FOR REFERENCE

IOT I BE AKEN FROM THIS BOOM

BOUNDARY INTEGRAL ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF STEADY-STATE HEAT CONDUCTION PROBLEMS

by

Cemal TUNALI

B.S. in M.E., Bogaziçi University, 1982



Submitted to the Institute for Graduate Studies in Science and Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Mechanical Engineering

Bogāziçi University 1984

BOUNDARY INTEGRAL ELEMENT ANALYSIS

OF .

STEADY-STATE HEAT CONDUCTION PROBLEMS

APPROVED BY:

Prof.Dr. Akın TEZEL (Thesis Supervisor)

Doç.Dr. Fahir BORAK

Dr. Vahan KALENDEROGLU

Alm Tell April

DATE OF APPROVAL:



181928

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis supervisor, Prof.Dr. A. Tezel, for his advice and tactful criticisms that have been valuable in all parts of this work.

Special thanks are due to Doç.Dr. F. Borak and Dr. V. Kalenderoglu for their interest in this work.

My sincere appreciation goes to A. Özmen for his invaluable help.

Special thanks must finally go to M. Kalenderoglu for her patience and diligence in typing.

Cemal TUNALI

ABSTRACT

In this study, the boundary integral element method is used for analysis of steady-state heat conduction problems. The method is general for two-dimensional regions with arbitrary boundary shapes. The development is generalized to include the first, second, and third kind of boundary conditions as well as nonlinear conditions. A variety of problems are analyzed with this method and their solutions are compared to those obtained analytically. A comparison between the present method and the finite difference predictions is also made. Moreover, two-dimensional regions with three kind of boundary conditions, irregular shaped boundaries and regions with more than one surface are used to illustrate the versatility of the technique as a computational procedure. **ΰΖΕΤ**

Bu çalışmada, sabit rejimde ısı iletimi problemlerini çözmek için sınır integral elemanları yöntemi kullanılmıştır. Bu yöntem alelade sınır şekillerine sahip iki boyutlu bölgeler için geneldir. Yöntem birinci, ikinci ve üçüncü tür sınır koşulları ve aynı zamanda lineer olmayan sınır koşullarında genelleştirilmiştir. Çeşitli problemler bu metodla analiz edilmiş ve analitik çözümlerle karşılaştırılmıştır. Aynı zamanda, bu yöntemle elde edilen çözümlerle sonlu farklar çözümleri arasındaki karşılaştırma da yapılmıştır. Uç çeşit sınır koşullarına, düzensiz şekilli sınırlara ve birden fazla yüzeye sahip iki boyutlu problemler, yöntemin çok yönlülüğünü örneklemek için kullanılmıştır.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	rage
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
σzet	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	X
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THEORY AND PRINCIPLES	4
 2.1 Definition of the Problem 2.2 Boundary Integral Formulation 2.3 Matrix Formulation 2.4 Boundary Conditions 2.5 Numerical Procedure 	5 6 17 31 40
III. SAMPLE PROBLEMS	42
IV. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS	64
V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	68
REFERENCES	70
APPENDICES	72
APPENDIX A. FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION APPENDIX B. EXACT SOLUTIONS	73 77
APPENDIX C. COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR BOUNDARY INTEGRAL ELEMENT METHOD APPENDIX D. FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD	85 114

LIST OF FIGURES

· · ·			Page
FIGURE	2.2.1	Schematic diagram of the domain D and its boundary S	8
FIGURE	2.2.2	Schematic diagram for the definition of the fundamental solution	12
FIGURE	2.2.3	Illustration of the point 'i' at the neighbourhood of the boundary	13
FIGURE	2.3.1	Boundary elements: (a) constant, (b) linear	18
FIGURE	2.3.2	Constant element coordinates	21
FIGURE	2.3.3	The angle between the vectors n and r	23
FIGURE	2.3.4	Linear element coordinates	26
FIGURE	2.3.5	Interior cell k and integration point t	30
FIGURE	2.4.1	Linear approximation to the nonlinear function f(u)	35
FIGURE	2.4.2	An illustration for the boundary conditions of the 'mixed' kind	39
FIGURE	3.1	Boundary conditions for the problem (la)	43
FIGURE	3.2	Boundary conditions for the problem (1b)	43
FIGURE	3.3	Boundary conditions for the problem (1c)	46
FIGURE	3.4	Boundary conditions for the problem (2a)	48
FIGURE	3.5	Boundary conditions for the problem (2b)	50
FIGURE	3.6	The alternative forms of the nodes and the internal cells for the problem (2b)	51
FIGURE	3.7	Boundary conditions for the problem (3)	54
FIGURE	3.8	Boundary conditions for the problem (4a)	56
FIGURE	3.9	Boundary conditions for the problem (4b)	58

vii

List of Figures continued...

		Page
FIGURE 3.10	Boundary conditions for the problem (4c)	60
FIGURE 3.11	Boundary conditions and boundary elements for the problem (5)	62
FIGURE 4.1	The nodes at the corners of the square region	65
FIGURE 4.2	The nodes near the corners of the square region	66
FIGURE A.1	Point surrounded by a disk	74
FIGURE C.1	Flowchart for the computer program	86

LIST OF TABLES

											Page	,
TABLE	3.1	Results	for	the	problem	(la)	•	 	•		44	
TABLE	3.2	Results	for	the	problem	(1b)	• •		• • • •	· ·	45	
TABLE	3.3	Results	for	the	problem	(lc)					47	
TABLE	3.4	Results	for	the	problem	(2a)	· . ·				49	
TABLE	3.5	Results	for	the	problem	(2b)	•				52	
TABLE	3.6	Results	for	the	problem	(3)					55	
TABLE	3.7	Results	for	the	problem	(4a)	. *		. 1		57	
TABLE	3.8	Results	for	the	problem	(4b)					59	
TABLE	3.9	Results	for	the	problem	(4c)		 			61	
TABLE	3.10	Results	for	the	problem	(5)		•		•	63	

ix

LIST OF SYMBOLS

u	Temperature (potential), (^O C or ^O K)
q	Flux, (^O C/m or ^O K/m)
n -	Normal vector to surface
~ ∇ ²	Laplacian operator in two dimensions
D	Domain
S	Surface
q'"	Volumetric heat generation (W/m³)
K .	Thermal conductivity (W/m. ^O C or W/m. ^O K)
р	= q'''/K, p.6
Н _о	Convective heat transfer coefficient (W/m². ^O C or W/m². ^O K)
u _∞	Ambient temperature
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.6697x10 ⁻⁸ W.m²/ ^O K ⁴)
R	Residual
ω	Weighting function
q*	= $\partial u^* / \partial n$, p. 12
δ	Dirac delta function
L*	Formal adjoint of the operator L
r .	Distance from the point of application of the unit potential to the point under consideration
x,y	General Cartesian coordinates
n	Number of boundary elements on S
В	= - ∫pu*dD, p. 20

List of Symbols continued...

$$G_{ij} = \int u^* dS , p.19$$

$$S_j^{I}$$

$$H_{ij} = \int q^* dS , p. 19$$

$$S_j^{I}$$

$$Local coordinate$$

$$Length of the boundary element$$

$$Length of the boundary element$$

$$Gauss weighting coefficients$$

$$\overline{m}$$
Number of internal elements

$$\phi$$
Shape function

$$\alpha,\beta$$
Numerical coefficients, p. 33

I. INTRODUCTION

Integral methods for formulating governing field equations have been a subject of interest to many investigators for several years. Some exact and approximate solutions for the integral equations, arising in the above mentioned integral methods, were obtained.

A fundamental method employed in the classical potential theory is the use of Green's functions for solving the integral equation associated with the Laplace equation as given by Morse and Feshbach [1]. In spite of the generality of this method, it is limited to those problems having simple geometries. The limitations are due to the mathematical complexity in the construction of the required Green's functions for obtaining the solution to the associated integral equation.

A modified version of the method, which is studied by Jawson [2] and MacMillan [3], is based on the use of Green's functions together with the Green's second formula and has been found to be more practical and less complex. The basic idea of this modified version is to cast the field differential equation into a boundary integral equation. Although the major properties of differential equations were well established by the nineteenth century, the first rigorous investigation of the classical kinds of integral equations was published by Fredholm at 1905. Since then they have been studied intensively, particularly in connection with field theory.

A major contribution to the formal understanding of integral equations has been made more recently by Mikhlin [4-6]. He discusses such equations with both scalar and vector (multidimensional) integrands and, in particular, those with singularities and discontinuties within the range of integration. Despite of the great advances that have been made in the classification and analysis of the properties of integral equations, none of the major authors who deals with applied mathematics appear to have considered the possibility that a general numerical algorithm for solving a wide range of practical problems might be based on the integral equations. The impetus for such a development has been provided by the high-speed digital computers and as a result the boundary integral element method has been developed.

Applications of the boundary integral element method to heat conduction problems have received less attention as compared to those problems in solid mechanics. This is due to the limitation of the boundary integral element method to the problems subject to linear boundary conditions. Certain papers [7-10] have appeared in the literature which show the application of the method to the solution of conduction problems where both the temperature and flux at the boundaries are constant.

In many aspects, the boundary integral element method for solving boundary value problems proves to be advantageous over the conventional numerical methods of finite difference and finite elements. Since the technique uses only the boundary data in the solution, this in turn reduces the size of numerical calculations. In addition, the solution at any interior point is easily obtained with a resolution and without further involvement of the other points. Furthermore, the method does not require any modifications or special handling of points near the domain boundaries, unlike the case of finite differences. This particular feature makes the boundary integral element method well-suited, as it is the case in finite element method, to those problems with irregular shaped boundaries.

In this investigation, the boundary integral element numerical method is modified to be applied as iterative technique. This modification enables the method to solve numerically steady-state heat conduction problems with no restrictions imposed on its boundary conditions. This technique is applicable for two-dimensional problems with nonlinear boundary conditions resulting from radiation at the boundary. Also, the problems with more than one surface, such as the case of hollow cylinder, are investigated.

3

II. THEORY AND PRINCIPLES

When an engineer constructs a mathematical model of almost any kind of a system, he usually starts by establishing the behaviour of an infinitesimal differential element of it. This establishment is based on assumed relationships between the major variables involved. This leads to a description of the system in the form of a set of differential equations. Once the basic model has been constructed and the properties of the particular differential equation is understood, subsequent efforts are then directed towards obtaining a solution of the equations within the region of interest. The regions are often of very complicated shapes in where various conditions are specified on the boundaries.

The numerical methods most widely used at present deal with the differential mathematical manipulation in one of two ways: EITHER by approximating the differential operators in the equations by simpler localized algebraic ones valid at a series of nodes within the region OR by representing the region itself by noninfinitesimal (i.e., finite) elements which are assembled to provide an approximation to the real region. An obvious alternative approach to solve the set of differential equations would be to attempt to integrate them analytically in some way before either proceeding with any discretization scheme or introducing any approximations. We are, of course, attempting to integrate the differential equations to find a solution whatever method we use, but the essence of boundary integral equation techniques is the transformation of the differential equations into equivalent sets of integral ones as the first step in their solution.

However, the numerical methods are predominantover the analytic methods in respect of problem solving ability. It is also a fact that further improvements in computer technology will enhance the improvement and applicability of numerical methods.

2.1 DEFINITION OF THE PROBLEM

In this study, the temperature distribution in simply and multiply connected regions under the influence of steady-state conduction heat transfer with heat generation and constant thermal conductivity is explored.

The governing field equation is shown to be

$$\nabla^2 u + \frac{q^{''}}{K} = 0 \tag{2.1.1}$$

in the domain of interest where u is the temperature, q'" is the volumetric heat generation and K is the thermal conductivity.

Due to the limited availability of analytic solutions of the above equation for a given set of boundary conditions effort has

been spent on utilizing boundary integral element method, which is one of the numerical approaches, to broaden the range of problems which can be solved.

The boundary conditions of concern which can be grouped as follows.

- Boundary conditions of the first kind in which the value of temperature is prescribed at the boundary.
- Boundary condition of the second kind in which the value of flux is prescribed at the boundary.
- Boundary condition of the third kind in which convective heat transfer into a medium at a prescribed temperature occurs at the boundary.
- The nonlinear boundary condition in which the formulation of this kind of boundary condition involves a power of temperature. In our study, the fourth power model of radiation boundary condition will be considered.

2.2 BOUNDARY INTEGRAL FORMULATION

The aim of an approximate solution scheme is to reduce a governing equation (or set of equations) and boundary conditions to a system of algebraic equations. This is usually done by subdividing the continuum into a number of cells or elements and assuming over each of these a known variation of the approximating and weighting functions [21, p.8]. Consider the Poisson's boundary value problem

 $\nabla^2 u_0 + p = 0 \qquad \text{in } D$

(2.2.1)

where u_o indicates the exact solution. The corresponding boundary conditions are of the following two types:

Temperature is prescribed on the boundary, i.e.,

$$u_0 = \overline{u} \tag{2.2.2}$$

where \overline{u} is its value on the boundary S₁.

Flux is prescribed on the boundary, i.e.,

$$q_0 = \overline{q}$$
 (2.2.3)

where,

$$q_0 = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n}$$
(2.2.4)

which is the normal derivative of the exact solution u_0 and \overline{q} is its value on the boundary S_2 .

The total boundary of the domain D is

$$s = s_1 + s_2$$

as shown in Figure 2.2.1.

The exact solution u_0 can be found only for a few and simple cases and, generally, the solution will have to be approximated. This can be done by using a set of known linearly independent functions ψ_i and unknown coefficients γ_i so as to construct the approximating function u of the exact solution u_0 . Hence the approximating function u is the linear combination of the linearly independent function ψ_i :



Figure 2.2.1 - Schematic diagram of the domain D and its boundary S.

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} \psi_{i}$$

Due to its nature, substitution of the approximating function u instead of the exact solution u_0 will not satisfy the Eq. (2.2.1) so that, a residual will be produced subsequently. The procedure is as follows:

It is seen that this yields an inequality. However, the approximating function u is taken to be satisfying the boundary conditions, i.e.,

$$u - \overline{u} = 0$$
 on S_1

and

$$q - \overline{q} = 0$$
 on S_2 .

Letting

$$\nabla^2 u + p = R$$
 (2.2.5)

where R is the residual, the inequality is transformed into an equality. We try to minimize this residual. The residual is normalized with respect to properly chosen weighting function ω .

$$(R,\omega)_{D} = 0$$

or

$$\int_{D} R\omega dD = 0$$
 (2.2.6)

where,

$$R = \nabla^2 u + p$$

so that

$$\int_{D} (\nabla^{2} u + p)_{\omega} dD = 0 .$$
 (2.2.7)

We are trying to minimize the residual by distributing it all over the domain so as to force it to be zero in an average sense.

The Green's second identity [11, p. 451] is given as follows;

$$\int_{D} (a\nabla^{2}b - b\nabla^{2}a)dD = \int_{S} (a \frac{\partial b}{\partial n} - b \frac{\partial a}{\partial n})dS . \qquad (2.2.8)$$

From Eq. (2.2.7), we obtain

$$\int_{D} (\nabla^2 u) \omega dD = - \int_{D} p \omega dD$$

(2.2.9)

9

Applying the Green's second identity to the left hand side of the Eq. (2.2.9), we get

$$\int (\nabla^2 u) \omega dD = \int u \nabla^2 \omega dD + \int \omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS - \int u \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial n} dS . \quad (2.2.10)$$

$$D \qquad S \qquad S$$

Hence,

$$\int_{D} p\omega dD = \int u\nabla^{2}\omega dD + \int \omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS - \int u \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial n} dS \qquad (2.2.11)$$

and, rearranging the above equation, we have

$$\int_{D} u\nabla^{2}\omega dD = \int \omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS - \int u \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial n} dS + \int p\omega dD \quad . \quad (2.2.12)$$

Now, it remains to find and insert the weighting function ω into Eq. (2.2.12). Utilizing the reproducing property of Dirac delta function [12, p. 315]

we see that there is a possibility of simplifying the left hand side of the Eq. (2.2.12).

Letting

$$L = \nabla^2$$
 (2.2.14)

so that we can write the Poisson's equation as

$$Lu = -p$$
 (2.2.15)

Eq. (2.2.9) then takes the form

$$(\omega,Lu)_{D} = (\omega,-p)_{D} \qquad (2.2.16)$$

Further manipulation on the left hand side of Eq. (2.2.16) by introducing the adjoint L^{*} of the operator L results in

$$(\omega, Lu)_{\rm D} = (u, L^*\omega)_{\rm D} + \pi$$
 (2.2.17)

where π denotes the boundary integral terms appearing as surface integrals in Eq. (2.2.12). Since the operator L is formally self adjoint [13, p. 247], we have,

$$L^* = L$$
 . (2.2.18)

We use the reproducing property of Dirac delta function to simplify the (u, $L^{\star}\omega)_{D}$ term in the Eq. (2.2.17). Thus, letting

$$\nabla^2 \omega = -\delta$$
 , (2.2.19)

or

$$L^*\omega = -\delta \tag{2.2.20}$$

we obtain from Eq. (2.2.17)

$$(u,\delta)_{D} = -(\omega, Lu)_{D} + \pi$$
 (2.2.21)

Using Eq. (2.2.13),

$$u = -(\omega, Lu)_{D} + \pi$$
 (2.2.22)

we obtain the GREEN's Formula.

We also note that in arriving the boundary integral equation, the weighting function ω is defined as the solution of Eq. (2.2.19). This kind of weighting function is known as the unit singular solution [14, p. 58] or fundamental solution denoted by u* for an infinite domain and the associated flux is

$$q^* = \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n}$$

Thus, choosing u* as the weighting function ω enables us to simplify Eq. (2.2.12) as

$$u = \int u^{*} dS - \int uq^{*} dS + \int pu^{*} dD . \qquad (2.2.23)$$

S S D

12

Comparing with Eq. (2.2.12), it may be seen that Eq. (2.2.23) is the proper form of Green's formula. In this formula, it should be noted that the function u^* is a function of two points: the 'source' point x_i at which we have the singularity of delta function; and the 'observation' point x which is the variable involved in our differential equation. The fundamental solution is a function only of the distance between the 'source' point A and the 'observation' point B as shown in Figure 2.2.2. We denote this distance by



Figure 2.2.2 - Schematic diagram for definition of the fundamental solution.

The fundamental solution u* is found to be

$$u^* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1/r)$$
 (2.2.25)

for two-dimensional case, where the solution is given in Appendix A.

Green's Formula on the Boundary

Equation (2.2.23) is valid for any point in the open domain. We need to find the formulation of Green's formula on the boundary [15, p. 48] so as to find the u values at the boundary points. This is done in a simple way. Consider a semi-circle on the boundary of a two-dimensional domain as shown in Figure 2.2.3.



Figure 2.2.3 - Illustration of the point 'i' at the neighbourhood of the boundary.

