

**DOMAIN OF THE DOUBLE BAND MATRIX DEFINED
BY FIBONACCI NUMBERS IN THE MADDOX'S
SPACE $\ell(p)$**

by

Hüsamettin ÇAPAN

A thesis submitted to

the Graduate School of Sciences and Engineering

of

Fatih University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Mathematics

January 2014
Istanbul, TURKEY

APPROVAL PAGE

This is to certify that I have read this thesis written by Hüsamettin ÇAPAN and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Mathematics.

Prof. Dr. Feyzi BAŞAR
Thesis Supervisor

I certify that this thesis satisfies all the requirements as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Mathematics.

Prof. Dr. Feyzi BAŞAR
Head of Department

Examining Committee Members

Prof. Dr. Feyzi BAŞAR

Prof. Dr. Eberhard MALKOWSKY

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mustafa DEMİRPLAK

It is approved that this thesis has been written in compliance with the formatting rules laid down by the Graduate School of Sciences and Engineering.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nurullah ARSLAN
Director

January 2014

**DOMAIN OF THE DOUBLE BAND MATRIX DEFINED
BY FIBONACCI NUMBERS IN THE MADDOX'S
SPACE $\ell(p)$**

Hüsamettin ÇAPAN

M.S. Thesis – Mathematics
January 2014

Thesis Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Feyzi BAŞAR

ABSTRACT

In the study, we have studied; the sequence space $\ell(F, p)$ of non-absolute type which is the domain of the double band matrix F defined by the sequence of the Fibonacci numbers in the sequence space $\ell(p)$, where $\ell(p)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty$ and was defined by Maddox [1]. Furthermore, the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of the space $\ell(F, p)$ are determined, and the Schauder basis is given. The classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the spaces ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 are characterized. Additionally, the characterizations of some other classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the Euler, Riesz, difference, etc., sequence spaces are obtained from the main results of the study.

Keywords: Paranormed sequence space, double sequential band matrix, alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals, matrix transformations of a sequence space.

FİBONACCİ SAYILARI İLE TANIMLANAN ÇİFT BANT MATRİSİNİN $\ell(p)$ MADDOX UZAYI ÜZERİNDEKİ ETKİ ALANI

Hüsamettin ÇAPAN

Yüksek Lisans Tezi – Matematik
Ocak 2014

Tez Danışmanı: Prof. Dr. Feyzi BAŞAR

ÖZ

Yapmış olduğumuz çalışmada; Fibonacci sayılarının bir dizisi ile tanımlanan F çift bant matrisinin, Maddox [1] tarafından tanımlanan $\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty$ olacak şekilde $x = (x_k)$ dizilerinin $\ell(p)$ uzayı üzerindeki etki alanı olan mutlak olmayan türden $\ell(F, p)$ dizi uzayı incelendi. Ayrıca, $\ell(F, p)$ uzayının alfa-, beta- ve gamma-dualleri hesaplandı ve Schauder bazı verildi. $\ell(F, p)$ uzayından ℓ_∞ , c ve c_0 uzaylarına matris dönüşümlerinin sınıfları karakterize edildi. İlâveten, $\ell(F, p)$ uzayından Euler, Riesz, fark, vb. dizi uzaylarına bazı matris dönüşümlerinin karakterizasyonları çalışmanın ana sonuçlarından elde edildi.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Paranormlu dizi uzayı, çift sıralı bant matris, alfa-, beta-, gama-dualler, dizi uzayında matris dönüşümleri.

To my parents *Gülfikâr & Ali Rıza ÇAPAN...*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Feyzi BAŞAR for his guidance, help and suggestions throughout the research and writing the thesis.

I express my thanks and appreciation to my family for their understanding, motivation and patience. Lastly, but in no sense the least, I am thankful to all colleagues and friends who made my stay at the university a memorable and valuable experience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ÖZ | iv |
| DEDICATION | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS..... | viii |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Preliminaries..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Some Inequalities..... | 11 |
| CHAPTER 2 CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $F = (f_{nk})$ MATRIX TO SOME MATRIX CLASSES..... | 13 |
| CHAPTER 3 THE SEQUENCE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$ | 15 |
| CHAPTER 4 THE ALPHA-, BETA- AND GAMMA-DUALS OF THE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$ | 24 |
| CHAPTER 5 MATRIX TRANSFORMATONS ON THE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$ | 27 |
| CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION | 33 |
| REFERENCES | 35 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

SYMBOL/ABBREVIATION

| | |
|------------------|--|
| \mathbb{N} | Set of natural numbers, i.e., $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ |
| \mathbb{R}^+ | Set of non-negative real numbers |
| \mathbb{R} | Set of real numbers, the real field |
| \mathbb{C} | Set of complex numbers, the complex field |
| \mathcal{F} | Collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} |
| w | Set of all sequences with complex entries |
| θ | Zero vector in a linear space X |
| ϕ | Set of all finitely non-zero sequences |
| \emptyset | Empty set |
| ℓ_∞ | Space of all bounded sequences |
| c | Space of convergent sequences |
| c_0 | Space of null sequences |
| bs | Space of bounded series |
| cs | Space of convergent series |
| ℓ_1 | Space of absolutely summable sequences |
| ℓ_p | Space of absolutely p -summable sequences |
| $\ell_\infty(p)$ | Space of sequences (x_k) such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k ^{p_k} < \infty$ |
| $c(p)$ | Space of sequences (x_k) such that $ x_k - l ^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ |
| $c_0(p)$ | Space of sequences (x_k) such that $ x_k ^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ |
| $\ell(p)$ | Space of sequences (x_k) such that $\sum_k x_k ^{p_k} < \infty$ |
| $x^{[m]}$ | m^{th} section of a sequence $x = (x_k)$ |
| $e^{(k)}$ | Sequences whose only non-zero term is a 1 in k^{th} place for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Ax | $\{(Ax)_n^i\}_{i,n=0}^\infty$ |
| $\{(Ax)_n\}$ | A -transform of a sequence x |
| $A^{(-1)}$ | Right inverse of a matrix A |
| (λ, μ) | Class of all matrices from a sequence space λ into a sequence space μ |
| $(c : c)$ | Class of conservative matrices |
| $(c : c; p)$ | Class of Teoplitz (regular) matrices |
| $(\ell_\infty : c)$ | Class of Schur (coercive) matrices |
| $\mathcal{K}(A)$ | Characteristic of a matrix A |
| λ^α | α -dual of a sequence space λ |
| λ^β | β -dual of a sequence space λ |
| λ^γ | γ -dual of a sequence space λ |
| $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ | Set of linear operators from a space X into a space Y |
| $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ | Set of bounded linear operators from a space X into a space Y |
| X^* | Continuous dual of a sequence space X |
| X^f | f -dual of a sequence space X |
| λ_A | Domain of a infinite matrix A in a sequence space λ |
| \sum_k | $\sum_{k=0}^\infty$ |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preliminaries

