressure tapping applied to joint during forming,texture of brick sur. a, and other factors which have not been identified. ⁽¹¹⁾ and strength is found to increase with the flow increase. Thu. 'oss of water from mortar due to evaporation after mixing a reases the flow which in turn reduces the tensile bond strength 'orkmanship exerts a large influence on this. This effect 's one of the reasons that experimental results obtained are so much scattered.

FURMULATION AND SULPERUP OF FRANKING COL

BOUATIQUE - SERENSE PROCEDURA

3. FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

The masonry wall is assumed to be a continuous nonelastic medium. The stresses and strains are continuous all through the wall except for the cracked parts of the system. In order to be able to represent the equations of equilibrium of the system in the form of linear simultaneous equations, this continuous medium is idealized into a mathematical model consisting of a first number of elements interconnected by a finite number of hodal points. Finite elements are introduc as a means of a mathematical model to convert a continuous physical system into a discontinuous discrete system such the the total potential energy of both the original continuum and the mathematical model are the same.

3.1 FORMULATION AND SOLUTION OF FINITE ELEMENT EQUATIONS - GENERAL PROCEDURE

Finite element method is basicly minimizing the total potential energy of the system with respect to nodal defor-

- 9 -

mations in an affort to find the configuration satisfying equilibrium conditions. In mathematical notation, the potential energy 刀 of an element can be expressed as

$$\pi = U_{e} + (-W_{e})$$
 (3.1)

where, U = internal strain energy of the element

W = work done by external forces

The potential energy of the system is assumed to be equal to the sum of potential energies of the elements, that is,

$$\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{ei}$$

where, Π = total potential energy of thesystem of n finite elements

Expressing the displacements at any point within the element as functions of the nodal displacements, and minimizing the total potential energy, N simultaneous equations are obtained

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial_d} = 0 \qquad i=i,2,\ldots,N \qquad (3.3)$$

where N is the number of independent nodal deformati

011

in the global system.

The resulting governing equations of motion in matrix . notation will be of the following form

$$[K] \{d\} + [C] \{d\} + [M] \{d\} = \{F_{ext}\} - \sum \{f\}$$
(3.4)

where, [K]= System stiffness matrix

{d} = nodal displacement vector

[C]= consistent damping matrix

{d} = nodal velocity vector

[M] = consistent mass matrix

{d} = modal acceleration vector

{F ______ = nodal external force vector

 Σ {f} = initial load vectors including initial strain

initial stress, temperature loads, body forces, and/or edge loads

For the solution of statics problems the equations takes the form

$$[K]{d} = \{F_{ext}\} - \sum \{f\}$$

The solution procedure is initiated by defining the deformations at each point within a certain element as a function of the nodal deformations of that element, that is,

 $\{u\} = [N] \{d\}$ (3.5)

where

[N] = shape functions matrix

{d}= element nodal displacement vector

{u} = element generic displacement vector

The strains inside the element are found as

$$\{\epsilon\} = [\Delta] \{u\}$$

where $\{\epsilon\}$ = element strain vector

 $[\Delta]$ = operator matrix relating the strains to deformation within an element

Substituting equation (3.5) in equation (3.6) one

gets

$$\{ \in \} = [\Delta] [N] \{ d \}$$

Defining [G] = [A][N], the strains are expressed as $\{ \epsilon \} = [G][d]$ (3.7)

where

[G] = strain matrix relating strains to nodal deformate

(3.6)

(3.4a)

of the element.

Defining the material matrix, [D], to relate the stresses to strains within the element, stresses are obtained as

 $\{\sigma\} = \{D\} \{\epsilon\}$ (3.8)

where { = stress vector.

Substituting the value of [4] from equation (3.7) into equation (3.8), and defining the stress matrix [S] = [D][G], stresses within an element are obtained by

 $\{\sigma\} = [s]\{d\}$ (3.9)

Strain energy U stored in an element is defined as

 $U_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_V \{\epsilon\} [r] dV$ (3.10) Substituting the values of $\{\epsilon\}$ and $\{\sigma\}$, the potential

energy becomes

 $U_{g} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \{d\}^{T} [G]^{T} [D] [G] \{d\} dV$ (3.11)

Defining $[k] = \int_{V} [G]^{T} [D] [G] dV$, the stored potential energy is expressed as

 $U_{e} = \frac{1}{2} \{d_{k}\}\{d\}$ (3.12)

where [k] = element stiffness matrix.

For statics problems, the external work can be gener-

$$M_{e} = \int_{V} \{u\}^{T} \{P_{b}\} dV + \oint_{s} \{u\}^{T} \{P_{s}\} dS + \{d\}^{T} \{F_{ext}\}$$
(3.13)

where $\{P_b\}$ = vector of body forces or distributed forces within the element

 $\{P_s\}$ = vector of edge loads

and, [Fext] = vector of discrete external nodal forces.

Following a simillar procedure to the one described above for the strain energy, external work energy can be obtained. Substituting the results in equations (3.1), (3.2), and equation (3.3), equation (3.4a) is obtained.

More detailed discussion of the formulation of the Finite Elements equations can be found in the literature.^{(12,14} A list of the basic equations of the Finite Element method is presented in Appendix I.

The non-homogeneous nature of the structure presents no problem for the finite element method, as each element can have a different material property. The stiffness matrices of each component material can be calculated seperately. The global stiffness matrix of the whole structure can be generated using the code number technique ⁽¹³⁾. Using the same technique, the global force vector of the system can be generated. Now, the global nodal deformations can be solved for

$\{d\} = [K]^{-1} (\{F_{ext}\} - \Sigma \{f\})$ (3.14)

Back substituting in equations (3.7) and (3.8) or (3.9 strains and stresses within each element can be obtained.

3.2 MASONRY WALL MODEL

For analyzing masonry walls, a plane stress problem is simulated. The wall panel is divided into discrete finite elements. Subdivision of the wall is presented in Appendix II.

A typical element division is shown in Fig.-1. The ' joint elements are linked to the neighboring brick elements at the nodes. Since the joint elements are thin, same coordinates are assigned for pairs of nodes. For example, nodes 1 and 4 have the same coordinates, and nodes 2 and 3 share



14 -

Fig.-1 Typical element division

the same coordinates. The joint thickness t is used only in calculating the joint element properties. The dimensions of the brick should be corrected by the appropriate proportion of joint thickness.

In the experiments done by Hacim⁽⁴⁾, post-tensioning was provided by tensioning external vertical steel tendons. Vertical loads on the wall applied by the tendons were distributed to the upper edge of the wall with the help of a wooden plank (Fig.-2). To simulate the experimental problem, relative: stiff wood elements are linked to the wall at the top. In Fig.-3, node 1 of the wood element and node 2 of the steel element share the same coordinatos. To satisfy continuity, the two nodes are assumed to share the same deformations in the x and y-directions. But, while generating the global ^c stiffness matrix, they are assumed to have opposite deformations in the y-direction. Thus, a tensile force applied



And the second second second

Fig.-2 Typical Posttensioned Wall Fig.-3 Steel-wood connecti

Steel element

to the steel element will, at the same, time constitute a compressive force on the wood element, and thus, a compressive force on the wall.

3.3 MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND THE CORRESPONDING STIFFNESS MATRICES

3.3.1 :BAICKS

The bricks are considered isotropic and elastic material. The variability of brick properties and anisotropy is neglegted in the analysis. Average values of 68460 kg/cm² for Young's modulus, E, and 0.167 for Poisson's ratio, μ , are used⁽¹⁰⁾. Rectangular plane stress elemen: with isotropic elastic properties is used. The rectangular element suits the rectangular shape of the bricks. A typical rectangular element is shown in Fig.-4.





Fig.. typical rectangular finite element Defining the a ratio $\beta = \frac{b}{a}$, the shiffness matrix for an element of thicknesst, be obtained as follows,⁽¹⁴⁾

$$\mathbf{J}_{rec} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{11} \\ k_{31} \\ k_{41} \\ k_{31} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{41} \\ k_{31} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{11} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{11} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{21} \\ k_{11} \\ k_{2$$

where,

$$k_{11} = \frac{1}{\beta} + 2 (1-\beta)/\beta$$

$$k_{21} = -2/\beta - 2 (1-\beta)/\beta$$

$$k_{31} = -4/\beta + 2 (1-\beta)/\beta$$

$$k_{41} = -2\beta - (1-\beta)/\beta$$

$$k_{51} = 3(1+\beta)/2$$

$$k_{61} = 3(1-3\beta)/2$$

$$k_{71} = -3(1-3\beta)/2$$

$$k_{81} = -3(1+3\beta)/2$$

$$k_{55} = \frac{4}{\beta} + 2\beta(1-\beta)$$

$$k_{65} = -\frac{4}{\beta} + (1-\beta)$$

$$k_{75} = \frac{2}{\beta} - (1-\beta)$$

$$k_{85} = -\frac{2}{\beta} - (1-\beta)$$

and

.3.2 MORTAR (JOINT ELEMENTS)

Due to the non-linear behavior of mortar, its forcedeformation characteristics in shear and in compression should be determined experimentally on masonry walls after the average properties of bricks are known. The joint model is expressed as a function of two parameters defining the behavior of the mortar in the directions perpendicular (normal) to the joint ⁽²⁾ and parallel (shear) to the joint. Figures 5 and 6 show the results obtained by Page⁽¹⁰⁾ for the behavior of mortar in compression and shear respectively.

The idea of using joint elements was first introduced into the finite element method by Ngo and Scordellis⁽⁹⁾ for the analysis of reinforced concrete members. Later special linkage elements were developed and used in the analysis of jointe rocks⁽³⁾, and masonry⁽¹⁰⁾.



Fig.-5 Stress-strain curve for mortar in compression

Fig.-6 Stress-strain curve for mortar in shear

The joint element is a line element of a length L and thickness t. The potential energy stored per unit length is expressed as the applied force per unit length multiplied by the deformations. For a joint of length L, the strain energy U_e is obtained as,

$$U_{e} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} \{w\}^{T} \{P\} dx$$
(3.15)

where, $\{w\}$ = relative displacement vector $\{p\}$ = vector of force per unit length The joint element is assumed to deform only in the shear and normal directions (x and y in the local coordinates

19





and

Simi

The subcripts n and s refer to the normal and shear directions, respectively. Assuming the deformations at any point x in the element are linearly proportional to the nodal deformations, by linear interpolation,

$$\begin{split} & w_{s}^{top} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2x}{L} \right) d_{3} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2x}{L} \right) d_{4} \\ & w_{s}^{bottom} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2x}{L} \right) d_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2x}{L} \right) d_{2} \\ & \text{Defining } A = \left(1 - \frac{2x}{L} \right) \text{ and } B = \left(1 + \frac{2x}{L} \right) \text{, then} \\ & w_{s} = w_{s}^{top} - w_{s}^{bottom} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-A - B - B - A \right] \begin{cases} d_{1} \\ d_{2} \\ d_{3} \\ d_{4} \end{cases} \\ & \text{larly,} \end{cases}$$

Defining
$$[G] = \begin{bmatrix} -A & -B & B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -A & -B & B & A \end{bmatrix}$$

the vector of relative displacements can be expressed as

$$\{w\} = \frac{1}{2} [G] \{d\}$$
(3.