The point 'i' is located at the center of the semi-circle. As the radius 'e' is reduced to zero, the point becomes a boundary point.

We take the second integral at the right hand side of Eq. (2.2.23). It is evaluated only near the surface S. In order to evaluate the integral at the boundary, consider the surface in two partitions, i.e.,

$$S = S' + S_{o}$$
 (2.2.26a)

where $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{e}}^{}$ is the semi-circle surface and

$$S' = S - S_e$$
 (2.2.26b)

is the remaining part of the whole surface. Thus,

$$\int uq^{d}S = \int uq^{d}S + \int uq^{d}S$$
. (2.2.27)
S S' S

Now, taking the limit we get

$$\lim_{e \to 0} \int_{e} uq^{*}dS = \lim_{e \to 0} \int_{e} (f u(-1/2\pi e)dS)$$

= $\lim_{e \to 0} (-(u/2\pi e)(\pi e))$
= $-\frac{1}{2}u$. (2.2.28)

Note that as e goes to zero, S_e approaches zero in the limit. There-fore,

and

in the domain is equal to

at the boundary. Thus,

in the domain is equal to

at the boundary.

For the first integral of the right hand side of Eq. (2.2.23),

∫u*qdS S

we perform a similar analysis by splitting S into two parts and writing the above equation as follows.

$$\int u^{*}qdS = \int u^{*}qdS + \int u^{*}qdS . \qquad (2.2.29)$$

S S' S_e

Substituting the expression for u* we have

$$\int_{S_{e}} u^{*}qdS = \int_{S_{e}} q \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1/e)dS \quad . \tag{2.2.30}$$

Now taking the limit

and noting that as e goes to zero

$$S' \rightarrow S$$
,

(2.2.31)

we have

∫uq*dS S'

in the domain equal to

at the boundary. Thus,

in the domain is equal to

∫uq*dS S

at the boundary. As we take the limit as e goes to zero, the u value in Eq. (2.2.23) approaches the u value at the boundary point. So we have

$$u = \int u^{*} q dS - \int u q^{*} dS + \frac{1}{2} u + \int p u^{*} dD . \qquad (2.2.32)$$

Rearranging the Eq. (2.2.32) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} u = \int u^* q dS - \int u q^* dS + \int p u^* dD .$$
(2.2.33)
S
S
D

Equation (2.2.33) is known as the GREEN'S BOUNDARY FORMULA or BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATION [15, p.51].

2.3 MATRIX FORMULATION

The boundary element technique can be interpreted in matrix form. Let us consider the boundary integral equation (2.2.33)

$$\frac{1}{2} u = \int u^* q dS - \int u q^* dS + \int p u^* dD .$$
 (2.3.1)

Let us assume that the body is two-dimensional and its boundary is divided into \overline{n} 'segments' or 'boundary elements', as shown in Figure 2.3.1. The points where the unknown values are considered are called 'nodes'. The elements on which u and q are constant are called 'constant' elements in which the nodes are in the middle of each element (Figure 2.3.1a). The elements on which u and q vary linearly are called 'linear' elements and the nodes are at the intersection of the elements (Figure 2.3.1b).

i. Constant Elements

The boundary is divided into \overline{n} elements. The values of u and q are assumed to be constant on each element and equal to the values at the mid-node of the element.

Before the application of any boundary conditions, Eq. (2.3.1) can be discretized as given below.

$$\int_{D} (pu^*)_i dD + \frac{1}{2} u_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} (\int u_j q_i^* dS) = \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} (\int u_i^* q_j dS) \cdot (2.3.2)$$

It should be noted



Figure 2.3.1 - Boundary elements: (a) constant, (b) linear.

and

$$q^* = q^*(x, x_i)$$
.

Here, |x| is the distance to the jth element and $|x_i|$ is the distance to the node 'i' where the distances are from the origin of a prescribed coordinate system.

Equation (2.3.2) applies for a particular node 'i'. The u_j and q_j values can be taken out of the integrals as they are assumed to be constant over each element. This gives

$$-\int_{D} (pu^*)_{i} dD + \frac{1}{2} u_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} (\int_{S_j} q_{i}^* dS) u_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} (\int_{J} u_{i}^* dS) q_{j} \cdot (2.3.3)$$

The integrals $\int q^*dS$ relate the 'i' node with the boundary element 'j' over which the integral is carried out. We shall call these integrals \hat{H}_{ij} . Also, we shall denote the integrals $\int u^*dS$ on the right hand side of Eq. (2.3.3) as G_{ij} . Hence, we can write Eq. (2.3.3) as follows.

$$\int_{D} (pu^*)_i dD + \frac{1}{2} u_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} \widehat{H}_{ij} u_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} G_{ij} q_j . \qquad (2.3.4)$$

We can rearrange the Eq. (2.3.4). Let us now define

$$H_{ij} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{ij} & \text{when } i \neq j \\ \hat{H}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}$$
 (2.3.5)

Equation (2.3.4) can now be written as follows.

$$B_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} H_{ij}u_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} G_{ij}q_{j}$$
(2.3.6)

where,

The whole set of equations can also be expressed in matrix form as given below.

$$\{B\} + [H] \{u\} = [G] \{q\} .$$
 (2.3.7)
$$\overline{nx1} \quad \overline{nxn} \quad \overline{nx1} \quad \overline{nxn} \quad \overline{nx1}$$

It should be noted that there are \overline{n} unknowns in Eq. (2.3.7).

Evaluation of the Integrals

The integrals \hat{H}_{ij} and G_{ij} can be calculated using the simple Gauss quadrature rule [16, p.420] for all points, except the one corresponding to the node under consideration. Let us choose the new coordinate system (Figure 2.3.2) as follows.

$$ds = |r_1| d\xi$$
 (2.3.8)

where

$$|r_1| = |r_2|$$
 (2.3.9)

We then have

$$G_{ij} = \int_{-1}^{+1} u_{i}^{*} |r_{1}| d\xi , \qquad (2.3.10)$$

$$\widehat{H}_{ij} = \int_{-1}^{+1} q_{i}^{*} |r_{1}| d\xi . \qquad (2.3.11)$$

For the element 'j', we can take

20

$$\frac{\ell^{j}}{2} = |r_{1}| . \qquad (2.3.12)$$

Then the Eqs.(2.3.10) and (2.3.11) respectively reduces to

$$G_{ij} = \int_{-1}^{+1} u_{i}^{*}(\ell^{j}/2) d\xi , \qquad (2.3.13)$$

$$\widehat{H}_{ij} = \int_{-1}^{+1} q_{i}^{*}(\ell^{j}/2) d\xi . \qquad (2.3.14)$$

By using the simple Gauss quadrature rule, we can write

$$G_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^{tm} Z_t \cdot u^*(x_i, x_t) \cdot \frac{\ell^j}{2}$$
, (2.3.15)



Figure 2.3.2 - Constant element coordinates.

21

$$\hat{H}_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^{tm} Z_t \cdot q^*(x_i, x_t) \cdot \frac{\ell^j}{2} . \qquad (2.3.16)$$

Here, Z_t are the Gauss weighting coefficients. $|x_i|$ is the distance to the node 'i' and $|x_t|$ is the distance to the integration point 't' where the distances are from the origin of a prescribed coordinate system. tm is the total number of integration points on each 'j' element. It should be noted that

$$q^* = \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n}$$
 (2.3.17)

$$= \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial r} \cos(n,r)$$
(2.3.18)

where,

$$\cos(\underline{n},\underline{r}) = \frac{d}{r}$$
(2.3.19)

as shown in Figure 2.3.3. Thus,

$$q^* = -\frac{d}{2\pi r^2} \qquad (2.3.20)$$

For the particular case of constant elements, however, the \hat{H}_{ii} and G_{ii} integrals can be easily computed analytically. The \hat{H}_{ii} term, for instance, is identically zero for fundamental solutions with no S dependence, i.e.,

$$\hat{H}_{ii} = \int_{S_i} q_i^* dS$$
$$= \int_{S_i} (\frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial r} \cdot \frac{\partial r}{\partial n}) dS$$
$$= 0 \qquad .$$

(2.3.21)



This is due to the fact that \underline{n} and \underline{r} are orthogonal over the element.

Figure 2.3.3 - The angle between the vectors \underline{n} and \underline{r} .

The G_{ii} integral can be calculated analytically as follows.

$$G_{ii} = \int_{S_{i}} u_{i}^{*} dS$$

= $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S_{i}} ln(1/r) dS$ (2.3.22)

With the use of the homogeneous coordinate $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ over an element (Figure 2.3.2) we get

$$G_{ii} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\langle 1 \rangle}^{\langle 2 \rangle} \ln(1/r) dS$$

= $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\langle 0 \rangle}^{\langle 2 \rangle} \ln(1/r) dS$ (2.3.23)

On transforming the coordinate system as given below

$$dS = |r_1| d\xi$$

we get

$$G_{ii} = \frac{|r_1|}{\pi} [\ln(1/|r_1|) + \int_{0}^{1} \ln(1/\xi) d\xi] . \qquad (2.3.24)$$

Noting that the last integral is equal to 1 we have

$$G_{ii} = \frac{1}{\pi} |r_1| [\ln(1/|r_1|) + 1]$$
 (2.3.25)

where,

$$|r_1| = \frac{\ell^1}{2}$$

ii. Linear Elements

Let us consider a linear variation for u and q (Figure 2.3.4). The nodes are now considered to be at the intersection between two straight elements such as those shown in Figure 2.3.1b .

Consider the Eq. (2.3.2)

$${}^{B}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} u_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} (\int u_{j} q_{i}^{*} dS) = \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} (\int q_{j} u_{j}^{*} dS) . \qquad (2.3.26)$$

The integrals in the above equation are now more difficult to evaluate than in the constant element case because u and q vary linearly over the element.

The values of u and q at any point on the element can be defined in terms of their nodal values and two linear interpolation functions denoted as ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Here, both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are functions of the coordinate ξ so that,

$$u(\xi) = \phi_1 u_1 + \phi_2 u_2$$
(2.3.27a)
= $[\phi_1 \phi_2] \begin{cases} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{cases}$ (2.3.27b)

and.

$$q(\xi) = \phi_1 q_1 + \phi_2 q_2 \qquad (2.3.28a)$$

= $[\phi_1 \phi_2] \begin{cases} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{cases}$ (2.3.28b)

The dimensionless coordinate ξ is given as follows.

$$\xi = x/((1/2))$$
.

BUGAZICI ÜNIVERSITESI KÜTÜPHANESI


Figure 2.3.4 - Linear element coordinates.

The functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are given as stated below.

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \xi) \tag{2.3.29a}$$

and

$$\phi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi)$$
 . (2.3.29b)

The integrals along an element 'j', that appears on the left hand side of the Eq. (2.3.26) can be written as follows.

$$\int_{S_{j}} u(\xi) q_{1}^{*} dS = \int_{S_{j}} \left[\phi_{1} \phi_{2} \right] q_{1}^{*} dS \begin{cases} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$= \left[h_{1j}^{1} h_{1j}^{2} \right] \begin{cases} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3.30a)$$

$$(2.3.30b)$$

Here,

$$b_{ij}^{1} = \int_{S_{j}} \phi_{i} q_{i}^{*} dS$$
, (2.3.31a)

$$h_{ij}^{2} = \int \phi_{2} q_{i}^{*} dS$$
 (2.3.31b)
 S_{j}

The h_{ij}^m are influence coefficients defining the interaction between the point 'i' under consideration and a particular node 'm' on an element 'j'.

Similarly, for the integrals on the right hand side of the Eq. (2.3.26), we can write

$$\int_{S_{j}} q(\xi) u_{j}^{*} dS = \int_{S_{j}} \left[\phi_{1} \phi_{2} \right] u_{j}^{*} dS \begin{cases} q_{1} \\ q_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$= \left[g_{ij}^{1} g_{ij}^{2} \right] \begin{cases} q_{1} \\ q_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3.32a)$$

$$(2.3.32b)$$

where,

$$g_{ij}^{1} = \int_{S_{j}} \phi_{1} u_{i}^{*} dS$$
, (2.3.33a)
 $g_{ij}^{2} = \int_{S_{i}} \phi_{2} u_{i}^{*} dS$. (2.3.33b)

The g^m_{ij} are influence coefficients defining the interaction between the point 'i' under consideration and a particular node 'm' on an element 'j'.

To write the equation corresponding to node 'i' in discrete form we need to sum up the contributions from two adjoining elements, 'j-l' and 'j', into one term defining the nodal coefficient. This will give the following equation.

$$B_{i} + \frac{1}{2} u_{i} + [\hat{H}_{i1}\hat{H}_{i2}\cdots\hat{H}_{in}] \begin{cases} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{n} \end{cases} = [G_{i1}G_{i2}\cdots G_{in}] \begin{cases} q_{1} \\ q_{2} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

28

Here,

$$H_{ij} = h_{ij}^{1} + h_{i(j-1)}^{2}$$
(2.3.35)

The same applies for G_{ij}, i.e.,

$$G_{ij} = g_{ij}^1 + g_{i(j-1)}^2$$
 (2.3.36)

Hence, Eq. (2.3.34) represents the assembled equation for node 'i' and it can be written as follows.

$$B_{i} + \frac{1}{2} u_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} \hat{H}_{ij} u_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} G_{ij} q_{j}$$
(2.3.37)

or, more simply,

$$B_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} H_{ij}u_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{n}} G_{ij}q_{j}$$
(2.3.38)

where,

$$H_{ij} = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_{ij} & \text{when } i \neq j \\ \hat{H}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}$$
(2.3.39)

When all the nodes are taken into consideration, Eq. (2.3.38) produces a \overline{nxn} system of equations which can be represented in matrix form as follows.

$$\{B\} + [H] \{u\} = [G] \{q\} .$$
 (2.3.40)
$$\overline{nx1} \quad \overline{nxn} \quad \overline{nx1} \quad \overline{nxn} \quad \overline{nx1}$$

We can calculate the diagonal terms of [H] by using the fact that when a uniform potential is applied on the whole boundary the values of q must be zero. Let us also assume that there is no heat generation, i.e.,

$$\{B\} = \{0\}$$
 (2.3.41)

Under these conditions Eq. (2.3.40) produces

$$[H]{u} = {0}$$
 (2.3.42)

Equation (2.3.42) indicates that the sum of all the elements of [H] in a row ought to be zero, hence, the values of the coefficients in the diagonal can be easily calculated once the off-diagonal coefficients are all known, i.e.,

$$H_{ii} = -\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq 1}}^{n} H_{ij}$$
 (2.3.43)

The result derived above is applicable for the general case, because [G] and [H] do not depend on the boundary conditions or heat generation.

In order to integrate the B_i integrals we need to discretize the domain D into a series of 'cells' or 'interior elements' as shown in Figure 2.3.5. The procedure is similar to that of the finite element method, but conceptually it is different because we do not deal with the u and q values at the interior points.

Let's consider \overline{m} interior elements. We can then write

$$B_{i} = - \int_{D} (pu^{*})_{i} dD$$
 (2.3.44)



Figure 2.3.5 - Interior cell k and integration point t.

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\overline{m}} (f(pu^*)_i dD) \qquad (2.3.45)$$

Over each element a numerical integration formula can then be applied as follows.

$$B_{i} = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{tn} Z_{t} P_{i} u^{*}(x_{i}, x_{t}) det[J])_{k}$$
(2.3.46)

Here, t is the integration point, Z_t is the weighting function, tn is the total number of integration points on each cell k, $|x_i|$ is the distance to the node 'i', and $|x_t|$ is the distance to the integration point 't' where the distances are from the origin of a prescribed system.

It should be noted that

 $det[D] = 2(Area)_{triangular cell}$

With the use of Eq. (2.2.23) we can calculate the u value at any interior point as follows.

$$u = \int u^{*} dS - \int u q^{*} dS + \int p u^{*} dD$$

$$S \qquad D \qquad (2.3.48)$$

The u values can be obtained directly from Eq. (2.3.48) by discretizing as follows.

$$u_{i} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{\overline{n}} q_{j} G_{ij} - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{\overline{n}} u_{j} \widehat{H}_{ij} - B_{i} \qquad (2.3.49)$$

Here,

$$B_i = -f(pu^*)_i dD$$

and definitions for G_{ij} and \hat{H}_{ij} are given in Eqs. (2.3.10) and (2.3.11) respectively.

2.4 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The general matrix equation was found as given below.

$$\begin{bmatrix} G \end{bmatrix} \{q\} = \begin{bmatrix} H \end{bmatrix} \{u\} + \{B\} .$$
(2.4.1)
$$\overline{nxn} \ \overline{nx1} \ \overline{nxn} \ \overline{nx1} \ \overline{nx1}$$

We can rearrange the equation (2.4.1) as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \{X\} = \{F\}$$
 (2.4.2)
$$\overline{nxn} \ \overline{nx}1 \ \overline{nx}1$$

Now, let us analyse the boundary conditions involved and the forms which the matrix [A] and vectors $\{X\}$ and $\{F\}$ take, respectively.

The boundary conditions are all of the first kind, i.e.,

$$\{u\} = \{\overline{u}\}$$
 on S. (2.4.3)

Inserting the boundary condition into Eq. (2.4.1) we obtain

$$[G]{q} = [H]{\overline{u}} + {B}$$
 (2.4.4)

Here, it is easily seen that we can rearrange Eq. (2.4.4) by letting

$$[G] = [A]$$
, (2.4.5)
 $\{q\} = \{X\}$ (2.4.6)

and

2.

1.

$$[H]{\overline{u}} + {B} = {F}$$
 (2.4.7)

Thus, the Eq. (2.4.3) becomes

$$[A]{X} = {F} . (2.4.8)$$

Formally, the solution is

$$\{X\} = [A]^{-1}\{F\}$$
 (2.4.9)

The boundary conditions are all of the second kind, i.e., the flux is known on the boundary S. We can show this as follows.

$$\{q\} = \{\overline{q}\}$$
 on S. (2.4.10)

On applying the boundary condition to Eq. (2.4.1) we obtain $[G]{\overline{q}} = [H]{u} + {B} . \qquad (2.4.11)$ Rearranging the above equation as

$$-[H]{u} = -[G]{\overline{q}} + {B}$$
(2.4.12)

and letting

$$-[H] = [A]$$
, (2.4.13)
{u} = {X} (2.4.14)

and

3.

$$-[G]{\overline{q}} + {B} = {F}$$
(2.4.15)

we again have a simple matrix formulation of the problem as

 $[A]{X} = {F}$

The boundary condition is of the third kind, i.e., there is convective heat transfer on the boundary which can be formulated as follows.

$$\{q\} = [\alpha](\{\beta\} - \{u\})$$
 on S (2.4.16)

Here $[\alpha]$ is a diagonal matrix and $\{\beta\}$ is a vector and both of them are known quantities. Applying this boundary condition to Eq. (2.4.1), we get

$$[G][\alpha](\{\beta\} - \{u\}) = [H]\{u\} + \{B\}$$
(2.4.17a)

$$-([H] + [G][\alpha]){u} = -([G][\alpha]){\beta} + {B}$$
 (2.4.17b)

Further, if we let

$$-([H] + [G][\alpha]) = [A]$$
, (2.4.18)
{u} = {X} (2.4.19)

and

4.

$$-([G][\alpha]){\beta} + {B} = {F}$$
(2.4.20)

we obtain, from Eq. (2.4.17b),

$$[A]{X} = {F}$$
 (2.4.21)

We can solve the Eq. (2.4.21) for $\{X\}$, i.e.,

$$\{u\} = \{X\}$$

= $[A]^{-1}\{F\}$ (2.4.22)

q can then be obtained from Eq. (2.4.16).