Definition 1.1.1. [2, p. 71] A **linear space** over the field \mathbb{C} is a nonempty set X with the functions

$$\begin{aligned} + & : X \times X \rightarrow X, \\ \cdot & : \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow X \end{aligned}$$

such that for all scalars $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and elements (vectors) $x, y, z \in X$ we have

$$(L1) \quad x + y = y + x,$$

$$(L2) \quad (x + y) + z = x + (y + z),$$

$$(L3) \quad \text{there exists } \theta \in X \text{ such that } x + \theta = x,$$

$$(L4) \quad \text{there exists } -x \in X \text{ such that } x + (-x) = \theta,$$

$$(L5) \quad 1 \cdot x = x,$$

$$(L6) \quad \lambda(x + y) = \lambda x + \lambda y,$$

$$(L7) \quad (\lambda + \mu)x = \lambda x + \mu x,$$

$$(L8) \quad \lambda(\mu x) = (\lambda\mu)x.$$

Definition 1.1.2. [2, p. 74] A **subset** M in a linear space X is a nonempty subset of X such that $\lambda x + \mu y \in M$ whenever $x, y \in M$, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

By ω , we denote the space of all sequences with complex entries which contains ϕ , the set of all finitely non-zero sequences, that is,

$$\omega := \{x = (x_k) : x_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex field and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. It is routine verification that w is a linear space with respect to coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication of sequences which are defined, as usual, by

$$x + y = (x_k) + (y_k) = (x_k + y_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha x = \alpha(x_k) = (\alpha x_k),$$

respectively; where $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in w$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. By a sequence space, we understand a linear subspace of the space w .

Definition 1.1.3. [2, p. 25] A **metric space** is a pair (X, d) , consisting of nonempty set X and a metric (or distance) function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all x, y, z in X , the following conditions hold:

$$(M1) \quad d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(M2) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x),$$

$$(M3) \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z), \text{ (the triangle inequality).}$$

A metric function is thus a real-valued function defined on pairs of elements of X . It is important to notice that d is necessarily non-negative.

Example 1.1.4. The most popular metric on the space w is defined by

$$d_w(x, y) := \sum_k \frac{|x_k - y_k|}{2^k(1 + |x_k - y_k|)},$$

where $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in w$.

Definition 1.1.5. [2, p. 34] A sequence $(x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, where $x_n \in X$ for every n , is called a **Cauchy sequence** in a metric space (X, d) if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0,$$

i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$$

for all $n, m > N$.

Definition 1.1.6. [2, p. 34] A sequence (x_n) in (X, d) is called **convergent** (to x) if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We then write $x = \lim x_n$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ and call x the limit of the sequence (x_n) .

Now, we can give the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.7. [2, p. 35]

- (i) *A convergent sequence has a unique limit.*
- (ii) *Every convergent sequence is also a Cauchy sequence, but not conversely, in general.*
- (iii) *If a Cauchy sequence has a convergent subsequence then the whole sequence is convergent.*

Definition 1.1.8. [2, p. 36] A metric space (X, d) is called **complete metric space** if and only if every Cauchy sequence converges (to point of X). Explicitly, we require that if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$) then there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Definition 1.1.9. [3, p. 16] Let X be a real or complex linear space and $\|\cdot\|$ be a function from X to the set \mathbb{R}^+ of non-negative real numbers. Then the pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a **normed space** and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on X , if the following axioms are satisfied for all elements $x, y \in X$ and for all scalars λ :

- (N1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$.
- (N2) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|\|x\|$, (the absolute homogeneity property).
- (N3) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$, (the triangle inequality).

Definition 1.1.10. [3, p. 17] Let X be a real or complex linear space and $\|\cdot\|$ be a function from X to the set \mathbb{R}^+ of non-negative real numbers and $p > 0$. Then the pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a **p-normed space** and $\|\cdot\|$ is a p -norm on X , if the following axioms are satisfied for all elements $x, y \in X$ and for all scalars λ :

- (pN1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$,
- (pN2) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|^p \|x\|$,
- (pN3) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$.

Now, we can give some examples for normed and p -normed spaces.

Example 1.1.11. Let us define the relations $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|,$$

$$\|x\|_p = \begin{cases} \sum_k |x_k|^p & , 0 < p < 1, \\ (\sum_k |x_k|^p)^{1/p} & , 1 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ satisfies the norm conditions on the space ℓ_∞ . Also, $\|\cdot\|_p$ defines on the space ℓ_p p -norm and norm for $0 < p < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, respectively.

Definition 1.1.12. [4, p. 67]

- (i) A sequence (x_n) in a normed space X is called **convergent** if X contains an x such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0.$$

Then we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ and call x the limit of (x_n) .

- (ii) A sequence (x_n) in a normed space X is called **Cauchy** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N such that

$$\|x_m - x_n\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $m, n > N$.

Definition 1.1.13. [2, p. 96] A **Banach space** X is a complete normed linear space. Completeness means that if $\|x_m - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, where $x_n, x_m \in X$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Example 1.1.14. The spaces ℓ_∞ and c are Banach spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ defined in Example 1.1.11. In the cases $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < p < 1$, the space ℓ_p is a Banach space and a complete p -normed space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ defined in Example 1.1.11, respectively.

Definition 1.1.15. A linear topological space X over the real field \mathbb{R} is said to be a **paranormed space** if there is a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y \in X$:

- (i) If $x = \theta$, $g(x) = 0$,

- (ii) $g(x) = g(-x)$,
- (iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$,
- (iv) Scalar multiplication is continuous, i.e., $|\alpha_n - \alpha| \rightarrow 0$ and $g(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ imply $g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \rightarrow 0$ for all α 's in \mathbb{R} and all x 's in X , where θ is the zero vector in the linear space X .

If g is a paranorm on X , then (X, g) is called a paranormed space. A paranorm g is called total if $g(x) = 0$ implies $x = \theta$.

Definition 1.1.16. [2, p. 87] Let (X, g) be a paranormed space. A sequence (b_k) of elements of X is called a **Schauder basis** for X if and only if, for each $x \in X$ there exists a unique sequence (λ_k) of scalars such that $x = \sum_k \lambda_k b_k$, i.e such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g \left(x - \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k b_k \right) = 0.$$

Example 1.1.17. Let $e^{(n)}$ be the sequence with $e_n^{(n)} = 1$ and $e_k^{(n)} = 0$ whenever $k \neq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(e^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis of w . More precisely, every sequence $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in w$ has a unique representation $x = \sum_k x_k e^{(k)}$ that is $x^{[m]} \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $x^{[m]} = \sum_{k=0}^m x_k e^{(k)}$, the m -section of x .

Definition 1.1.18. [2, p. 102] Let X, Y be linear spaces. Then, a function $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **linear operator** (or map, transformation) if and only if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, and all scalars λ ,

$$T(x_1 + x_2) = Tx_1 + Tx_2 \quad \text{and} \quad T(\lambda x_1) = \lambda Tx_1.$$

Definition 1.1.19. [2, p. 102] f is a **linear functional** on X if $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear operator, i.e. a linear functional is a complex-valued linear operator.

Definition 1.1.20. [2, p. 103] A linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called **bounded** if and only if there exists a constant M such that

$$\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Note that a bounded functional f on X satisfies

$$|f(x)| \leq M\|x\|$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 1.1.21. [2, p. 104] Let X, Y be two normed spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. Then, T is **continuous** on X if and only if it is bounded.

Definition 1.1.22. [2, p. 105] Let X, Y be linear spaces. Then $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all linear operators on X into Y .