{d}= vector of nodal deformations. where

16)

The vector of force per unit lenge is related to the relative deformating by.

$$\{P\} = [D^{*}]\{w\}$$
(3.17)

where [D] is called the unit joint stiffness matrix. The matrix of material properties can be written as

	L		n J						
where D's	and Dr	are	joint	stiffnesses	per	unit	length	in	the
tangenti	al and	norm	al dire	ections, res	pect	ively			

To understand the meaning of unit joint stiffness, consider a joint of length L and unit width. Apply a normal force F_n and get the normal relative deformation w_n . If w_n is plotted against the applied force per unit length F_n/L , the slope of the curve will give unit normal stiffness for the **joint.** Similarly, in a direct shear test, if the relative shear deformation w_s is plotted against the applied shear force per unit length F_s/L , the slope of the curve will give unit shear stiffness for the joint.

In other words,

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}' \\ \mathbf{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}' \end{bmatrix}$

 $F_n/L = D_n^{\dagger}w_n$ and $F_s/L = D_s^{\dagger}w_s$ (3.18)

If a normal stress ∇_n is applied to a wall of thick-ness T and length L, the total normal force F is expressed as

$$F_n = \nabla_n TL \odot$$

and the force per unit length as

$$F_n/L = \sigma_n T$$
(3.19)

From Hooke's law

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{n} = \mathbf{E} \,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n} \tag{3.19}$$

where E-= Young's modulus

and
$$\hat{e}_n$$
 = normal strain.
The normal strain \hat{e}_n can be obtained by
 $\hat{e}_n = w_n/t$ (3.21)
where t = thickness of mortar.
Substituting (3.18) and (3.20) in (3.19), one gets
 $F_n/L = \frac{ET}{t} w_n$ (3.22)
Comparing equations (3.18) and (3.22), D_n^t can be written as
 $D_n^t = \frac{ET}{t}$ (3.23a)
Similarly, D_s^t can be determined as
 $D_s^t = \frac{GT}{t}$ (3.23b)
where G = shear modulus of elasticity.
For non-linear stress-strain relation of mortar, D_n^t
and D_s^t will become as follows
 $D_n^t = \frac{B^TT}{t}$ (3.24a)
and $D_s^t = \frac{G^TT}{t}$ (3.24b)
where E'= instantaneous normal elastic modulus.
Substituting equations (3.16) and (3.17) in equation

(3.15), the stored strain energy becomes

$$U_{e} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{L/2}^{L/2} \frac{1}{2} \{d\}^{T} [G]^{T} [D]^{T} [G] \{d\} dx \qquad (3.25)$$

Defining the matrix [H] = [G] ^T [D'][G], and performing the triple matrix multiplication, [H] becomes

rying out the necessary integrations, the terms in

the matrix a: . obtained:

[H] =

$$\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{L/2}{A^2 dx} = \frac{L/2}{(1 - \frac{2x}{L})^2 dx} = \frac{4}{3}L$$

$$\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} B^2 dx = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} (1 + \frac{2x}{L})^2 dx = \frac{4}{3}L$$

$$\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} ABdx = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} (1 - \frac{4x^2}{L^2}) dx = \frac{2}{3}$$

The strain energy can now be expressed as

$$U_e = \pm \{d\}^T [k]_{jnt} \{d\}$$

where

 $\frac{\partial \pi_e}{\partial d_i} = 0$ for i=1,2,...,8, the equilibrium equations for the element are obtained as

$$[k] = \{d\} - \{F\} = 0$$

or [k] int [d] = {F}

(3.27)

Here [k] jnt is the joint stiffness matrix. It should be noted that the element stiffness matrix for the mortar is a line element derived in the local coordinate system. While the local and global coordinates coincide for horizontal joint elements, the stiffness matrix for vertical joints should be transformed to the global doordinate system using a special transformation matrix before assembling the system stiffness matrix.

Let [T] be the transformation matrix that relates the displacements and forces in the global coordinate system X-Y to the displacements and forces in the local system x-y. Then,

 $\{d\}_{XY} = [T]\{d\}_{XY}$ (3.28) and, $\{F\}_{XY} = [T]\{d\}_{XY}$ (3.29)

Substituting equations (3.28) and (3.29) in equation (3.27)and solving for $\{F\}_{vv}$ one gets

$$\{P\}_{XY} = [T]^{-1} \left[k_{jnt} \right]_{XY} [T] \{d\}_{XY}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_{jn} t \end{bmatrix}_{XY} = \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}_{0}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} k_{jn} t \end{bmatrix}_{XY} \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}$$

But since [T] is an orthogonal matrix

$$[T]^{-1} = [T]^{T}$$

Thus, $\begin{bmatrix} k_{jnt} \end{bmatrix}_{XY} = \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} k_{jnt} \end{bmatrix}_{XY} \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}$ (3.30)

Figure 8(a) shows a vertical element in the local

- 24 -
coordinates, while figure 8(b) shows it in global coordinates. Comparing the two figures, and i:

- 25 -

 $\{d\}_{xy} = [T]\{d\}_{XY}$

[T]matrix can be written as follows:









(b) Vertical joint element in global coordinates

Fig.-8 Vertical joint element transformation

Therefore, for vertical joint elemnts, the stiffness matrix is generated in the local coordinates, and using equation (3.30) is transformed to global coordinates. Equations

BOSATICI UNIVERSITESI KUTUPHANESI

(3.28) and (3.29) are used in a similar manner. The stiffness matrix of a vertical element in global coordinates is found in Appendix III.

The stresses and strains at any point x within the element can be found in the usual manner. But it should be noted here that the strain matrix [G] used in the formulation of the joint stiffness relates relative displacements to nodal displacements. Thus the strain vector for a mortar of thickness t is

$$\{\epsilon\} = \frac{1}{2t} [G] \{d\}$$
 (3.31)

Equation (3.17) relates force per unit length to relative displacements. Thus, for a wall of thickness T the the stresses can be calculated as

$$\{\sigma\} = \frac{1}{T} \left[D^{\dagger}\right] \left\{w\right\}$$
(3.32)

Detailed form of stresses and strains at any point x within the joint element is found in Appendix IV.

3.3.3 STEEL ELEMENTS

Since the steel bar can only take loads along its axis, a bar element with two nodes and two degrees of freedom is used (Fig.-9).



(Fig.-9). Fig.-9 Typical steel element. Following the usual manner, the element stiffness matrix for steel is found as

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \end{bmatrix}_{st} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{EA}{L}$$

- 26 -

where, E= Young's modulus for steel

A= Cross sectional area of the bar

L= Length of the bar.

3.3.4 WOOD ELEMENTS

For the wooden plank, rectangular plain stress elements similar to the ones discussed in section 3.4.1 for brick elements are used, but with appropriate mechanical properties for wood. Similar to the bricks anisotropy and non-linearity were neglected. Average values of 125830 kg/cm² and 0.3 were used for Young's Modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively.

the among land level, and the rates is a large to the states of the

4. SOLUTION PROCEDURE

A realistic analysis for internal stress distribution and posteracking behavior of masonry walls should include several complexities such as :

- (a) Non-homogeniety of the construction
- (b) Non-linearity in the behavior of mortar
- (c) The influence of progressive cracking under increasing load
- (d) The effect of bond between mortar and bricks
 - (e) The effect of post-tensioning.

An incrOmental finite element program is used in the analysis to account for the progressive cracking behavior At each load level, an iterative solution is used to account for the non-linear behavior of materials. At each iteration both mortar and brick elements are checked for the violation of their respective failure criteria. For the case of mortar joint failure, residual stiffness properties are obtained and the stiffness matrix is updated. As for bricks, nodal psuedoloads are applied at the nodes of the cracked brick element to account for the stresses to be restrained because of the crack. These are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

4.1 MORTAR FAILURE

Depending on the relative magnitudes of normal and shear stresses, mortar fails either by tensile bond failure or shear bond failure. In tensile bond failure, due to high tensile stresses and low shear stresses, the failure occurs by seperation of joints, while in shear bond failure, failure occurs under a combination of shear and compressive stresses. A joint failure envelope based on experimental results was proposed by Page⁽¹⁰⁾. This failure envelope is shown in Fig.-10.



Page has produced the following equations for each region in the envelope:

Region 1: 7, =0.66 , +2.31

- 29 -

Region 2: $Z_u = 0.87 \sigma_{nu} + 2.31$ Region 3: $Z_u = 0.11 \sigma_{nu} + 20.08$

where and

 $\tau_{\rm u}$ = ultimate shear stress in kg/cm² $\sigma_{\rm nu}$ = ultimate normal stress in kg/cm²

In each iteration, each joint is checked for failure and appropriate modification ⁽¹⁰⁾ to the material properties is applied, and the problem is solved another time. Forexample if the foliure criterion of region 1 is violated, tensile bond failure is assumed. The joint can no more carry any chear or normal stresses. If the failure criterion of regions 2 or 3 is violated shear bond failure is assumed. The joint, in this case, can sustain its normal strength, but it loses some of its strength in shear depending on the magnitude of the normal stress. The shear strength, in this case, is due to the frictional resistance of the goint. For instance, in region 2, and depending on the normal stress; the shear modulus will be $G = 10.868 T_{nu}$. In region 3, a constant value of $G = 254.1 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ is assumed. The above mentioned modes of failwre and residual properties are summurized in Table 1.

Table 1

Region	Fuilure	Mode of .	Modified Material Prop.			
	Criteria	Fallure	E (kg/cm^2)	$G (kg/cm^2)$		
1	$Z_{u} = 0.66 \sigma_{nu} + 2.31$	Tensile bond	0	0		
2	Z=0.87 (- +2.31 un nu +2.31	Shear bond	No change	0.868 nu		
3	τ _u =0.11σ _{nu} +20.1	Shear bond	No change	254.1		

Failure Criteria and Residual Preperties of Mortar

4.2 BRICK FAILURE

Cracking in bricks is assumed to occur when one of the principal stresses of the element exceeds the tensile strength of the brick. Thus, in the analysis, the stresses are calculated from nodal displacements for all brick elements and then from these stresses the principal stresses are determined. If the principal stress in any direction for a certain brick element exceeds the ultimate tensile strength, the element considered to have cracked normal to that direction. As brick are assumed incapable of sustaining tension more than the tensile strength, the excess tension is removed. These excess tensile stresses are converted into nodal pseudoloads. During the next cycle, these restraining nodal forces are applied to the structure so as to distribute the excess tensile stresses to the adjacent elements. The method to apply pseudoloads at the nodes was first developed by Colville and Abbasi⁽¹⁾to be used in the analysis of reinforced concrete. ing wethod is adopted here to account for cracking in brick elements.