Radiation boundary conditions can be imposed by requiring that

$$q = \frac{\sigma}{K} (u_{\infty}^{4} - u^{4})$$
 (2.4.23)

on the boundary S, where σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and u_{∞} is the ambient temperature. As it can be seen from Eq. (2.4.23), this kind of boundary condition is nonlinear. For simplicity, we shall try to linearize it. Let us consider the nonlinear function

$$f(u) = u^4$$
 (2.4.24)

We can approximate this function with a linear expression (Figure 2.4.1),

$$P_1(u) = f(u_1) + (u - u_1)g(u_2,u_1)$$
 (2.4.25)

with,

$$g(u_2,u_1) = \frac{f(u_2) - f(u_1)}{u_2 - u_1}$$
(2.4.26)

which is the slope of the line $P_1(u)$. Hence,

$$f(u) = P_1(u) + R_1$$
 (2.4.27)

where ${\rm R}_{\rm l}$ is the remainder arising from the above stated approximation.





Meanwhile, we can make use of the mean-value theorem [17,p.13] by choosing a point u_s between u_1 and u_2 so that

$$f'(u_s) = \frac{f(u_2) - f(u_1)}{u_2 - u_1}$$
(2.4.28)

By evaluating the derivative of f(u) at the point u_s , we get

$$f'(u_s) = 4u_s^3$$
 (2.4.29)
= $g(u_1, u_2)$. (2.4.30)

Hence, the linear function $P_1(u)$ becomes

$$P_1(u) = f(u_1) + (u - u_1)4u_s^3$$
 (2.4.31)

By substituting it into the Eq. (2.4.27) we obtain

$$f(u) = f(u_1) + (u - u_1)4u_s^3 + R_1$$
 (2.4.32)

If we evaluate the function at the point $\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{},$ we get

$$f(u_s) = f(u_1) + (u_s - u_1)4u_s^3 + R_1$$
 (2.4.33)

or,

$$u_{s}^{4} = u_{1}^{4} + (u_{s} - u_{1})4u_{s}^{3} + R_{1}$$
 (2.4.34)

Thus,

$$R_{1} = u_{s}^{4} - u_{1}^{4} + (u_{s} - u_{1})4u_{s}^{3} \qquad (2.4.35)$$

Substituting the above expression for R_1 into the Eq. (2.4.32), we get

$$f(u) = 4u_s^3 \cdot u - 3u_s^4$$
 (2.4.36)

$$q = \frac{\sigma}{K} \left(u_{\infty}^{4} + 3u_{S}^{4} - (4u_{S}^{3})u \right) \qquad (2.4.37)$$

Thus, the linear form of the boundary condition can be written as follows.

$$q = \alpha(\beta - u) \tag{2.4.38}$$

Here,

$$\beta = \frac{3u_{s}^{4} + u_{\infty}^{4}}{4u_{s}^{3}}$$
(2.4.39a)

and

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{K} (4u_s^3)$$
 (2.4.39b)

The Eq. (2.4.38) is of the same form as the third kind of boundary condition except u_s which is not known. In finding the values of u, we will use an iterative procedure [18], which starts by taking u_s equal to u_{∞} . After selecting the u_s value we calculate the values of α and β from the Eqs. (2.4.39). Then we find the u value using the procedure for the third kind of boundary condition. We denote this value of u as $u^{(1)}$ and assign it as the new value of u_s . The same procedure is repeate and a new value of u is found and denoted as $u^{(2)}$. The new valu of u_s can be chosen as $u^{(2)}$, and the procedure is repeated as before until a convergence criterion in the form

reaches a certain value.

The problems which have various types of nonlinear boundary conditions can be solved in the same way. An example is the case in which the convective heat transfer coefficient h_0 is the function of the temperature u, i.e.,

q =
$$\frac{h_0(u)}{K}(u_{\infty} - u)$$
 (2.4.40)

The boundary conditions are 'mixed'. In this case, more than one kind of boundary conditions prevail on the boundary. One can meet various versions of this kind. An example will be given to show how they are treated.

Example

5.

Consider a triangular plate as shown in Figure 2.4.2 on which the boundary conditions are imposed as follows.

 $u = \overline{u}$ on S_1 , $q = \overline{q}$ on S_2 ,

and

$$q = \alpha(\beta - u)$$
 on S_3

where the boundary S is the sum of S_1 , S_2 and S_3 . The problem is to find the temperature u on S_2 and S_3 and the flux on S_1 and S_3 .

We consider S_1 , S_2 and S_3 as constant elements with nodes 1, 2 and 3 in the middle of each of them respectively. Considering the boundary integral equation



Figure 2.4.2 - An illustration for the boundary conditions of the 'mixed' kind.

$$\overline{n} \qquad \overline{n} \\ \Sigma G_{ij}q_{j} = \Sigma H_{ij}u_{j} + B_{i} \\ j=1 \qquad j=1$$

where 'i' and 'j' denote nodes and elements, respectively. We insert the boundary conditions for each node. Thus, for i = 1

$$G_{11}q_1 + G_{12}\overline{q}_2 + G_{13}\alpha_3(\beta_3 - u_3) = H_{11}\overline{u}_1 + H_{12}u_2 + H_{13}u_3 + B_1$$

(2.4.41)

Rearranging the Eq. (2.4.41), we get

$$G_{11}q_1 - H_{12}u_2 - (H_{13} + G_{13}\alpha_3)u_3 = H_{11}\overline{u_1} - G_{12}\overline{q_2} + G_{13}\alpha_3\beta_3 + B_1$$

(2.4.42a)

Similarly, for i = 2

$$G_{21}q_1 - H_{22}u_2 - (H_{23} + G_{23}\alpha_3)u_3 = H_{21}\overline{u_1} - G_{22}\overline{q_2} - G_{23}\alpha_3\beta_3 + B_2$$

(2.4.42b)

and for i = 3

$$G_{31}q_1 - H_{32}u_2 - (H_{33} + G_{33}\alpha_3)u_3 = H_{31}\overline{u_1} - G_{32}\overline{q_2} - G_{33}\alpha_3\beta_3 + B_3$$
 (2.4.42c)

If we write in matrix form, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & -H_{12} & -(H_{13}+G_{13}\alpha_{3}) \\ G_{21} & -H_{22} & -(H_{23}+G_{23}\alpha_{3}) \\ G_{31} & -H_{32} & -(H_{33}+G_{33}\alpha_{3}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11}\overline{u}_{1}-G_{12}\overline{q}_{2}-G_{13}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3}+B_{1} \\ H_{21}\overline{u}_{1}-G_{22}\overline{q}_{2}-G_{23}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3}+B_{2} \\ H_{31}\overline{u}_{1}-G_{32}\overline{q}_{2}-G_{33}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3}+B_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.4.4)

Hence, we can solve for the unknown quantities q_1 , u_2 and u_3 .

2.5 NUMERICAL PROCEDURE

Numerical procedure for the boundary integral element method may be outlined as follows.

STEP(1): Discretization of the boundary.
STEP(2): Division of the domain into interior cells. (if p≠0)
STEP(3): Interpolation of the temperature u and the flux q
over the boundary elements.

- STEP(4): Evaluation of the influence matrices [G] and [H].
- STEP(5): Introduction of the boundary conditions.
- STEP(6): Decomposition of the modified influence matrix [A]
 into the triangular form by Gaussian Forward
 Elimination.
- STEP(7): Evaluation of the source vector {B} and the right
 hand side vector in the system of algebraic equations

$$[A]{X} = {F}$$

- STEP(8): Solution of the algebraic equations for the unknown
 values of u and q on the boundary.
- STEP(9): Evaluation of the internal temperature values.

III. SAMPLE PROBLEMS

In this section, 5 sample problems are solved and their results are given on tables. The second and third problems involve internal heat generation whereas the others don't have internal heat generation. The cross-section of an industrial furnace is considered in the problem 3. The fourth problem involves radiation boundary condition. Finally, the case of hollow cylinder is solved in problem 5.

Sample Problem 1

Consider the steady-state heat conduction in a square region, without heat generation. The mathematical formulation of the heat conduction problem is

 $\nabla^2 u = 0$ in $0 \le x \le 1$, $0 \le y \le 1$

Find the temperature at the internal and boundary points, and the flux at boundary points for each of the cases shown below.







BIEM: 20 Linear Boundary Elements (CPU [†] = 3.902 sec)						
BOUNDARY	COORD (m	INATES)	TEMPERATURE u (^O C)		FLUX ^{††} q = $\partial u/\partial n$ (^O C/m)	
NODES	X	Ŷ	EXACT (App.B)	BIEM	EXACT	BIEM
]	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	-1.000	-1.018
3	0.500	0.000	0.500	0.500	0.000	0.000
5	0.999	0.000	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.018
7	1.000	0.250	0.750	0.750	0.500	0.492
9	1.000	0.750	0.250	0.250	-0.500	-0.492
11	0.999	1.000	0.001	0.001	-1.000	-1.018
13	0.500	1.000	0.500	0.500	0.000	0.000
15	0.001	1.000	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.018
17	0.000	0.750	0.750	0.750	0.500	0.492
19	0.000	0.250	0.250	0.250	-0.500	-0.492

TABLE 3.1 - Results for the Problem la.

INTERNAL	COOR (DINATES m)	TEMPERATURE u (°C)		
PUINIS	X	Y	EXACT	BIEM	
]	0.250	0.250	0.375	0.375	
3	0.750	0.750	0.375	0.375	
5	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500	

For 1b, the convective heat transfer coefficient $h_0^{}$, thermal conductivity K and ambient temperature u_{∞} are given as follows.

[†] Central Processor unit time for UNIVAC 1106.

*'t*_{Flux} is '+' when there is heat input to the region.

 $h_0 = 20 \quad W/m^2 \cdot {}^{O}C$ $K = 1 \quad W/m \cdot {}^{O}C$, $u_{\infty} = 1 \quad {}^{O}C$.

and

TABLE 3.2 - Results for the Problem 1b.

BIEM: FDM	BIEM: 20 Linear Boundary Elements (CPU = 5.437 sec.) FDM : 81 Grid Points (CPU = 1.459 sec.)						
BOUNDARY	COORD (1	INATES n)	TEMPERATURE u (^O C)		FLUX q = au/a	n (^O C/m)	
NODES	X	Y	EXACT (APP.B)	FDM (APP.D)	BIEM	EXACT	BIEM
1	0.001	0.000	0.467	0.466	0.464	0.000	0.000
3	0.500	0.000	0.339	0.339	0.338	0.000	0.000
5	0.999	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
7	1.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.858	-0.771
9	1.000	0.750	0.000	0.000	0.000	-2.164	0.399
11	0.999	1.000	. 0.018	0.000	0.216	19.640	15.691
13	0.500	1.000	0.930	0.936	0.938	1.400	1.245
15	0.001	1.000	0.956	0.957	0.959	0.880	0.821
17	0.000	0.750	0.756	0.754	0.756	0.000	0.000
19	0.000	0.250	0.500	0.499	0.496	0.000	0.000

INTERNAL	COORI (1	DINATES n)	TEMPERATURE u (ºC)		
POINTS	X	Y	EXACT	BIEM	
1	0.250	0.250	0.467	0.465	
3	0.750	0.750	0.444	0.437	
5	0.500	0.500	0.464	0.463	
7	0.781	0.969	0.782	0.792	
9	0.844	0.969	0.715	0.783	
11	0.781	0.781	0.439	0.427	





In this case, the convective heat transfer coefficient ${\rm h_0}$, thermal conductivity K and ambient temperature ${\rm u_{\infty}}$ are given as follows.

$$h_0 = 50 W/m^2.^{O}C$$
,
K = 1 W/m.^OC,

and

 $u_{\infty} = 20^{\circ}C$

TABLE 3.3	-	Results	for	the	Problem	lc
-----------	---	---------	-----	-----	---------	----

BIEM: 16 Constant Boundary Elements (CPU = 2.012 sec.) FDM : 81 Grid Points (CPU = 0.915 sec.)						
BOUNDARY	COORDINATES (m)		TEMPERATURE u (°C)		FLUX q = Əu/Ən (^O C/m)	
NODES	X	Y	FDM (APP.D)	BIEM	BIEM	
1	0.125	0.000	87.239	87.945	0.000	
3	0.625	0.000	40.407	40.385	0.000	
5	1.000	0.125	10.000	10.000	-83.223	
7	1.000	0.625	10.000	10.000	-59.829	
9	0.875	1.000	19.027	19.253	37.356	
11	0.375	1.000	22.221	22.040	-101.982	
13	0.000	0.875	100.000	100.000	434.079	
15	0.000	0.375	100.000	100.000	111.574	•

INTERNAL POINTS	COORD (1	INATES n)	TEMPERATURE u (^O C)		
	X	Y	FDM	BIEM	
1	0.250	0.250	73.748	74.039	
3	0.750	0.750	23.442	23.359	
5	0.500	0.500	45.999	46.062	

Sample Problem 2

Consider the steady-state heat conduction in a square region with heat generation. The mathematical formulation of the heat conduction problem is

$$\nabla^2 u + \frac{q^{n}}{K} = 0$$
 in $0 \le x \le 1$, $0 \le y \le 1$

where q^{n} is the volumetric heat generation and K is the thermal conductivity.

Find the temperature at the internal and boundary points and the flux at boundary points for each of the cases shown below.

(a)

$$y = \frac{1}{1 - \frac$$



In this case, the convective heat transfer coefficient h_0 , thermal conductivity K, ambient temperature u_{∞} and volumetric heat generation q"' are given as follows.

 $h_0 = 50 \text{ W/m}^2.^{\circ}\text{C}$, $u_{\infty} = 20^{\circ}\text{C}$, K = 4 W/m.^{\circ}\text{C}, and $q^{""} = 100 000 \text{ W/m}^3$

TABLE 3.4 - Results for the Problem 2a.

<pre>BIEM: 20 Linear Boundary Elements and 32 Internal Triangular Elements (CPU = 8.396 sec.) FDM : 81 Grid Points (CPU = 1.189 sec.)</pre>						
BOUNDARY	COORDINATES (m)		TEMPERATURE u (°C)		FLUX q = Əu/Ən (^O C/m)	
NODES	Х	Y	FDM (APP.D)	BIEM	BIEM	
1	0.001	0.000	100.0	165.4	0.0	
3	0.500	0.000	2246.0	2963.5	0.0	
5	0.999	0.000	10.0	76.6	0.0	
7	1.000	0.250	10.0	10.0	-11471.9	
9	1.000	0.750	10.0	10.0	- 8938.7	
11	0.999	1.000	10.0	35.1	-188.7	
13	0.500	1.000	634.6	645.8	- 7823.1	
15	0.001	1.000	100.0	111.7	- 1146.1	
17	0.000	0.750	100.0	100.0	- 8847.3	
19	0.000	0.250	100.0	100.0	-11307.9	

INTERNAL	COORD (m	INATES)	TEMPERATURE u (^O C)		
PUINIS	X	Ŷ	FDM	BIEM	
1	0.250	0.250	2203.2	2189.0	
3	0.750	0.750	1519.0	1528.6	
5	0.500	0.500	2604.3	2605.5	





In this case, the convective heat transfer coefficient h_0 , thermal conductivity K, ambient temperature u_{∞} and volumetric heat generation q"' are given as follows.

 $h_0 = 0.1 \text{ W/m}^2.^{O}\text{C}$ $K = 1 \text{ W/m}.^{O}\text{C}$ $u_{\infty} = 1 ^{O}\text{C}$ and $q''' = 10 \text{ W/m}^3$

It should be noted that linear boundary elements are used for boundary integral element method solution. This problem is solved for 12 different configurations as shown in Figure 3.6. The number at each corner in each of the configuration is located on the side where to the node is assumed to belong.





NODES	COORD (m)	INATES	TEMPERATURE u (^o C)		
	X	Y	EXACT (APP.B)		
1	0.25	0.25	2.10		
2	0.75	0.25	4.41		
3	0.75	0.75	4.41		
4	0.25	0.75	2.10		
5	0.50	0.50	3.57		
6	0.50	0.00	3.57		
7	1.00	0.50	4.64		
8	0.50	1.00	3.57		

TABLE 3.5 - Results for the Problem 2b.

NODES	TEMPERATURE u (ºC)					
	BIEM. i-a. CPU= 1.771 sec.	BIEM. i-b. CPU= 1.799 sec.	BIEM. i-c. CPU= 3.087 sec.			
1	3.47	1.88	2.05			
2	5.74	3.91	4.26			
3	5.74	3.91	4.26			
4	3.47	1.87	2.05			
5	4.81	3.15	3.41			
6	4.92	3.06	3.46			
. 7	5.89	4.08	4.42			
8	4.92	3.06	3.46			

	and the second						
NODES	TEMPERATURE u (ºC)						
NODES -	BIEM. ii-a.	BIEM ii-b.	BIEM. ii-c.				
	CPU= 4.297 sec.	CPU= 4.373 sec.	CPU= 5.477 sec.				
1	2.70	1.93	2.04				
2	5.02	4.12	4.32				
3	5.01	4.11	4.31				
4	2.71	1.94	2.05				
5	4.16	3.37	3.52				
6	4.11	3.16	3.38				
7	5.24	4.34	4.54				
8	4.11	3.16	3.38				

Table 3.5 continued...

NODES	TEMP u		
NUDES	BIEM. iii-a. CPU= 7.032 sec.	BIEM. iii-b. CPU= 6.331 sec.	BIEM. iii-c. CPU= 7.797 sec.
1	2.42	1.99	2.07
2	4.75	4.23	4.36
3	4.75	4.23	4.36
4	2.42	1.99	2.07
5	3.88	3.43	3.53
6	3.93	3.42	3.54
7	4.97	4.45	4.58
8	3.93	3.42	3.54
<u> </u>			

NODES	T E M P u		
NUDES	BIEM. iv-a. CPU= 11.890 sec.	BIEM. iv-b. CPU= 10.543 sec.	BIEM. iv-c. CPU= 12.112 sec.
1	2.29	2.02	2.08
2	4.64	4.28	4.38
3	4.63	4.28	4.38
4	2.30	2.02	2.08
5	3.78	3.48	3.55
6	3.76	3.41	3.50
7	4.86	4.51	4.60
8	3.76	3.41	3.50

Sample Problem 3

Consider the steady-state heat conduction with heat generation in part of a cross-section of an industrial furnace shown in Figure 3.7 is considered. The mathematical formulation of the problem is

$$\nabla^2 u + \frac{q'''}{K} = 0$$

Surfaces AB and DE are thermally insulated. There is heat exchange on surfaces AF and FE by convection with medium having temperature of $u_{\infty} = 500^{\circ}$ K. The convective heat transfer coefficient h_{0} , thermal conductivity K and volumetric heat generation q"' are assumed to be constant and given as follows.