Definition 1.1.23. [2, p. 105] The set $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{C})$ of all linear functionals on X is usually denoted by X^\dagger and is called the algebraic dual of X , that is

$$X^\dagger := \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{linear}\}.$$

Definition 1.1.24. [2, p. 105] Let X, Y be normed spaces. Then $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all bounded (i.e. continuous) linear operators on X into Y .

Definition 1.1.25. [2, p. 106] The set $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{C})$ of all bounded linear functionals on X is called the dual (or continuous dual) of X and is denoted by X^* , that is

$$X^* := \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{linear and bounded}\}.$$

Definition 1.1.26. [3, p. 65] The f -dual X^f of a sequence space X is defined by

$$X^f := \{\{f(e^{(k)})\} : f \in X^*\}.$$

Definition 1.1.27. [2, p. 106] Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. Then the norm of T is defined as

$$\|T\| := \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} < \infty.$$

That the supremum is finite which follows from the fact that

$$\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\| \quad \text{when } T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y).$$

Definition 1.1.28. [4, p. 75] A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a vector space X is said to be **equivalent** to a norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ on X if there are positive number a and b such that

$$a\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\| \leq b\|x\|_0$$

for all $x \in X$. This concept is motivated by equivalent norms on X define the same topology for X .

Theorem 1.1.29. [4, p. 75] On the finite dimensional vector space X , any norm $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to any other norm $\|\cdot\|_0$.

Definition 1.1.30. A sequence space λ with a linear topology is called a **K -space**, provided each of the maps $q_i : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $q_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$; where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex field. If sequence space λ is complete and convergence in λ requires coordinatewise convergence, then λ is called **FK -space**. An FK -space whose topology is normable is called a **BK -space**.

Definition 1.1.31. [5] Let d be a metric on a linear space X . If algebraic operations are continuous, namely (x_n) and (y_n) are two sequences in X , and (α_n) is a sequence of scalars such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y) = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n + y_n, x + y) = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\alpha_n x_n, \alpha x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

then, (X, d) is called **linear metric space**.

Definition 1.1.32. [6] If X is a complete linear metric space then it is called **Frechet sequence space**.

Definition 1.1.33. [5] An FK space $X \supset \phi$ has **AK** if, for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in X$, $x = \sum_k x_k e^{(k)}$, that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{[m]} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m x_k e^{(k)} = x$$

and X has **AD** if ϕ is dense in X . If an FK space has AK or AD we also say that it is an **AK** or **AD space**.

Remark 1.1.34. [5] Every AK space has AD . The converse is not true in general.

Now, let us define classical sequence spaces.

We write ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 for the spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\infty &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k| < \infty \right\}, \\ c &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k - l| = 0 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ c_0 &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also by bs , cs , ℓ_1 and ℓ_p ; we denote the spaces of all bounded, convergent, absolutely

convergent and p -absolutely convergent series, respectively, that is

$$\begin{aligned} bs &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k \right| < \infty \right\}, \\ cs &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k - l \right| = 0 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ \ell_1 &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k |x_k| < \infty \right\}, \\ \ell_p &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k |x_k|^p < \infty \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < p < \infty$.

Assume here and after that (p_k) be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers with $\sup p_k = H$ and $M = \max\{1, H\}$. Then, the linear space $\ell(p)$ was defined by Maddox [1] (see also Simons [7] and Nakano [8]) as follows:

$$\ell(p) := \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \quad (0 < p_k \leq H < \infty)$$

which is the complete space paranormed by

$$g(x) = \left(\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M}.$$

For simplicity in notation, here and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to ∞ . Also other well-known paranormed spaces defined by Maddox [1] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\infty(p) &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ c(p) &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k - l|^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ c_0(p) &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{p_k} = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume throughout that $p_k^{-1} + (p'_k)^{-1} = 1$ and denote the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} by \mathcal{F} and use the convention that any term with negative subscript is equal to naught.

Definition 1.1.35. [3, p. 21] For the sequence spaces λ and μ , the set $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \mu)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \mu) := \{z = (z_k) \in w : xz = (x_k z_k) \in \mu \text{ for all } x = (x_k) \in \lambda\} \quad (1.1.1)$$

is called the multiplier space of λ and μ . With the notation of (1.1.1), the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of a sequence space λ which are denoted by λ^α , λ^β and λ^γ , respectively, are defined by

$$\lambda^\alpha = \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \ell_1), \quad \lambda^\beta = \mathcal{S}(\lambda, cs) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^\gamma = \mathcal{S}(\lambda, bs),$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\alpha &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_k |x_k y_k| < \infty \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \lambda \right\}, \\ \lambda^\beta &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\sum_{k=0}^n x_k y_k \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \lambda \right\}, \\ \lambda^\gamma &:= \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\sum_{k=0}^n x_k y_k \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1.36. [9, pp. 106, 108] *Let λ be an FK-space which contains ϕ . Then,*

(i) $\lambda^\beta \subset \lambda^\gamma \subset \lambda^f$.

(ii) *If λ has AK, $\lambda^\beta = \lambda^f$.*

(iii) *If λ has AD, $\lambda^\beta = \lambda^\gamma$.*

(iv) $\lambda^f = \lambda^*$ *iff λ has AD.*

Definition 1.1.37. [3, p. 31] Suppose that $A = (a_{nk})$ is an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers a_{nk} and $x = (x_k) \in w$, where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we obtain the sequence Ax , the **A-transform of \mathbf{x}** , by the usual matrix product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ax} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & \cdots & a_{0k} & \cdots \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k} & \cdots \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2k} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ a_{n0} & a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nk} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{00}x_0 + a_{01}x_1 + a_{02}x_2 + \cdots + a_{0k}x_k + \cdots \\ a_{10}x_0 + a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1k}x_k + \cdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{n0}x_0 + a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{nk}x_k + \cdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_k a_{0k}x_k \\ \sum_k a_{1k}x_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_k a_{nk}x_k \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, in this way, we transform the sequence x into the sequence space $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$ with

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.1.2)$$

provided the series on the right hand side of (1.1.2) converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let λ and μ be any two sequence spaces. If Ax exists and is in μ for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in \lambda$, then we say that A defines matrix mapping from λ into μ , and we denote it by writing $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$. By (λ, μ) , we denote the class of all matrices A such that $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$. Thus, $A \in (\lambda : \mu)$ if and only if Ax exists i.e. $A_n \in \lambda^\beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and is in μ for all $x \in \lambda$, where A_n denotes the sequence in the n -th row of A .

Definition 1.1.38. For any sequence space λ , the matrix domain λ_A of an infinite matrix A is defined by

$$\lambda_A := \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in \lambda\}.$$

Definition 1.1.39. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. If the A -transform of any convergent sequence of complex numbers exists and converges then, A is called **conservative matrix**. By $(c : c)$, we denote the set of conservative matrices.

Theorem 1.1.40 (Kojima-Schur). [3, p. 35] $A = (a_{nk})$ is a conservative matrix if and only if

$$(i) \quad \|A\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \alpha_k \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a_{nk} = \alpha.$$

Definition 1.1.41. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix and $(x_k) \in w$. If A is conservative and preserves limits, i.e. $x_k \rightarrow x$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, implies $(Ax)_n \rightarrow x$, as

$k \rightarrow \infty$, where $(Ax)_n$ is the A-transform of the convergent sequence (x_k) , then A is called **regular matrix**. By $(c : c; p)$, we denote the set of all regular matrices.