The brick element in equilibrium is assumed elastic only in the uncracked part. The potential energy of an element is discribed as

$$\pi_b = U_b - U_{bc} + (-W_e)$$

where $U_b = strain energy of uncracked brick element$

U_{bc} = strain energy of the cracked part of the brick element W_e = external work

(4.1)

- 31 -

If the etrains are functions of nodal deformations,

$$U_{b}, U_{bc} \text{ and } W_{e} \text{ can be written as follows:} \\
U_{b} = \frac{4}{4} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \left\{ \int_{V_{b}} \left[G \right]^{T} \left[D \right]_{b} \left[G \right] dV \right\} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \right\} \\
U_{bc} = \frac{4}{4} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \left\{ \int_{V_{bc}} \left[G \right]^{T} \left[D \right]_{bc} \left[G \right] dV \right\} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \right\} \\
U_{e} = \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \right\}^{T} \left\{ F \right\} \\
\text{where } \left\{ \frac{4}{4} \right\} = nodal displacement vector \\
[G] = strain metrix relating strains to nodel deformations \\
[D]_{b} = material property matrix for uncreacted brick [D]_{bc} = symbolic matrix for the creacted part which need not to be explicitly defined. \\
[F] = external nodal force vector \\
V_{bc} = volume of uncreacted brict element \\
V_{bc} = volume of the creacted part of the brick element \\
V_{bc} = volume of the creacted part of the brick element \\
uncreacted brick element \\
and $\left\{ V \right\}_{b} = \int_{V_{bc}} \left\{ 3 \right\}^{T} \left[D \right]_{b} \left[G \right] dV = stiffness matrix for the creacted part and the potent. I energy functional can be written as \\
m_{c} = \frac{4}{5} \left\{ 2 \right\}^{T} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}_{b} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} \left\{ 3 \right\}^{T} \left[E \right]_{bc} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} \left\{ 7 \right\}^{T} \right\} \right]$
Winimizing \mathbb{N}_{e} to obtain equilibrium configuration by differentiating with respect to nodal deformations $\frac{3\pi_{e}}{3d_{1}} = 0$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,8$, the governing equilibrium equil$$

Now, nodal pseudoload vector, due to cracking, $\{F\}_{p}$

- 32 -

$${F}_{p} = {k}_{p} {d}$$

that is

$$\{F\}_{p} = (\int_{\mathbf{v}_{bc}} [G]^{T} [D]_{bc} [G] dV) \{d\}$$
 (4.4)

Recalling that the stress vector in equation (3.9) is defined as

- 33 -

$$\{\sigma\} = [D][G]\{d\}$$

the pseudoload vector becomes

$$\{F\}_{p} = \int_{V_{bc}} [G]^{T} \{\sigma_{bc}^{\dagger} dV \qquad (4.5)$$

where $\{\sigma\}_{bc}$ = stress vector of the cracked portion of the brick element.

In the analysis, each brick element is subdivided into nine subregions as shown in Fig.-11. Each subdivision is assumed to have a constant -tress distribution. In the $(i-1)^{th}$ iteration stresses in

each subregion are computed by

 $\{\sigma\} = [D]_{b} [G]\{d\}$

Fig.-11 Subdivision of brick element

Using Mohr's circle, Orick e

the principal stresses are computed. The principal stress vector will have the form

$$\{\sigma\}_{b}^{p} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\max}^{p} \\ \sigma_{\min}^{p} \\ \sigma_{\min}^{p} \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

where σ_{\max}^{p} and σ_{\min}^{p} are maximum and minimum principal stresses respectively.

The principal stresses are then compared with the tensile strength f_t of the brick. Three possibilities exist:

(i) \$\sigma_{max}^{P} < f_{t}\$, that is no cracking. In this case, the principal stress vector for the cracked part will

$$\{\sigma\}_{bc}^{p} = 0$$

(ii) $\sigma_{\max}^{p} \ge f_{t}$ but $\sigma_{\min}^{p} < f_{t}$. In this case, cracking in a direction perpendicular to σ_{\max}^{p} is assumed and

$$\{\sigma\}_{bc}^{p} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{max}^{p} \\ \sigma_{max} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

(111)
$$\sigma_{\max}^{p} \ge f_{t}$$
 and $\sigma_{\min}^{p} \ge f_{t}$

In this case, cracking in both directions is assumed, and

$$\{\sigma\}_{bc}^{p} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{max} \\ \sigma_{min} \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

The stresses in global coordinates are determined by $\{\sigma_{bc}^{P} = [T_{\sigma}] \{\sigma_{bc}^{P}\}$

where $\begin{bmatrix} T_0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a transformation matrix defined as

	cos ² 0	sin ² 9	-2sin9cos9
$\begin{bmatrix} T_0 \end{bmatrix} =$	sin ² 0	cos ² 0	2sin9cos9
	sin9 cos9	-sinQcosQ	$\cos^2 \Theta - \sin^2 \Theta$

and Q is the angle that maximum principal stress makes with the global X-axis.

Pseudoloads can now be calculated by substituting $\{\sigma\}_{c}$ in equation (4.5).

At each load level, the iteration process is started

by setting $\{\sigma\}_{bc} = 0$ for all brick elements other than those already had cracked at previous load levels. Pseudoloads are calculated as mentioned above, and the system is solved another time until convergence is obtained.

the first next is an incermental precise is slive for spaced

4.3 CONVERGENCE CRITERIA

After the stiffness properties of mortar elements have been updated in the $(k-1)^{th}$ iteration, and after the pseudoloads have been calculated and applied at the nodes, the system is analyzed again. New deformations are obtained and compared with $(k-1)^{th}$ deformations. If the change in deformations is smaller than a preset value, the iteration process is terminated. Absolute Deviation Test⁽²⁾ is used in this study to check convergence at the kth iteration. That is, for n unknown deformations, the system is assumed to have converged if

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{d_{i}^{k}-d_{i}^{k-1}}{d_{i}^{k}}\leq\epsilon$$

where \in is a small positive preassigned number. Two values of \in were used in the study, 0.0001 and 0.01. Using a value of \in = 0.01 is found to be more practical because the number of iterations are decreased with no significant effect on accuracy.

Moreever, if the number of iterations exceeds a certain preassigned number, the analysis is assumed to diverge and the system is considered to reach its ultimate load. The maximum number of iterations used in this sudy was 20.

COMPUTER PROGRAM 4.4

The method of analysis is divided into two major parts The first part is an incremental process to allow for cracking to occur in each load level. The second is an iterative procedure that allows for redistribution of stresses and propogation of cracks at the same load level.

Two methods were used in modifying the material characteristics of mortar elements. The first was to use the instantaneous tangent to the stress-strain diagrams to determine D: and D: defined in equations (3.24a) and (3.24b). This shown in Fig.-12.



tangent modulus

Fig.-12 Instantaneous Fig.-13 Instantaneous secant modulus

At a certain stress level of the actual stress of, and the actual strain \in are determined from the corresponding $^{\circ}$ stress-strain diagrams of Fig.-5 and Fig.-6. The slope of the stress-strain diagram at the actual stress and strain is

36 .

taken as the modulus to be used for for ther approximations. In the second method the slope of the secant (Fig.-13) at the actual strain and strain was used for further approximations. In both cases, the initial elastic mudulii were used. It was found out that although both methods reached almost the same results, using the tangent modulii converged in a time of 5-10% less than that when the secant modulii were used. Thus, the instantaneous tangent modulii were used in later stages of the study.

The algorithm of analysis used in the study can be summarized in the following steps:

1- Read in general mechanical properties, geometrical properties, external and prestressing loads.

2- Generate code numbers for each element.

3- Apply load vector.

4- Increment load vector.

5- Ca: late element stiffness matrices [k].

6- Generat. obal stiffness matrix [K] using code numbers.

7- Solve for nodal deformations {d} ...

8- Check for convergence of deformations at the ith iteration. If yes go to step 10, otherwise go to step 9.

9- Check if number of iterations exceeded the preassigned maximum number. If yes, the analysis is terminated, otherwise update mortar stiffness matrix and go to step 6.

10- Check for failure.

 (a) If failure criteria for brick element is violated, apply pseudoloads.

- 37 -

(b) If failure criteria for mortar element is violated, residual stiffness properties are allocated and element stiffness matrix is updated.

If any failure has been detected, mode and position of failure is printed and the problem is solved again by going to step 6; othrewise the analysis procedes to the next step.

(11) If the external load level has not reached the preassigned maximum load, the load is incremented and steps 5 through 10 are repeated; otherwise, the final stress distribution is printed out and the analysis is terminated.

A general flow chart of the algorithm described above is shown in Fig.- 14.



5. APPLICATION AND RESULTS

Two wal. were analyzed. The first one was an unreinforced masonry wal while the second was of the same dimensions but post-tensi. 4 by external steel tendons. The result of the two analyses are impared. At the sametime, a comparison is done with elastic lution of both, where no crack or failure was accounted for.

5.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE WALLS ANALYZED

0

The walls analyzed were 60cm in height, 80cm in lengt and 9cm in thickness. They were assumed to be fully fixed at the lower edge. The bricks were assumed to be 9x19x5cm and the mortar to be 1cm thick. Sketches of the two walls are shown in Figs.-15 and 16. Post-tensioning of Wall 2 was assumed

ten_ 40 - he had been and he The

- 41 -







Fig.-16 Post-tensioned Wall 2

to be done by four external 14mm diameter bars. A lateral load of magnitude F was applied on the two walls as shown in the figures Fig.-15 and Fig.-16. Finite element discretization is presented in Appendix II.

Wall 2 was post-tensioned by a load of 600 kg. The

lateral load applied to Wall 1 was of 200 kg increments up to a maximum load of 2000 kg. A maximum load of 4000 kg, in incre-

5.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Anylysis revealed that the unreinforced Wall 1 started to crack at a lateral load level of 800 kg, whereas Wall 2 started to crack at a load of 1500 kg. The final cracking pattern predicted by the finite element analysis is shown in figures Fig.-17 and Fig.-18 for walls 1 and 2, respectively.

In the post-tensioned Wall 2, cracking is predicted to start at the point where the lateral load was applied, and progress downward diagonally. After stresses were redistributed, cracks occured at other points of the wall panel.



Fig.- 17 Cracking pattern for Wall 1



43 .

Fig.- 18 Cracking pattern for Wall 2

It should be noted here that Wall 1 ceased to converge ... A load of 1600 kg. This can be easily seen from the force-displacement diagram in Fig.-19. The analysis predicted cracking to start at a load level of 800 kg and continue until the system diverges at load level 1600 kg. It is noted that



Fig.-19 Load-displacement curve for Wall 1

as the system starts to crack at a load level of 800 kg, the curve starts to flaten as it approaches the ultimate load.

In the linear analysis, average values for the material were used. For mortar, $G = 1225 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ and $E = 6335 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ were used. Whereas for brick, the same values were used as those used for the non-linear analysis. Comparing the nonlinear analysis with the linear one, we can see that the displacement at failure is more than twice of that predicted by the elastic solution.

The effect of post-tensioning can be easily seen from Fig.-20. Wall 2 behaves more or less linearly. But still the Load-kg displacement predicted by elastic soluti 50004 with average the elastic solution is far properties 4000 less than that determined 3000 by the non-linear analysis. non-line 2000 solution The non-linear prediction 1000 800 is 1.58 times more than that of the elastic sol-Displacement x10⁻⁵ ution. Fig.-20 Load-displacement

Moreover, the dis-

placements at a certain load level is less for Wall 2 than that of Wall 1. Forexample, under a load of 800 kg, Wall 1 undergoes a displacement of 2.316x10⁻⁴ cm, whereas, under the same load level Wall 2 undergoes a displacement of 0.64x10⁻⁵ cm Even at a load of 4000 kg, the displacement of Wall 2 was much smaller than that of Wall 1 at smaller loads.

Furthermore, it is noticed from the results of the non-linear analysis of Wall 2 that even though cracking start

- 44 .

at a load level of 1500 kg, it can still carry increments of load up to 4000 kg without collapse.