 $h_0 = 40 \ W/m^2.^{O}K$, and $q^{""} = 2 \times 10^3 \ W/m^3.$ K = 2 W/m.^OK ,



Figure 3.7 - Boundary conditions for the problem 3.

BIEM: FDM :	 M: 23 Linear Boundary Elements and 28 Internal Triangular Elements (CPU = 9.951 sec.) : 75 Grid Points (CPU = 0.470 sec.) 							
BOUNDARY	C001	RDINATES (m)	TEMPERA u (^O k	TURE	FLUX q = ∂u/∂n (⁰ K/m)			
NODES	Х	Ŷ	FDM (APP.D)	BIEM	BIEM			
1	0.001	0.000	300.0	300.0	-433.4			
3	4.000	0.000	300.0	300.0	-2162.8			
5	8.000	0.000	300.0	300.0	-2013.6			
7	10.000	0.001	300.0	391.2	0.0			
9	10.000	3.999	596.5	686.0	0.0			
11	8.000	4.000	596.7	595.1	-1902.4			
13	4.000	4.000	645.7	678.4	-3568.8			
15	4.000	7.999	597.0	605.5	-2110.4			
17	2.000	8.000	2467.0	2507.6	0.0			
19	0.000	7.999	300.0	300.0	-2236.3			
21	0.000	4.000	300.0	300.0	-2168.5			
23	0.000	0.001	300.0	300.0	- 433.4			

TABLE 3.6 - Results for the Problem 3.

TNTEDNAL	COORD (m)	INATES)	TEMPERATURE u (^O K)		
POINTS	X	Ŷ	FDM	BIEM	
1	2.000	6.000	2491.0	2448.2	
3	2.000	2.000	2450.0	2483.2	
5	6.000	2.000	2489.0	2477.1	

Sample Problem 4

Consider the steady-state heat conduction ina square region without heat generation. The mathematical formulation of the problem is

 $\nabla^2 u = 0$ in 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1.

Find the temperature at the internal and boundary points and the flux at the boundary points for each of the cases as shown below.





In this case, Stephan-Boltzmann constant $\sigma,$ thermal conductivity K and the ambient temperature u_∞ are given as follows.

 $\sigma = 5.6697 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W.m}^2 / {}^{0}\text{K}^4 ,$ $K = 1 \quad \text{W/m} \cdot {}^{0}\text{K} ,$ and $u_{\infty} = 350 \quad {}^{0}\text{K} .$

BIEM: 20 Linear Boundary Element (CPU = 15.725 sec) Iteration Number = 4								
BOUNDARY	COORD (m	INATES)	TEMPE u	RATURE (^O K)	FL q = Əu	FLUX q = Əu/Ən (^O K/m)		
NODES	Х	Y	EXACT (APP.B)	BIEM	EXACT	BIEM		
1	0.001	0.000	1424.0	1418.6	0.0	0.0		
3	0.500	0.000	925.0	924.4	0.0	0.0		
5	0.999	0.000	426.0	430.1	0.0	0.0		
7	1.000	0.250	425.0	425.1	-1000.0	-1000.7		
9	1.000	0.750	425.0	425.1	-1000.0	-1000.7		
11	0.999	1.000	426.0	430.1	0.0	0.0		
13	0.500	1.000	925.0	924.4	0.0	0.0		
15	0.001	1.000	1424.0	1418.6	0.0	0.0		
17	0.000	0.750	1425.0	1423.6	1000.0	1000.0		
19	0.000	0.250	1425.0	1423.6	1000.0	1000.0		

TABLE 3.7 - Results for the Problem 4a.

INTERNAL POINTS	COORD (1	INATES n)	TEMPERATURE u (^o K)		
	X	Y	EXACT	BIEM	
1	0.250	0.250	1175.0	1173.9	
3	0.750	0.750	675.0	674.8	
5	0.500	0.500	925.0	924.4	



Figure 3.9 - Boundary conditions for the problem 4b.

In this case, Stephan-Boltzmann constant σ , thermal conductivity K, convective heat transfer coefficient h_0 and the ambient temperature u_{∞} are given as follows.

 $\sigma = 5.6697 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W.m}^{2}/^{0} \text{K}^{4} ,$ $K = 1 \quad \text{W/m}.^{0} \text{K} ,$ $h_{0} = 20 \quad \text{W/m}^{2}.^{0} \text{K} ,$

and

$$u_{\infty} = \begin{cases} 600^{\circ} K & \text{at } x = 0 \\ 300^{\circ} K & \text{at } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

BIEM: 20 Linear Boundary Elements (CPU = 15.573 sec.)									
Iteration Number = 4									
BOUNDARY	COOR (m	DINATES)	TEMP u	ERATURE (°K)	FLUX q = ∂u/∂n (^O K/m)				
NODES	X	Ŷ	EXACT (APP.B)	BIEM	EXACT	BIEM			
1	0.001	0.000	587.1	586.1	0.0	0.0			
3	0.500	0.000	461.1	461.1	0.0	0.0			
5	0.999	0.000	335.0	336.1	0.0	0.0			
7	1.000	0.250	334.8	334.8	-252.6	-253.1			
9	1.000	0.750	334.8	334.8	-252.6	-253.1			
11	0.999	1.000	335.0	336.1	0.0	0.0			
13	0.500	1.000	461.1	461.1	0.0	0.0			
15	0.001	1.000	587.1	586.1	0.0	0.0			
17	0.000	0.750	587.4	587.3	252.6	253.1			
19	0.000	0.250	587.4	587.3	252.6	253.1			

TABLE 3.8 - Results for the Problem 4b.

INTERNAL POINTS	COORDI (m)	NATES	TEMPERATURE u (°K)		
	Х	Y	EXACT	BIEM	
1	0.250	0.250	524.2	524.2	
3	0.750	0.750	397.9	397.9	
5	0.500	0.500	461.1	461.1	





In this case, Stephan-Boltzmann constant σ , thermal conductivity K and the ambient temperature u_{∞} are given as follows.

,

$$\sigma = 5.6697 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W.m}^2 / ^{\circ} \text{K}^4$$

K = 1 W/m. $^{\circ}$ K ,

and

$$u_{\infty} = 273^{\circ}K$$

BIEM: 20 Linear Boundary Elements (CPU = 40.112 sec.) Iteration Number = 10								
BOUNDARY	COORDINATES (m)		TE	MPERATURE u (^O K)	FL q = או	FLUX q = Əu/Ən (^O K/m)		
NODES	• X	Ŷ	EXACT	BIEM	EXACT	BIEM		
1	0.001	0.000	20253.0	20173.7	0.0	0.0		
3	0.500	0.000	10517.9	10521.1	0.0	0.0		
5	0.999	0.000	782.3	868.4	0.0	0.0		
7	1.000	0.250	762.8	769.4	-19510.2	-19555.0		
9	1.000	0.750	762.8	769.4	-19510.2	-19555.0		
11	0.999	1.000	782.3	868.4	0.0	0.0		
13	0.500	1.000	10517.9	10521.1	0.0	0.0		
15	0.001	1.000	20253.0	20173.7	0.0	0.0		
17	0.000	0.750	20273.0	20273.0	19510.2	19558.0		
19	0.000	0.250	20273.0	20273.0	19510.2	19558.0		

TABLE	3.9	-	Results	for	the	Problem	4c.
,							

INTERNAL POINTS	COORDII (m)	NATES	TEMPERATURE u (^O K)		
	X	Y	EXACT	BIEM	
1	0.250	0.250	15395.4	15395.1	
3	0.750	0.750	5640.3	5647.1	
5	0.500	0.500	10517.9	10521.1	
62

Sample Problem 5

Consider the steady-state heat conduction without heat generation in a hollow cylinder as shown in Figure 3.11, where the domain is given as follows.

 $1 \leq r \leq 2$

Find the steady-state flux at the boundaries while the boundary surfaces at $r_1 = 1 \text{ m}$ and $r_2 = 2 \text{ m}$ are kept at uniform temperatures $u_1 = 100^{\circ}$ C and $u_2 = 20^{\circ}$ C, respectively.



Figure 3.11 - Boundary conditions and boundary elements for the problem 5.

TABLE 3.10 -	Results	for	the	Problem	5.
--------------	---------	-----	-----	---------	----

BIEM:	16 Consta (CPU = 2.	ant Boundary .623 sec .)	/ Elements		
BOUNDARY	COORI (n	DINATES n)	FLUX q = ∂u/∂n (^O C/m)		
NODES	Х	Y	EXACT (APP.B)	BIEM	
1	0.707	-1.707	-62.463	-58.352	
4	0.707	1.707	-62.463	-58.352	
7	-1.707	-0.707	-62.463	-58.352	
10	-0.854	0.354	124.925	120.243	
13	0.854	0.354	124.925	120.243	
16	-0.354	-0.854	124.925	120.243	

INTERNAL	COORD (m	INATES)	TEMPERAT u (°(TURE C)
POINTS	Х	Y	EXACT	BIEM
1	1.386	-0.574	53.203	44.888
3	0.574	1.386	53.203	44.888

IV. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

In formulation of the steady-state heat conduction in the domain, the internal heat generation is an important term, since it causes to take the internal elements into consideration because of the domain integral which exists only in this case. However, it should be also remembered that the internal elements are regarded just because of a numerical technique that simplifies the evaluation of the domain integral easily. Thus, the internal heat generation does not create complexity.

Several problems were solved to test the validity and performance of our study where available exact solutions were used for some problems whereas the remaining problems were solved by finite difference technique. It may be seen that the results obtained by the boundary integral element method are in good agreement with the corresponding results obtained by exact solution and finite difference method, at the boundaries. However, this is not the case at interior points near the boundary. At these locations, the results are less accurate than the results on the boundary nodes. This is due to the fact that the numerical accuracy decreases as the distance r between the 'source' point and the 'observation' point goes to zero. If linear boundary elements are used, unfortunately the corner points can have two values for the temperature or flux depending on the side under consideration as shown in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1 - The nodes at the corners.

A simple way to avoid the corner problem is to assume that there are two points very near to each other but which belong to different sides as shown in Figure 4.2. The two points near the corner are joined by a line segment which is considered as one of the elements approximating the boundary contour. It should be noted that the length of the line segment is taken to be too small to let the nodes to have different results. The results obtained by this



method show a good agreement with the exact results.

Figure 4.2 - The nodes near the corners.

For the case of radiative boundary conditions, one dimensional problems of simple square plate are selected in order to make a comparison to exact solutions. The nonlinearity of this kind of boundary condition creates difficulty. However, this difficulty is overcome by a linearizing technique. There was no problem of convergency, and an error of 10 percent in temperature is observed in the case of sample problem (5c), which is the largest error with respect to the errors of other two cases (5a) and (5b). The method was also used to study problems with more than one surface, such as the case of hollow cylinder. The results at interior points are less accurate than the results at the boundary nodes. It should be noted that this inaccuracy is a result of the approximation of the actual boundary contour by finite segments.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The solutions for the examples indicate that the present boundary integral element method is accurate and general for solving most of the conduction problems of practical importance.

The iterative boundary integral element method has been shown to be appropriate for use in numerically solving a variety of steadystate heat conduction problems.

The boundary integral element method in its present form has no inherent limitations as to the geometric complexity, kind of boundary condition.

The method is most suitable for calculating temperature and flux at the system boundaries and at a few individual interior points. This feature makes the method superior in this respect to available numerical methods, where the solution involves all interior points.

As in most of the practical calculations of heat transfer, boundary fluxes and temperatures are the only needed information. However, complete temperature distribution is directly obtainable with minimum effort. Another primary advantage of using the boundary integral equation for the numerical solutions rather than the original differential equation is the space reduction of the problem. If the problem is threedimensional in space, the boundary integral equation is a two-dimensional one which requires less effort and time for its solution.

It should be mentioned here that temperatures calculated at interior points near the boundary are generally not very accurate. This, of course, does not represent a drawback for the method, since temperatures and fluxes at the boundary are obtainable directly without reference to the interior point.

If linear elements are used in the boundary, the corner problem appears. This problem is solved by assuming that there are two points very near each other but which belong to different sides.

Although the examples cited in the present work are all of a two-dimensional nature, the method is also suitable for three-dimensional cases.

Finally, if the present method can be extended to include the transient heat conduction problems and to some specific problems of convective heat transfer, this will in turn make the boundary integral element method a more competitive numerical technique over the already existing methods.

REFERENCES

1.	Morse, P.M. and Feshbach, H., <u>Methods of Theoretical Physics</u> , Part II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.
2.	Jawson, M.A., "Integral Equation Methods in Potential Theory", <u>Proceedings Royal Society</u> , Vol. 275A, 1963, p. 23.
3.	Macmillan, W.D., <u>The Theory of Potential</u> , Dover, New York, 1958.
4.	Mikhlin, S.G., <u>Integral Equations</u> , Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1957.
5.	Mikhlin, S.G., <u>Multidimensional Singular Integrals and Integral</u> <u>Equations</u> , Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.
6.	Mikhlin, S.G., <u>Approximate Solutions of Differential and</u> <u>Integral Equations</u> , Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.
7.	Cruse, T.A. and Rizzo, F.J., "Boundary Integral Equation Method", <u>Computational Applications in Applied Mechanics</u> , ASME Proceedings AMD-Vol. 11, 1975.
8.	Jawson, M.A. and Symm, G.T., <u>Integral Equation Methods of</u> <u>Potential Theory and Elastostatics</u> , Academic Press, New York, 1970.
9.	Alarkon, E. and Martin, A., "Boundary Elements in Potential and Elasticity Theory", <u>Computers and Structures</u> , Vol. 10, 1979, p. 351.
10.	Rizzo, F.J. and Shippy, D.J., "A Method of Solution for Certain Problems of Transient Heat Conduction", <u>AIAA Journal</u> , Vol. 8, 1971, p. 2004.
11.	Kreyszig, E., <u>Advanced Engineering Mathematics</u> , John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.

- 12. Wylie, C.A., <u>Advanced Engineering Mathematics</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.
- 13. Aşkar, A., Method in Applied Algebra and Analysis, Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, 1981.
- 14. Green, C.D., <u>Integral Equation Methods</u>, Barnes and Noble, New York, 1969.
- 15. Brebbia, C.A., <u>The Boundary Element Method for Engineers</u>, Pentech Press, London, 1978.
- 16. Huebner, K.H., <u>The Finite Element Method for Engineers</u>, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1975.
- 17. Carnahan, B., Luther, H.A., and Wilkes, J.O., <u>Applied Numerical</u> <u>Methods</u>, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1969.
- 18. Khader, M.S. and Hanna, M.C., "An Iterative Boundary Integral Numerical Solution for General Steady Heat Conduction Problems", <u>Transactions of the ASME</u>, Vol. 103, Feb. 1981, p. 26.
- 19. Ozisik, M.N., Basic Heat Transfer, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.
- 20. Forsythe, G.E., Malcolm, M.A. and Moler, C.B., <u>Computer Methods</u> for <u>Mathematical Computations</u>, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1977.
- 21. Finlayson, B.A., <u>The Method of Weighted Residuals and Variational</u> <u>Principles</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION

The fundamental solution, u*, is the solution of the equation

$$L^{*}u^{*} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when} & r > 0 \\ -\delta_{1} & \text{when} & r = 0 \end{cases} ,$$
 (A.1)

where r is the distance from the point of application of the unit potential to the point under consideration as shown in Figure 2.2.2.

For r > 0, taking symmetry into consideration, the Laplace equation in polar coordinates becomes

$$-\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{du^{*}}{dr}\right) = 0$$
 (A.2)

or,

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r - \frac{du^*}{dr}) = 0 \qquad (A.3)$$

Integrating the Eq. (A.3) twice, we get

$$u^* = C_1 \ln r + C_2$$
 (A.4)

Now, let us seek C_1 and C_2 by integrating the equation

$$L^*u^* = -\delta \tag{A.5}$$

over a disk of arbitrary small radius 'e' centered at (x,y) as shown in Figure A.1.





Then, the Eq. (A.1) can be written as follows.

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^* = -\delta \tag{A.6}$$

for r \leq e. Integrating the Eq. (A.6) over D_e, we get

$$\int_{D_{e}} \nabla^{2} u^{*} dD = -\int_{O_{e}} \delta dD \qquad (A.7)$$

By using Green's first identity [11, p.451],

$$\int (\nabla a \cdot \nabla b + a \nabla^2 b) dD = \int a \frac{\partial b}{\partial n} dS , \qquad (A.8)$$

the right hand side of the Eq. (A.7) can be written as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{T} \nabla^{2} u^{*} dD = \int_{0}^{T} (du^{*}/dr) dS \qquad (A.9)$$

Then, the left hand side of the Eq. (A.7) becomes

$$-\int \delta dD = \int (du*/dr) dS \qquad (A.10)$$

$$D_e \qquad S_e$$

From the property of the Dirac delta function,

$$\int_{D_{e}} \delta dD = 1 , \qquad (A.11)$$

the Eq. (A.10) becomes

By using the Eq. (A.4), the Eq. (A.12) can be written as follows.

$$\int_{S_{e}} \frac{C_{1}}{e} dS = -1$$
 (A.13)

From Figure A.1, we can see that

$$\int dS = 2\pi e$$
 (A.14)
 S_e

Thus, the constant C_1 is found as follows.

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}$$
 (A.15)

The other constant C_{2} remains arbitrary and therefore we can set it equal to

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln 1$$
 (A.16)
= 0 (A.17)

Thus, the fundamental solution becomes

$$u^* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1/r)$$
 (A.18)

for two-dimensional Laplacian operator [15, p.48].

APPENDIX B

EXACT SOLUTIONS

Exact solution of the problem (la)

The mathematical formulation of the problem is

$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$	in	0 <u><</u> x <u><</u> 1	3	0 <u><</u> y <u><</u> 1	(B.1)
u = y	at	x = 0	 		(B.2a)
u = 1 - y	at	x = 1	,		(B.2b)
u = x	at	y = 0	,		(B.2c)
u = 1 - x	at	y =]	•		(B.2d)

For simplicity, let

$$u = C_1 x + C_2 y + C_3 x y . (B.3)$$

It can be shown that the above expression for u satisfies the Laplace equation.