Theorem 1.1.42 (Silverman-Teopltz). [3, p. 35] $A = (a_{nk})$ is a regular matrix if and only if

$$(i) \quad \|A\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a_{nk} = 1.$$

Theorem 1.1.43 (Schur matrix). [3, p. 36] $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell_\infty : c)$ if and only if

(i) The series $\sum_k |a_{nk}|$ must be uniformly convergent with respect to n .

(ii) There exists $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a_{nk} \rightarrow \alpha_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.1.44. [3, p. 38] The characteristic $\mathcal{K}(A)$ of a matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a_{nk} - \sum_k \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} \right)$$

which is a multiplicate linear functional. A matrix A is called **coregular** if $\mathcal{K}(A) \neq 0$ and is called **conull** if $\mathcal{K}(A) = 0$.

Remark 1.1.45. [3, p. 39] The Silverman-Teopltz theorem yields for a regular matrix A that $\mathcal{K}(A) = 1$ which leads us to the fact that Toeplitz matrices form a subset of coregular matrices. One can easily see for a Schur matrix A that $\mathcal{K}(A) = 0$ which says us that coercive matrices for a subset of conull matrices.

1.2 Some Inequalities

Here, we give the inequalities which will be used in the following chapters.

(1) **Triangle inequality:** Let a, b be any two complex numbers. Then, the inequality

$$|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$$

holds.

(2) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$. Then we have the inequality

$$|a + b|^p \leq |a|^p + |b|^p. \quad (1.2.1)$$

(3) **Minkowski's inequality:** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k + y_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |y_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Also, if $x, y \in \ell_p$ then $x + y \in \ell_p$ and we can write

$$\|x + y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p.$$

(4) Let a, b be any complex numbers and B be any positive number. Then, the inequality

$$|ab| \leq B \left(|aB^{-1}|^{p'} + |b|^p \right) \quad (1.2.2)$$

holds, where $p > 1$ and $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$.

CHAPTER 2

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $F = (f_{nk})$ MATRIX TO SOME MATRIX CLASSES

Consider the sequence (f_n) of Fibonacci numbers defined by the linear recurrence relations

$$f_n := \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad n = 0, 1, \\ f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} & , \quad n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let us define the double band matrix $F = (f_{nk})$ by the sequence (f_n) , as follows:

$$f_{nk} := \begin{cases} -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} & , \quad k = n - 1, \\ \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} & , \quad k = n, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 \leq k < n - 1 \text{ or } k > n \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is to say that

$$F = (f_{nk}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_0}{f_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ -\frac{f_2}{f_1} & \frac{f_1}{f_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & -\frac{f_3}{f_2} & \frac{f_2}{f_3} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{f_4}{f_3} & \frac{f_3}{f_4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ -2 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{5}{3} & \frac{3}{5} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, let us investigate the classes of our matrix $F = (f_{nk})$ belonging to. Let us consider the entries of the sequence (f_n)

$$f_0 = f_1 = 1, \quad f_2 = 2, \quad f_3 = 3, \quad f_4 = 5, \dots \text{ and general term } f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}.$$

It is easy to see that $|-f_{n+1}/f_n| \leq 2$ and $|f_n/f_{n+1}| \leq 1$. Also, we have $|-f_{n+1}/f_n| \rightarrow 1, 618\dots$ and $|f_n/f_{n+1}| \rightarrow 0, 618\dots$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(i) Firstly, let us check the norm of the $F = (f_{nk})$ matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\| &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |f_{nk}| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=n-1}^n |f_{nk}| \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\left| -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} \right| + \left| \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} \right| \right) < 3 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since almost all of the elements of the column vectors of the matrix $F = (f_{nk})$ are zero,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{nk} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) Let us compute the value of the expression $\sum_k f_{nk}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k f_{nk} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n-1}^n f_{nk} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} \right) \cong -1. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Now, we show whether the series $\sum_k |f_{nk}|$ is uniformly convergent with respect to n or not. For this, it is sufficient to analyze the values of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |f_{nk}|$ and $\sum_k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_{nk}|$. Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |f_{nk}| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} \right| + \left| \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} \right| \right) \cong 2.2 \quad (2.3)$$

and by (2.2) that

$$\sum_k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_{nk}| = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Since (2.3) and (2.4) not equal to each other, the series $\sum_k |f_{nk}|$ is not uniformly convergent with respect to n .

(v) Finally, we find the characteristic $\mathcal{K}(F)$ of $F = (f_{nk})$ matrix that

$$\mathcal{K}(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k f_{nk} - \sum_k \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{nk} \right) \cong -1.$$

By means of (i)-(iii), (iv) and (v) we can say that; $F = (f_{nk})$ is a conservative matrix but not regular matrix, it is not Schur matrix and it is coregular matrix but not conull matrix, respectively.

CHAPTER 3

THE SEQUENCE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$

We employ the Fibonacci matrix $F = (f_{nk})$ as in (2.1), where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we obtain the sequence Fx , the F -transform of x , by the usual matrix product

$$\begin{aligned}
 Fx &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_0}{f_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ -\frac{f_2}{f_1} & \frac{f_1}{f_2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & -\frac{f_3}{f_2} & \frac{f_2}{f_3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} & \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{k-1} \\ x_k \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_0}{f_1}x_0 \\ -\frac{f_2}{f_1}x_0 + \frac{f_1}{f_2}x_1 \\ -\frac{f_3}{f_2}x_1 + \frac{f_2}{f_3}x_2 \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_k) \in w$. Hence, we transform the sequence x into the sequence $Fx = \{(Fx)_k\}$.

We can define the sequence $y = (y_k)$ by the F -transform of the sequence $x = (x_k)$, i.e.,

$$y_k = (Fx)_k = -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \quad (3.1)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. At this situation we can express x in terms of y that

$$x_k = (F^{-1}y)_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f_{k+1}^2}{f_j f_{j+1}} y_j \quad (3.2)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The inverse $F^{-1} = (c_{nk})$ of the matrix F can be expressed as follows

$$c_{nk} := \begin{cases} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The main purpose of this study is to introduce the domain $\ell(F, p)$ of the double band matrix F in the sequence space $\ell(p)$, that is to say that

$$\ell(F, p) := \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. In the case $p_k = p$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $\ell(F, p)$ is reduced to the space $\ell_p(F)$, i.e.,

$$\ell_p(F) := \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^p < \infty \right\}, \quad (p \geq 1).$$

Furthermore, the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of the space $\ell(F, p)$ are determined, and the Schauder basis is constructed. The classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the spaces ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 are characterized. Some other classes of matrix transformations are also characterized by means of a given basic lemma.

Theorem 3.1. *$\ell(F, p)$ is a linear, complete and metric space paranormed by h defined by*

$$h(x) = \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. To show the linearity of the space with respect to the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication is trivial. Firstly, we show that $\ell(F, p)$ is a paranormed space with the paranorm h defined by (3.3).