To see the effect of post-tensioning on the distribution of stress, principal tensile stresses at a certain section A-A (in Fig.-15 and Fig.-16) are calculated for both walls at a load level of 200 kg. The result is shown in



Fig.-21 Stress distribution at the section d-A at a lateral load of 200 kg

Fig.-21 a and b. Comparing the two graphs, one sees that the principal tensile stresses in Wall 2 under a load of 200 kg are of the order of 10⁻⁴ kg/cm². With post-tensioning, the tensile stresses of Wall 1 become almost zero. The 200 kg in this case is analogous to service loads in real structures. Thus, the model predicts that , as expectected, prestressing masonry elements will decrease if not eliminate tensile

As for the model, it gives a realistic analysis of the behavior of post-tensioned walls, since it takes cracking

- 45 -

of bricks into consideration. In particular, it gives a good picture of the post-cracking behavior of masonry.

But still the model has its own shortcomings and limits One is due to the fact that there are too many calculations to be carried by the computer which introduces the possibility of large round-off errors depending on the number of elements and on the number of digits carried by the computer. Usage of first order elements is another source of error. Thus, using higher order finite elements and using double precision arithmetic could decrease the error.

Although the results obtained are approximate, depending on the assumptions and idealizations made in formulating the model, nevertheless, it can still be used as a tool to describe and explain the physical behavior of masonry. The model can be used in future research to identify the different parameters that influence the behavior and capacity of post-tensioned masonry walls.

It should be noticed that the results obtained in this study are purely mathematical and should be verified experimentally. An attempt was done to compare the results of this study with some experimental results obtained by another research done at Bogazici University⁽⁴⁾. Similar qualitative results were obtained as for the cracking pattern and loaddeflection relationship of post-tensioned masonry. A quantitative comparison could not be done because the material characteristics used in this study especially for mortar could not be obtained for local mortar.

- 46 -

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this study a finite element model is developed to analyse masonry walls post-tensioned by external steel bars. An incremental-iterative procedure is suggested for the solution of the non-linear problem. This procedure can take into account the non-linear behavior of materials as well as non-linearity due to cracking and propogation of cracks.

Two masonry walls were analyzed, one unreinforced and the other post-tensioned. The following conclusions can . drawn from the results of these analyses:

1- In spite of its shortcomings and limits, the model gives realistic and accurate results about the behavior and cracking of post-tensioned masonry walls, evidenced by the following expected observations:

- (a) Post-tensioning increased the strength of the masonry wall.
- (b) It also increased the capacity of the wall after cracking.
- (c) The stiffness of the wall was found to increase with post-tensioning. That is, displacements

under & certain load decreased significantly. (d) Post-tensioning decreased tensile stresses in the

wall under service loads.

2- Behavior of post-tensioned masonry is relatively linear and reliable especially under service loads.

3- The model can be used in future research as a tool of analysis to describe and identify the different parameters affecting the behavior and capacity of post-tensioned masonry.

REFERENCES

1 ----

3-

4 ...

5-

6-

- Colville, J. and Abbasi, J., "Plane Stress Reinforced Concrete Finite Elements", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 100, No. ST5, May, 1974, pp. 1067-1083.
- 2- Fenves, S.J., <u>Computer Methods in Civil Engineering</u>, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, 1969.
 - Goodman, R.E., Taylor, R.L. and Brekke, T.L., "A Model for Mechanics of Jointed Rock", <u>Journal of</u> <u>the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division</u>, ASCE, Vol. 94, No. SM3, May, 1968, pp. 637-659.
 - Hacim, E., "Effect of Number of Bars and Amount of Prestress on the Esteral Load Behavior of Posttensioned Brick Wall", thesis presented to Bogazici University, at Istanbul, Turkey, in July, 1980, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.
 - Hamid, A.A. and Drysdale, R.G., "Concrete Masonry Under Combined Shear and Compression Along the Mortar Joint", Journal of the American Concrete Institute, Sept.-Oct., 1980, pp. 314-320.
 - Hamid, A.A. and Drysdale, R.G., "Proposed Failure Criteria for Concrete Block Masonry Under Biaxial

- 49 -

Stresses", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 107, No. ST8, Aug., 1981, pp. 1675-1687.

- Meli, R., "Behavior of Masonry Walls Under Lateral Loads", Proceedings of the Fifth World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Rome, 1974, pp. 853-862.
- Meritt, F.S., ed., <u>Standard Handbook for Civil</u> Engineers, McGraw Hill Inc., 1979.

7 ----

8-

9.

- Ngo, D. and Scordelis, A.C., "Finite Element Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Beams", Journal of the <u>American Concrete Institute</u>, Vol. 64, No. 3, March, 1967, pp, 152-163.
- 10- Page, A.W., "Finite Element Model for Masonry", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 104, No. ST8, August, 1978, pp. 1267-1285.
- 11- Plummer, H.C. and Blume, J.A., <u>Reinforced Brick</u> <u>Masonry and Lateral Design</u>, Structural Clay Product Institute, Washington, 1953.
- 12- Rocky, K.C., et al., <u>The Finite Element Method</u>, Granada Publishing, Ltd., London, 1975.
- 13- Tezcan, S., <u>Cubut Statemlerin Elektronik Hesap Maki-</u> neleri Çözümü, Ari Kitabevi Matbaasi, Istanbul, 1970.
- 14- Vezcan, S., "Finite Element Method for Stress Analysis of Thin Plate", special handout, Bogazici University, 1979.
- Yokel, F. and Fattal G., "Failure Hypothesis for Masonry Shear Walls", Journal of the Structural <u>Division</u>, ASCE, Vol. 102, No. ST3, March, 1976, pp. 515-532.

16-

Zienkiewicz, O.C., <u>The Finite Element Method in</u> Engineering Science, McGraw Hill, Inc., London, 1971.

2-USTRANKS

[A]- Distance matrix of der

Cla accard macats

STARSTER

0

c

APPENDIX I

SUMMARY OF FINITE ELEMENT EQUATIONS

1- GENERIC DISPLACEMENTS

 $\{u\} = [N] \{d\}$ (A.1.1)

where {u} = generic displacement vector [N] = shape functions matrix {d} = nodal displacement vector

2- STRAINS

	$\{\epsilon\} = [\Delta] \{u\}$	(A.1.2)
	$\{ \in \} = [\Delta] [N] \{ d \}$	(A.1.3)
If	$[G] = [\Delta][N]$	(A.1.4)
then,	$\{\epsilon\} = [G] \{d\}$	(A.1.3a)
where	{E} = strain vector	
	$[\Delta]$ = operator matrix of derivatives	0

[G]= strain matrix

3- STRESSES

 $\{\sigma\} = [D]\{\epsilon\}$

(A.1.5)

C

	{o}=[D][G]{d}	(A.1.6)
If	[S] = [D] [G]	(A.1.7)
then,	{\sigma_[\$]{d}	(A.1.8)
where	{o} = stress vector	
	[D] = material matrix	and

.53 -

[S] = stress matrix

4- STIFFNESS MATRIX

$$[k] = \int_{\infty} [G]^{T}[D] [G] dV$$

where [k] = element stiffness matrix

5- LOAD VECTORS

(a) Initial Strain Loads: $\{f\}_{\epsilon_{o}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{V} [G][D] \{\epsilon_{o}\} dV$ (A.1.10) where $\{f\}_{\epsilon_{o}} =$ initial strain load vector and $\{\epsilon_{o}\} =$ initial strain vector

(b) Initial Stress Loads:

$$\{f\}_{v} = {}_{v}[G]^{T}\{\sigma_{o}\} dV$$
 (4.1.11)

where $\{f\}_{\tau_0}$ = initial stress load vector and $\{\nabla_0\}$ = initial stress vector

(c) Temperature Loads:

$$\{\mathbf{f}\}_{T} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \Delta T[G]^{T} \{\mathbf{D}_{T}\} dV$$

where ${f}_T = Temperature load vector$

(A.1.9)

(A.1.12)

X = material temperature const...

- ΔT = temperature change
- {D_T}= temperature elasticity vector

(d) Body or Distributed Forces:

$$\{f\}_{b} = -\int_{V} [N]^{T} \{P_{b}\} dV$$
(A.1.13)
where $\{f\}_{b} = nodal body or distributed load vector
$$\{P_{b}\} = body or distributed force vector$$$

(e) Edge Loads:

$$\{f_s\} = -\int_s [N]^T \{P_s\} dS$$
 (A.1.14)
where $\{f_s\} =$ nodal load vector due to edge loads
and $\{P_s\} =$ edge load vector

6- EQUILIBRIUM EQUATIONS

$$[K] \{d\} + [C] \{d\} + [M] \{d\} = \{F_{ext}\} - \sum \{f\}$$
 (A.1.15)
where $[K] = -y$ stem stiffness matrix
 $[C] = co$ stent damping matrix derived as,
 $[C] = C_0 \int_V [N, Y] dV$ (A.1.16)
where C_0 = material damping constant
 $\{d\} = nodal$ velocity vector
 $[M] = consistant$ mass matrix derived as,
 $[M] = p \int_V [N]^T [N] dV$ (A.1.17)
 $f = material$ specific density
 $\{d\} = nodal$ accelaration vector

APPENDIX II

.....

FINITE ELEMENT DISCRETIZATION OF THE POST TENSIONED MASONRY WALL



		No. of	No. of	Lateral applied	Post-tension		
Wall		elements	unknowns	load - kg	load - kg		
Wall	.1	183	466	2000			
Wall	2	193	484	4000	600		

REESS MATRIX FOR VERTICAL DOTE

APPENDIX III

STIFFNESS MATRIX FOR VERTICAL JOINT ELEMENT

	P								
	2D'n	-2D ¹ _n	-D*	D'	0	0	0	0	
	-20, ⁿ	2D' n	D'n	$-D_n^t$	0	0	0	0	
	-D;	D'n	2D; n	-2D;	0	0	0	0	
[k] = L	D'n	-D;	-50°	2D'	0	0	. 0	0	
jnt 6	0	0	0	0	2D'	-2D*	-D:	D t	
	0	0	0	0	-2D'5	2D t 8	D† s	-D'8	
	0	0 0	0	0	-D's	D ' '	2D 1 8	-2D's	
	0	0	0	0	D'8	-D'6	-2D's	2D:	

APPENDIX IV

STRESSES AND STRAINS IN JOINT ELEMENT

Relative displacements at any point x withen the joint element of unit length is related to the nodal displacements by the folloeing relation:

$$\{w\} = \frac{1}{2} \{G\} \{d\}$$
(A.4.1)
where $\{w\} = \binom{W_{B}}{W_{n}}$
 w_{g} = relative shear displacement
 w_{n} = relative normal displacement
 $\{d\}$ = nodal displacement vector
and $[G] = \begin{bmatrix} -A & -B & B & A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -A & -B & B & A \end{bmatrix}$
where $A = (1 - \frac{2x}{L})$ and $B = (1 + \frac{2x}{L})$.
For a mortar of thickness t, the strains can be cal-

lated as
$$\in_{s} = w_{s}/t$$
 and $\in_{n} = w_{n}/t$.

cu.

542

where
$$\{\epsilon\} = \begin{cases} \epsilon_{B} \\ \epsilon_{B} \end{cases}$$

E = shear strain

e_ = normal strain

t = thickness of mortar

Thus, from equations (A.4.1) and (A.4.2) the strain vector will be

$$\{ \in \} = \frac{1}{2t} [G] \{ d \}$$
 (A.4.3)

In explicit form { < } is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_8 \\ \epsilon_n \end{cases} = \frac{1}{2t} \begin{cases} -Ad_1 - Bd_2 + Bd_3 + Ad_4 \\ -Ad_5 - Bd_6 + Bd_7 + Ad_8 \end{cases}$$

where d,= ith displacement of the joint element.