We can use the boundary conditions to find the unknown constants. The first boundary condition was

$$u(0,y) = y$$
 (B.4)

Thus, inserting the Eq. (B.4) into Eq. (B.3) we get

$$y = C_2 y$$
 (B.5)

So,

$$C_2 = 1$$
 (B.6)

Similarly, using the second boundary condition,

$$u(1,y) = 1 - y$$
, (B.7)

we get

$$1 - y = C_1 + y(1 + C_3)$$
 (B.8)

So,

$$C_1 = 1$$
 (B.9)

and

$$C_3 = -2$$
 (B.10)

Once the constants are found, one can write the complete expression for u, i.e.,

$$u = x + y - 2xy$$
 (B.11)

It can be shown that the above expression for u satisfies the other boundary conditions, e.g. for the third boundary condition

$$u(x,0) = x$$
 (B.12)

we have

$$x = x + 0 - 2(0)x$$

= x

Also, for the fourth boundary condition

$$u(x,1) = 1 - x$$

we have

1 - x = x + 1 - 2(x)1= 1 - x.

Exact solution of the problem (1b)

The mathematical formulation of the problem is

$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} +$	$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = ($)	in	^D <u><</u> x <u><</u>	1,	0 <u><</u> y <u><</u> 1	(B.14)
u = 0			at	x =]	9		(B.15a)
∂u/9x	= 0		at	x = 0	,		(B.15b)
∂u/∂y	= 0		at	y = 0	•		(B.15c)
∂u/∂y	= 20(1	– u)	at	y = 1	•		(B.15d)

Let us use 'Separation of Variables' method [19,p.91]

$$u(x,y) = X(x)Y(y)$$
, (B.16)

then the Eq. (B.1) becomes

$$\frac{X''}{X} = \frac{Y''}{Y} \qquad . \tag{B.17}$$

We can write

$$X''/X = -\lambda^2$$
(B.18a)

and

$$-\gamma^{\prime\prime}/\gamma = -\lambda^2 \tag{B.18b}$$

The solution of the Eq. (B.18a) is

$$X(x) = C_1 \sin\lambda_n(x) + C_2 \cos\lambda_n(x) . \qquad (B.19)$$

79

(B.13)

.

Applying the new forms of the boundary conditions (B.15a) and (B.15b),

$$X(1) = 0$$
 (B.20a)

and

$$X^{1}(0) = 0$$
, (B.20b)

we get

$$X(x) = C_2 \cos_{\lambda} n^x$$
(B.21)

where

$$A_n = (\frac{2n+1}{2})_{\pi}$$
 $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ (B.22)

The solution of the Eq. (B.18b) is

$$Y(y) = C_3 \sinh_n y + C_4 \cosh_n y \qquad (B.23)$$

Applying the new form of the boundary condition (B.15c) ,

$$Y'(0) = 0$$
 , (B.24)

we get

 $Y(y) = C_4 \cosh \lambda_n y$.

Then, the solution of the Eq. (B.14) is

$$u(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \cosh_n y \cosh_n x \qquad (B.25)$$

Using the boundary condition (B.15d), we get

$$A_{n} = \frac{40 \sin\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n} (\lambda_{n} \sinh\lambda_{n} + 20 \cosh\lambda_{n})(1 + (1/2\lambda_{n}) \sin2\lambda_{n})}$$
 (B.26)

Then the exact solution of the problem is

$$u(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(40 \sin \lambda_n) \cos \lambda_n y \cos \lambda_n x}{(\lambda_n \sinh \lambda_n + 20 \cosh \lambda_n)(1 + (1/2\lambda_n) \sin 2\lambda_n)} \right]. \quad (B.27)$$

Here,

$$\lambda_n = (\frac{2n+1}{2})$$
 $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Exact solution of the problem (2b)

The mathematical formulation of the problem is

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + 10 = 0 \qquad \text{in} \quad 0 \le x \le 1 \quad , \quad 0 \le y \le 1 \quad (B.28)$$

$$u = 0$$
 at $x = 0$, (B.29a)
 $du/dx = 0.1(1 - u)$ at $x = 1$. (B.29b)

Let us integrate the Eq. (B.28) two times. Then, the Eq. (B.28) becomes

$$u = -5x^2 + C_1 x + C_2$$
(B.30)

Applying the boundary conditions (B.29a) and (B.29b), we get

$$C_1 = 106/11$$
 (B.31a)

and

$$C_2 = 0$$
 . (B.31b)

Then the exact solution of the problem is

$$u = -5x^2 + \frac{106}{11}x \quad . \tag{B.32}$$

Exact solution of the problem (4a)

The mathematical formulation of the problem is

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = 0 in 0 \le x \le 1 , 0 \le y \le 1 (B.33)$$

$$du/dx = 1000$$
 at $x = 0$, (B.34a)

$$du/dx = 5.7x10^{-8}(350^4 - u^4)$$
 at $x = 1$. (B.34b)

Let us integrate the Eq. (B.33) two times. Then, the Eq. (B.33) becomes

$$u = C_1 + C_2 x$$
 (B.35)

Applying the boundary conditions (B.34a) and (B.34b), we get

$$C_1 = 1425$$
 (B.36a)

and

$$C_2 = -1000$$
 (B.36b)

Then the exact solution of the problem is

$$u = 1425 - 1000x$$
 (B.37)

Exact solution of the problem (4b)

The mathematical formulation of the problem is

 $\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = 0 in 0 \le x \le 1 , 0 \le y \le 1 (B.38)$ $\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = 0 in 0 \le x \le 1 , 0 \le y \le 1 (B.38)$ $\frac{du}{dx} = 20(600 - u) at x = 0 , (B.39a)$ $\frac{du}{dx} = 5.7x10^{-8}(300^4 - u^4) at x = 1 . (B.39b)$ Let us integrate the Eq. (B.38) two times. Then, the Eq. (B.38) becomes

$$u = C_1 + C_2 x$$
 (B.40)

Applying the boundary conditions (B.39a) and (B.39b), we get

$$C_1 = 587.37$$
 (B.41a)

and

$$C_2 = -252.58$$
 (B.41b)

Then the exact solution of the problem is

$$u = 587.37 - 252.58x$$
 (B.42)

Exact solution of the problem (4c)

The mathematical formulation of the problem is

 $\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = 0 in 0 \le x \le 1 , 0 \le y \le 1 (B.43)$

$$u = 20273$$
 at $x = 0$, (B.44a)

$$du/dx = 5.7x10^{-3}(273^{4} - u^{4})$$
 at $x = 1$. (B.44b)

Let us integrate the Eq. (B.43) two times. Then, the Eq. (B.43) becomes

 $u = C_1 + C_2 x$ (B.45)

Applying the boundary conditions (B.44a) and (B.44b), we get

$$C_1 = 20273$$
 (B.46a)

and

$$C_2 = -19510.22$$
 (B.46b)

Then the exact solution of the problem is

$$u = 20273 - 19510.22x$$
 (B.47)

Exact solution of the problem (5)

The mathematical formulation of the problem [19,p.44] is

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r \frac{du}{dr} \right] = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad 1 \le r \le 2 \quad (B.48)$$

$$u = 20$$
 at $r = 2$, (B.49a)

$$u = 100$$
 at $r = 1$. (B.49b)

Let us integrate the Eq. (B.48) two times. Then, the Eq. (B.48) becomes

$$u = C_1 \ln r + C_2$$
 (B.50)

Applying the boundary conditions (B.49a) and (B.49b), we get

$$C_1 = -80/\ln 2$$
 (B.51a)

and

$$C_2 = 100$$
 . (B.51b)

Then the exact solution of the problem is

$$u = 100 - (80/\ln 2) \ln r$$
 (B.52)

APPENDIX C Computer program for boundary Integral element method

This computer program solves the two-dimensional Poisson's equation ($\nabla^2 u + p = 0$) using constant or linear elements. Flow-chart for the computer program can be seen in Figure C.1.

The main program defines the maximum dimensions of the system of equations which in this case is 40. It also allocates the input channel 5 and the output channel 6 for the Fortran statement. It calls the 11 following subroutines,

INPUT : Reads the program input.

GHCAL (GHCALC) : Computes GG and HH matrices for linear (constant) elements.

GHPCAL : Evaluates GGP and HHP matrices by reordering GG and HH matrices according to the type of the boundary condition at node 'i'.

BCAL : Calculates BB(I) for the source point (XSRCE, YSRCE).
INTE (INTEC) : Computes the integrals along a linear (constant) element which does not include the node under consideration.



Figure C.1 - Flowchart for the computer program.

INLO
(INLOC) : Computes the integrals along a linear (constant) element
including the node under consideration.

INTEF
(INTEFC) : Writes matrices GELEM (AH) and HELEM (BG) onto disc file
(10) for internal points.

INTER
(INTERC) : Computes the temperature value at internal points for
linear (constant) elements.

DECOMP and: SOLVE Almost any computer library has subroutines based on variants of Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting for solving systems of simultaneous linear equations. The details of implementation of various subroutines available are quite different. These details can have important effects on the execution time of a particular subroutine, but if the subroutine is properly written, they should have little effect on its accuracy.

We can describe two such subroutines, DECOMP and SOLVE. DECOMP carries out that part of Gaussian elimination which depends only on the matrix. It saves the multipliers and the pivot information. SOLVE uses these results to obtain the solution for any right hand side.

DECOMP also returns an estimate of the condition of the matrix. Such an estimate is a much more reliable and useful measure of nearness to singularity than quantities such as the determinant or the smallest pivot.

The estimate is a lower bound for the actual condition, but it is computed in such a way that it is almost always within a factor of n of the actual condition, and it is usually much closer. In other words, for almost all matrices, DECOMP returns a quantity COND with

 $\frac{\text{cond}(A)}{n} \leq \text{COND} \leq \text{cond}(A)$

In those situations where COND < cond(A)/n, it still measures the sensitivity of solutions for most right hand sides.

Roundoff error usually prevents DECOMP, or any other Gaussian elimination subroutine, from determining whether or not the input matrix is singular. If an exact zero pivot occurs during the elimination, DECOMP sets COND to 10^{32} to signal that it has detected singularity. The value 10^{32} is between BETAT and BETAU on all current floating-point systems, so it is between the reciprocal of the machine accuracy and the overflow level.

However, the occurrence of a zero pivot does not necessarily mean that the matrix is singular, nor does a singular matrix necessarily produce a zero pivot. In fact, the most common source of zero pivots is some kind of bug in the calling program.

It should be realized that, with partial pivoting, any matrix has a triangular factorization. DECOMP actually works faster when zero pivots occur. The only difficulty with a zero pivot is that SOLVE will divide by it during the back substitution. So SOLVE should not be used whenever DECOMP has set COND to a value much larger than BETAT.

Some of the subroutines available in computer libraries incorporate a technique as iterative improvement or iterative refinement. This is a process which involves computation of the residual using high precision arithmetic and solution of a system of equations with the residual as the right hand side to obtain a correction for the computed solution. The corrected result often has a smaller error but does not necessarily have a smaller residual. Furthermore, the size of the correction is another measure of the sensitivity of the solution to errors in the data and the computation.

We decide against including an iterative improvement program for several reasons. First, the solution obtained without improvement is satisfactory for most applications. Second, the errors in the input data usually affect the solution more than the round-off introduced during its computation. Third, our condition estimator supplies the same kind of information available from the size of the correction. Finally, and possibly most important, the availability and use of the required high precision arithmetic varies from computer to computer. A general linear equation solver which efficiently incorporates iterative improvement cannot be written in standard Fortran.

To comment upon some details in DECOMP and SOLVE, we need to examine how Fortran systems store matrices. If a program contains statement,

DIMENSION A(3,5)

then

locations will be reserved in memory for the elements of A. They will be stored in the following order,

A(1,1) A(2,1) A(3,1) A(1,2) A(2,2)

In other words the elements of each column are stored together. The elements of each row are seperated from each other by a number of locations equal to the first subscript in the dimension statement.

Many of the common matrix operations are most naturally described in terms of rows. For example, in Gaussian elimination, a multiple of one row is subtracted from another row. When implemented in Fortran, such operations typically have the innermost loops varying the second index of arrays. This has two potentially adverse effects on program efficiency. Subscript calculations may be more costly because they involve information contained in the dimension statement. Operating systems which automatically move data between high speed and secondary memory units during computation may have to do an excessive amount of work. For these reasons, we have implemented Gaussian elimination in a somewhat unconventional manner with all the inner loops varying the first index. Such an implementation can be significantly more efficient with certain types of operating systems.

Most, but not all, Fortran dialects have provision for variable dimensions on arrays which are subroutine parameters. In a main program, one may specify

DIMENSION A(40,40)

but intend to actually work with an N by N matrix where N may vary from problem to problem. Subroutines such as DECOMP and SOLVE need both N, the actual working order, and the quantity 40 used in the dimension statement because that is the memory increment between successive elements of a row. This dimension information is called NDIM in DECOMP and SOLVE [20, p.48].

OUTPUT : Outputs the results.

TERMINOLOGY

The general variables used by the program, together with their meaning are given below.

M : Number of different surfaces.

NC(K) : Last nodes in these surfaces.

- LMICMI : Indicates the type of the elements. LMICM = 1 means that constant boundary elements are used. LMICMI = 2 means that linear boundary elements are used.
- NONL : Indicates the type of boundary conditions at the element nodes. NONL = 1 means that there are nonlinear boundary conditions at the element nodes. NONL = 0 means that linear boundary conditions at the element nodes.

EPSMAX : Maximum tolerance for the iteration procedure.

NST : The first node which has nonlinear boundary condition.

NLA : The last node which has nonlinear boundary condition.

THC : Thermal conductivity.

N : Number of nodes.

KODE(I) : Indicates the type of boundary conditions at the element nodes.

If KODE(I) = 1; then ALPHA(I) = 0, BETA(I) = value of
'temperature'.

If KODE(I) = 2; then ALPHA(I) = 0, BETA(I) = value of
'flux'.

lf	KODE ([]) :	= 3;	then	ALI	PHA(I)) = \	alue	of	heat	trar	nsfer
C06	effici	ient	, BE	TA(I)	= ;	ambie	nt te	emper	atur	e.	Note	that
flı	ux is	۱ ₊ ۱	if	there	is	heat	inpu	it to	the	e reg	ion.	

KODEP : Check the source (heat generation) term. If KODEP = 0, then there is no source term. If KODEP = 1, then there is source term.

KODEI : Checks if internal temperature needed.
LINT : Number of internal points where the function is calculated.
NPOIN : Number of points for internal elements.
CX, CY : Internal point coordinates where the value of u is required.
X, Y : Coordinates of the extreme points of the boundary

X, Y : Coordinates of the extreme points of the boundary elements.

NELEM : Number of internal elements.

EXISP, ETASP: Numerical integration points for internal triangles.

WEIGP

: Weights for internal triangles.

93 ******* PROGRAM BIEM SOLVES 2-DIMENSIONAL POISSON'S EQUATION BY THE BIEM LAPLACIAN (U) + P = 0LINEAR OR CONSTANT VARIATION ALONG THE SEGMENTS COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/NDIM,N,LINT,NI,NO,KODEI,KODEF,NPOIN,NELEM COMMON/BEM4/EXISP(7);ETASP(7),WEIGP(7),XM(40),YM(40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40),LNODS(3,50),COORD(2,40) COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL,LMICMI COMMON/BEM7/GGP(40,40),HHP(40,40),ALPHA(40),BETA(40) COMMON/BEM8/EFI(41) INITIALIZATION OF PROGRAM PARAMETERS NDIMEMAX. DIMENSION OF THE SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS NDIM=10 ASSIGN DATA SET NUMBER FOR INPUT, NI AND OUTPUT, NO NYES INPUT CALL INPUT CHECK NONL IF ANY NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITION IS PRESENT IF (NONL .NE . 1) GO TO 7 ITERATIVE SCHEME CAN BE USED TO SOLVE THE PROBLEMS WHICH POSSESS NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS EPSMAX=MAXIMUM TOLERANCE THE ITERATION PROCEDURE STARTS BY TAKING EFI(JK)=TAMB (AMBIENT TEMP.) NST=THE FIRST NODE WHICH HAS NONLINEAR B.C. NLA=THE LAST HODE WHICH HAS NONLINEAR B.C. THC= THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY BEAD(NI,80)EPSMAX,TAMB,NST,NLA,THC FORMAT(2F10,05275,F10,0) WRITE(NO,81)EPSMAX,TAMB,NST,NLA,THC FORMAT(//,5X,,EPSMAX=,,F5.3,5X,,TAMB=,,F5.1,5X,,NST=,, 13,5X,,NLA=,,I3,5X,,THC=,,F5.2,/) 80 81 C C DO 11 JK=NST, NLA EFI(JK)=TAMB KK=NST 11 CCCCCCC NOTE THAT WE CAN WRITE DO 12 KK=NST,NLA INSTEAD OF KK=NST ITER=0 ITER=ITER+1 IF(KK.NE.NST)GO IF(ITFR-1)1,2,1 3 TO 1

C	2 1 16	GOLD=TAMB GO TO 16 GOLD=FI(KK) CONTINUE
0000		THE NEW FORMS OF THE MONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
с С С	21	DO AL IITUST, ILA BETA(JI)=T3: 24/EF5(997*((FFI(JI)/100))*(3.*(EFI(II)**3.))
C C C C C C C	7	CONTINUE
CCCCC		CHECK M AND LMICMI IF IT IS CONSTANT/LINEAR VARIATION ALONG THE SEGMENTS
c	31	IF(M-1)31,31,32 IF(LMICMI-1)33,32,33
č	70	EVALUATE GG AND HH MATRICES FOR CONSTANT ELEMENTS
С	32	GO TO 34
Č		EVALUATE GG AND HH MATRICES FOR LINEAR ELEMENTS
8	55	EVALUATE GGP AND HHP MATRICES (BY REARRANGING)
с ç	34	CALL GHPCAL
CC		DECOMPOSE GG MATRIX BY USING DECOMP
CCC		
c c		CALL DECOMP (HDIM, N, GGP, COND, IPVT, WORK)
CC		PRINT THE CONDITION NO. OF THE COEFFICIENT MATRIX
_		$WK1DF_1=C0,091)$ COND IF (CONDP1.EQ.COND) WRITE (10,932) IF (CONDP1.EQ.COND) STOP
C C C	•	CLEAR THE BB VECTOR FOR THE SOURCE TERM
Ċ	560	DO 560 I=1/N BB(I)=0.
č		CHECK KODEP IF ANY SOURCE TERM IS PRESENT
c		COMPUTE THE BB YESTOR OF THE SOURCE TERM
		LOOP OVER THE BOUNDARY NODES FOR CONSTANT/LINEAR ELEMENTS DO 510 I=1/N
č	51 52	IF(M-1)51,51,52 IF(LMTCMI-1)53,52,53 CALL BCAL (XM(I),YM(I),BB(I)) GO TO 510
C	53 510	CALL RCAL (X(I), Y(I), BB(I)) CONTINUE

1

.