It is clear that $h(\theta) = 0$, where $\theta = (0, 0, \dots)$ and $h(x) = h(-x)$ for all $x \in \ell(F, p)$.

Let $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \ell(F, p)$. Then, by Minkowski's inequality and the

inequality (1.2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x+y) &= \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}(x_{k-1} + y_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}(x_k + y_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\
&= \left[\sum_k \left(\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k - \frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k/M} \right)^M \right]^{1/M} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_k \left(\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k/M} + \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k/M} \right)^M \right]^{1/M} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_k \left(\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k/M} \right)^M \right]^{1/M} + \left[\sum_k \left(\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k/M} \right)^M \right]^{1/M} \\
&= \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\
&= h(x) + h(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, since the inequality $|\alpha|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |\alpha|^M\}$ holds for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\alpha x) &= \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}(\alpha x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}(\alpha x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\
&= \left(\sum_k |\alpha|^{p_k} \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\
&\leq \max\{1, |\alpha|\} h(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let (α_n) be a sequence of scalars with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of elements $x^{(n)} \in \ell(F, p)$ with $h[x^{(n)} - x] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq h[\alpha_n x^{(n)} - \alpha x] &= h[\alpha_n x^{(n)} - \alpha x^{(n)} + \alpha x^{(n)} - \alpha x] & (3.4) \\
&= h[(\alpha_n - \alpha)x^{(n)} + \alpha(x^{(n)} - x)] \\
&\leq h[(\alpha_n - \alpha)x^{(n)}] + h[\alpha(x^{(n)} - x)] \\
&= |\alpha_n - \alpha| h[x^{(n)}] + \max\{1, |\alpha|\} h[x^{(n)} - x].
\end{aligned}$$

If we combine the facts $\alpha_n - \alpha \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $h[x^{(n)} - x] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with (3.4) we obtain that $h[\alpha_n x^{(n)} - \alpha x] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is to say that the scalar multiplication is continuous. This shows that h is a paranorm on $\ell(F, p)$.

Moreover, if we assume $h(x) = 0$, then we get

$$\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right| = 0$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If we put $k = 0$, since $x_{-1} = 0$ and $f_0/f_1 \neq 0$, we have $x_0 = 0$. For $k = 1$, since $x_0 = 0$ and $f_1/f_2 \neq 0$, we have $x_1 = 0$. Continuing in this way, we

obtain $x_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, we obtain $x = \theta = (0, 0, \dots)$. This shows that h is a total paranorm.

Now, we show that $\ell(F, p)$ is complete. Let (x^n) be any Cauchy sequence in $\ell(F, p)$; where $x^n = \{x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)}, \dots\}$. Then, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $[h(x^n - x^m)]^M < \varepsilon^M$ for all $n, m > n_0(\varepsilon)$. Since for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fx^n)_k - (Fx^m)_k|^{p_k} &\leq \sum_k |(Fx^n)_k - (Fx^m)_k|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left[-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(m)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(m)} \right] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} [x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}^{(m)}] + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} [x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= [h(x^n - x^m)]^M < \varepsilon^M \end{aligned}$$

for every $n, m > n_0(\varepsilon)$, $\{(Fx^0)_k, (Fx^1)_k, (Fx^2)_k, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, it converges, say $(Fx^n)_k \rightarrow (Fx)_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using these infinitely many limits $(Fx)_0, (Fx)_1, (Fx)_2, \dots$ we define the sequence $\{(Fx)_0, (Fx)_1, (Fx)_2, \dots\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned} [h(x^n - x)]^M &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} [x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}] + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} [x_k^{(n)} - x_k] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left[-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k |(Fx^n)_k - (Fx)_k|^{p_k} < \varepsilon^M. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x^n - x \in \ell(F, p)$. Since $\ell(F, p)$ is a linear space, we conclude that $x \in \ell(F, p)$. It follows that $x^n \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\ell(F, p)$ which means that $\ell(F, p)$ is complete.

Now, one can easily check that the absolute property does not hold on the space $\ell(F, p)$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \neq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} |x_{k-1}| + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} |x_k| \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\ &= h(|x|), \end{aligned}$$

where $|x| = (|x_k|)$. This says that $\ell(F, p)$ is the sequence space of non-absolute type. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Convergence in $\ell(F, p)$ is strictly stronger than coordinatewise convergence, but the converse is not true, in general.*

Proof. First we show that $h(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If we fix k , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left(-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right) \right|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left(-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right) \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \\ &= [h(x^n - x)]^M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have for $k = 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| -\frac{f_1}{f_0} x_{-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_0}{f_1} x_0^{(n)} - \left(-\frac{f_1}{f_0} x_{-1} + \frac{f_0}{f_1} x_0 \right) \right| = 0,$$

that is, $\left| \frac{f_0}{f_1} [x_0^{(n)} - x_0] \right| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $f_0/f_1 = 1 \neq 0$, then $|x_0^{(n)} - x_0| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Likewise, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|x_k^{(n)} - x_k| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we show that the converse is not true in general. We assume $x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_k^{(n)} - x_k| < 1$ for each fixed k and for all $n \geq N$. Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq h(x^n - x) = \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \quad (3.5) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_k \left[\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k/M} \right]^M \right\}^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_k \left[\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) \right|^{p_k/M} + \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k/M} \right]^M \right\}^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} + \left[\sum_k \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} \right|^{p_k} |x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_k \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} \right|^{p_k} |x_k^{(n)} - x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_k \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \end{aligned}$$

for all k and $n \geq N$. Since $|-f_{k+1}/f_k| \rightarrow 1.6$ and $|f_k/f_{k+1}| \rightarrow 0.6$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $h(x^n - x)$ in (3.5) does not converge for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $n \geq N$. This implies that the converse is not true. Let us consider the elements of the sequence x^n be equal then we observe $h(x^n - x) = 0$, that is to say that coordinatewise convergence requires convergence. Hence, we can say that the converse is not true in general. \square

Theorem 3.3. $\ell(F, p)$ is a K -space.

Proof. Firstly, we show that $q_i(x) = x_i$ is linear for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x, y \in \ell(F, p)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, we get

$$q_i(x + y) = (x + y)_i = x_i + y_i = q_i(x) + q_i(y) \quad \text{and} \quad q_i(\alpha x) = (\alpha x)_i = \alpha x_i = \alpha q_i(x)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, q_i is linear.

Now, we prove that q_i is continuous. For this, it is sufficient to show that q_i is bounded.

Let $x \in \ell(F, p)$ be any vector. Then, since $|q_i(x)| = |x_i|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one can see that

$$\|q_i\| := \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{|q_i(x)|}{\|x\|_{\ell(F, p)}} = \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{|x_i|}{\|x\|_{\ell(F, p)}} \leq \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{\|x\|_{\ell(F, p)}}{\|x\|_{\ell(F, p)}} = 1 < \infty,$$

i.e. q_i is bounded. Hence, p_i is linear and continuous functional. That is to say that $\ell(F, p)$ is a K -space. \square

Theorem 3.4. $\ell(F, p)$ is an FK -space.