Force per unit length was related to therelative displacements (section 3.4.2) by

$$\{P\} = [D^{*}] \{W\}$$
(A.4.4)

Thus for a wall of thickness T the stress vector becomes

$$\left\{\sigma\right\} = \frac{1}{T}\left[D'\right]\left\{w\right\}$$
(A.4.5)

where
$$\{\sigma\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_s \\ \sigma_n \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{s}$$
 = shear stress
 \mathcal{T}_{n} = normal stress
 $\left[D^{\dagger}\right]$ = material matrix defined in section (3.4.2)

From equations (A.4.1) and (A.4.5) the stress vector

becomes,

$$\left\{\sigma\right\} = \frac{1}{2T} \left[D^{\dagger}\right] \left[G^{\dagger}\right] \left\{d\right\}$$
 (A.4.6)

In explicit form,

 $\begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{2T} \begin{cases} -D^{\mathsf{'}} \mathsf{Ad}_{1} - D^{\mathsf{'}} \mathsf{Bd}_{2} + D^{\mathsf{'}} \mathsf{Bd}_{3} + D^{\mathsf{'}} \mathsf{Ad}_{4} \\ -D^{\mathsf{'}}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathsf{Ad}_{5} - D^{\mathsf{'}}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathsf{Bd}_{6} + D^{\mathsf{'}}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathsf{Bd}_{7} + D^{\mathsf{'}}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathsf{Ad}_{8} \end{cases}$
```
ATN DEST TATATA
          THIS PROGRAM ANALYZES MASONRY WALLS UNREINFORCED AS WELL
  AS POST- TENSIONED BY EXTERNAL STEEL BARS
                              THE ANALYSIS IS DONE BY FINITE ELEMENTS
          COMMON COMPSR(10), COMPST(10), JOX(300), JOY(300), LL(550), NFAIL(300,2), NODE(300,4), PLOAD(600), S(20000), SHSTRS(10), SSTRAN(10), SM(36), TYP
E(300), X(300), Y(300), NCODE(8)
COMMON AR, EBR, ESTEEL, EWOOD, FT, GMAX, GMIN, INCNOD, JBAND, KONTR, LD, MAXS,
MC, ME, MS, MSS, N, NC, NF, NHEP, NJ, NOFAIL, NPRINT, NS, RINCR, RKN, RKS, RMUBR
RMUWOD, T, THETA, TM, NCRACK
COMMON LOADND(10,2), DELTA, EPSILN, N3, PSL(72), NPSLD, NRR, NND, NNODE(30)
1
 DIMENSION SS(600), PSLD(8)
DATA EPSILN, MAXS, KONTR/0.01,20000,1/
DATA JBAND, NF, NPRINT, PLOAD/3*0,600*0.0/
DEFINE FILE 10(600,50,V,LV)
DEFINE FILE 20(600,10,V,IV)
                                     READ DATA
  CALL READDT
D0 60 I=1, ME

LLD=I

D0 65 M=1,8

PSLD(M)=0.0

WRITE (20,LLD) (PSLD(M), M=1,8)

RKS=4053.9

RKN=15338.93

WRITE (6,1)

FORMAT (1H1///19X,, ELEMENT NODES AND CORRESPONDING CODE NUMBER,/19

1X,43(,=,)///8X,, ELEMENT,, 15X,, NODES,, 20X,, CODE NUMBER,/1X,127(,-,)

2)
GENERATE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRICES , AND
OF SYSTEM STIFFNESS MATRIX
                                                                                                                 CALCULATE BAND WIDTH
  DO 10 I=1.ME
 LD=I
 LD=I

IF (TYPE(I).EQ.1..OR.TYPE(I).EQ.4.) CALL RECSTF

IF (TYPE(I).EQ.2.) CALL JNTSTF

IF (TYPE(I).EQ.3.) CALL STLSTF

CALL JBANDX(I)

WRITE (10,LD) NC,MS,MSS,(SM(K).K=1,MSS),(NCODE(L),L=1,MS)

WRITE (6,2) JBAND

FORMAT(///5X,,BAND WIDTH OF K MATRIX=,,I5)

NHEP=(N-JBAND)*JBAND+JBAND*(JBAND+1)/2+N

WRITE (6,6) NHEP

FORMAT (////5X,,SIZE OF S-MATRIX =,,I6)

RLOAD=0.0
  RLOAD=0.0
DO 20 INCR=1.NRR
 IT=0
KONTR=1
 NOFAILED ADADADINCR
```

```
FORMAT (////54X,,LOAD LEVEL=,,G10.4/54X,21(,=,))
D0 50 I=1,N
SS(I)=0.0
```

ENERATE CODE NUMBERS FOR EACH ELEMENT AND GENERATE SYSTEM STIFFNESS MATRIX

CALL GENER

SOLVE FOR UNKNOWN NODAL DEFORMATIONS

```
CALL GSEL
IT=IT+1
RNORM=0.
IF(IT.GT.20) GO TO 22
```

CHECK FOR CONVEGENCE

```
D0 30 I=1,N

K2=LL(I+1)+I

IF (S(K2),EQ.0.0) G0 T0 30

RMS=(S(K2)-SS(I))/S(K2)

SS(I)=S(K2)

RNORM=RNORM+ABS(RMS)

CONTINUE

RRN=N

DELTA=RNORM/RRN
```

CHECK FOR BRICK AND MORTAR FAILURE

NCRACK=0 NO FAILURE IN MORTAR OR BRICK IS DETECTED , OTHERWISE NCRACK=1

```
NCRACK=0

DO 40 I=1,ME

NPSLD=0

NPSLD=0 WHEN NO PSLD IS ADDED, OTHERWISE NPSLD=1

LD=I

IF (TYPE(I).E0.1.) CALL CHKBRK

IF (TYPE(I).E0.2.) CALL CHKMOR

CONTINUE

IF (ICRACK.NE.0.OR.DELTA.GT.EPSILN.OR.NPSLD.NE.0) GO T<sup>O</sup> 21

WRITE (6,5) IT

FORMAT (///SX,NO. OF ITERATIONS=,,I10)

IF (NOFAIL.E0.0) WRITE (6,4)

FORMAT (///SX,NO CRACKING IN BRICKS OR FAILURE IN MORTAR AT THIS

LOAD LEVEL:)

WRITE (6,110)

FORMAT(///S2X,EDGE DISPLACEMENTS,,///40X,NODE NO.,,20X,

LDISPLACEMENT,)

DO 1001 I=1,NND

II=NNODE(I)

IN=JOX(II)

IX=L((IN+1)+IN
```

WRITE(6,1002)II,D FORMAT (/40X,15,20X,612.6) CONTINUE PRINT FINAL RESULTS CALL RESULT STOP WRITE (6,1000) IT CALL RESULT FORMAT (//5x,,THE SYSTEM DOES NOT CONVERGE,) STOP END TANDARS BULL TO THE ONVERSET ADNOLLA, 21, DELTA, CDS. LA, NY, DSL (721, ADSLT, NOT, NAP

```
READDT
                                                                        *****
              SOUBROUTINE READDT: READS MECHANICAL AND GEOMETRICAL
                                             PROPERTIES OF THE WALL
          SUBROUTINE READDT
COMMON COMPSR(10), COMPST(10), J<sup>O</sup>X(300), JOY(300), LL(550), NFAIL(300, 2
1), NODE(300,4), PLOAD(600), S(20000), SHSTRS(10), SSTRAN(10), SM(36), TYP
2E(300), X(300), Y(300), NCODE(8)
COMMON AR, EBR, ESTEEL, EWOOD, FT, GMAX, GMIN, INCNOD, JBAND, K<sup>O</sup>NTR, LD, MAXS
1, MC, ME, MS, MSS, N, NC, NF, NHEP, NJ, NOFAIL, NPRINT, NS, RINCR, RKN, RKS, RMUBR
2, RMUWOD, T, THETA, TM, NCRACK
COMMON LOADND(10,2), DELTA, EPSILN, N3, PSL(72), NPSLD, NRR, NND
      NNODE (30)
NTEGER TI
                             TLE(20), STOPRD
     WRITE (6.1
    FORMAT (1H1)
READ(5,2) (TITLE(1),1=1,20)
FORMAT (2004)
                   (6,3) (TITLE(I), I=1,20)
(//////////24X,20A4/24X,80(,=,))
    WRITE
    READ & PRINT MATERIAL FROPERTY
FT=TENSILE STRENGTH FOR BRICKS
               & PRINT MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR BRICKS, WOOD, AND STEEL
  READ (5.4) EBR, RMUBR, FT, EWOOD, RMUWOD, ESTEEL
FORMAT (8F10.0)
WRITE (6.5) EBR, RMUBR, EWOOD, RMUWOD, ESTEEL, FT
FORMAT (///30X, YOUNGS MODULUS, 10X, POISSONS RATIO, /5X, BRIC
1X, F10.2, 15X, F10.2/5X, WOOD, 23Y, F10.2, 15X, F10.2/5X, STEEL, 23X
22/////5X, TENSILE STRENGTH FOR BRICKS=, G10.4)
4
5
                                                                                                                                                   ,23X,F
                                                                                                                                                                  10
    READ DATA FOR MORTAR SHEAR STRESS STRAIN DIAGRAM
  READ
READ
WRITE
WRITET
   READ (5,6) NS

READ (5,4) (SHSTRS(I);I=1:NS)

WRITE (6,1)

WRITE (6,7) (SHSTRS(I);SSTRAN(I),I=1:NS)

WRITE (6,7) (SHSTRS(I);SSTRAN(I),I=1:NS)

FORMAT (////20X;,MORTAR SHEAR STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIP,///7X,

RESS,:18X::STRAIN,//(3X,G10.5,12X,G10.5/))

FORMAT (1615)
    READ DATA FOR MORTAR COMPRESSIVE STRESS-STRAIN DIAGRAM
  READ (5.6) MC

READ (5.4) (COMPST(I),I=1.MC)

READ (5.4) (COMPSR(I),I=1.MC)

WRITE (6.8) (COMPST(I).COMPSR(I).I=1.MC)

FORMAT (1H1/////20X..MORTAR COMPRESSIVE STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHI

1.///7X..STRESS.,20X..STRAIN./(3X.G10.5.14X.G10.5/))
                 READ BRICK, MORTAR AND STEEL THICKNESSES
                 T=WALL THICNESS
```