CCCCC EVALUATE THE DEL VECTOR IT ORIGINALLY CONTAINS THE RHS OF THE EQUATIONS AFTER SOLUTION, WILL CONTAIN THE VALUES OF THE SYSTEM OF EQUS 778 D0 160 I=1:N DFI(I)=-BB(I) $\frac{DO 160 J=1}{DFI(I)=DFI(I)_{+}HHP(I,J)*BETA(J)}$ 160 CONTINUE CCCCC SOLVE FOR THE UNKNOWNS (BY BACK-SUBSTITUTION) CALL SOLVE (NDIM, N, GGP, DFI, IPVT) CCCCC REORDER DEI VECTOR TO OBTAIN : FI = BOUNDARY POTENTIAL (TEMP.) VALUES DEI=BOUNDARY POTENTIAL DERIVATIVES (FLUX) DO 250 I=1,N GO TO (10,20,30),KODE(I) 10 FI(I)=BETA(I) DFI(I)=DFI(I) GO TO 250 GO FI(I)=DFI(I) 20 DFI(I)=BETA(I) GO TO S50 FI(I)=DFI(I) PEI(I)=ALPHA(I)*(BETA(I)-FI(I)) CONTINUE 30 250 C C C C CHECK KODEI WHETHER TO EVALUATE AT THE INTERNAL POINTS IF (KODEI.EQ.0) GO TO 790 С 41 IF (M-1)41-4743-42.43 000 FOR CONSTANT ELEMENTS 42 CALL INTEFC CALL INTERC CCC FOR LINEAR ELFMENTS 43 CALL INTER CALL INTER 790 CONTINUE С IF (NONL.NE.1) GO TO 12 С THE TERIORDURE THE BEREATEROLDT DEEDER, UNTIL HESCONVERGENCE TOLER. 99 HO 99 MM=KK (NLA 99 HOLDT= FI (KK) EPS=ARS(HOLDT-GOLD) IF(ITER.GT.80) GO TO IF(EPS-EPSMAX)13,3,3 13 CONTINUE 13 C WRITE(N0,101) TER, EPS 101 FORMAT(///,20X,,ITER =,,I3,15X,,EPS =,,E15.7,/) 0000 PRINT THE RESULTS 12 CONTINUE С CALL OUTPUT С (2X,,CONDITION NO=,,E15.5) (2X,,MATRIX IS SINGULAR TO WORKING PRECISION,) 931 FORMAT 932 FORMAT С

045007

68

87

90

35

98

206

222222444444

245

C C		STOP END 96
C *	****	**************************************
c		COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FT(41),DFT(41) COMMON/BEM3/IDIM,N,LINT,NI,NO,KODET,KODEP,NPOIN,NELFM COMMON/BEM4/FXISP(7),FTASP(7),WEIGP(7),XM(40),YM(40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40),LNODS(3,50),COORD(2,40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL(LMICMI COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL(40),BETA(40)
		READ BASIC PARAMETERS MENUMBER OF DIFFERENT SURFACES NC(K)=LAST NODES IN THESE SURFACES
		LMICMI=1 , CONSTANT BOUNDARY ELEMENTS LMICMI=2 , LINEAR BOUNDARY ELEMENTS
		NONL=1 ,NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS NONL=0 ,LINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
C C		READ(MI, 200)M, LMICMI, NONL
Č C	100	WRITE (N0,100) ForMA _T (, ,,120(,*,))
	201	READ(NI,200) (NC(K),K=1,M) WRITE(N0,201)M,LMICMI,NONL,(NC(K),K=1,M) FORMAT(//5X,M=,,I1,5X,,LMICMI=,,I1,5X,,NONL=,,I1,//5X, ,NC(K):,,5(I5))
	300 200	READ(NI,200)N,KODEI,KODEP WRITE (NO,300)N,KODEI,KODEP FORMAT(7,5X,,H=,,I3,2X,,KODEI=,,I1,2X,,KODEP=,,I1) FORMAT(515) CHECK IF INTERNAL POTENTIALS NEEDED
C C	· · ·	IF (KODEI.EQ.D) GO TO 777 READ NO OF INTERNAL POINTS AND COORDINATES
č	115 400	READ (NI, 115) LINT FORMAT (I5) DO 1 T=1,LINT READ (NI, 400) J,CX(I),CY(I) FORMAT (I5,2F:0.0)
CCC		READ COORDINATES OF EXTREME POINTS OF THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS IN ARRAY X AND Y
С	777 500	WRITE (NO,500) FORMAT (//2X, COORDINATES OF THE EXTREME POINTS OF THE , BOUNDARY ELEMENTS, //4X, POINT, 10X, X, 18X, Y,) DO 10 I=1,N
~	700	ŘEAD (NI,400) J,X(I),Y(I) WRITE (NO,700) I,X(I),Y(I) FORMAT (5X,13,2(5X,E14.7))
		READ BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
		IF KODE(I)=1, ALPHA(I)=VALUE OF TEMPERATURE IF KODE(I)=2, ALPHA(I)=0. BETA(I)=VALUE OF FLUX IF KODE(I)=3, ALPHA(I)=VALUE OF HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT BETA(I)=VALUE OF AMBIENT TEMPERATURE NOTE, Q=ALPHA*(BETA=U)
С	800 900	WRITE (N0,800) FORMAT (//2X, BOUNDARY CONDITIONS,//5X, NODE(I), 3X, ,KODE(I), 5X, ALPHA(I), 13X, BETA(I), DO 20 I=1,N READ (NI,900) J,KODE(I), ALPHA(I), BETA(I) FORMAT (215,2F10.0)

	ָ ג		
		9 <u>20</u>	WRITE (NO,950) I,KODE(I),ALPHA(I),BETA(I) FORMAT (5X,I3,8X,I1,8X,F14,7,6X,F14,7)
	CCC		CHECK KODEP, KODEP=0 INO SOURCE TERM
	C C		KODEP=1 SOURCE TERM
	CCC		READ!
	Č		NELEMENO, OF INTERNAL ELEMENTS
	ີ ເ :	510	READ (NI,510) NPOIN, NELEM FORMAT (415)
	CCC		WRITE MOOTH AND NELEM
	č		WRITE (NO.511)
1. 1.	~	511 515	FORMAT (1H0,5%,,INTERNAL SOURCE TERM DATA,) WRITE (NO,515) NPOIN,NELEM FORMAT (1H0,6%,NPOIN =,13,5%,NELEM =,13)
			READ AND WRITE NUMERICAL INTEGRATION POINTS AND WEIGHTS FOR INTERNAL ELEMENTS
	ر ا	560	WRITE (NO,560) FORMAT (140,7X,,EXISP,,10X,,ETASP,,10X,,WEIGP,)
		565 570	WEATE (MOSSTO) EXISTICATED SIFEASEALERWEISINELERALERWEI)
	20 20		READ AND WRITE THE TRIANGULAR INTERNAL ELEMENT NODAL CONNECTIONS
	Č	520	WRITE (N0,520) FORMAT (1H0,2%, FL, $3X$, NODES.)
		525	DO 525 JELEM=1, NELEM READ (NI, 510) IELEM, (LNODS(INODE, JELEM), INODE=1,3) WRITE (NO, 510) JELEM, (LNODS(INODE, JELEM), INODE=1,3)
	CCCC		KEAD AND WRITE THE NODAL COORDINATES
	č		
		530	WRITE (N0,530) FORMAT (1H0,6X,,NODE,,6X,,X~COORD,,10X,,Y-COORD,) D0 535 JPOIN=,,NPOIN
		535	READ (NI, 540) IPOIN, (COORD(IDIME, JPOIN), IDIME=1,2) WRITE (NO, 540) JPOIN, (COORD(IDIME, JPOIN), IDIME=1,2)
	C	540	FORMAT (110,2F15,5) READ AND WRITE THE INTERNAL NODAL SOURCE VALUES, PTERM(I)
	C		WRITE (NO:545)
		545	$\frac{PORMAT(1)}{DO} = 1 \cdot \frac{POEN}{POEN} + POE$
	С	550 555	FORMAT $(110)F_{15}.5)$
·	C	999	RETURN
	8,	****	~~~~~~********************************
	C		SUBRULTINE OBCAL COMMON/REMIZY(μ_1), χ (μ_1), χ (η), χ (η), χ (η), χ (η), $RR(\mu_0)$, M -NC(η)
			COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/HDIM,N,LINT,NI(NO,KODEI,KODEP,NPOIN,NELEM COMMON/BEM4/EXISP(7),ETASP(7),WEIGP(7),XM(40),YM(40)
			COMMON/HEMS/PTERM(40), IPVT(40), COND, NONL, LMICMI
		98	
----------	-------------	--	
		COMMON/BEM7/GGP(40,40), HHP(40,40), ALPHA(40), BETA(40)	
~		DIMENSION GELFM(2), HELEM(2)	
C		CLEAR GG AND HH MATRICES	
C			
Ŭ		DO 10 J=1,N	
		$DO \ 10^{\circ} \ I=1,N$ GG(1,1)=0	
	10	$HH(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{j})=0$	
c		Ŷ{N∓t}≡Ŷ{t}	
_ کے ا		COMPUTE GG AND HI MATRICES	
č		D0 110 T=1.N	
· •			
		VS=1+N=2 VO=50 JJ=NF iNS	
	20	1F (JJ-N) 30,30,20 J=JJ-N	
	30	GO TO 40 J=JJ	
С	<u>u</u> 0	$CALL = TNTE (Y_{-}) + Y_{-} $	
С	- 40	CALL INTE (A(I)) (I) (A(D)) (A(D)) (A(D+1)) (D+1) (D+1) (BELEM) HELEM)	
	42	IF(J-N)42,43,43 HH(T, 1+1)-HH(T, 1+1)+HELEM(2)	
, C			
С		GG(I, J+1) = GG(I, J+1) + GELEM(2)	
	43	GO TO 44 HH(T,1)=HH(T,1)+HF(EM(2))	
	. 10 11h	GG(1,1) = GG(1,1) + GELEM(2)	
		$GG(I \cdot J) = GG(I \cdot J) + GELEM(I)$	
•	50	$\frac{HH(1, T) = HI((T, T) - HELEM(1) - HELEM(2)}{NE = 1}$	
		16 (J.J-N) 70,50,60	
	60	J=JJ−N 60 T0 80	
· · ·	70	Ĵ=ĴĴ	
	80	CALL INLO $(X(J),Y(J),X(J+1),Y(J+1),GELEM)$	
C.	0.0	IF (JJ-NF) 82,82,83	
	82	GELEM(1) = GELEM(2)	
	83	$IE_{J-N} = 85,90,90$	
	85	GG(1)J+1)=GG(1)J+1)+GCLEM(2)	
	90	$\frac{GG(1,1)}{GG(1,1)} + \frac{GELEM(2)}{GG(1,1)} + \frac{GELEM(2)}{GG(1,1)} + \frac{GELEM(1)}{GELEM(1)}$	
C	1íŏ	CONTINUE	
Ľ		RETURN	
c			
· C*	***	**************************************	
C C		EVALUATES GGP AND HHP MATRICES BY	
č		REORDERING GG AND HH MATRICES	
č			
C		COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5)	
-		COMMON/BEM3/NDIM, N, LINT, NI, NO, KODEI, KODEP, NPOIN, NELEM	
		COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40), LNODS(3,50), COORD(2,40)	
· •		ČOMMOH/BEM6/WORK(40),IPVI(40),COND,NONL,LMICMI COMMOH/BEM7/GGP(40,40),HHP(40,40),ALPHA(40),BETA(40)	
ç			
,C		$p_{0} = 250 (J=1.0) + KODE(J)$	
		60 TO 11012013011KODE (0)	

		99
C	10 100 20 200 30 300 250	D0 100 I=1,N GGP(I,J)=GG(I,J) HHP(I,J)=HH(I,J) G0 T0 250 D0 200 I=1,N GGP(I,J)=-HH(I,J) HHP(I,J)=-GG(I,J) G0 T0 250 D0 300 I=1,N GGP(I,J)=-HH(I,J)-GG(I,J)*ALPHA(J) HHP(I,J)=-GG(I,J)*ALPHA(J) CONTINUE
c c		
	****	**************************************
		COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/HDIM,N,LINI,NI,HO,KODEI,KODEP,NPOIN,NELEM COMMON/BEM4/EXISP(7),FTASP(7),WEIGP(7),XM(40),YM(40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40),LNODS(3,50),COORD(2,40) COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL,LMICMI COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL,LMICMI COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40),HHP(40,40),ALPHA(40),BEIA(40) DIMENSION LNODE(3),XNODE(3),YNODE(3),FNODE(3),SHAPE(3) THIS_SUBROUTINE_CALCULATES_BH(I) FOR THE SOURCE POINT (XSRCE)
Č		BSRCE BB(I)
Č C		LOOP OVER INTERNAL TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS
с		BSRCE=0 DO 515 IELEM=1 ·NELEM
C C C	520	DO 520 INODE=1/3 LNODE(INODE)=LNODS(INODE,IELEM) XNODE(INODE)=COORD(1:LNODE(INODE)) YNODE(INODE)=COORD(2:LNODE(INODE)) PNODE(INODE)=PTERM(LNODE(INODE)) CALCULATE DETERMINANT OF JACOBIAN MATRIX
Č C C		DJACB=(XMODE(2)-XNODE(1))*(YNODE(3)-YNODE(1))-(YNODE(2)- YNODE(1))*(XNODE(3)-XNODE(1)) LOOP OVER GAUSS INTEGRATION POINTS QUINTIC INTEGRATION, NGAUS=7
Č	•	DO 525 IGAUS=117
C C C		CALCULATE SHAPE FUNCTIONS AT INTEGRATION POINT
C		SHAPE $(1) = 1 - E \times I SP (IGAUS) - ETASP (IGAUS)$ SHAPE $(2) = E \times ISP (IGAUS)$
ç		CALCULATION AT INTEGRATION POINT
č	530	PGAUS=0. XGAUS=0. YGAUS=0. DO 530 INODE=1.3 PGAUS=PGAUS+SHAPE(INODE)*PNODE(INODE) XGAUS=XGAUS+SHAPE(INODE)*XNODE(INODE) YGAUS=YGAUS+SHAPE(INODE)*YNODE(INODE)
		CALCULATE DISTANCE BETWEEN I AND INTEGRATION POINT
C C		RA=SQRT((XGAUS-XSRCE) **2+(YGAUS-YSRCE) **2) CALCULATE BSRcE=BB(I)
Č		

	Ç		BSRCE=BSRCE+DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)* ALOG(1/RA)*PGAUS
	с с	525 515 999	CONTINUE CONTINUE RETURN END
•	Č×	****	**************************************
	00		THIS SUBROUTINE COMPUTES THE INTEGRALS ALONG A LINEAR ELEMENT WHICH DOES NOT INCLUDE THE HODE UNDER CONSIDERATION DISTEDISTANCE FROM THE POYNT UNDER CONSIDERATION TO THE BOUND. RAEDISTANCE FROM THE POINT UNDER CONSIDERATION TO THE INTEGRATION POINTS IN THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS
			DIMENSION GELFM(2), HELEM(2) UIMENSION XCO(4), YCO(4), GI(4), OME(4) DATA GI/0.86113631, -0.86113631, 0.33998104, -0.33998104/ DATA OME/0.34785485, 0.34785485, 0.65214515, 0.65214515/ AX=(X2-X1)/2 BX=(X2+X1)/2 BY=(Y2+Y1)/2 BY=(Y2+Y1)/2
		10 38 31	IF (Ax) 10,20,10 TA=AY/AX DIST= ABS((TA*XP-YP+Y1-TA*X1)/ SORT(TA**2+1)) GO TO 30 DIST= ABS(XP-X1) SIG=(x1-XP)*(Y2-YP)-(X2-XP)*(Y1-YP) IF (SIG) 31,32,32 DIST=-DIST
	C	32 90	$\begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ & \end{array} \\ \\ & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$
	C	40	$\begin{array}{l} HZ = DI \subseteq T * OME (I) * & SORT (AX * 2 + AY * 2) / RA * 2 \\ GELEM (1) = GELEM (1) - (GI (I) - 1) * GZ/2 \\ GELEM (2) = GELEM (2) + (GI (I) + 1) * GZ/2 \\ HELEM (2) = HELEM (2) - (GI (I) + 1) * HZ/2 \\ HELEM (2) = HELEM (2) - (GI (I) + 1) * HZ/2 \\ \end{array}$
	C		RETURN
		****	**************************************
			DIMENSION GELFM(2) SEP= SQRT ((X2-X1)**2+(Y2-Y1)**2) GELEM(1)=SEP*(1.5-ALOG(SEP))/2 GELEM(2)=SEP*(0.5-ALOG(SEP))/2 RETURN END
	č r	****	**************************************
	čcc		FOR INTERNAL POINTS, WRITES GELEM AND HELEM ONTO DISC FILE(10)
	Č		COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/NDIM,N,LINT,NI,NO,KODEI,KODEP,NPOIN,NELEM COMMON/BEM4/EXISP(7),FTASP(7),WEIGP(7),XM(40),YM(40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40),LNODS(3,50),COORD(2,40)

E8MM8N/BEM9/88BK48946JPMA6428; 68NPACBNK(48J9BFTA(40) C C DIMENSION GELEM(2), HELEM(2) CCCC PREPARE DISC FOR WRITING REWIND 10 C C C C C LOOP OVER THE INTERNAL POINTS DO 20 K=1,LINT 0000 LOOP OVER THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS DO 30 J=1,N С CALL INTE (CX(K), CY(K), X(J), Y(J), X(J+1), Y(J+1), GELEM, HELEM)С Ē WRITE ONTO DISC FILE(10) WRITE (10) GELEM, HELEM 30 CONTINUE C BETURN Č* ******************* C C C C C C THIS SUBROUTINE COMPUTES THE POTENTIAL VALUE AT INTERNAL POINT COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/NDIM,N,LINT,NI,NO,KODEI,KODEP,NPOTN,NFLEM (40), FI(41), DEI(41) KODEP, NPOIN, NELEM COORD (2,40) COMMON/BEM4/EXIS COMMON/BEM5/PTER COMMON/BEM6/WORK SP(7) RM(40 K(40) P(7), DS(3, (40), WE YM(40) , (40), BETA(40) COMMON/BEM5/WOR COMMON/BEM7/GGP(40,40), HHP(DIMENSION GELFM(2), HELEM(2) C C C PREPARE DISC(10) FOR READING REWIND 10 C C C LOOP OVER THE INTERNAL POINTS DO 40 K=1,LINT SOL(K)=0. С C CHECK KODEP IF (KODEP.EQ.0) GO TO 998 С SALTKBEBERGEX (K), CY (K), BSRCE) 0000 LOOP OVER THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS 998 DO 30 J=1,N C C C READ DISC FILE(10) READ (10) GELEM, HELEM С С IF (J-N) 32,33,33 SOL(K)=SOL(K)+DFI(J)*GELEM(1)+DFI(J+1)*GELEM(2)-FI(J)*HELEM(1)-FI(J+1)*HELEM(2)32 GO TO 30 SOL (K)=SOL FI (J) *HELE DFI(J)*GELEM(1)+DFI(1)*GELEM(2)--FI(1)*HELEM(2) (K) 33 EM(1) CONTINUE SOL(K) - SOL(K) / (2+3.1415926) 28 С