Proof. It is easy to see by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 that $\ell(F, p)$ is complete sequence space and convergence requires coordinatewise convergence. Hence, $\ell(F, p)$ is an FK -space. \square

Theorem 3.5. $\ell_p(F)$ is the linear space under the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication which is a BK -space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $x = (x_k) \in \ell_p(F)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Since the first part of the theorem is a routine verification, we omit the detail. Since ℓ_p is a *BK*-space with respect to its usual norm and F is a triangle matrix, Theorem 4.3.2 of Wilansky [9, p. 61] gives the fact that $\ell_p(F)$ is a *BK*-space, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.6. $\ell_p(F)$ is a Frechet space.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\ell_p(F)$ is a linear, complete and metric space. We only need to prove that $\ell_p(F)$ is a linear metric space. Let (x_n) and (y_n) be two sequences in $\ell_p(F)$, and (α_n) be a sequence of scalars such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, $d(y_n, y) \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n + y_n, x + y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\|x_n + y_n - (x + y)\|] & (3.6) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\alpha_n x_n, \alpha x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x\| & (3.7) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\alpha_n - \alpha)x_n + \alpha(x_n - x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\alpha_n - \alpha|\|x_n\| + |\alpha|\|x_n - x\|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha|\|x_n\| + |\alpha| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see from (3.6) and (3.7) that $\ell_p(F)$ is a linear metric space. Hence, $\ell_p(F)$ is a Frechet space. \square

With the notation of (3.1), the transformation T defined from $\ell(F, p)$ to $\ell(p)$ by $x \mapsto y = Tx$ is linear bijection, we have the following

Theorem 3.7. The sequence space $\ell(F, p)$ of the non-absolute type is linearly parnorm isomorphic to the space $\ell(p)$, where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. To prove the theorem, we should show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces $\ell(F, p)$ and $\ell(p)$ for $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. Let T be a transformation from $\ell(F, p)$ to $\ell(p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T &: \ell(F, p) \longrightarrow \ell(p) \\ x &\longmapsto Tx = Fx = y. \end{aligned}$$

The linearity of T is trivial. Further it is obvious that $x = \theta$ whenever $Tx = \theta$, hence T is injective. Let $y \in \ell(p)$ and define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ as in (3.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(Fx)_k &= -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \\
&= -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{f_k^2}{f_n f_{n+1}} y_n + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{f_{k+1}^2}{f_n f_{n+1}} y_n \\
&= -\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{f_k f_{k+1}}{f_n f_{n+1}} y_n + \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{f_k f_{k+1}}{f_n f_{n+1}} y_n \\
&= y_k
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which leads us to the fact that

$$h(x) = \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} = \left(\sum_k |y_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} = h(y) < \infty.$$

Thus we deduce that $x \in \ell(F, p)$, T is surjective and paranorm preserving. Hence, T is a linear bijection and so the spaces $\ell(F, p)$ and $\ell(p)$ are paranorm isomorphic. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ and $\lambda_k = (Fx)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the sequence $b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the elements of the spaces $\ell(F, p)$ by*

$$b_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k+1}^2}{f_n f_{n+1}} & , \quad 0 \leq n \leq k, \\ 0 & , \quad n > k \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the sequence $\{b^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis for the space $\ell(F, p)$ and any $x \in \ell(F, p)$ has a unique representation of the form

$$x = \sum_k \lambda_k b^{(k)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. It is clear that $\{b^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \ell(F, p)$, since

$$Fb^{(k)} = e^{(k)} \in \ell(p), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

for $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. Let $x \in \ell(F, p)$ be given. For every non-negative integer m , we put

$$x^{[m]} = \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda_k b^{(k)}.$$

Then, we have

$$Fx^{[m]} = \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda_k Fb^{(k)} = \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda_k e^{(k)} = \sum_{k=0}^m (Fx)_k e^{(k)}$$

and

$$\{F(x - x^{[m]})\}_i = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad 0 \leq i \leq m; \\ (Fx)_i & , \quad i > m \end{cases} \quad (i, m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is an integer m_0 such that for all $m \geq m_0$

$$\left(\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} |(Fx)_i|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$h(x - x^{[m]}) = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} |(Fx)_i|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \leq \left(\sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} |(Fx)_i|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} < \varepsilon$$

for all $m \geq m_0$, which proves that $x \in \ell(F, p)$ is represented as in (3.9).

Let us show the uniqueness of the representation for $x \in \ell(F, p)$ given by (3.9). Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a representation $x = \sum_k \mu_k b^{(k)}$. Since the linear transformation T from $\ell(F, p)$ to $\ell(p)$, used in the proof of Theorem 3.7 is continuous, we have that

$$(Fx)_n = \sum_k \lambda_k (Fb^{(k)})_n = \sum_k \mu_k e_n^{(k)} = \mu_n$$

which contradicts the fact that $(Fx)_n = \lambda_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the representation in (3.9) of $x \in \ell(p)$ is unique. This completes the proof. \square

CHAPTER 4

THE ALPHA-, BETA- AND GAMMA-DUALS OF THE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$

Prior to giving the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of the space $\ell(F, p)$, we quote some required lemmas for proving our theorems.

Lemma 4.1. [10, Theorem 5.1.0] *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix over the complex field. The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_1)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in N} a_{nk} \right|^{p_k} < \infty.$$

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_1)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in N} a_{nk} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.2. [11, (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1] *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix over the complex field. The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}|^{p_k} < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{nk} B^{-1}|^{p'_k} < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.3. [11, Corollary for Theorem 1] *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix over the complex field and $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : c)$ if and only if (4.2), (4.3) hold, and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \beta_k \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (4.4)$$

also holds.

Let us define the sets $E_1(p)$, $E_2(p)$, $E_3(p)$, $E_4(p)$ and $E_5(p)$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in N} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ E_2(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in N} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ E_3(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ E_4(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j \text{ is convergent} \right\}, \\ E_5(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of Part (i) can be established in a similar way to the proof of Part (ii), we give the proof only for Part (ii) in Theorems 4.4 and 4.5, below.

Theorem 4.4. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_1(p)$.*

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_2(p)$.*

Proof. Let us take any $a = (a_n) \in \omega$. By using (3.2), we obtain that

$$a_n x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n y_k = (Ey)_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $E = (e_{nk})$ is defined by

$$e_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we observe by combining (4.5) with the condition (4.1) of Part (ii) of Lemma 4.1 that $ax = (a_n x_n) \in \ell_1$ whenever $x = (x_k) \in \ell(F, p)$ if and only if $Ey \in \ell_1$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in \ell(p)$. This leads to $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_2(p)$, as asserted. \square

Theorem 4.5. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta = E_3(p) \cap E_4(p)$.*

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta = E_4(p) \cap E_5(p)$.*

Proof. Take any $a = (a_j) \in \omega$. Then, one can obtain by (3.2) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x_j = \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} y_k \right) a_j = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j \right) y_k = (Dy)_n \quad (4.6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $D = (d_{nk})$ is defined by

$$d_{nk} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we deduce from Lemma 4.3 with (4.6) that $ax = (a_j x_j) \in cs$ whenever $x = (x_j) \in \ell(F, p)$ if and only if $Dy \in c$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in \ell(p)$. Therefore, we derive from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p_k'} < \infty, \\ \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j < \infty.$$

This shows that $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_4(p) \cap E_5(p)$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\gamma = E_3(p)$.*

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\gamma = E_5(p)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.2 and (4.6), we obtain that $ax = (a_j x_j) \in bs$ whenever $x = (x_j) \in \ell(F, p)$ if and only if $Dy \in \ell_\infty$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in \ell(p)$, where $D = (d_{nk})$ is defined by (4.7). Therefore we obtain from (4.2) and (4.3) that $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\gamma =$

$$\begin{cases} E_3(p) & , \quad p_k \leq 1, \\ E_5(p) & , \quad p_k > 1 \end{cases}, \text{ as desired.} \quad \square$$

CHAPTER 5

MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$

In this section, we characterize some matrix transformations on the space $\ell(F, p)$. Since the cases $0 < p_k \leq 1$ and $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ are combined, Theorem 5.1 gives the exact conditions of the general case $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. We consider only the case $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ and omit the proof of the case $0 < p_k \leq 1$, since it can be proven in a similar way.

Theorem 5.1. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{i+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{ni} \right|^{p_k} < \infty, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{i+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{ni} < \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (5.2) holds and there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{i+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{ni} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. Let $A \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty)$ and $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, Ax exists for every $x \in \ell(F, p)$ and this implies that $A_n \in \{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta$ for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the necessities of (5.2) and (5.3) are immediate.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (5.2) and (5.3) hold, and take any $x \in \ell(F, p)$. Since $A_n \in \{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the A -transform of x exists. By using (3.2), we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=0}^m a_{nj} x_j = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} y_k a_{nj} = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=k}^m \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} y_k \quad (5.4)$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account the hypothesis, we drive from (5.4), as $m \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\sum_i a_{ni}x_i = \sum_k \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{i+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{ni}y_k \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.5)$$

By combining (5.5) and the inequality which holds for any complex numbers a, b and any $B > 0$

$$|ab| \leq B \left(|aB^{-1}|^{p'} + |b|^p \right),$$

where $p > 1$ and $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_j a_{nj}x_j \right| &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj}y_k \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj}y_k \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k B \left(\left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj}B^{-1} \right|^{p'} + |y_k|^{p_k} \right) \\ &= B \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj}B^{-1} \right|^{p'} + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |y_k|^{p_k} \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $Ax \in \ell_{\infty}$. □

Theorem 5.2. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c)$ if and only if (5.1) and (5.2) hold, and there is a sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$ of scalars such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} = \alpha_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.6)$$

- (ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c)$ if and only if (5.2), (5.3) and (5.6) hold.*

Proof. Let $A \in (\ell(F, p) : c)$ and $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since the inclusion $c \subset \ell_{\infty}$ holds, the necessities of (5.2) and (5.3) are immediately obtained from Theorem 5.1.

To prove the necessity of (5.6), consider the sequence $b^{(k)}$ defined by (3.8), which is in the space $\ell(F, p)$ for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the A-transform of every $x \in \ell(F, p)$ exists and is in c by the hypothesis, we have

$$Ab^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} b_j^{(k)} \right)_{i=0}^{\infty} = \left(\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{ij} \right)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in c$$

for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which shows the necessity (5.6).

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (5.2), (5.3) and (5.6) hold, and take any $x = (x_k)$ in the space $\ell(F, p)$. Then, Ax exists.

We observe for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty$$

which gives the fact by letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ with (5.3) and (5.6)

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty.$$

This shows that $\sum_k |\alpha_k B^{-1}|^{p'_k} < \infty$ and $(\alpha_k) \in \{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta$ which implies that the series $\sum_k \alpha_k x_k$ converges for all $x \in \ell(F, p)$.

Now, let us consider the equality obtained from (5.5) with $a_{nj} - \alpha_j$ instead of a_{nj}

$$\sum_j (a_{nj} - \alpha_j) x_j = \sum_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} (a_{nj} - \alpha_j) y_k = \sum_k c_{nk} y_k, \quad (5.7)$$

where $C = (c_{nk})$ defined by $c_{nk} = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} (a_{nj} - \alpha_j)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 4.3, $c_{nk} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we see by (5.7) that $\sum_k (a_{nk} - \alpha_k) x_k \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This means that $Ax \in c$ whenever $x \in \ell(F, p)$ and this step completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.3. (i) Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0)$ if and only if (5.1) and (5.2) hold, and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0)$ if and only if (5.2) and (5.3) hold, and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we can give the following lemma which is useful for deriving the characterization of the classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the space λ_A , where $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$ and $A \in \{\Delta, E^r, C_1, R^t, \Sigma, F\}$.

Lemma 5.4. [12, Lemma 5.3] *Let λ, μ be any two sequence spaces, A be an infinite matrix and B be a triangle matrix. Then, $A \in (\lambda : \mu_B)$ if and only if $BA \in (\lambda : \mu)$.*

Lemma 5.4 has several consequences depending on the choice of the space μ . Indeed, combining Lemma 5.4 with Theorems 5.1, 5.2 and Corollary 5.3, one can obtain the following results:

Corollary 5.5. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex entries. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : bv_\infty)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = e_{nk} - e_{n-1,k}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and bv_∞ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $(x_k - x_{k-1}) \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Başar and Altay [12].
- (ii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : e_\infty^r)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-r)^{n-j} r^j e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and e_∞^r denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $E^r x \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [13].
- (iii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : X_\infty)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}/(n+1)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X_∞ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $C_1 x \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Ng and Lee [14].
- (iv) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : r_\infty^t)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j e_{jk}/T_n$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_∞^t denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $R^t x \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [15].
- (v) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : bs)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(vi) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty(\widehat{F}))$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n}e_{n-1,k} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}}e_{nk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $Fx \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Kara [16].

Corollary 5.6. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex entries. Then, the following statements hold:*

(i) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c(\Delta))$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) and (5.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = e_{nk} - e_{n+1,k}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c(\Delta)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $(x_k - x_{k+1}) \in c$, and was introduced by Kızmaz [17].

(ii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : e_c^r)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) and (5.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-r)^{n-j} r^j e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and e_c^r denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $E^r x \in c$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [18].

(iii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \tilde{c})$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) and (5.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk} / (n+1)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \tilde{c} denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $C_1 x \in c$, and was introduced by Şengönül and Başar [19].

(iv) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : r_c^t)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) and (5.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j e_{jk} / T_n$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_c^t denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $R^t x \in c$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [20].

(v) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c(\widehat{F}))$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) and (5.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n}e_{n-1,k} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}}e_{nk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c(\widehat{F})$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $Fx \in c$, and was introduced by Başarır et al. [21].

(vi) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : cs)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) and (5.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex entries. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0(\Delta))$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = e_{nk} - e_{n+1,k}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $(x_k - x_{k+1}) \in c_0$, and was introduced by Kızmaz [17].
- (ii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : e_0^r)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-r)^{n-j} r^j e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and e_0^r denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $E^r x \in c_0$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [18].
- (iii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \tilde{c}_0)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk} / (n+1)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \tilde{c}_0 denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $C_1 x \in c_0$, and was introduced by Şengönül and Başar [19].
- (iv) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : r_0^t)$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j e_{jk} / T_n$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_0^t denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $R^t x \in c_0$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [20].
- (v) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0(\widehat{F}))$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} e_{n-1,k} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} e_{nk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_0(\widehat{F})$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $Fx \in c_0$, and was introduced by Başarır et al. [21].
- (vi) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_{0s})$ if and only if (5.1), (5.3) hold and (5.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and c_{0s} denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $\sum_k x_k = 0$.

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION

Let $0 < r < 1$, $q = (q_k)$ be a sequence of non-negative reals with $q_0 > 0$ and $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{r} = (r_k)$ and $\tilde{s} = (s_k)$ be the convergent sequences. Suppose that the sequences $u = (u_k)$ and $v = (v_k)$ consist of non-zero entries; $u, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be the strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity with $\lambda_{n+1} \geq 2\lambda_n$.

Let us define the summation matrix $S = (s_{nk})$, the matrix $A^r = (a_{nk}^r)$, the generalized difference matrix $B(u, s) = \{b_{nk}(u, s)\}$, the matrix $A^u = (a_{nk}^u)$, the double sequential band matrix $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}) = \{b_{nk}(r_k, s_k)\}$, the Riesz matrix $R^q = (r_{nk}^q)$ with respect to the sequence $q = (q_k)$, the factorable matrix $G(u, v) = (g_{nk})$, the matrix $\tilde{A} = \{a_{nk}(\lambda)\}$ and the Nörlund matrix $N^q = (a_{nk}^q)$ with respect to the sequence $q = (q_k)$ by

$$s_{nk} := \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n, \end{cases} \quad a_{nk}^u := \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-k} u_k & , \quad n-1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 \leq k < n-1 \text{ or } k > n \end{cases}$$

$$b_{nk}(u, s) := \begin{cases} u & , \quad k = n, \\ s & , \quad k = n-1, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 \leq k < n-1 \text{ or } k > n, \end{cases} \quad a_{nk}^r := \begin{cases} \frac{1+r^k}{n+1} u_k & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$$

$$b_{nk}(r_k, s_k) = \begin{cases} r_k & , \quad k = n, \\ s_k & , \quad k = n-1, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 \leq k < n-1 \text{ or } k > n, \end{cases} \quad r_{nk}^q = \begin{cases} \frac{q_k}{Q_n} & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$$

$$g_{nk} := \begin{cases} u_n v_k & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n, \end{cases} \quad a_{nk}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_k - 2\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2}}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n, \end{cases}$$

$$a_{nk}^q = \begin{cases} \frac{q_{n-k}}{Q_n} & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For concerning literature about the domain of the infinite matrix A in the sequence space $\ell(p)$, the following table may be useful:

| A | $[\ell(p)]_A$ | refer to: |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| R^q | $r^q(p)$ | [15] |
| S | $\overline{\ell(p)}$ | [22] |
| A^r | $a^r(u, p)$ | [23] |
| $B(u, s)$ | $\widehat{\ell}(p)$ | [24] |
| A^u | $bv(u, p)$ | [25] |
| $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ | $\ell(\tilde{B}, p)$ | [26] |
| $G(u, v)$ | $\ell(u, v; p)$ | [27] |
| \tilde{A} | $\ell(\tilde{A}, p)$ | [28] |
| N^q | $N^q(p)$ | [29] |

Table 1: The domains of some triangle matrices in the space $\ell(p)$.

In first, the domains $\ell_p(\widehat{F})$ and $c_0(\widehat{F})$, $c(\widehat{F})$ of the double band matrix F defined by a sequence of Fibonacci numbers in the sequence spaces ℓ_p and c , c_0 have recently been studied by Kara [16] and Başarır et al. [21], respectively. It is natural to expect for extending the normed space $\ell_p(\widehat{F})$ to the paranormed space $\ell(F, p)$ as was the space ℓ_p extended to the space $\ell(p)$ which is the main subject of the present paper. As a continuation of Kara [16], we have introduced the space $\ell(F, p)$ and studied its algebraic and topological properties. We should record that the geometric properties of the space $\ell(F, p)$ can be investigated in a separate paper which will be the main subject of our next work.

REFERENCES

- [1] I.J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **18** (1967), 345–355.
- [2] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1970
- [3] F. Başar, *Summability Theory and Its Applications*, İstanbul, 2011.
- [4] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons. Inc., Canada, 1978
- [5] E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *An Introduction into the Theory of Sequence Spaces and Measures of Noncompactness*, Zbornik Radova, Matematički Institut SANU, Belgrade, **9** (17) (2000), 143–234.
- [6] A. Wilansky, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York-Toronto-London, 1964.
- [7] S. Simons, *The sequence spaces $\ell(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **15** (1965), 422–436.
- [8] H. Nakano, *Modulated sequence spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **27** (2) (1951), 508–512.
- [9] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **85**, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1984.
- [10] K. -G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 223–238.
- [11] C.G. Lascarides, I.J. Maddox, *Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **68** (1970), 99–104.
- [12] F. Başar, B. Altay, *On the space of sequences of p -bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, Ukrainian Math. J. **55** (1) (2003), 136–147.
- [13] B. Altay, F. Başar, M. Mursaleen, *On the Euler sequence spaces which include the spaces ℓ_p and $\ell_\infty I$* , Inform. Sci. **176** (10) (2006), 1450–1462.
- [14] P.-N. Ng, P.-Y. Lee, *Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **20** (2) (1978), 429–433.
- [15] B. Altay, F. Başar, *On the Paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **26**(5)(2002), 701–715.
- [16] E.E. Kara, *Some topological and geometrical properties of new Banach sequence spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2013**, 15 pages, 2013. doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-38.

- [17] H. Kızmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **24** (2) (1981), 169–176.
- [18] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some Euler sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, *Ukrainian Math. J.* **57** (1) (2005), 1–17.
- [19] M. Şengönül, F. Başar, *Some new Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type which include the spaces c_0 and c* , *Soochow J. Math.* **31** (1) (2005), 107–119.
- [20] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **30** (5) (2006), 591–608.
- [21] M. Başarır, F. Başar, E.E. Kara, *On the spaces of Fibonacci difference null and convergent sequences*, under communication.
- [22] B. Choudhary, S.K. Mishra, *On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces and their matrix transformations*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **24**(5)(1993), 291–301.
- [23] C. Aydın, F. Başar, *Some generalizations of the sequence space a_p^r* , *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A, Sci.* **30**(2006), No. A2, 175–190.
- [24] C. Aydın, F. Başar, *Some topological and geometric properties of the domain of the generalized difference matrix $B(r, s)$ in the sequence space $\ell(p)$* , *Thai J. Math.*, in press.
- [25] F. Başar, B. Altay, M. Mursaleen, *Some generalizations of the space bv_p of p -bounded variation sequences*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**(2)(2008), 273–287.
- [26] H. Nergiz, F. Başar, *Domain of the double sequential band matrix $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ in the sequence space $\ell(p)$* , *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 949282, 10 pages, 2013. doi: 10.1155/2013/949282.
- [27] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Generalization of the sequence space $\ell(p)$ derived by weighted mean*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **330**(1)(2007), 174–185.
- [28] M. Aydın, F. Başar, *Domain of the triangle \tilde{A} in the Maddox' space $\ell(p)$* , under communication.
- [29] M. Yeşilkayagil, F. Başar, *On the paranormed Nörlund sequence space of non-absolute type*, under communication.