TM=MORTAR THICKNESS AR=CROSS SECTIONAL AREA OF STEEL BARS READ (5,4) T,TM,AR WRITE(6,200) T,TM,AR FORMAT (///20X,,WALL THICKNESS=,,F10.5/20X,,MORTAR THICKNESS,,F10. 15/20X,,STEEL CROSS SECTION AREA=,,F10.5) 0 READ NODAL DATA ME= + OF FLEMENTS NJ=# OF JOINTS READ (5,6), ME,NJ WRITE (6,9) NJ,ME FORMAT (/////10X,,NUMBER OF JOINTS=,,15/10X,,NUMBER OF ELEMENTS=, 0 1, IS) WRITE (6,10) D FORMAT (///30X,,NODAL DATA,/30X,10(,-)///5X,,JOINT,,5X,XCOOR,,5X 1,YCOOR,,5X,, DX ,,5X,, DY ,/1X,127(,=,)) N=0 D0 100 I=1'NJ READ (5,4) XJN,X(I),Y(I),DX,DY XJN=JOINT NUMBER X(I),Y(I)=X&Y COORDINATES DX,DY=0 IF FREE TO MOVE IN X/Y DIRECTION DX,DY=1 IF SUPPORTED IN X/Y DIRECTION II=XJN IF (II-I) 101,102,101 WRITE(6,11) II,I FORMAT(////30X,,JOINT NUMBER,,I5,,IS OUT OF ORDER,JOINT NUMBER, 1,;SHOULD BE READ INSTEAD,) STOP JOX(I)=0 JOY(I)=0 IF (DX) 10⁴,103,10⁴ 12 17 (DX) 104,103,104 13 N=N+1 JOX(I)=N 14 IF (DY) 100,105,100 15 N=N+1 JOY(I)=N 10 CONTINUE DEST AND NODEWD ARE STEEL AND WOOD NODES THAT SHARE THE SAME POST READ 11 (5,6) NODEST, NODEWD, STOPRD K=K+1 JOX (NODEST) = JOX (NODEWD) JOY (NODEST) =- JOY (NODEWD) IF (STOPRD EQ.0) GO TO 141 K=2*K 160 I=1'NJ (JOX(I).6T.0) JOX(I)=JOX(I)-K (JOY(I).6T.0) JOY(I)=JOY(I)-K (JOY(I).6T.0) JOY(I)=JOY(I)+K F IF (JOY(I).LT.0) JOY(I)=JOY(I)+K CONTINUE N=N-K DO 150 I=1:NJ WRITE (6,12) I:X(I):Y(I):JOX(I):JOY(I) FORMAT (I10:2F10.2:2110/) 0 50 2

```
WRITE (6,20) N
FORMAT (////5X,,NUMBER OF UNKNOWNS= ,,IS)
 0
              READ ELEMENT DATA
           ENEELEMENT NUMBER D1, D2, D3, D4=NODE NUMBERS OF EACH ELEMENT
TYPE: BRICK=1 ; MORTAR=2; STEEL=3; WOOD=4
       WRITE (6,13)
FORMAT (1H1////40X,,ELEMENT DATA,/40X,12(,-,)///5X,,ELEMENT,,15X,
1,NODES,,15X,,THICKNESS,,5X,,TYPE,/1X,127(,-,)//)
  3
           N1=0
N2=0
D0 1
           DO 110 I=1'ME
READ (5,4) EN,D1,D2,D3,D4,TYPE(I)
II=EN

IF(II-I) 111,112,111

1 WRITE(6,14) II;I

4 FORMAT (1H1////30X, ELEMENT NUMBER, 15, IS OUT OF ORDER, ELEMENT NU

1MBER, 15, SHOULD BE READ INSTEAD,)

STOP
STOP
12 NODE(I,1)=D1
NODE(I,2)=D2
NODE(I,3)=D3
NODE(I,4)=D4
IF (TYPE(I).NE.3.) WRITE (6,1)
IF (TYPE(I).EQ.3.) WRITE (6,1)
IF (TYPE(I).EQ.3.) WRITE (6,1)
ITYPE=TYPE(I).EQ.3.) WRITE (6,1)
ITYPE=T
                                                                                                                                                          (6:15) I: (NODE (I:J): J=1:4): T
(6:15) I: (NODE (I:J): J=1:4): AR
Marter (0,14,68X,BRICK,)
N1=N1+1
GO TO 110
VWRITE (6,17)
FORMAT (1H+,68X,MORTAR,)
N2=N2+1
GO TO 110
SWRITE (6,18)
B FORMAT (1H+,68X,STEEL,)
GO TO 110
G WRITE (6,19)
P FORMAT (1H+,68X,WOOD,)
C CONTINUE
WRITE (6,190) N1,N2
WRITE (6,190) N1,N2
O FORMAT (//5X,NUMBER OF BRICK ELEMENTS= ,,18//5X,NUMBER OF MORTAN
1 ELEMENTS = ,,18)
N3=9*N1+N2
           READ EDGE NODES
           READ
                                     (5,6) NND
(5,6) (NNODE(I),I=1,NND)
           READ LOAD DATA
RNODE = NODE AT WHICH LOAD IS ACTING
PRSLOD=PRESTRESSING LOAD DIR=1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                    IFINX,2 IF IN Y
            READ (5,4) RLOAD,R
            NRR=R
```

```
RINCR=RLOAD/R
READ (5,6) INCNOD, ((LOADND(I,J), J=1,2), I=1, INCNOD)
    PRESTRESS LOAD IS APPLIED AS A COMPREESSIVE LOAD ON THE WOOD ELEMENT
READ (5,4, END=130) PRSLOD, RNODE, DIR
  NNR=RNODE

SAYN=1.

SAYN1=1.

IF (DIR.LT.0.) SAYN1=-1.

IF (DIR.LT.0.) DIR=-DIR

IDIR=DIR

GO TO (120;121).IDIR

II=JOX(NNR)

IF (II.LT.0) II=-II

IF (II.LT.0) SAYN=-1.

PLOAD(II)=PLOAD(II)+PRSLOD*SAYN*SAYN1

GO TO 123

RETURN

END
20
12
30
                                          YTXCOOP
```

```
APROX
SUPROUTINE APROX: CALCULATES INSTANTANEOUS TANGENT MODULII
SUBROUTINE APROXK (R, CONT)
   COMMON COMPSR(10), COMPST(10), JOX(300), JOY(300), LL(550), NEAIL(300,
1), NODE(300,4), PLOAD(600), S(20000), SHSTRS(10), SSTRAN(10), SM(36), TY
2E(300), X(300), Y(300), NCODE(8)
COMMON AR, EBR, ESTEEL, EWOOD, FT, GMAX, GMIN, INCNOD, JBAND, KONTR, LD, MAX
1, MC, ME, MS, MSS, N, NC, NF, NHEP, NJ, NOFAIL, NPRINT, NS, RINCR, RKN, RKS, RMUBE
2, RMUWOD, T, THETA, TM
DIMENSION XCOOR(10), YCOOR(10)
DIMENSION XCOOR(10),YCOOR

XPR=R

NN=NS

D0 10 J=1,NN

YCOOR(J)=SHSTRS(J)

10 XCOOR(J)=SSTRAN(J)

IF (CONT.E0.2.) GO TO 21

NN=MC

D0 20 J=1,NN

YCOOR(J)=COMPST(J)

20 XCOOR(J)=COMPSR(J)

21 IF (XPR) 22,23,24

23 SLOPE=YCOOR(2)/XCOOR(2)

GO TO 35

22 XPR=-XPR

24 D0 30 J=1,NN
KEJ
IF (XPR.GE.XCOOR(J).AND.K.GE.NN) GO TO 32
IF (XPR.GE.XCOOR(J).AND.XPR.LT.XCOOR(J+1)) GO TO
CONTINUE
S1 SLOPE=(YCOOR(K+1)-YCOOR(K))/(XCOOR(K+1)-XCOOR(K))
GO TO 35
SLOPE=(YCOOR(K)-YCOOR(K-1))/(XCOOR(K)-XCOOR(K-1))
IS LOPE=(YCOOR(K)-YCOOR(K-1))/(XCOOR(K)-XCOOR(K-1))
IF (CONT.EQ.1.) RKN=SLOPE*T/IM
IF (CONT.EQ.2.) RKS=SLOPE*T/IM
RETURN
END
4 DO 30 J=1, NN
                                                                                                                                                      31
      END
```

```
ANDX
*****
ROUTINE JBANDX: CALCULATES BAND WIDTH FOR SYSTEM SYSTEM MATRIX
******
*******
                *******
                                       *****
NCODE(J)=JOY(IN)
IX=J+NC
NCODE(IX)=JOY(IN)
IF (TYPE(I).EQ.3.)
IF (TYPE(I).EQ.3.)
CONTINUE
MSM=MS-1
                               NCODE(J)=JOY(IN)
NCODE(IX)=0
MSM=MS-1

D0 20 J=1,<sup>M</sup>SM

JP=J+1

IJ=NCODE(J)

IF (IJ) 19,20,21

IJ=-IJ

D0 25 K=JP,MS

IK=NCODE(K)

IF (IK) 24,25,26

IK=IK-IJ+1

IF (JBAND-KF) 27,25,25

JBAND=KF
JBAND=KF
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
WRITE (6,1) I, (NODE(I,J), J=1,NC), (NCODE(J), J=1,MS)
FORMAT (1X,519,2X,8110)
E ORMAT
RETURN
END
```

```
CSTE
BROUTINE RECSTF: GENERATES RECTANGULAR STIFFNESS MATRIX
MSS=36
I=LU

J1=NODE(I,1)

J2=NODE(I,2)

J3=NODE(I,3)

B=(Y(J1)-Y(J2))/2.

A=(X(J1)-X(J2))/2.

E=EBR
RMU=RMUBR
IF (TYPE(I).EQ.1.)
IF (TYPE(I).EQ.1.)
IF (TYPE
IF (TYPE
BETA=B/A
IF (TYPE
                                                                                                                                                        A=A-TM/4.
IF (TYPE(I) EQ.1.) A=A-TM/4.

IF (TYPE(I) EQ.1.) B=B-TM/2.

BET A=B/A

IF (TYPE(I) EQ.4.) E=EWOOD

IF (TYPE(I) EQ.4.) RMU=RMUWOD

CO=E*T/12./(1.-RMU)/BETA

SM(1)=4.*BETA-2.*(1.-RMU)/BETA

SM(2)=-2.*BETA-2.*(1.-RMU)/BETA

SM(2)=-2.*BETA-(1.-RMU)/BETA

SM(2)=-2.*BETA-(1.-RMU)/2.

SM(6)=3.*(1.-3.*RMU)/2.

SM(6)=3.*(1.-3.*RMU)/2.

SM(6)=SM(1)-3.*(1.-3.*RMU)/2.

SM(6)=SM(1)-3.*(1.-3.*RMU)/2.

SM(6)=SM(1)-3.*(1.-3.*RMU)/2.

SM(6)=SM(1)-3.*(1.-3.*RMU)/2.

SM(10)=SM(4)

SM(10)=SM(4)

SM(11)=SM(5)-

SM(11)=SM(5)-

SM(13)=SM(6)-

SM(14)=SM(5)-

SM(14)=SM(6)-

SM(14)=SM(7)-

SM(14)=SM(7)-

SM(14)=SM(7)-

SM(22)=SM(8)-

SM(22)=SM(8)-

SM(22)=SM(8)-

SM(22)=SM(6)-

SM(22)=SM(7)-

SM(22)=SM(7)-

SM(22)=SM(6)-

SM(22)=SM(7)-

SM(22)=SM(6)-

SM(22)=SM(1)-

SM(22)=SM(7)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(1)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(22)=SM(2)-

SM(32)=SM(30)-

SM(33)=SM(2)-

SM(34)=SM(2)-

M(2)-

SM(34)=SM(34)-

SM(34)=SM(3
                                                                                                                                                      B=B-TM/2.
```

M(35)=SM(28) M(36)=SM(27) D0 10 J=1,MSS SM(J)=SM(J)*CO RETURN END - (IJIII.GT., 001) PETURN

JNTSTF SUBROUTINE JNTSTE: GENERATES JOINT STIFFNESS MATRIX COMMON AR, EBR, ESTEEL, EWOOD, FT, BMA) 1.MC, ME, MS, MSS, N, NC, NF, NHEP, NJ, NOF/ 2.RMUWOD, T, THETA, TM IIELD NC:4 MSS:8 MSS:836 RK1:RKS RK1:RKS RK1:RKS RK2:RKN J1:NODE(I:2) J3:NODE(I:2) J4:NODE(I:2) J5:N(2) SM(1):2, *RK1 SM(2):=KX1 SM(2):=KX1 SM(2):=KX1 SM(2):=KX1 SM(1):2, *RK1 SM(1):=SM(1) SM(10):=SM(1) SM(10):=SM(1) SM(10):=SM(2) SM(10):=SM(2) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(34):=SM(27) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(34):=SM(27) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(34):=SM(27) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(34):=SM(27) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(34):=SM(27) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(30):=SM(27) SM(33):=SM(28) SM(10):=SM(1) SM(10):=SM(1) SM(10):=SM(1) SM(10):=SM(2) SM(30):=SM(28) RETURN END 5 ñ 0 RETURN

```
STLSTE
                          *****
             ***
SUBROUTINE STLATE: GENERATES LINE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX
10
```

GENER ****** SUBROUTINE GENER: GENERATES SYSTEM STIFFNESS MATRIX 11 D0 20 I=1, NHEP S(I)=0. D0 30 NM=1, ME LD=NM READ (10,LD) NC, MS, MSS, (SM(K), K=1, MSS), (NCODE(L), L=1, MS) 1020 READ (10,LD) NC LJB=JBAND+1 NJB=N-JBAND DO 31 L=1,MS SAYN=1. I=NCODE(L) IF (I) 12,31,13 SAYN=-1. 12 I=-I IX=(I-1)*LJB-I+1 IUC=IUCGEN(I-1) D0 311 M=1'MS 13 SAYN2=1. J=NCODE(M) IF (J) 14,311,15 SAYN2=-1. 14 IF (J-I) 311,312,312 15 TD=L 312 JDEM (L-M) 313,313,314 ÎD=M 314 JD=L LC=LOC(ID,JD) LO=IX+J IF (I-NJB-1) 315,315,316 313 LO=LO+IUC S(LO)=S(LO)+SM(LC) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE 316 315 311 31 30 DO 90 I=1,ME LD=I {20,LD} {10,LD} 10,LMS READ (10,LD) DO 70 M=1,M IN=NCODE(M) (PSLD(M), M=1, MS) NC, MS, MSS, (SM(K), K=1, MSS), (NCODE(L), L=1, MS) IF (IN.EQ.D) GO TO 70

```
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
IF (KONTR.EQ.0) GO TO 39
WEIGHT=0.
DO 50 I=1.INCNOD
WEIGHT=WEIGHT+LOADND(I.2)
DO 60 I=1.INCNOD
NN=LOADND(I.1)
NN=LOADND(I.1)
NUM=JOX(NN)
RR=LOADND(I.2)
PLOAD(NUM)=PEOAD(NUM)+RINCR*RR/WEIGHT
KONTR=0
 70
  50
 60
           PLOAD (NUM) = PLOAD (NUM) + RINCR * RR/WEIGHT

KONTR=0

D0 40 I=1, N

L0=(I-1)*LJB+JBAND+1

IF (I-(NJB+1)) 42,42,41

L0=L0-IUCGEN(I)

S(L0)=S(L0) + PLOAD(I)

CONTINUE

D0 40 I=1, N

CONTINUE
  39
 41
 420
            DO 100 I=1 ME
           DO 100 I=1:ME

LD=I

READ (10,LD) NC,MS,MSS,(SM(K),K=1,MSS),(NCODE(L),L=1,MS)

READ (20,LD) (PSLD(KK),KK=1,8)

DO 80 M=1,MS

IN=NCODE(M)

IF (IN,E0.0) GO TO 80

PLOAD(IN)=PLOAD(IN)-PSLD(M)

CONTINUE

RETURN

END
80
```

```
GSEL
      ******
                                                                                          *****
                                                        *******
           SUBROTINE GSEL: GAUSSIAN FLIMINATION PROCESS
     ************
     LQUIVALENCE (S,Z)

JB=JBAND

NE=N-1

N1=N+1

NL=N+1

NM=0

JBE=JB-1

NJJ=N-JBE

ND=JBE+1

LL(1)=0

J2=0

JCOR=0

DO 40 I=1,N

J1=J2+1

IF(I=NJJ)41,41,42

J2=J1+ND

GO TO 43

J2=J1+NL=I

DO 100 J=J1,J2

JCOR=JCOR+1

Z(J)=Z(JCOR)

J3=J2-1

JA=J3+J1

DO 44 K=J1,J3

J=JA-K

IF (Z(J)) 50,44,50

CONTINUE

LX=J-J1+1

IF (LX+1-LL(I)) 51,52,52

LX=LL(I)=1

JT=J3-J1-LX+1

IF (JT) 40,40,55

JP=J3+1

DO 56 J=JP,J2

K=J-JT

Z(K)=Z(J)

J2=J2-JT

LL(I+1)=LX

NX=0

DO 7 I=1,N

NX=NX+LL(I+1)+1

LL(I+1)=NX-I
 41
 423
100
 44 50
 51
 55
 56
 40
       NX=0

D0 7 I=1,N

NX=NX+LL(I+1)+1

LL(I+1)=NX-I

NX=LL(N)+N

NY=N

NZ=NX+1

NT=NZ-NY

D0 10 K=1,NE

NBK=LL(K)

KK=NBK+K

Q=1,77(KK)
   7
```

```
Z(KK)=Q
IB=K+1
K2=LL(IB)+K
IS=K2-NBK-1
IF (IS-N) 12,11,11
IF (IS-N) 12,11,11

11 IE=N

IS=NL

GO TO 17

12 IE=IS

IF (IB-IE) 22,22,10

22 K1=K2-NM

17 J2=NBK+IS

IN=IS-IE

DO 13 I=IB,IE

KI-NBK+I
                   J2=NBK+15

IN=IS-IE

DO 13 I=IB'IE

KI=NBK+I

IF (Z(KI)) 14,13,14

TA=Q*Z(KI)

IH=LL(I)-NBK

DO 15 KJ=KI,J2

IJ=KJ+IH

Z(IJ)=Z(IJ)-TA*Z(KJ)

CONTINUE

IF (IN) 18,18,13

IH=LL(I+1)+I-K2

DO 16 KJ=K1,K2

IJ=KJ+IH

Z(IJ)=Z(IJ)-TA*Z(KJ)

CONTINUE

CONTINUE

CONTINUE

CONTINUE

KI=NX+1

Q=1./Z(NX)

DO 38 IX=1'NE

I=N-IX

IB=I+1

NBI=LL(I)

II=NBI+I

IC=LL(IB)+I

O=Z(IJ)

IS=IC-NBI-1

W=Z(IC)

IF (IB-IS) 39,39,36

DO 37 J=IB'IS

IJ=NBI+J

JK=LL(J)*Z(JK)

Z(IC)=W*0

CONTINUE

RETURN

END
14
15
18
16
13
10
20
39
373638
```

CHKMOR ******* ***** SUBROUTINE CHKMOR: CHECKS MORTAR FAILURE ***** NRFG=4 NREP (NF IFOF (NF.EQ.0) GO TO 1 80 I=1,NF (NFAIL(I,1).EQ.LD.AND.NFAIL(I,2).EQ.1) RETURN DD 80 1=1,NF IF (NFAIL(I,1),EQ.LD.AND.NFAIL(I,2).EQ.1) RETURN CONTINUE READ (10,LD) NC,MS,MSS,(SM(K),K=1,MSS),(NCODE(L),L=1,MS) DD 10 1=1:MS DEF(I)=0 IN=NCODE(L),1 IF (IN) 10,10,11 IX=LL(IN+1)+IN DEF(I)=S(IX) CONTINUE J1=NODE(LD,2) J3=NODE(LD,2) CONTINUE J1=NODE(LD,2) J3=NODE(LD,2) SHEARS(RLENG) DF(1)=DFF(6) DF(1)=DFF(6) DF(1)=DFF(5) DF(4)=DFF(5) DF(4)=DFF(5) DF(4)=DFF(5) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(4) DF(3)=DFF(1) DF(3)=DFF(4) DF(3)=DFF(4) DF(4)=DFF(5) DF(5)=DFF(5) F(5) DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5) DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5) DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5) DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5) DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5) DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5)=DF(5) DF(5)=DF(CONTINUE 80 11 10 24 12 23 SHEAR2=RK1*(DEF(4)-DEF(1)) SHERAV=ABS(SHEAR1+SHEAR2)/2. SH5TRN=SHERAV/RK1 COMP1=-RK2*(DEF(7)-DEF(6)) COMP2=-RK2*(DEF(8)-DEF(5)) COMPAV=(COMP1+COMP2)/2. CMSTRN=-COMPAV/RK2 IF (NPRINT.EQ.1) GO TO 60 IF (DELTA GT FPSILN) GO TO 4

(COMPAV.LT.-3.5) NREG=1 COMPAV.LT.-3.5) GO TO 30 (COMPAV.GT.0.) GO TO 21 (COMP1.LE.0.) ULTSH1=0.66*COMP1+2.31 (COMP2.LE.0.) ULTSH2=0.66*COMP2+2.31 (SHEAR1.GE.ULTSH1.OR.SHEAR2.GE.ULTSH2) NREG=1 TF (COMPAV. IF F ÎF IFGO τŏ (COMPAV.GT.23.38) G0 T0 22 (COMP1.LE.23.38) ULTSH1=0.87*COMP1+2.31 (COMP2.LE.23.38) ULTSH2=0.87*COMP2+2.31 (SHEAR1.GE.ULTSH1.OR.SHEAR2.GE.ULTSH2) NREG=2 T0.30 IF 21 TE IF (SHEARI.GE.ULISHI.G.SHEARL.G.11*COMP1+20.08 IF (COMP1.GT.23.38) ULTSH1=0.11*COMP1+20.08 IF (COMP2.GT.23.38) ULTSH2=0.11*COMP2+20.08 IF (SHEARI.GE.ULTSH1.OR.SHEAR2.GE.ULTSH2) NREG=3 IF (NREG.E0.4) GO TO 40 DO 70 I=1.NF IF (NFAIL(I.1).EQ.LD.AND.NFAIL(I.2).E0.2) GO TO 1 IF (NFAIL(I.1).EQ.LD.AND.NFAIL(I.2).E0.3) GO TO 1 CONTINUE NGEALE 1 22 30 42 TO UZ 70 NOFAIL=1 NCRACK=1 NF=NF+1 NF=NF+1 IF (NF.GE.N3) GO TO 50 NFAIL(NF.1)=LD NFAIL(NF.2)=NREG WRITE (6.8) FORMAT (//1x.127(.*.)/ GO TO (31.32.33),NREG WRITE (6.7) LD FORMAT (///5x,JOINT N PORMAT (///5x,JOINT N WRITE (6,8) FORMAT (//1X,127(,*,)//) GO TO (31,32,33),NREG WRITE (6,7) LD FORMAT (///5X,,JOINT NO.,,I5,,FAILS,/5X,,MODE OF FAILURE: TENSILE 1BOND FAILURE,/5X,,REGION OF FAILURE: 1,) NREP-1 8 31 NREP=1 RKS=0. GO TO 34 WRITE (6,2) LD 32 NREP=1 NREP=1 RKS=10.868*ABS(COMPAV) FORMAT (///5X,,JOINT NO.,,I5,,FAILS,/5X,,MODE OF FAILURE : SHEAR 10ND FAILURE,/5X,,REGION OF FAILURE : 2,) GO TO 40 WRITE (6,3) LD 422 GO TO 40 WRITE (6,3) LD NREP=1 RKS=254.1 FORMAT (///5x,,JOINT NO.,,I5,,FAILS,/5x,,MODE OF FAILURE 10ND FAILURE,/5x,,REGION OF FAILURE : 3,) I CALL APROXK(CMSTRN,1.) IF (NREG. e. 4) CALL APROXK(SHSTRN,2.) CALL JNTSTF WRITE (10,LD) NC,MS,MSS,(SM(K),K=1,MSS),(NCODE(L),L=1,MS) IF (NPRINT.EQ.0.AND.NREG.EQ.4) RETURN IF (NPRINT.EQ.0.AND.NREG.EQ.4) RETURN IF (NPRINT.EQ.0.AND.NREP.NE.1) RETURN O COMP1=-COMP1 COMP2=-COMP2 PNMAX=AMAX1(COMP1,COMP2) PSHMAX=AMAX1(SHEAR1.SHEAR2) PSHMAX=AMAX1(SHEAR1.SHEAR2) PSHMAX=AMAX1(SHEAR1.SHEAR2) COMPAV=-COMPAV IF (NPRINT.NE.1) WRITE (6,4) PNMAX,PNMIN,COMPAV,PSHMAX.PSI AV.CMSTRN,SHSTRN FORMAT (5x,MAX NORMAL STRESS=.G14.5,10X,MIN NORMAL STRE 1.5,10X,AVERAGE NORMAL STRESS=.G14.5/5X,MAX SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 2.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS=.G14.5,10X,AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHEAR STRESS 3.11X,MIN SHE 33 43 SHEAR . 40 34 60 WRITE (6,4) PNMAX, PNMIN, COMPAV, PSHMAX, PSHMIN, SHE NORMAL STRESS=, G14.5, 10X, MIN NORMAL STRESS=, G1 NORMAL STRESS=, G14.5/5X, MAX SHEAR STRESS=, G14. STRESS=, G14.5, 10X, AVERAGE SHEAR STRESS=, G14.5/ STRESS=, G1

IE (NPRINT.EQ.1) WRITE (6.5) LD, PNMAX, PNMIN, COMPAY, PSHMAX, PSHM 1HERAV, CMSTRN, SHSTRN FORMAT (3X, 15,8(1X, G12.6)) RETURN WRITE (6.6) FORMAT (///10X,, THE SYSTEM COLLAPSES UNDER THIS LOAD,) STOP END

CHKBRK all and the ***** SUBROUTINE CHKBRK: CHECKS FOR BRICK FAILURE SUBROUTINE_CHKBRK COMMON_COMPSR(10),COMPST(10),JCX(300),JOY(300),LL(550),NEATL(300,2 1)NODE(3004),PLOAD(600),S(20000),StSTRS(10),SSTRAN(10),SM(36),TYP 2E(300),X(300),Y(300),NCODE(8) COMMON_AR,EBR,ESTEEL,EWOOD,FT,GMAX,GMIN,INCNOD,JBAND,KONTR,LD,MAXS 1,MC,ME,MS,MSS,N,NC,NF,NHEP,NJ,NOFAIL,NPRINT,NS,RINCR,RKN,RKS,RMUBR 2.RMUWOD,T.THETA,TM,NCRACK COMMON_LOADND(10,2),DELTA,EPSILN,N3 DIMENSION_ST(3,8),SIGMA(3),EP(3),DEF(8) IF (DELTA.GT.EPSILN)_RETURN READ (10,LD)_NC,MSS,(SM(K),K=1,MSS),(NCODE(L),L=1,MS) DO 10 I=1:MS DEF(I)=0. IN-NCODE(I) IF (IN)10,11 IX=LL(IN+1)+IN DEF(I)=S(IX) J=NODE(LD,2) J=NODE(LD,2) J=NODE(LD,2) J=NODE(LD,2) A=(X(JI)-X(J3)-IM)/2. AREA=4.*A*B D11=EBR/(1.-RMUBR*RMUBR) D12=RMUBR*CN1 D33=EBR/2./(1.+RMUBR) D0 20 J=1;9 RJ=1 11 10 DO 20 J=1:9 RJ=J II=(J-1)/3 RII=II XX=2./3.*(RJ-3.*RII-2.) ST(1:1)=D11*B*(1.+YY) ST(1:2)=D11*B*(1.+YY) ST(1:2)=D11*B*(1.-YY) ST(1:3)=-ST(1:1) ST(1:4)=-ST(1:2) ST(1:5)=D12*A*(1.+XX) ST(1:6)=-ST(1:5) ST(1:7)=D12*B*(1.+YY) ST(2:1)=D12*B*(1.+YY) ST(2:1)=D12*B*(1.+YY) ST(2:1)=D12*B*(1.+YY) ST(2:2)=D11*A*(1.+XX) ST(2:4)=-ST(2:1) ST(2:5)=D11*A*(1.+XX) ST(2:6)=-ST(2:5) ST(2:7)=D11*A*(1.-XX) ST(2:6)=-ST(2:7) ST(2:6)=-ST(3:1) ST(3:1)=D33*A*(1.-XX) ST(3:5)=D33*B*(1.-YY) ST(3:6)=D33*B*(1.-YY) ST(3:6)=D33*B*(1 STRESS MATRIX BY

```
PSDLOD
SUBROUTINE PSDLOD: APPLIES NODAL PSEUDOLOADS DUE TO BRICK CRAKING
 *****
         NDUM=0

SCCP1=0.

SCCP2=0.

IF (NF.E0.0)GO TO 20

DO 10 I=1.NF

IF (LD.E0.NFAIL(I,1).AND.J.E0.NFAIL(I,2)) NDUM=1

CONTINUE

IF (NDUM.E0.1) GO TO 11

NE-NE+1
  NDUM=0
10
```

PSLD(3)=-(C1+C3)*SCC1+(C2-C4)*SCC3 PSLD(4)=(C3-C1)*SCC1+(C4-C2)*SCC3 PSLD(5)=(C2+C4)*SCC2+(C1+C3)*SCC3 PSLD(6)=-(C2+C4)*SCC2-(C1+C3)*SCC3 PSLD(8)=(C4+C2)*SCC2+(C3-C1)*SCC3 DO 30 K=1;MS MIMS*(J-1)+K PSL(M)=PSLD(K) IF (J.NE.9) RETURN DO 50 K=1;MS SUM=0.0 DO 55 M=1.9 LIMS*(M-1)+K SUM=0.0 DO 55 M=1.9 LIMS*(M-1)+K SUM=0.0 DO 56 M=1,MS IF (PSLD(M)=SUM(L) READ (20,LD) (PSLD1(M),M=1,MS) RNORM=0.0 DO 60 M=1,MS IF (PSLD(M)=PSLD1(M))/PSLD(M) RNORM=RNORM+ABS(RMS) CONTINUE IF (RNORM+LE.0.0001) RETURN NPSLD=1 WRITE (20,LD) (PSLD(M),M=1,MS) RETURN WRITE (6,3) FORMAT (///5X,THE SYSTEM COLLAPSES END 30 550 60 403 COLLAPSES HIS LOAD,) UNDER

```
RESULT
                                                                                                                                                                      *******
                                  SUBROUTINE RESULT: PRINTS FINAL RESULTS
       1.NNODE(30)

NPRINT=1

WRITE (6,1)

FORMAT (1H1////57X,,FINAL RESULTS,/57X,15(,=,))

WRITE (6,2)

PORMAT (//46X,,STRESSES AND STRAINS FOR BRICK ELEMENTS,/46X,39(,-,

1)//19X,STRESSES IN X-Y DIRECTIONS, 4X, STRAINS IN X-Y DIRECTIONS,

2,9X,PRINCIPAL STRESSES,/3X,ELEM. NO.,1X,REG,5X,SX,9X,SY,9X,SY,9

3X,SXY,8X',EX,9X,EY,9X,EXY,9X,11,10X,22,7X,THETA,6X,TMA

4X,/1X,127(;=,))

DO 10 I=1,ME

LD=1
    2
 DO 10 I=1,ME

LD=I

IF (TYPE(I).NE.1.) GO TO 10

CALL CHKBRK

0 CONTINUE

WRITE (6,1)

WRITE (6,3)

3 FORMAT(//45x,,STRESSES AND STRAINS FOR MORTAR ELEMENTS,/45X,40(,=

1,)//23X,NORMAL STRESSES,30X,SHEAR STRESSES,/1X,ELEMENT NO...5X

2,MAX,12X,MIN,10X,AVERAGE,10X,MAX,12X,MIN,10X,AVERAGE,8

3X,E-NORMAL,7X,E-SHEAR,/1X,127(,=,))

DO 20 I=1,ME

LD=I

IF (TYPE(I).NE.2.) GO TO 20
10
      LD=I

IF (TYPE(I).NE.2.) GO TO 20

CALL CHKMOR

CONTINUE

WRITE (6,1)

WRITE (6,4)

FORMAT (//48X,,STRESSES AND STRAINS FOR STEEL BARS,/48X,35(,-,)/

17X,,ELEMENT NO,24X,STRESS,24X,STRAIN,/1X,127(,=,))

TO JELEMENT NO,24X,STRESS,24X,STRAIN,/1X,127(,=,))

IF (TYPE(I).NE.3.) GO TO 30

LD=I
20
   4
         SOT SOT
                    (AR.EQ.0.)
(AR.EQ.0.)
(AR.EQ.0.)
(AR.EQ.0.)
(AR.EQ.0.)
31, J=1, MS
                                                       NC,MS,MSS,(SM(K),K=1,MSS),(NCODE(L),L=1,MS)
SSS=0.
DEL=0.
GO TO 33
         IF (AR.EQ.0.) 60
DO 31 J=1,MS
SAYN=1.
DEF(J)=0.
IN=NCODE(J)
IF (IN) 32'31,311
IN=-IN
SAYN=-1
32
           SAYN=-1
        SAYN=-1.

IX=LL(IN+1)+IN

DEF(J)=S(IX)

CONTINUE

DEL=(DEF(2)-DEF(1))*SAYN

J1=NODE(I,1)

J2=NODE(I,2)

RLENG=Y(J2)-Y(J1)

DEL=DEL/RLENG

SSS=DEL*ESTEEL

WRITE (6.5) I.SSS.DEL

FORMAT (40X,I5.2(20X,G16.6))

CONTINUE
11
31
335
30
         CONTINUE
```

DO 40 I=1,^NND II=NNODE(I) IN=JOX(II) IX=LL(IN+1)+IN D=S(IX)) 40 WRITE (6,7) II,D 6 FORMAT (///////S2X,EDGE DISPLACEMENTS,//40X,NODE NO.,20X, 1,DISPLACEMENT,/128(,=,)) 7 FORMAT (/40X,I5,20X,612.6) RETURN END