Б

			102	
738			RETURN	
739	(C	END	
741	([**** _	**************************************	******
743 744 745		C	DIMENSION A(NDIM, N), WORK(N) INTEGER IPVT(N)	
746 747 748			DECOMPOSES A REAL MATRIX BY GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION AND ESTIMATES THE CONDITION OF THE MATRIX	
74012334			USE SOLVE TO COMPUTE SOLUTIONS TO LINEAR SYSTEMS INPUT DIMEDECLARED ROW DIMENSION OF THE ARRAY CONTAIN NEORDER OF THE MATRIX AFMATRIX TO BE TRIANGULARIZED	IING A
755 756 757 758 759 760			A CONTAINS AN UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX U AND A PE VERSION OF A LOWER TRIANGULAR MATRIX I-L SO THAT (PERMUTATION MATRIX)*A=L*U	RMUTED
761 762 763 764 765 766		000000	COND=AN ESTIMATE OF THE CONDITION OF A FOR THE LINEAR SYSTEM A*X=B ,CHANGES IN A AND B MAY CAUSE CHANGES COND TIMES AS LARGE IN X. IF COND+1.0.EQ.COND, A IS SINGULAR TO WORKING PRECISI COND IS SET TO 1.0E+32 IF EXACT SINGULARITY IS DETECT	ION TED
768 769 770 771		* * *	IPVT=THE PIVOT VECTOR IPVT(K)=THE INDEX OF THE K-TH PIVOT ROW IPVT(N)=(_1)**(N ⁰ , OF INTERCHANGES)	
772 773 774 775			WORK SPACE . THE VECTOR WORK MUST BE DECLARED AND INCLUDED IN 1 ITS INPUT CONTENTS ARE IGNORED.ITS OUTPUT CONTENTS USUALLY UNIMPORTANT	HE CALL
776 778 778 780			THE BETERNITANT (RF*A (FAY) BE (2024 INED . ON . QUTRYE) BY	
781 782 783 784 785 786		č	IPVT(N)=1 IF (N.EQ.1) GO TO 80 NM1=N-1	
787 788 788		ž ž	COMPUTE 1-NORM OF A	
796 791 792		-	ANORM=0.0 DO 10 J=1.N T=0.0	
793 794 795 796	•	5	DO 5 I=1;N T=T+ ABS(A(I,))) CONTINUE IF (T.GT.ANORM) ANORM=T	
797 798 799			GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION WITH PARTIAL PIVOTING	
801 802 803		Č	DO 35 K=1,NM1 KP1=K+1	
804 805 806			FIND PIVOT	
807 808 809 810 811		15	M=K $DO 15 I=KP1,N$ $IF (ABS(A(I,K)).GT. ABS(A(M,K))) M=I$ $CONTINUE$	
812 813 814 815			IPVI(K) = M $IF (M, NE K) IPVT(N) = -IPVT(N)$ $T = A(M, K)$ $A(M, K) = A(K, K)$	
816 817 818 819			A(K,K)=T SKIP STEP IF PIVOT IS ZERO	

С IF (T.E0.0.0) GO TO 35 CCCC COMPUTE MULTIPLIERS DO 20 I=KP1,N A(I,K)=-A(I,K)/T 20 CONTINUE CCC INTERCHANGE AND ELIMINATE BY COLUMNS DO 30 J=KP1,N T=A(M,J) A(M,J)=A(K,J) A(K,J)=T IF (T.EQ.0.0) GO TO 30 DO 25 I=KP1,N A(I,J)=A(I,J)+A(I,K)*T CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE 25 30 35 С ESTIMATE (1-NORM OF A)* (AN ESTIMATE OF 1-NORM OF A-INVERSE) ESTIMATE ONTATION OF A)* (AN ESTIMATE OF 1-NORM OF A-INVERSE) SMALL SINGULAR VECTOR THIS INVOLVES SOLVING TWO SYSTEMS OF EQUATIONS, (A=TRANSPOSE) *Y=E AND A*Z=Y WHEPE E IS A VECTOR OF +1 OR -1 CHOSEN TO CAUSE GROWTH IN Y. ESTIMATE=(1-NORM OF Z)/(1-NORM OF Y) SOLVE (A-TRANSPOSE) *Y=E 50 K=1,N D٥ IFO (R.EQ.1) GO TO 45 KM1=K-1 DO 40 1=1,KM1 T=T+A(I,K)*WORK(I) CONTINUE 40 EK=1+0IF (1.LT.0.0) EK=-1.0 IF ($\Lambda(K,K)$.E0.0.0) GO TO 90 WORK(K)=-(EK+T)/ $\Lambda(K,K)$ CONTINUE D0 60 KB=1.NM1 45 WORK(K) =- (EK+T)/A(K) CONTINUE D0 60 KB=1 · NM1 K=N-KB T=0.0 KP1=K+1 D0 55 I=KP1 · N T=T+A(I · K) * WORK(K) CONTINUE WORK(K) =T M=IPVT(K) IF (M_EQ.K) GO TO 60 T=WORK(M) WORK(K) 50 55 WORK(M)=WORK(K) WORK(K)=T CONTINUE 60 8 YNORM=0.0 DO 65 I=1,N YNORM=YNORM+ ABS(WORK(I)) 65 CONTINUE CCCC SOLVE A*Z=Y CALL SOLVE (NDIM, N, A, WORK, IPVT) ċ С 2NORM=0.0 DO 70 I=1.N ZNORM=ZNORM+ ABS(WORK(I)) 70 CONTINUE C C ESTIMATE CONDITION 8 COND=ANORM*ZHORM/YHORM IF (COND.LT.1.0) COND=1.0

601234567890123 366567890123 377733774

375

377890-23456

188901234567890 1999999999990

01

CCC	•	RETURN 1-BY-1
C C C C C C	: 80	COND=1.0 IF (A(1,1).NE.0.0) RETURN
	90	EXACT SINGULARITY COND=1.0E+32 BETURN END
ે. ગ	****	**************************************
		DIMENSION A(NDIM,N),B(N) INTEGER IPVT(1)
C C C		SOLUTION OF LINEAR SYSTEM, A*X=B
		INPUT, NDIM=DECLARED ROW DIMENSION OF ARRAY CONTAINING A N=ORDER OF MATRIX A=TRIANGULARIZED MATRIX OBTAINED FROM DECOMP B=RIGHT HAND SIDE VECTOR INPUT: N=ORDER OF MATRIX OBTAINED FROM DECOMP
CCC		OUTPUT: B=SOLUTION VECTOR: X
Ċ		
		FORWARD ELIMINATION
C C	- - - -	IE, (N. EQ. 1) GO TO 50
		D0 ⁺ 20 ⁻ K=1,NM1 KP1=K+1 M=IPVT(K)
	- - - 	T = B(M) B(M) = B(K) B(K) = T
	10 20	$\begin{array}{c} D(A) = I = K P^{1} A A A A A A A A$
C C C		BACK SUBSTITUTION
		DO 40 KB=1+NM1 KM1=N-KB K=KM1+1
		B(K) = B(K) / A(K, K) T = -B(K) / A(K, K)
	30	B(I)=B(I)+A(I,K)*T $CONTINUE$
	40 50	$\begin{array}{l} CONTINUE\\ B(1)=B(1)/A(1,1)\\ RETURN \end{array}$
C		END
č	****	**************************************
		COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/NDIM,N,LINT,NI,NO,KODEI,KODEP,NPOIN,NELEM
		COMMON/BEM4/EXISE(/)/EIASP(/)/WEIGP(/)/XM(40)/TM(40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40)/LNODS(3,50)/COORD(2,40) COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40)/IPVT(40)/COND/NONL/LMICMI
		COMMON/BEM7/GGP(40,40),HHP(40,40),ALPHA(40),BETA(40) COMMON/BEM8/EFI(41) WRITE (NO.100)
	100	FORMAT(,,,120(,*,)//1X,,RESULTS,//2X,,BOUNDARY NODES,//2X,,NODE,,10X,,X,,17X,,Y,,12X,,APP, POT.,,6X,

a۶

1065

984

С

С DO 201 I=1 .N С IF(M-1)31,31,10 31 IF(LMICMI-1)33,10,33 C WRITE(N0,200) I,XM(I),YM(I),FI(I),DFI(I) G0 T0 201 WRITE(N0,200) I,X(I),Y(I),FI(I),DFI(I) 10 33 201 200 CONTINUE FORMAT(1X,13,4X,E14,7,3(4X,E14,7)) C C C CHECK KODEI IF (KODEI.EQ.0) GO TO 777 C wRITE (N0,300) 300 FORMAT(//;2X,INTERNAL POINTS,//1X,NODE,8X,X,20X, ,Y,11X,APP, POT.,) DO 20 K=1,LINT 20 WRITE(N0,400)K,CX(K),CY(K),SOL(K) 400 FORMAT(14,2X,E14.7,3(5X,E14.7)) 777 WRITE (N0,500) 500 FORMAT(,,,120(,*,)) berline RETURN END C**** 2020 COMPUTE GG AND THE MATRICES FOR CONSTANT ELEMENTS $\begin{array}{c} X (N+1) = X (1) \\ Y (N+1) = Y (1) \\ DO 11 I = 1, N \\ XM(I) = \{Y(I) + Y(I+1)\}/2 \\ IF (M-1) 15, 15, 12 \\ XM(NC(I)) = (Y(I)C(I)) + X(1))/2 \\ YM(NC(I)) = (Y(I)C(I)) + Y(I))/2 \\ DO 13 K = 2, M \\ XM(NC(K)) = (Y(I)C(K)) + X(NC(K-1)+1))/2 \\ YM(NC(K)) = (Y(I)C(K)) + Y(NC(K-1)+1))/2 \\ DO 110 I = 1, N \\ DO 110 J = 1, N \end{array}$ 11 12 13 15 C C C IF(M-1)16, 16, 17IF (J-NC(1)) 19, 18, 19KKK=1G0 T0 23 $UP (32, KTK <math>M^2$ 2, 21, 22 KKK=NC(K-1)+1 G0 T0 23 CONTINUE 17 18 19 21 CONTINUE KKK=J+1 22 16 C $\begin{array}{c} IF(I-J)_{20,25,20} \\ CALL INTEC (XM(I),YM(I),X(J),Y(J),X(KKK),Y(KKK),HH(I,J),GG(J)) \\ \end{array}$ 23 20 GO TO 110 CALL INLOC (X(J),Y(J),X(KKK),Y(KKK),GG(I,J)) HH(I,J)=3.1415926 25 110 CONTINUE C C RETURN C* SUBROUTINE INTEC (XP, YP, X1, Y1, X2, Y2, HH, GG) С

THIS SUBROUTINE COMPUTES THE VALUES OF THE HH AND GG MATRIX OFF DIAGONAL ELEMENTS BY MEANS OF NUMERICAL INTEGRATION ALONG THE CONSTANT ELEMENTS. 1006 C C C C C C C 1067 1068 069 DIMENSION XCO(4),YCO(4),GI(4),OME(4) DATA GI/0.86113631,-0.86113631, 0.33998104,-0.33998104/ DATA OME/0.34785485, 0.34785485, 0.65214515, 0.65214541/ AX=(X2-X1)/2. BX=(X2+X1)/2. AY=(Y2-Y1)/2. BY=(Y2+Y1)/2. C C IF (AX) 10,20,10 TA=AY/AX DIST= ABS((TA*XD-YD+Y1-TA*X1)/ SQRT(TA**2+1)) GQ_IO 30 10 $\begin{array}{c} D1S1= ABS((1A_*XP-1P+1=1A_*X1)) & SQRT \\ G0 & T0 & 30 \\ D1ST= ABS(XP-x1) \\ SIG=(x1-XP)*(Y2-YP)-(X2-XP)*(Y1-YP) \\ IF & (SIG) & 31,32,32 \\ D1ST=-D1ST \end{array}$ 20 30 ብዖ 31 GG=0. HH=0. DO 40 I=1,4 XCO(I)=AX*GI(I)+BX YCO(I)=AY*GI(I)+BY RA= SQRT((XP-XCO(I))**2+(YP-YCO(I))**2) GZ= ALOG(1/RA)*OME(I)* SQRT(AX**2+AY**2) HZ= DIST*OME(I)* SQRT(AX**2+AY**2)/RA**2 С 32 С GG=GG+GZ 40 HH=HH-HZ END C***** S S S ELEMENYBROGTHE SCHMATESXTHERVEDNESARE BUENBARGORCEMENTS. AX=(X2-X1)/2. AY=(Y2-Y1)/2. SEP=SORT(AX**2+AY**2) GG=2.*SEP*(ALOG(1./SEP)+1.) C RETURN Ç 6 SUBROUTINE INTEFC C C C C C C C THIS SUBROUTINE IS FOR THE CONSTANT BOUNDARY ELEMENTS FOR INTERNAL POINTS, WRITES AH AND BG ONTO DISC FILE(10) COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/GG(40,40),HH(40,40),KODE(40),FI(41),DFI(41) COMMON/BEM3/NDIM,N,LINT,NI,NO,KODEI,KODEP,NPOIN,NELEM COMMON/BEM4/EXISP(7),ETASP(7),WEIGP(7),XM(40),YM(40) COMMON/BEM5/PTERM(40),LNODS(3,50),COORD(2,40) COMMON/BEM6/WORK(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL,LMICMI COMMON/BEM7/GGP(40,40),HHP(40,40),ALPHA(40),BETA(40) PREPARE DISC FOR WRITING REWIND 10 LOOP OVER THE INTERNAL POINTS DO 20 K=1,LINT C C C C C LOOP OVER THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS 44 1 45 DO 30 J=1,N 1 C C 46 1147

	17 18 19 21 22 16 23 30 20	<pre>IF(M-1)16;16;178,19 KKK=1 G0 T0 23 D0 22 KL=2;M IF(J-NC(KL))22;21;22 KKK=NC(KL-1)+1 G0 T0 23 CONTINUE KKK=J+1 CALL INTEC (CX(K),CY(K),X(J),Y(J),X(KKK),Y(KKK),AH,BG) WRITE ONTO DISC FILE(10) WRITE(10) AH;BG CONTINUE CONTINUE DETURN</pre>
C		END
		SUBROUTINE INTERC THIS SUBROUTINE COMPUTES THE POTENTIAL VALUE AT INTERNAL ELEMENTS FOR CONSTANT BOUNDARY ELEMENTS COMMON/BEM1/X(41),Y(41),CX(9),CY(9),SOL(9),BB(40),M,NC(5) COMMON/BEM2/AG(40,40),UH(40,40),KOPE(40),FI(41),DEI(41) COMMON/BEM2/AG(40,40),UH(40,40),KOPE(40),FI(41),DEI(41) COMMON/BEM5/FTERM(40),LNODS(3,50),COORD(2,40) COMMON/BEM5/FTERM(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL(2,40) COMMON/BEM5/FTERM(40),IPVT(40),COND,NONL(2,40) COMMON/BEM5/FTERM(40),HHP(40,40),ALPHA(40),BETA(40) PREPARE DISC(10) FOR READING REWIND 10 LOOP OVER THE INTERNAL POINTS DO 40 K=1,LINT SOL(K)=0. CHECK KODEP IF (KODEP.EQ.0) GO TO 998
	998 30 40	CALL BCAL (CX(K), CY(K), BSRCE) SOL(K)=BSRCE LOOP OVER THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS DO 30 J=1,N READ DISC FILE(10) READ(10) AH,BG SOL(K)=SOL(K)+DFI(J)*BG-FI(J)*AH SOL(K)=SOL(K)/(2.*3.1415926) RETURN END

â

Я

I215

DATA AND RESULTS FOR PRO	DBLEM (1c)		108
M=1 LMICMI=1 N NC(K); 16 N= 16 KODEI=1 KODFP=	10NL=0		
COORDINATES OF THE EXTREM	E POINTS OF THE BO	UNDARY ELEMENTS	
POINT X 1 000000 2 250000+000 3 500000+000 4 7500000+000 5 1000000+001 7 1000000+001 3 1000000+001 9 1000000+001 10 7500000+000 11 500000+000 12 2500000+000 13 0000000 14 0000000 15 0000000	$\begin{array}{c} & Y \\ 0000000 \\ 0000000 \\ 0000000 \\ 0000000$		
BOUNDARY CONDITIONS			
NOUE(I) KODE(I) 1 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 5 1 7 1 8 1 9 3 10 3 11 3 12 3 13 1 14 1 15 1 CONDITION NO= .30337+	ALPHA(I) •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000+002 •5000000+002 •5000000+002 •5000000+002 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 •0000000	BETA(I) 0000000 0000000 0000000 1000000+002 100000+002 100000+002 200000+002 200000+002 200000+002 200000+002 100000+003 100000+003 100000+003 100000+003	
	* # * * * # * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*************	******
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Y 0000000 0000000 000000 1250000+000 3750000+000 6250000+000 1000000+001 1000000+001 1000000+001 100000+001 100000+001 3750000+000 6250000+000 3750000+000 1250000+000 1250000+000	APP. POT. (U) 8794546+002 6307473+002 4038484+002 1945775+002 1000000+002 1000000+002 1000000+002 1000000+002 1925288+002 2076315+002 2203964+002 2203964+002 22606613+002 100000+003 1000000+003 1000000+003	APP. POT. DERIV. 0000000 0000000 0000000 -8322287+002 -7182383+002 -7182383+002 -5982903+002 -3735592+002 -3815775+002 -3815775+002 -1019820+003 -4033065+003 -4033065+003 -4340790+003 -115742+003 -115742+003 -1083507+003
INTERNAL POINTS			
NOPE 2 500000+000 3 7500000+000 4 2500000+000 5 2000000+000	250000+000 250000+000 •750000+000 •7500000+000 •7500000+000 •5000000+000	AF4,3593+002 2929521+002 -2335871+002 -5794830+002 -4606160+002	

DATA AND RESULTS FOR PROBLEM (3) LMICMI=2 M=1 NONL=0 NC(K): 23 N = 23KODEI=1 KODEP=1 COORDINATES OF THE EXTREME POINTS OF THE BOUNDARY ELEMENTS X 100000-002 200000+001 4000000+001 6000000+001 8000000+001 1000000+002 1000000+002 1000000+002 9999000+001 8000000+001 8000000+001 POINT 23 4 5 б 7 8901234507890123 .6000000+001 .400000+001 .400000+001 .6000000+001 .7999000+001 .8000000+001 .8000000+001 .8000000+001 .7999000+001 .4000000+001 .200000+001 .200000+001 .100000-002 •4000000+001 •4000000+001 • 00000000 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ALPHA(I) •0000000 •0000000 NODE(I) KODE(I) 2345.07890123456 .00000000 1 11222333333332 •0000000 17 18 22 •0000000 19 20 22 23 23 .3000000+003 1 .0000000 • 3000000+003 • 3000000+003 • 3000000+003 • 3000000+003 ī •0000000 •0000000 •0000000 î 1 .0000000 INTERNAL SOURCE TERM DATA NPOIN = 24NELEM = 28EXISP •33333333 •10128651 •79742699 •10128651 •47014206 •05971587 •47014206 ETASP •33333333 •10128651 •10128651 •79742699 WEIGP •1250000 •06296959 •06296959 •06296959 .47014206 .47014206 .05971587 06619708 06619708 06619708

E 1234567890123456789012345678	NODE 1 12233445577 88899001111334499900	S 829 304152648 5960718204153041	7889900112344555677890012334			
	NODE 123456789012345678901234 1111111222234		X-C0 2468000000000000000000000000000000000000	ORD 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000		Y-COORD 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 4000000 4000000 4000000 4000000 4000000
	NODE 234 5678901234567 11234567			E00000 0000000000000000000000000000000		

COND *****	18 1000.00000 19 1000.00000 20 1000.00000 21 1000.00000 22 1000.00000 23 1000.00000 24 1000.00000 100	3+005 *************	*****	*****
RESUL	ſs			
BOUN	DARY NODES			
N12345678901234567890223	$\begin{array}{c} x \\ 100000-002 \\ 200000-001 \\ 4000000+001 \\ 6000000+001 \\ 8000000+001 \\ 9999000+001 \\ 100000+002 \\ 1000000+002 \\ 1000000+001 \\ 8000000+001 \\ 8000000+001 \\ 4000000+001 \\ 4000000+001 \\ 3999000+001 \\ 3999000+001 \\ 2000000+001 \\ 1000000-002 \\ 0000000 \\ 8000000 \\ 800000 \\ 8000000 \\ 800000 \\ 0000000 \\ 800000 \\ 0000000 \\ 800000 \\ 0000000 \\ 0000000 \\ 0000000 \\ 000000$	Y 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000	APP. POT. 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3012261+004 66521926+003 59837450+003 59837450+003 6678055205+003 6678055205+003 6678055226+003 6678055226+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 55803632+003 558036000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003 3000000+003	APP. POT. DERIV. - 4333732+003 - 204554+004 - 2162779+004 - 2162779+004 - 2103638+004 - 22013638+004 - 22013638+004 - 2228507+004 - 0000000 - 0000000 - 0000000 - 2043852+004 - 1607264+004 - 1607264+004 - 3568805+004 - 2110411+004 - 0000000 - 0000000 - 0000000 - 2236263+004 - 22034954+004 - 22034954+004 - 22044660+004 - 2044660+004 - 203852+004 - 2044660+004 - 2044660+004 - 2044660+004 - 2044660+004 - 2044660+004 - 204460+004 - 204460+004 - 204460+004 - 20400000 - 204004 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 20400000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 204000000 - 2040000000 - 2040000000 - 2040000000 - 2040000000 - 2040000000 - 20400000000 - 2040000000 - 20400000000 - 20400000000 - 20400000000 - 20400000000 - 20400000000 - 20400000000 - 20400000000000000 - 20400000000000000000000000000000000000
INTE	RNAL POINTS			
NODE 1 34 5 6	2000000+001 2000000+001 4000000+001 6000000+001 8000000+001	4000000+001 4888884881 2000000+001 2000000+001 2000000+001	APP POT 2448166+004 2649578+004 2645709+004 2477092+004 2419300+004	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DATA AND RESULT	TS FOR PROBLEM (4a)			
M=1 LMICMI	=2 NONL=1			
N= 20 KODEI=1	KODERED			
N= 20 KODE1=1	KODEL=0			
COORDINATES OF TH	E FXTREME POINTS	OF THE BOU	NDARY ELEMENT	S
POINT	Χ 0000-002	Y		
2 .250 3 .500	0000+000 .0	00000 00000		
4 ,750	0000+000 0	000000 00000		
7	88881881	58888887885		
9 100 10 100	0000+001 0000+001 0000+001	5888888+888		
11 12 750	0000+000 •1 0000+000 •1			
13 14 250	0000+000 1 0000+000 1	000000+001		
	0000-002 •1 0000 •9	990000+000 500000+000		
18 •000 19 •000	0000 ·5 0000 ·2	000000+000 500000+000		
20 •000	0000 •1	00000-002		
BOUHDARY CONDITIO	NS			
NODE (I) KODE	(I) ALPHA (I)		BETA(I)	
23	.000000			
4 2 5 2	.0000000 .000000			
° 3 7 3	•0000ñ00 •0000n00		.000000	
	•0000000		.0000000	
$\begin{array}{ccc} 10 & 5 \\ 11 & 2 \\ 12 & 2 \end{array}$	•0000000		.0000000	
13 2	.0000000		.000000	
15 2 10 2	.0000000 .0000000		.1000000+004	
17 2 18 2	•0000000 •000000		<pre>.1000000+004 .1000000+004</pre>	
$\frac{19}{20}$ 2	•0000000 •000000		•1000000+004 •1000000+004	
	TAMB-350 0	NST- 6	NI AT 10	TUC- 1 00
CONDITION NO=	.30235+002	1401-		ILC- TOO
CONDITION NO=	.51157+002			
CONDITION NO=	43857+002			

ITER = 4

EPS = .2099609-001

DERIV.

BOUNDARY NODES APP POT 1418607+004 1174095+004 9243633+003 6746285+003 4251029+003 4251029+003 4251029+003 4251029+003 4250370+003 4250370+003 6746285+003 6746285+003 6746285+004 1423697+004 1423577+004 1423577+004 1423698+004 1423698+004 X •100000-002 •250000+000 •500000+000 •750000+000 •9990000+000 •1000000+001 NODE APP. POT. .0000000 1234567 .0000000 .0000000 .0000000 .000000 .000000 .1000000-002 .2500000+000 .500000+000 .7500000+000 .000000+001 .1000000+001 .1000000+001 .1000000+001 .1000000+000 .7500000+000 .2500000+000 .2500000+000 .1000000-002 .00000000 0000000 . •1000000+001 •1000000+001 8901234567890 ----• 100000+001 • 100000+001 • 100000+001 • 9990000+000 • 7500000+000 • 500000+000 • 2500000+000 • 2500000+000 • 0000000 • 0000000 • 0000000 • 0000000 • 0000000 INTERNAL POINTS APP. POT. .1173917+004 .6748170+003 .6748170+003 .1173917+004 .9243668+003 NODE Х Υ .250000+000 .7500000+000 .7500000+000 .2500000+000 .5000000+000 .2500000+000 .2500000+000 .7500000+000 .7500000+000 .5000000+000 12345

RESULTS

APPENDIX D FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD

In the finite difference approach the partial differential equation of the heat conduction is approximated by a set of algebraic equations for temperature at a number of grid points over the region. Therefore, the first in the analysis is the finite difference representation or the transformation into a set of algebraic equations of the differential equation of heat conduction [19, p.128]. The second in the analysis is the solution of a system of simultaneous equations with the temperatures as the unknowns. The Gauss-Seidel iterative process is one method frequently used [17, p. 486]. To start, a temperature is assumed everywhere at the plate. The process of iteration through all grid point is repeated until further iterations would produce, it is hoped, very little change in the computed temperatures. The following programs stop if the sum EPS, over all grid points, of the absolute values of the deviations of the temperatures from their previously computed values, falls below a small quantity EPSMAX. Computations will also be discontinued if the number of complete iterations, ITER, exceeds an upper limit, ITMAX.

12345 6780012345 112345 **NOCOCOC** FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD STEADY-STATE UEAT CONDUCTION IN A PLATE GAUSS-SEIDEL TYPE OF SOLUTION FOR PROBLEM (1b) DIMENSION T(30,30) N:NO. OF GRID POINTS IN X- AND Y-DIRECTIONS ITMAX=MAXIMUM NO. OF ITERATIONS EPSMAX=MAXIMUM DEVIATION OF THE TEMPERATURES N=9 ITMAX=1000 EPSMAX=0.001 CCC INITIAL GUESSES FOR TEMP. $\begin{array}{c} D0 & 1 & I=1, \\ D0 & 1 & J=1, \\ T & J=0, \\ T & (I, J)=0, \\ \end{array}$ 1 CALCULATE SUCCESSIVELY BETTER APPROXIMATIONS FOR TEMP. AT ALL POINTS, ITERATING UNTIL SATISFACTORY CONVERGENCE IS ACHIEVED ITER=0 3 ITER=ITER+1 EPS=0. 31. 32. 33. CCCCC G=(VOL, HEAT GENERATION)*(MESH SIZE**2)/THERMAL COND. H=2.*CONVECTIVE H.T.C.*MESH SIZE/THERMAL COND. HA=H*AMBIENT TEMP. 34. 36.37.38.39 G=0.*(.125**2)/1 H=2.*20.*.125/1. HA=H*1. чó. 41 42 43 CCCC • ALONG THE X-AXIS • D0 5 I=2;H=1 H0LDT=T(I,1) T(I,1)=(T(I+1,1)+T(I-1,1)+2.0*T(I,2)+G)/4. 5 EPS=EPS+ABS(T(I,1)-H0LDT) 44. 45 • 46 47. 48 CCCC ALONG THE Y-AXIS 49. 50, 51 52 53 54 DO 6 J=2,N=1 HOLDT=T(1,J) T(1,J)=(T(1,J+1)+T(1,J=1)+2.0*T(2,J)+G)/4. 6 EPS=EPS+ABS(T(1,J)-HOLDT) CCC 55. ALONG THE Y=1 LINE 56. Do 7 I=2,II=1HoLDT=T(I,N) T(I,N)=(2,0*T(I,N-1)+T(I-1,N)+T(I+1,N)+G+HA)/(H+4,) 7 EPS=EPS+AAS(T(I,N)-HOLDT) 58. 5ġ • 60 61 FOR CORNER POINTS 63• С Ċ 64. HoLDT=T(1,1) T(1,1)=(2*T(2,1)+2*T(1,2)+G)/4* EPS=EPS+ABS(T(1,1)-HOLDT) HOLDT=T(1,H) T(1,H)=(2*T(2,N)+2*T(1,H-1)+G+HA)/(H+4*) EPS=EPS+ABS(T(1,H)-HOLDT) 6567 6667 669 ç FOR INTERIOR POINTS Do 8 I=2,II=1DO 8 J=2,II=1HOLDT=T(I,J) T(I,J)=(T(I,J+1)+T(I,J-1)+T(I+1,J)+T(I-1,J)+G)/4. B EPS=EPS+ABS(T(I,J)-HOLDT) 78. 28: STOP ITERATIONS IF COMPUTED VALUES SHOW LITTLE FURTHER CHANGE OR IF THE NO. OF ITERATIONS IS TOO LARGE 81. 82. 83. IF(ITER.GF.ITMAX)GO TO 14 IF(EPS-EPSMAX)14+3+3 84. PRINT NO. OF ITERATIONS(ITER), THE LAST DEVIATION(EPS) AND THE TEMPERATURES 85. 0000 86• 87. 88. 14 WRITE(6,201)ITER(EPS DO 11 J=N,1,-1 11 WRITE(6,202) (T(I,J),I=1,N) 201 FORMAT(1)11,///,30X,(ITER =,,I5,///,30X,(EPS =,,E14.9) 202 FORMAT(//,2X,9E13.7) 89 90 91 92 201 93. 202 STOP 94 .

RESULTS:

 $IT_{E}R = 188$

EPS =.991735607-003

 $\begin{array}{l} .9574618+_{000} & .9503752+_{000} & .9529376+_{000} & .9465_047+_{000} & .9355642+_{000} & .9162731+_{000} & .8753595+_{000} & .7422733+_{000} & .000000 \\ .8522_030+_{000} & .8484^{0}80+_{000} & .8367870+_{000} & .8150270+_{000} & .7786557+_{000} & .7177713+_{000} & .6098476+_{000} & .4025513+_{000} & .0000000 \\ .7543712+_{000} & .7486338+_{000} & .73_06913+_{000} & .6981658+_{000} & .6462642+_{000} & .5663114+_{000} & .4437095+_{000} & .2580844+_{000} & .0000000 \\ .668_0469+_{000} & .66_09^{0}36+_{000} & .6391956+_{000} & .6006954+_{000} & .5419356+_{000} & .457509+_{000} & .3405995+_{000} & .1860780+_{000} & .0000000 \\ .5958773+_{000} & .588_{12}68+_{000} & .564428_{0+000} & .5235_{0}68+_{000} & .4632914+_{000} & .3812023+_{000} & .2751092+_{000} & .14563_{0}4+_{000} & .0000000 \\ .539_27_08+_{000} & .5312^{4}637_{000} & .5_{0}69_{1}68+_{000} & .46564_{1}8+_{000} & .4_{0}65446+_{000} & .3289167+_{000} & .233_{0}146+_{000} & .1213375+_{000} & .0000000 \\ .4987879+_{000} & .4907166+_{000} & .4663925+_{000} & .4256348+_{000} & .3683569+_{000} & .2757473+_{000} & .1921949+_{000} & .9879492-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .4745323+_{000} & .4664^{0}25+_{000} & .4423495+_{000} & .3294167+_{000} & .2757473+_{000} & .1921949+_{000} & .9879492-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .46648_09+_{000} & .4664^{0}25+_{000} & .4423495+_{000} & .3249167+_{000} & .2757473+_{000} & .1921949+_{000} & .9879492-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .46648_09+_{000} & .4664^{0}25+_{000} & .4423495+_{000} & .3249167+_{000} & .2757473+_{000} & .1921949+_{000} & .9879492-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .46648_09+_{000} & .4664^{0}25+_{000} & .4423495+_{000} & .3249167+_{000} & .2757473+_{000} & .1921949+_{000} & .9879492-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .46648_09+_{000} & .4664^{0}25+_{000} & .4394669+_{000} & .3391627+_{000} & .2695397+_{000} & .1875457+_{000} & .9628111-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .46648_09+_{000} & .4564929+_{000} & .3394669+_{000} & .3391627+_{000} & .2695397+_{000} & .1875457+_{000} & .9628111-_{001} & .0000000 \\ .4666800+_{000} & .46669254+_{000} & .3694669+_{000} & .3391627+_{000} &$

1.2.	•		FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD FOR PROBLEM (3) DIMENSION T(90,90) N=11
3. 4. 5.	C C		M=9 ITMAX=1000 EPSMAX=0.001
7 8 9	Ļ	1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
10. 11. 12. 13.	. C	3	ITER=0 ITER=ITER+1 EPS=0.
14 15 16			$G_{\pm} 2000.*1.*1./2.$ $H_{\pm}^{2}.*40.*1./2.$ $H_{\Lambda}^{\pm}=500.*H^{1}./2.$
18 20 21	r C	6	Do 6 $J=2,4$ HoLDT=T(H;J) T(H;J)=(Y(N;J+1)+T(N;J-1)+2.*T(N-1;J)+G)/4. EPS=EPS+ABS(T(H;J)-HOLDT)
234	, C	16	Do 16 I=2,4 HoLDT=T(I,M) T(I,M)=(T(I+1,M)+T(I-1,M)+2.*T(I,M-1)+G)/4. EPS=EPS+ABS(T(I,M)-HOLDT)
28.230.331.32	C C	7	Do 7 I=5,11-1 HoLDT=T(I,5) T(I,5)=($T(I-1,5)+T(I+1,5)+2.*T(I,4)+HA+G$)/(4.+H) EPS=EPS+ABS(T(I,5)-HOLDT)
33 34 35 36	Ŭ	17	Do 17 J=6,M-1 HoLDT=T(5,J) T(5,J)=(T(5,J-1)+T(5,J+1)+2.*T(4,J)+HA+G)/(4.+H) EPS=EPS+ABS(T(5,J)-HOLDT)
38. 39. 40.	C		HoLDT=T(5,M) T(5,M)=(2*T(4,M)+2*T(5,M-1)+G+HA)/(4+H) EPS=EPS+ABS(T(5,M)-HOLDT)
41. 42. 43. 44.	C		$H_0LDT=T(N,5)$ T(N,5)=(2 *T(N-1,5)+2 *T(N,4)+G+HA)/(4,+H) EPS=EPS+ABS(T(N,5)-HOLDT)
46 47 48 49 50		8	Do 8 $I=2,II=1$ DO 8 $J=2,4$ HoLDT=T(I,J) T(I,J)=(T(I,J+1)+T(I,J-1)+T(I+1,J)+T(I-1,J)+G)/4. EPS=EPS+ABS(T(I,J)-HOLDT)
52 52 53 55 55 55 55	C	18	Do 18 I=2,4 Do 18 J=5,M-1 HOLDT=T(I,J) T(I,J)=(T(I,J+1)+T(I,J-1)+T(I+1,J)+T(I-1,J)+G)/4. EPS=EPS+ABS(T(I,J)-HOLDT)
57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62.	. с	14 11	IF(ITER.GE.ITMAX)GO TO 14 IF(EPS-EPSMAX)14,3,3 WRITE(6,201)ITER.EPS DO 11 J=M,6,-1 WRITE(6,202)(T(I,J) ,I=1,5)
63. 64. 65. 66. 67.		201 202 111	FORMAT(1H1,///,20X,,ITER=,,I5////20X,,EPS=,,E14,9) FORMAT(///,2X,11E10.4) D0 111 J=5,1,-1 WRITE(6,202)(T(I,J),I=1,N) STOP

 $\cdot 3000 + 003 \cdot 1^{9}0^{8} + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{67}0 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{7}20 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{27}0 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{116} + 00^{4} \cdot 2060 + 00^{4} \cdot 2039 + 00^{4} \cdot 20^{3}0 + 00^{4} \cdot 2026 + 00^{4} \cdot 2025 + 00^{4} \cdot 3000 + 00^{3} \cdot 1^{7}31 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{45}0 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{6}00 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{5}30 + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{489} + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{468} + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{458} + 00^{4} \cdot 2^{458}$

•3000+003 •1⁹31+00⁴ •2⁶00+00⁴ •2⁷1+00⁴ •6⁴5⁷+00³ •601⁷+00³ •5⁹8²+00³ •5⁹71+00³ •5⁹⁶7+00³ •5⁹65+00³ •5⁹65+00³

.3000+003 .1⁹15+004 .2531+004 .2116+004 .6017+003

.3000+003 .1899+004 .2491+004 .2062+004 .5982+003

.3000+003 .1889+004 .2472+004 .2042+004 .5973+003

.3000+003 .1887+004 .2467+004 .2037+004 .5970+003

EPS=.862121582-003

ITER= 60

RESULTS: