DOKUZ EYLÜL UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES

DEVELOPMENT OF A SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK FOR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IN THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY

by Pınar AZİMLİ

October, 2013 İZMİR

DEVELOPMENT OF A SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK FOR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IN THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences of Dokuz Eylül University In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master of Science in Computer Engineering

> by Pınar AZİMLİ

October, 2013 İZMİR

M.Sc THESIS EXAMINATION RESULT FORM

We have read the thesis entitled "DEVELOPMENT OF A SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK FOR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IN THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY" completed by PINAR AZİMLİ under supervision of ASST. PROF. DR. DERYA BİRANT and we certify that in our opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Asst. Prof. Dr. Derya BİRANT

Supervisor

Prod. Dr oKUT

(Jury Member)

kyol Asst. Prof. Dr. Deryo !

(Jury Member)

Prof. Dr. Ayşe OKUR Director Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank to my supervisor, Asst. Prof. Dr. Derya BİRANT, for her support, supervision and useful suggestions throughout this study. Also, thanks to Prof. Dr. Alp KUT for his valuable ideas and supports about this project.

I would like to thank to my director İbrahim ÖZCAN and Dr. Michael SCHILLER who is R&D manager at a chemical company for their supports about the subject of the thesis. Also, thanks to Prof. Dr. Durmuş ÖZDEMİR for his support about design of experiment fundamentals.

Finally, I would like to offer my special thanks to my family for his support and help. It would not have been able to complete this thesis without their support and help.

Pınar AZİMLİ

DEVELOPMENT OF A SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK FOR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IN THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY

ABSTRACT

Cost reduction is very important for the companies as competition increases in the market. Experimental Design Methods are used in various units of enterprises are a major factor for businesses in this direction and provide a way to reach the most accurate desired results by using shortest path with a minimum cost.

In this thesis, a new framework for experimental design and a system, called DOExpert, is proposed and implemented to use at several industries. In particular, we provide several tasks (a) designing a model with Unified Modeling Language (UML) and creating a database (b) implementation of the framework and DOExpert system (c) applying experimental works at chemical industry. Proposed framework contains two Design of Experiment (DOE) approaches: Taguchi Method and Regression Analysis.

In this study, we provide the following new contributions: (i) supporting several DOE methods at the same time, (ii) calculating more than one response values at the same time, (iii) ordering main factors and the effects of their interactions and (iv) finding optimum values of factors for one response variable and (v) finding optimum values of the factors for more than one response variables.

In this thesis, experimental studies were applied at chemical industry. Taguchi experimental method and regression analysis were used to set optimum windows profiles color levels or values during product recipe preparation to reach the desired results. These experimental design methods can be also used for different purposes in different industries.

Experimental results obtained at chemical industry show the effectiveness of the proposed framework. The results show that our framework has a good performance in time and cost. Results obtained in this study shows that approximately 75 percent process recovery can be provided by using experimental design methods.

Keywords: Design of experiment, Taguchi method, regression analysis, chemical industry

KİMYA ENDÜSTRİSİNDE DENEY TASARIMI İÇİN BİR YAZILIM ÇERÇEVESININ GELİŞTIRILMESI

ÖΖ

Pazardaki rekabetin artması ile birlikte maliyetlerin azaltılması şirketler için çok önemlidir. Bu yönde, oldukça önemli bir faktör olan Deney Tasarım Yöntemleri kuruluşlara en kısa yoldan en az maliyet ile istenilen doğru sonuca ulaşmak için yol göstermektedir.

Bu tezde, çeşitli endüstrilerde kullanmak için DOExpert adında yeni bir deney tasarım yapısı önerilmiş ve geliştirilmiştir. Gerçekleştirilen başlıca çalışmalar (a) Birleşik Modelleme Dili (UML) ile bir model tasarlanması ve bir veritabanı oluşturulması (b) yazılım çerçevesinin ve DOExpert sisteminin geliştirilmesi (c) deneysel çalışmaların kimya endüstrisinde uygulanmasıdır. Önerilen yazılım çerçevesi; Taguchi Metodu ve Regresyon Analizi olmak üzere iki tür Deney Tasarımı (DOE) yaklaşımı içermektedir.

Bu çalışmada sağladığımız yeni katkılar: (i) birkaç DOE metodunun aynı anda desteklenmesi, (ii) birden fazla yanıt değişken değerlerinin aynı anda hesaplatılabilmesi, (iii) ana faktörlerin ve faktörlerin ilişki etkilerinin sıralanabilmesi, (iv) birden fazla yanıt değişkeni için faktörlerin optimum değerlerinin bulunmasıdır.

Bu tezde, deneysel çalışmalar kimya endüstrisinde uygulanmıştır. Taguchi yöntemi ve regresyon analizi, istenilen sonuca ulaşmak için pencere profillerinin optimum değerlerinin ayarlanması ve ürün reçetesi hazırlanması aşamasında kullanılmıştır. Bu deneysel tasarım metotları, farklı amaçlar için farklı endüstrilerde de kullanılabilir.

Kimya endüstrisinde elde edilen deneysel sonuçlar, önerilen yazılım çerçevesinin etkinliğini göstermektedir. Sonuçlar göstermiştir ki, oluşturduğumuz yazılım

çerçevesi, zaman ve maliyet yönünden iyi bir performans sağlamaktadır. Çalışmada elde edilen sonuçlar göstermektedir ki, deneysel tasarım yöntemleri kullanılarak yaklaşık yüzde 75 iyileştirme sağlanabilmektedir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Deney tasarımı, Taguchi metodu, regresyon analizi, kimya sektörü

CONTENTS

Page

M.Sc THESIS EXAMINATION RESULT FORMii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiii
ABSTRACTiv
ÖZ vi
LIST OF FIGURES xii
LIST OF TABLES xvi
CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION1
1.1 General
1.2 Purpose
1.3 Organization of the Thesis
CHAPTER TWO - RELATED WORK
2.1 Literature Review
2.2 Related Works in Chemical Industry
2.2 Innovation
CHAPTER THREE - DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT 13
3.1 Description of the Experiment
3.2 Design of the Experiments
3.2.1 Process Model for DOE
3.2.2 History of DOE
3.2.3 Basic Principles of DOE 15
3.2.4 The Usage of Experimental Design 16
3.2.5 Experimental Design Process 17
3.3 DOE Methods 17

3.3.1 Experimental Design with Classic Design Methodology	17
3.3.2 Experimental Design with Statistical Design Methodology	18
3.3.3 Factorial Design	18
3.3.4 Taguchi Method	20
3.3.5 Response Surface Methodology	23
3.3.6 Regression Analysis	24

4.1 Innovation Details	26
4.1.1 Supporting Several Methods	26
4.1.2 Supporting Several Response Variables	26
4.1.3 Supporting Interaction Tables	27
4.1.4 Supporting Optimum Factor Values for One Response Variable	27
4.1.5 Supporting Optimum Factor Values for Multiple Response Variables.	27
4.2 DOExpert System Flowcharts	27
4.3 Presudocode	34
4.3.1 Create Project Table	34
4.3.2 Creating Project Table Result Function	35
4.4 Views	40
4.4.1 Formula Coefficients for Prediction	40
4.4.2 Taguchi Analysis Result Table	40

5.1 Use Case Diagram	42
5.2 E/R Diagram	43
5.3 Class Diagram	51
5.4 Database Tables	50
5.5 DOExpert Software Framework View List	51
5.6 Package Functions & Procedures	52

CHAPTER SIX - IMPLEMENTATION	53
6.1 LLBLGEN Pro	53
6.2 Oracle Database	
6.3 Microsoft Visual Studio	
6.4 DevExpress Components	
6.5 Microsoft Visio	
6.6 DOExpert Software	
6.6.1 Login Page	
6.6.2 DOExpert System Configuration Screen	55
6.6.3 Menu Definition	55
6.6.4 Role Definition	
6.6.5 User Definition & Authorization	
6.6.6 DOE Configuration for Taguchi	
6.6.7 DOExpert Projects	
6.6.8 Project Trials	
CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK	59
CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK 7.1 Color Measurement System	59 59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail 	59 59 60
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail. 7.2.1 Color Pigments 7.2.2 Extrusion Process. 7.3 Benefits of DOExpert. 	
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail. 7.2.1 Color Pigments 7.2.2 Extrusion Process. 7.3 Benefits of DOExpert 7.3.1 Time to Make a Trial 	
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail. 7.2.1 Color Pigments 7.2.2 Extrusion Process. 7.3 Benefits of DOExpert 7.3.1 Time to Make a Trial 7.3.2 Preparation of the Mixture Powder. 	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail. 7.2.1 Color Pigments	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail. 7.2.1 Color Pigments 7.2.2 Extrusion Process. 7.3 Benefits of DOExpert. 7.3.1 Time to Make a Trial 7.3.2 Preparation of the Mixture Powder. 7.3.3 Number of Work About Color Calibration at Laboratory 7.3.4 R&D Expert Time. 7.3.5 Reology Work. 7.3.6 Save Time 	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System 7.2 Project Detail. 7.2.1 Color Pigments 7.2.2 Extrusion Process. 7.3 Benefits of DOExpert. 7.3.1 Time to Make a Trial 7.3.2 Preparation of the Mixture Powder. 7.3.3 Number of Work About Color Calibration at Laboratory 7.3.4 R&D Expert Time. 7.3.5 Reology Work. 7.3.6 Save Time 7.4 DOExpert Software 	59
 CHAPTER SEVEN - EXPERIMENTAL WORK. 7.1 Color Measurement System	59

7.4.3 Project Definition	66
7.4.4 Project Trials	67
7.4.5 Project Trial's Taguchi Analysis	69
7.4.6 Project Trial Regression Analysis	83
7.4.7 Taguchi and Regression Analysis Prediction	84
7.4.8 DOExpert Project for Finding Optimum Values for Multipl	e Regression
Equation	86
7.4.9 <i>Ltop</i> Comparing Methods	87
7.5 DOExpert Software Forms	89
7.6 Comparing Methods	
7.6.1 Taguchi Analysis L16	
7.6.2 Fractional Factoriyel ¹ / ₂	
7.6.3 Regression Analysis	
7.6.4 Full Factorial	
7.6.5 Taguchi L32	100
7.7 Experimental Results	102
CHAPTER EIGHT - CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK	105
8.1 Conclusion	105
8.2 Future Work	106
REFERENCES	107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 AKOME factors effects results graph (Karabaş, 2012)	7
Figure 2.2 Deionized water permeability figure	8
Figure 2.3 Chemical and experimental data(Fowlkes & Creveling, 1995)	9
Figure 3.1 Components of Experimental design	. 14
Figure 3.2 Scheme of a black box	. 14
Figure 3.3 Design of Experiments (DOE)	. 17
Figure 3.4 Full factorial design (3 factors, 2 levels, 8 points)	. 19
Figure 3.5 Taguchi quality loss function (Kim & Liao, 1994)	. 21
Figure 3.6 Orthogonal Array Selector (Roy, 2001)	. 22
Figure 3.7 L4 design, combinations of factors levels	. 22
Figure 3.8 The example points of a CCD with three input parameters	. 23
Figure 3.9 Least Squares Method sample(Cheng, 2006)	. 25
Figure 4.1 User Configuration	. 28
Figure 4.2 Menu Definition	. 28
Figure 4.3 User Authorization Control	. 29
Figure 4.4.Role Definition	. 29
Figure 4.5 Taguchi Table Definition	. 30
Figure 4.6 Project Definition	. 31
Figure 4.7 Project Trial Prediction	. 32
Figure 4.8 Project Trial Definition	. 33
Figure 4.9 Formula coefficients for prediction formula	. 40
Figure 5.1 DOExpert Project trial design E/R Diagram	. 44
Figure 5.2 DOExpert Software Taguchi Table Design UML Diagram	. 45
Figure 5.3 DOExpert project response prediction diagram	. 46
Figure 6.1 DOExpert system configuration screen	. 55
Figure 6.2 Menu definition	. 55
Figure 6.3 Role definition	. 56
Figure 6.4 User definition & authorization Screen	. 56
Figure 6.5 Table definition screen	57

Figure 6.6 Project definition	. 58
Figure 6.7 Trial details screen	. 58
Figure 7.1 CIELab colour space (Dr. Schiller, 2013)	. 59
Figure 7.2 Window Profile top and bottom color values	. 61
Figure 7.3 Window Profile from different perspectives.	. 61
Figure 7.4 Plastic Extrusion Machine Line	. 62
Figure 7.5 DOExpert user role definition screen	. 65
Figure 7.6 Taguchi tables	. 65
Figure 7.7 L16 estimation table	. 65
Figure 7.8 Taguchi table estimation table structure	. 66
Figure 7.9 Project definition screen	. 67
Figure 7.10 Project trial entry screen	. 67
Figure 7.11 Project trial combinations and response variables screen	. 68
Figure 7.12 Project trial screen	. 69
Figure 7.13 <i>Ltop</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results	. 70
Figure 7.14 Atop Taguchi L16 estimation table results.	. 71
Figure 7.15 <i>Btop</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results	. 72
Figure 7.16 <i>Lbottom</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results	. 73
Figure 7.17 Abottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results	. 74
Figure 7.18 <i>Bbottom</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results	. 75
Figure 7.19 <i>Ltop</i> factors effects order	. 76
Figure 7.20 Ltop Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions	. 77
Figure 7.21 Atop factors effects order	. 78
Figure 7.22 Atop Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions	. 78
Figure 7.23 <i>Btop</i> factors effects order	. 79
Figure 7.24 <i>Btop</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions	. 79
Figure 7.25 <i>Lbottom</i> factors effects order	. 80
Figure 7.26 <i>Lbottom</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions	. 80
Figure 7.27 Abottom factors effects order	. 81
Figure 7.28 Abottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions	. 81
Figure 7.29 <i>Bbottom</i> factors effects order	. 82
Figure 7.30 <i>Bbottom</i> Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions	. 82

Figure 7.31 Project parameters and interactions entry screen	. 83
Figure 7.32 Project combination for <i>ltop</i> response variable	. 84
Figure 7.33 Project regression analysis results for <i>ltop</i> response variable	. 84
Figure 7.34 Project trials for prediction	. 85
Figure 7.35 Project trials mean value coefficients	. 85
Figure 7.36 Project prediction results mean value coefficients	. 85
Figure 7.37 Project regression equation coefficients	. 86
Figure 7.38 Project factor's optimum values by matrix elimination method	. 87
Figure 7.39 Project optimum values by Least Square Method	. 87
Figure 7.40 Mean value effect graph for <i>ltop</i>	. 88
Figure 7.41 S/N value effect graph for <i>ltop</i>	. 88
Figure 7.42 Log10 value effect graph for <i>ltop</i>	. 89
Figure 7.43 Ltop real response values versus predicted values.	. 90
Figure 7.44 Interactions and main factors effects and order	. 90
Figure 7.45 The order of the main effects of the factors in terms of levels	. 91
Figure 7.46 Taguchi analysis ANOVA table and coefficients	. 91
Figure 7.47 Response <i>ltop</i> variable regression line	. 91
Figure 7.48 Probability plot of <i>ltop</i> prediction values.	. 92
Figure 7.49 Main effects plot for Means	. 92
Figure 7.50 Ltop 1/2 fractional factorial analysis results	. 93
Figure 7.51 Analysis results with 95% confidence interval	. 93
Figure 7.52 <i>Ltop</i> real values and prediction values	. 93
Figure 7.53 <i>Ltop</i> predicted Y response values and regression line	. 93
Figure 7.54 Graphs for <i>ltop</i> ½ fractional factorial analysis results	. 94
Figure 7.55 Double interactions graphs	. 95
Figure 7.56 Pareto graph shows the effects.	. 95
Figure 7.57 All factors effects graph, red points are significant	. 96
Figure 7.58 Regression analysis results	. 96
Figure 7.59 <i>Ltop</i> regression line.	. 97
Figure 7.60 Regression analysis result graphs.	. 97
Figure 7.61 Probability plot of <i>ltop</i> values.	. 98
Figure 7.62 ANOVA table result for full factorial	. 98

Figure 7.63 Normal plot of effect for <i>ltop</i>	99
Figure 7.64 Ltop real values versus predicted ltop values and regression line	99
Figure 7.65 Analysis results of <i>ltop</i> response value with full factorial	100
Figure 7.66 <i>Ltop</i> response variable ANOVA table	100
Figure 7.67 <i>Ltop</i> regression line.	101
Figure 7.68 Main effects plot of <i>ltop</i> values in terms of means	101
Figure 7.69 Interactions plot for SN ratios	102
Figure 7.70 Graphs for analysis results	102

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 AKOME factors and levels (Karabaş, 2012)	6
Table 2.2 L9 orthogonal array	8
Table 3.1 Full factorial design	
Table 3.2 Full factorial design example (2 factor, 2 level)	19
Table 4.1 Create project table	
Table 4.2 Create project table result	35
Table 5.1 DOExpert software framework table list	50
Table 5.2 DOExpert software framework view list.	51
Table 5.3 DOExpert software framework functions and procedures	52
Table 7.1 Color factors and abbreviations	75
Table 7.2 DOExpert software windows forms and classes.	89
Table 7.3 Cost saving with DOExpert system	103
Table 7.4 Comparison of different methods	
Table 8.1 Extruder Setup	106

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Experiment is a product / process development, an idea or learning something in order to prove the accuracy of the observations (Taylan, 2009). The term experiment is defined as a systematic procedure in order to discover an unknown effect, to test or establish a hypothesis, or to illustrate a known effect.

During a process, experiments are needed to define the input's impact on the output to get desired result. Experiments are collected and designed as a model to guide to reach desired results. DOE is used to design experiments. Many input factors which effect on output (alone and together) may be discovered and modeled by using DOE techniques.

Firstly, the objectives of the experiment should be discovered. Input factors are reviewed and main factors are found. By using optimum values of main factors for an experiment, desired result can be achieved. An *Experimental Design* guides us to make a detailed experimental plan to do the experiment. So that necessary effort can be reduced and trials number can be decreased in this way.

1.2 Purpose

In this thesis, we propose a new *Design of Experiment* system, called DOExpert, to provide new contributions over current systems. Differently from the previous works, our system supports several DOE methods at the same time, calculates more than one response values at the same time, orders main factors and the effects of their interactions and finds optimum values of the factors for more than one response variables. DOExpert contains two DOE approaches: Taguchi Method and Regression Analysis.

In this thesis, DOE methods were applied on chemical data to show the benefits of the methods. Experimental results show that our framework has a good performance in time and cost. In order to compare the results, same chemical data is analyzed with other DOE methods by using Minitab program.

1.3 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis includes eight chapters and the remaining of this thesis is organized as follows.

In Chapter 2, general information about *Design of Experiment*, review of the literature at chemical industry and other industries, and the differences of our work from previous works are given.

In Chapter 3, *Design of Experiment* fundamentals and DOE methods like Taguchi, Regression Analysis, Factorial Design, Response Surface are explained.

In Chapter 4, innovations of DOExpert system are explained in detail, flowcharts are shown, and pseudo codes of main functions of our study are explained.

In Chapter 5, database design (E/R diagram) and UML diagrams (use case and class diagram) of DOExpert system, main functions and procedures are given.

In Chapter 6, general usage of DOExpert system is explained with screenshots, and the technologies used like components, developing environment, relational database system detail are explained.

In Chapter 7, experimental work details about color measurement fundamentals, programming logic about analyzing color data values, analysis results and The success rate is discussed in terms of cost, time and labor.

Finally in Chapter 8, the conclusion remarks and future works are given.

CHAPTER TWO RELATED WORK

The use of experimental design methods at the chemical industry and other fields are increasing day by day. The benefits of these methods are known by experts more than before. In this chapter, some studies about this subject are reviewed. The literature review is given and previous works at the chemical industry and other industries are explained.

2.1 Literature Review

Design of Experiment methods are used at different industries. For example: Taguchi algorithm has been proposed for different areas such as the software testing (Kuhn 2002), the healthcare (Matthews 2008), ecological modeling (Scheiner & Gurevitch 2001), and the financial sector (Libby 2002).

Taylan (2009) deals with the problem of destruction of *A*, *B*, *C* materials (occur after production) without harm to natural environment. The work was done by burning of these materials in a static oven by loading different amount and feed rates. However, the base and medium temperature of oven are affected from materials under different amount and the different feeding rate. For this reason, the furnace temperature may vary depending on the conditions. For example, after putting a mixture with very high-calorie degree into oven, base temperature of oven can increase. If the temperature reaches 950C, oven stops automatically and does not start before cool down. In addition to this, heat change of oven base reduces the life of the oven. The goal of this study is to increase the life of this oven and burning amount of *A*, *B*, *C* materials under best working conditions. In this study, controlled and uncontrolled variables were reviewed and four important factors were found. Each factor has three levels as low, medium, high. Normally, it was needed to done 81 experiments for this study. The results were reached by using Taguchi method via only 9 experiments.

Taguchi method was used to optimize the steel welding metal's (Gökçe, Talaş & Taşgetiren, 2012). Experimental design and optimization technique was used for optimization. After applying Taguchi method, the results were studied according to tensile strength, yield stress and elongation percentage. Carbon equivalent formula was used for parameter selection. The optimized parameters that give highest values were discovered after studying the results of this work.

Orthodontics is a form of science on dental treatment for applying a force on the tooth by using a wire or special tires. According to direction of force, movement of tooth is obtained. The most common materials used at orthodontic applications are stainless steel, titanium alloys and cobalt-chromium. Beside of mechanical properties of materials, corrosion-resistant property is very important. The usage of orthodontic wire applications needs high corrosion resistance of wire for fluorine-containing toothpastes, acid-containing foods and beverages. The corrosion behavior of orthodontic wires by using classical methods was studied by Taguchi method (Baynal, Altuğ & Ünal, 2012). The classical experimental design method, *3k* multifactorial experimental design was used. Over time, the pitting corrosion was occurred on the wire's surface. This is a result of the interaction of the chemical solution, the metallic surface of dissolution has occurred. The results of hypothesis test showed that wire type and solution interactions have main effect on corrosion behavior of wires. Minitab interactions graphs showed that the most weight loss is obtained under *Fusuyama* solution and β -Titanyum composite wire.

Öztop (2007) showed industrial applicability of Taguchi experimental design method. Aluminum extrusion process uses circular cross-section aluminum raw materials. The effects of some parameters before the extrusion process were investigated. These parameters are billet temperature, extrusion speed, die shape and extrusion rate. In addition, the effects of the parameters on mold surface temperature, temperature profile were investigated. Taguchi L8 and L16 tables were used to examine the effects of the main and interactions of factors. Taguchi method with L16 tables was used. The results showed that the effects of interactions are minimum so that aluminum extrusion interactions can be omitted. The results of 24 and 16 trials

showed a parallel effect so it was understood that Taguchi method can be used for interactions effects. It was decided to use Taguchi method at many industrial applications for the company.

Durmaz (2008) used Taguchi experimental design to ensure product quality at design phase and minimum cost. Taguchi method was applied to prevent quality loses at Rubber process. After giving any shape to a rubber material, it is not possible to use this material again. The goal was to find optimal values of factors to obtain a maximum strength of product on manufacturing phase. Desired resistance type can be changed according to customer request. Some strength types are gas, fire and temperature resistance. The errors that cause breakage of strength were determined (air, inaccurate, incorrect hardness, raw, roasted, etc.). The factors that cause the errors were found. Controlled and uncontrolled factors were determined (e.g. environment temperature, moisture). Taguchi table L16 orthogonal array was used with 9 degrees of freedom. The results were showed that there are 7 controlled factors. So that L16 orthogonal array assignments were made. Instead of doing 9 X37=512 trials, analysis was done by using L16 orthogonal array with 5 repeat. The faulty product was 60% decreased.

Şanyılmaz (2006) studied on quality improvement activities for Taguchi method of experimental design and the implementation of quality improvement activities. Kaleporselen electronic company produces HRC00 blade fuse. Some cracks occur on the surface of blade fuse. The purpose was to apply Taguchi experimental design method to eliminate cracks. Instead of using imported raw material, a domestic raw material was started to use because of the cost. After using domestic raw material, the cracks were increased on the fuse surface. So that controlled and uncontrolled factors and interactions were determined and suitable orthogonal tables were chosen. The firm was used design of experimental results, so that product quality was increased.

2.2 Related Works in Chemical Industry

DOE methods are used at several chemical sectors. This section explains the usage of these methods at the field of chemistry.

Karabaş (2012) studied for biodiesel production from crude acorn kernel oil. Acorn kernel oil with high free fatty acid content is used as raw material to produce biodiesel. The biodiesel production process parameters are the alcohol: oil molar ratio, catalyst concentration, reaction temperature and reaction time (the Acorn Kernel Oil Methyl Ester (AKOME) sample). Each factor has three levels as shown below. For process parameter optimization Taguchi method with L9 orthogonal array was used to analyze factor effects and find optimum values of each factor.

Table 2.1 AKOME factors and levels (Karabaş, 2012)

Parameters	Levels		
	1	2	3
(A) Catalyst concentration (wt%)	0.5	0.7	1
(B) Alcohol: oil molar ratio	6:1	8:1	10:1
(C) Reaction time (min)	40	60	80
(D) Reaction temperature (°C)	50	60	55

Design experiments with four three-level parameters for AKOME production.

Signal-to-noise ratio (often abbreviated SNR or S/N) was used to identify the optimum values of parameters. A larger S/N ratio means a better quality. Instead of doing 3^4=81 trials for this experiment, using orthogonal array L9, 9 trials was enough to find optimum values of factors.

These are: A (reaction time) at level 1, B (alcohol: oil molar ratio) at level 2, C (reaction temperature) at level 1 and D (catalyst concentration) at level 2. Under these conditions, the AKOME yield in the confirmation experiment is 90%.



Figure 2.1 AKOME factors effects results graph (Karabaş, 2012)

Madaeni & Koocheki (2006) applied Taguchi method for the optimization of wastewater treatment by using spiral-wound reverse osmosis element. A pilot study for wastewater treatment was conducted using a Reverse Osmosis (RO) system. RO system is the most acceptable method to get very high quality water with the capacity of 14.38 3/d. Before starting to analyze, the flux of water at pilot system was scaled and found about 58 l/m²h. Trials were done under different conditions like pressures, temperature and concentration.

Three factors (pressure, temperature and concentration) with three levels were analyzed with Taguchi L9 orthogonal array. Before applying Taguchi method, each factor level value was set as shown below. Three factors were named as A, B, C (temperature, pressures, concentration) and levels were named as 1, 2 and 3. The interactions between factors are omitted. Analysis of this data was done at QUALITEK-4 (QT4) Version 4.75 software.

Table 2.2 L₉ orthogonal array

Run#	Factor Levels		
	А	В	С
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2
3	1	3	3
4	2	1	2
5	2	2	3
6	2	3	1
7	3	1	3
8	3	2	1
9	3	3	2

Deionized water permeability for Filmtec TW30HP-4641 element vs. transmembrane pressure (25 °C) figure is shown in Figure 2.2 (Madaeni & Koocheki, 2006).



Figure 2.2 Deionized water permeability figure (Madaeni & Koocheki, 2006)

Analysis of the experiments showed that the temperature of feed solution and transmembrane pressure have the most effect in water flux. More pressure causes more flux of water. In addition to this it is shown that the concentration of feed solution has main effect. After applying Taguchi method, controlled factors are set to better level, so that the flux of water was increased to about to 69 l/m^2 h. For this case study, Taguchi method success is about 99.9 % rate of optimization.

Joseph (2007) studied the robust parameter design of a chemical process. The problem is to increase one element amount at a chemical reaction step. Chemical reaction is described at Formula 2.1 as follows:

$$A \to^{k_1} B \to^{k_2} C, \tag{2.1}$$

This means A is an initial chemical and converts to B at a reaction rate k1. B converts to another chemical C at a reaction rate k2. If B is a desired chemical and C is an unwanted chemical.

In this process there are many control factors like reaction time, temperature, pressure, cooling rate, and stirring rate in the reaction tank. The purpose was to maximize the concentration of B by using advised levels of the factors. To do this, experiment is designed so that only one of the factors is changed at the same time, the others remain fixed. It was supposed that *Y1*, *Y2*, *Y3* stands for *A*, *B*, *C* chemicals respectively. *X* is the pressure. Chemical and experimental data is shown in Figure 2.3 (Fowlkes & Creveling, 1995).

Run	x	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3
1	10	0.3	0.6	0.1
2	15	0.2	0.6	0.2
3	20	0.1	0.6	0.3

Figure 2.3 Chemical and experimental data (Fowlkes & Creveling, 1995)

Plot of the concentrations of the chemicals *A*, *B*, and *C* against time are shown in Figure 2.4 (Joseph 2007).



Figure 2.4 Plot of the chemicals and time (Joseph, 1995)

To find *S/N* ratio, some transformations were made as follows: u1 = Y1, u2 = Y1+Y2, and u3 = Y1+Y2+Y3. Set initial value u3=1To maximize *Y2*, *u1* needs to minimized, means Smaller-the-better (STB), *u2* needs to be maximized, means Larger-the-better (LTB). For a fraction defective variable (p), Taguchi defined the S/N ratio as

$$SN = -10\log\frac{p}{1-p}.$$
(2.2)

The S/N ratio for *u1* and *u2* is written as follows:

$$SN_1 = -10\log\frac{u_1}{1-u_1}$$
 and $SN_2 = 10\log\frac{u_2}{1-u_2}$.
(2.3)

It is obvious that maximizing the S/N ratios will minimize u1 and maximize u2. S/N ratio of this process can be formulated as follows:

S/N Ratio= SN ratio of STB+ S/N ratio of LTB

$$SN = SN_1 + SN_2 \tag{2.4}$$

$$= 10 \log \left\{ \frac{u_2(1-u_1)}{u_1(1-u_2)} \right\}$$

= $10 \log \left\{ \frac{(Y_1+Y_2)(1-Y_1)}{Y_1(1-Y_1-Y_2)} \right\}.$ (2.5)

For x=10, 15, 20, the three S/N ratios are 13.2, 12, and 13.2 according to the S/N ratio the setting x = 15 is bad, whereas x = 10 and x = 20 are equally good. If S/N ratios are reviewed, it is shown that x = 15 is bad, but x = 10 and x = 20 are equally good. At x = 15, Y1 = Y3, there is not much scope for improvement. At x = 10, the process can be run so that more of *A* can be converted to *B* and *B*'s concentration increases. The reaction time can be decreased at x = 20 to increase the concentration of *B*. So that S/N ratio is a measure which increase the performance of the process independent of the adjustment. A better performance measure can be derived using chemical kinetics.

As a result of robust parameter design investigation of adjustment factor for an experiment is very important. Because adjustment of factors can be used to simplify experiment by using fixed adjustment factors at fixed value.

2.2 Innovation

Several literatures were reviewed at different areas but there are not found any work about DOE framework developed in Turkey. A new framework which has more features is needed to enable an opportunity to analyze experimental data easily. Before starting to develop a framework, some current DOE programs like Echip, Minitab were analyzed, they were applied on sample data and the results of the programs were examined.

In this study, a new system, called DOExpert, was developed for DOE. This system contains the following new innovations: (i) it analyzes the project trials for several methods like Mean Value, S/N at the same time, so user can reach the result in a short time, (ii) it provides a way for analyzing the all response variables at the

same time and project trial may have more than one response variables like pressure, time etc. (iii) it allows users to show the Taguchi tables in detail by ordering of main and interaction affects so that user can learn the effects order without looking Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) table results which are very complex, (iv) it advices an optimum value for the factors for one response variable, (v) it finds the optimum values of factors for more than one response variables.

CHAPTER THREE DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

3.1 Description of the Experiment

An experiment is a product / process development, an idea or learning something in order to prove the accuracy of the observations (Taylan, 2009). The term experiment is defined as the systematic procedure in order to discover an unknown effect, to test or establish a hypothesis, or to illustrate a known effect.

3.2 Design of the Experiments

The impact of input factors on response variable should be investigated for experimental results. Experiments are collected and then a model is designed using the experiments. This model guides to achieve the desired result. In this way DOE methods are used to design experiments. These methods give an opportunity to investigate the input factor effects on output (alone or together).

Several statistical design methods are used to reach desired results. Firstly, the objectives of the experiment should be discovered. After that important factors which have main effect on result should be reviewed. An *Experimental Design* guides us detailed experimental plan to do the experiment so needed effort can be reduced and trials number can be decreased to achieve the result.

The following sections present general information about the fundamentals, process model, history and basic principles of DOE.

3.2.1 Process Model for DOE

The components of *Experimental Design* are: (Figure 3.1)

• *Factors*, inputs of process. Factor can be controllable or uncontrollable variables.

- Levels, factor settings
- Response, experiment outputs



Figure 3.1 Components of experimental design

DOE can be considered as a black box that has input factors and output(s). As shown in Figure 3.2, it produces desired results using input parameters under external factors. The goal is to achieve result with minimum trials. Another goal is to minimize the effects of external sources and uncontrolled variables at result.

This methodology makes it possible the optimization of a system. After optimization the best input combinations can be created and also productivity can be increased.



Figure 3.2 Scheme of a black box

3.2.2 History of DOE

Design of experiments was invented by Ronald A. Fisher in the 1920s and 1930s. Firstly, this method was used at agricultural research to reach desired result under nature events like temperature, soil conditions, and rain fall. After using in an agricultural context, the method was started to use at military and industry since the 1940s. Experimental design was used to find the cause of bad sources at a naval shipyard during World War II. George Box is a main developer of experimental design processes. He was employed by Imperial Chemical Industries. These processes enable to optimize a chemical process. At the beginning of 1950's, W. Edwards Deming taught statistical methods, including experimental design. The most wellknown Japanese scientist is Genichi Taguchi. Quality improvement methods were developed by him.

Toyota is one of the companies that use Taguchi methods to improve quality. Since the late 1970s, U.S. industry started to use Taguchi methods at their programs named as "Total Quality" and "Six sigma" to improve their quality.

3.2.3 Basic Principles of DOE

3.2.3.1 Randomization

Randomization is a critical step at any experiment if experiment has at least two treatments, every treatment should be assigned randomly.

3.2.3.2 Replication

At replication step, experiment conditions are repeated. Experimental error can be estimated easily. Accuracy of an experiment increases with replication. The uncertainty of the results of an experiment can be controlled.

3.2.3.3 Blocking

Experimental units are divided into homogeneous blocks. After that any treatment comparison is made on blocks that contain similar units. Experimental errors can be decreased and precision of an experiment can be increased.

3.2.3.4 Multi-Factor Designs

During an experiment, there may be more than one factor. If one of these factors changed while the others remain fixed, it will be difficult to get the desired result in a short time. Firstly, main factors should be determined and more than one factor should be changed at the same time. In this case, an effective result can be reached in a short time.

3.2.4 The Usage of Experimental Design

a) Discovering Interactions between Factors.

There is a need for discovering the effects of combined factors. The interactions of factors may be more significant effect than main factor effects. So this step is very important process of DOE.

b) Screening many factors

A process consists of input variables (raw materials), condition factor (temperature) levels and outputs. A computer simulation program which is developed to model this process can show importance of any factors on outputs.

c) Establishing and maintaining quality control

Quality control offers a chance to produce perfect products to satisfy customer needs. DOE methods provide a chance to do this.

d) Optimizing a process

Optimization is an iterative process that determines an optimal region for a process.

e) Designing robust and reliable products in an effective way

After defining factor effects and finding and optimum values of the factors, reliable products can be produced with minimum cost at a short time.

3.2.5 Experimental Design Process

3.2.5.1 Experimental Design Steps

A *Design Process* begins with the definition of the problem and ends with a solution. D.T. gathered the steps under the hood (Anagün, 2000). The steps of design process are presented in Figure 3.3.



Figure 3.3 Design of experiments (DOE)

3.3 DOE Methods

3.3.1 Experimental Design with Classic Design Methodology

An experiment consists of several factors with different affects. With classical method, one of these factors is changed and the experiment results effect are observed. The impact of the changed parameter can be shown with this method. In this method, the interaction between the parameters will be ignored. It is obvious that the interactions may be more significant than main factors. Classical method causes waste of time and cost and omits interaction effects.

3.3.2 Experimental Design with Statistical Design Methodology

The lack of some points of classical methods led to develop statistical design methods. The interactions among factors can be defined as statistically. During experiment some uncontrolled factors can be modeled and controlled. In this case, experiment errors can be minimized. The interactions between the variables are determined with statistical methods. After doing the estimation of real variance, some predictions can be made using these variance variables.

3.3.3 Factorial Design

Factorial Design is a popular design method that was advised by Fisher and Yates. Factor main effects and interactions effects can be researched at the same time with this method. The number of factors can be two or more. Instead of researching one factor at a unit time, more than one factor can be researched at the same time so that this method is more useful than classical methods.

3.3.3.1 Full Factorial Design

The factors of an experiment may have two or more levels. Each factor has levels as `high' and `low' or `+1' and `-1', respectively.

Number of factors	Number of runs
2	4
3	8
4	16
7	128

Table 3.1 Full factorial design

Table 3.1 shows the combination of two levels for each factor, if factor number is more than 5, the number of combination of these factor grow. If there are k factors,

each at 2 levels, a full factorial design has 2k runs. In this case, an experiment is done in an inefficient way.

Table 3.2 shows an example of 2 factors, 2 levels as -1,+1.

Full factorial design has each combination of these levels so that for this example there are 4 trials.

Table 3.2. Full factorial design example (2 factors, 2 levels)

Α	В
-1	-1
-1	1
1	1
1	-1

Figure 3.4 shows the 3 factors x1, x2, x3 and 2 levels full factorial design at a cube.



Figure 3.4 Full factorial design (3 factors, 2 levels, 8 points). (Croarkin, Guthrie & Others, 2003)

3.3.3.2 Fractional Factorial Design

If the number of factors is k and each factor has two levels, according to full factorial design, the number of trials will be 2k. More trial number means more cost, time and inefficiency. It is needed to discover center point trials, to reach result in a short time. The solution to this problem is to use only a fraction of the trials of full factorial design. In general, a fraction such as $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, etc of the trials are used.
For example, $2^7 = 128$ full factorial design contains 128 trials.

For full factorial design, a block contains 128 trials can be used.

1 block*128 trial=128

For ¹/₂ factorial design, one of the two blocks can be used (each block has 64 trials).

2 block *64 trial =128

For ¹/₄ factorial design, one of the four blocks can be used (each block has 32 trials).

4 block *32 trial =128

3.3.4 Taguchi Method

The purpose of Taguchi method is to produce high quality product at low cost. The Taguchi method was developed by Dr. Genichi Taguchi. Taguchi uses orthogonal arrays to organize the main parameters and their levels. The number of experimentation can be decreased by determining of main factors. Time and cost saving are done by using this method.

3.3.4.1 Philosophy of the Taguchi Method

a) Quality should be designed into a product. This process is designed as system design, parameter design, and tolerance design. At parameter design, the main process parameters that affect the product are determined.

b) Quality has same meaning with the minimizing the deviation from a target. An uncontrollable environmental factors affects should be minimized. Shortly, the signal (product quality) to noise (uncontrollable factors) ratio should be high.

c) The concept of loss function is the cost of quality should be measured as a function of deviation from the standard and the losses should be measured system wide. The goal of the Taguchi method is to reduce costs to the manufacturer and to society from variability in manufacturing processes.

Figure 3.5 shows the graph of Taguchi loss function. In this function, T is the target value of quality characteristic, L is the lower specification limit of quality characteristic, U is upper specification limit of quality characteristic, c is loss associated with a unit produced at the specification limits, assuming the loss at the target is zero.



Figure 3.5 Taguchi quality loss function (Kim & Liao, 1994)

3.3.4.2 Taguchi Method Steps

Taguchi method has five steps which are explained in detail below. The purpose of any experimental work should investigated by interviewing with experts and examining input and output factors to reach desired result in an effective way. Taguchi orthogonal arrays provide a way to reach desired result by doing minimum experiment. After explaining the steps of Taguchi method, it is well understood the benefits of this method for minimizing experiments number (Fraley & Others, 2012).

- 1. Define the process objective, a target value for a performance measure of the process.
- 2. Determine the design parameters affecting the process. Parameters should be easily controlled within the process such as temperatures, pressures. Parameter levels should be determined as a level. When the number of levels is increased, the number of experiments will increase in a linear way.

- 3. For each experiment orthogonal arrays are created for the parameter design indicating the number of any conditions. Orthogonal array selection is based on the number of parameters and their levels.
- 4. Do experiments specified in the orthogonal array to find data on the effect on the performance measure.
- 5. Data analysis is done to determine the effect of the different parameters on the performance measure.

3.3.4.3 Determining Parameter Design Orthogonal Array

The proper orthogonal array can be selected by knowing the number of parameters and the number of levels. Array selector table is used to find appropriate orthogonal array by looking the column and row intersection. As column corresponds to the number of parameters, row corresponds to the number of levels. Taguchi orthogonal array selector is shown in Figure 3.6.

		a						_						Numb	er of P	aramet	ers (P)	h				çe								
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
- 2	L4	14	LB	18	L8	18	L12	L12	L12	L12	L16	L16	L16	L16	L32	L32	132	L32	L32	L32	L32	L32	L32	1.32	L32	L32	L32	L32	L32	L32
of Lov	L9	19	19	L18	L18	L18	L18	L27	L27	1.27	127	L27	L36	L36	136	L36	L35	136	L38	L36	L36	L36								
A Har	L'16	L'16	L'15	L'16	L'32	L'32	L'32	L'32	L'32				1																	1
INN 5	L25	L25	L25	L25	L25	L50	L50	150	L50	L50	L50		I.																	

Figure 3.6 Orthogonal array selector (Roy, 2001)

There is an example experiment table below with 3 parameters each have 2 levels. A proper array table for these combinations is named as L4 orthogonal table, shown in Figure 3.7.

Experiment	P1	P2	P3
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2
3	2	1	2
4	2	2	1

Figure 3.7 L4 design, combinations of factors levels

3.3.5 Response Surface Methodology

Response surface methodology, "Test-optimal conditions Reach" with the name defined in 1951 and developed by Box and Wilson. The method was first applied to the chemical industry. Myers & Montgomery describes this method as statistical and mathematical functions to optimize a response variable.

This method has 3 stages: (i) screening experiments, (ii) regional research and (iii) the optimal operation point. Response can be shown via three dimensional space graphics or contour plots. First of all this method finds the relationship between input variables and applies method on experiments by using low order polynomials.

A second-order model can be constructed efficiently with central composite designs (CCD) (Montgomery, 1997). Figure 3.8 shows the response surface methodology at a cube.



Figure 3.8 The example points of a CCD with three input parameters. (Montgomery, 1997)

The above design involves 2N factorial points, 2N axial points and 1 central point. N:number of parameters

Two important models are commonly used in RSM. These are special cases of model (1) and include the first-degree at Formula 3.1 model and second-degree model at Formula 3.2.

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + e \tag{3.1}$$

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_{11} x_{11}^2 + b_{22} x_{22}^2 + b_{12} x_1 x_2 + e$$
(3.2)

In Formula 3.1 and Formula 3.2, y is the dependent variable, x1, x₂, x₁₁, x₂₂, x₁x₂ are independent variable, e is the error item, b_0 is intercept item, b_1 , b_2 , b_{11} , b_{22} , b_{12} are the coefficients.

Model parameters are found with regression analysis, regression coefficients are found with least square method. After finding regression model, predictions are made to test this model and optimization is done by using regression equation.

3.3.6 Regression Analysis

Regression Analysis is used to find the relationship between two or more than two variables. Regression Analysis's method name is defined according to count of the variables that are used.

Simple regression is used for one independent variable, multiple regression analysis is used for more than one independent variable. Regression problem is solved by using dependent and independent variables. Dependent variable is shown as *Y*, independent variables are shown as *X*. The relationship between variables can be linear or nonlinear.

Regression equation is written as shown Formula 3.3 below:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_n X_n + \varepsilon$$
(3.3)

In Formula 3.3, Y is the dependent variable, X_n are the independent variable, β_0 is the intercept item, β_n are the n coefficients for independent variables, \mathcal{E} is the error item.

The questions that can be answered with Regression Analysis are:

- find relationship between dependent and independent factors.
- find the power and kind of correlation of this relationship

- make prediction.

As shown below, x and y values are market at X, Y scatter diagram as x and y axis. After that regression line which intercepts these values is drawn. The purpose is to minimize the distance between predicted and real values.

Figure 3.9 shows a typical regression line graphic.



Figure 3.9 Least Squares Method sample (Cheng, 2006)

Multivariate regression estimation for the regression coefficient, such as the twovariable regression is done by the method of least squares. This means that the shortcut will be used to minimize the sum of squares of the residuals will be revealed.

In other words, the difference between real and predicted values should be minimum. The error between real and predicted value is shown in Formula 3.4. The difference can be expressed as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
(3.4)

 $y_i(x)$: real, $\hat{y}_i(x)$: predicted value , e_i : error between real and predicted value

Regression equation formula shown in Formula 3.5.

$$b_{0} = \bar{y} - b_{1}\bar{x} \qquad b_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} - (n\bar{x}\bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} - (n\bar{x}^{2})}$$
(3.5)

CHAPTER FOUR PROPOSED APPROACH

4.1 Innovation Details

Before developing a new system, the following tasks were done:

- *Design of Experiment* subject was studied and also literature review was done.
- Interviews were done with experts who work on a chemical company.
- A chemical company laboratory works were investigated for the applicable of DOE methods in an effective way. In this chemical company, lots of product types are produced according to customer request.
- Some DOE programs were investigated in detail but there are not found any domestic software framework for this purpose.

All these tasks showed that it is needed to develop a new framework to analyze laboratory works and to reach the optimum results. So, DOExpert system was developed with the innovations that are explained in detail below.

4.1.1 Supporting Several Methods

Experimental work can be analyzed by using several calculation methods. These are Mean Value, Signal/Noise ratio (S/N) value or logarithmic calculations. Mean value is the average of experiment trials result for the same combinations of input factors. S/N values are estimated because maximum S/N ratio indicates the success of the model. In this work, some calculation methods were developed and the number of methods can be increased by writing new functions into DOExpert software database package.

4.1.2 Supporting Several Response Variables

After applying DOE methods on some chemical data, it was shown that there may be more than one response variable for same input factors. Experts should find the optimum level or values of the factors that supply more than one response variable. Project trials can be done with a high cost. When experimental methods are applied, more than one result value can be entered into the system so that more than one response value can be calculated and observed at the same time. A temperature and pressure can be analyzed at the same time with this framework as an example. So expert analyze time can be reduced. All these features were supported with our DOExpert system.

4.1.3 Supporting Interaction Tables

Current DOE programs don't provide the details of Taguchi method estimation table. The only way to understand and interpret the results it is needed to use ANOVA table which is very complex for the expert who has not an expert on statistical calculations. Main factor and main factor interactions affect can be ordered at these programs. For example Minitab program, with Taguchi method can order only main factor and factor interactions. Our work shows the results and effect values as a table. In addition, the order of the main and interaction effects can be monitored by using DOExpert software.

4.1.4 Supporting Optimum Factor Values for One Response Variable

DOExpert system saves the analysis results of project trials so that personnel can use these results to find the optimum factor values for one response variable.

4.1.5 Supporting Optimum Factor Values for Multiple Response Variables

This innovation is done because, in some works, there is more than one equation and experts want to find the optimum values for more than one response values. Our system contains some methods to achieve this result

4.2 DOExpert System Flowcharts

DOExpert system needs a valid user name and password for authorization. A user should have a valid user name and password to use this software. If not, system administrator should define a user name and password for each user. The flowchart in Figure 4.1 shows the *User Configuration* part of DOExpert system.



Figure 4.1 User configuration

Figure 4.2 shows the *Menu Definition* part of DOExpert system. An authorized user can define menu items. If the menu item is defined before routine stops, if not, user enters menu item and saves the data into the database.



Figure 4.2 Menu definition

User Authorization Control part of DOExpert system is shown in Figure 4.3. It contains menu definition, user definition, Taguchi table definition, experimental project definition and project trial entrance and experimental analysis roles.



Figure 4.3 User authorization control

Figure 4.4 shows *Role Definition* part of the system. An authorized user can define roles for user by selecting roles. If a role does not exist, authorized user defines a role by giving a role name and selecting menu items for this role. If a desired menu item does not exist, routine stops, menu definition routine should be run to define new menu item. If the desired menu item exists then user selects menu item for this role and saves data into the database.



Figure 4.4 Role definition

The flowchart in Figure 4.5 shows the *Taguchi Table Definition* part of the system. An authorized user defines table structures of Taguchi tables like L8, L16,

L32 and defines the table interactions and estimation table structures. Taguchi table definition part of the system creates a framework for DOE analysis. This framework can be defined by a system user or copied from database tables by using Oracle PL/SQL scripts or import from an Excel file.



Figure 4.5 Taguchi table definition

Figure 4.6 shows *Project Definition* part of the system. An authorized user can define experimental projects. If a project exists, routine stops. If a project does not exist, user enters project name and detail like factor count level count. After pressing generate button, table structure is created automatically. User enters factor's name, level's values and units of the factors and saves data into the database.



Figure 4.6 Project definition

Figure 4.7 shows the *Project trial prediction* part of the system. This system allows user to predict result with a selected method and response results. User selects factors and factor's levels. Afterwards, system shows prediction result on the screen.



Figure 4.7 Project trial prediction



Figure 4.8 Project Trial Definition

Figure 4.8 shows the *Project Trial Definition* part of the system. User selects a Project and enters a trial for a project. In order to analyze a trial, user selects Taguchi table that is defined on the system. DOExpert system shows the suitable tables for a

trial. If a suitable table exists, trial combinations are created by the system. User enters the response values and applies Taguchi method to analyze the results. Afterwards, system gives the effects of the factors and factors interactions on the screen.

4.3 Presudocode

4.3.1 Create Project Table

This function shown in Table 4.1 creates project table, column, column levels, cells according to suitable Taguchi estimation table structure for a project trial.

Table 4.1 Create project table

FUNCTION <i>CREATEPROJECTTABLE</i> (<i>pProjectNo</i> : <i>integer</i> , <i>pTrialNo</i> : <i>integer</i>)
Return integer
DECLARE integer vtablo
DECLARE integer vrowno
BEGIN
#Taguchi Table structs
OPEN "tg_table_column" FOR Input As TableColumn
OPEN "tg_table_column_detail" FOR Input As TableColumnDetail
OPEN "tg_project_parameter" FOR Input As ProjectParameter
OPEN "tg_table_column_level" FOR Input As TableColumnLevel
#Project Tabl's
OPEN "tg_project_table_column" FOR Output As ProjectTableColumn
OPEN "tg_project_table_column_param" FOR Output As ProjectTableColumnParam
OPEN "tg_project_table_column_level" FOR Output As ProjectTableColumnLevel
OPEN "tg_project_table_value" FOR Delete As ProjectTableValue
#find Project trial Table_no and TrialNo
SET vtablo:= READ Table_No FROM Project_Trial FOR Project_No=pProjectNo AND
trial=pTrialNo)
SET vrowno:=READ Row_No FROM Project_Trial FOR Project_No=pProjectNo AND
trial=pTrialNo)
#construct Project table values, column, column levels
DELETE FROM ProjectTableValue FOR Project_No=pProjectNo
DELETE FROM Project l'ableColumnLevel FOR Project_No=pProjectNo
DELETE FROM ProjectTableColumnParam FOR Project_No=pProjectNo
DELETE FROM Project I ableColumn FOR Project_No=pProjectNo
$\frac{\#construct Project table columns for main factors}{2}$
WHILE (NOT EOF(TableColumn) AND Table_No= vtablo)
READ I ableColumn, param_no as column_no
KEAD ProjectParameter, param_name as column_name FOR ProjectNo=pProjectNo
WKITE Project I ableColumn, project_no, triai_no, column_no,column_name
END WHILE
WHILE (NOT EOE/TableColumnDatail AND Table no= utable AND Bow no= utable)
WHILE (NOT EOF(TableColumniletali AND Table_iio= viabio AND Kow_no= viowno))
WDITE Project TableColumn project no trial no column no column neme

Table 4.1 Create project table

END WHILE #Construct Table Column Parameters WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectParameter) AND Project no= pprojectno) **READ** ProjectParameter, column no ,column no as parameter no, column name WRITE ProjectTableColumnParam, project_no, trial_no, column_no, param_no **END WHILE** #Construct Project Table Column Levels WHILE (NOT EOF(TableColumnLevel) AND Project_no= pprojectno) READ TableColumnLevel, column_no ,level_no WRITE ProjectTableColumnLevel, project_no, trial_no, column_no,level_no **END WHILE** #Close Tables **CLOSE** TableColumnDetail CLOSE TableColumn **CLOSE** ProjectParameter CLOSE TableColumnLevel # Close Project Tables **CLOSE** projecttablecolumn CLOSE projecttablecolumnparam **CLOSE** projecttablecolumnlevel

END FUNCTION

4.3.2 Creating Project Table Result Function

This function shown in Table 4.2 runs after CreateProjectTable function. According to Taguchi table, the sum, average, effects of project table cells are calculated, main and interactions of factors effects are ordered with this function.

Table 4.2 Create project table result

{This function creates Taguchi matrix table cell values.} **FUNCTION** CREATEPROJECTTABLERESULT (pProjectNo :integer, pTable:integer,pTrialNo:integer,pYontem integer,pResponse integer) Return integer **DECLARE integer** vtablo DECLARE integer vrowno DECLARE integer vresult, vrealobsno DECLARE integer vtvaluecount, vrealvaluecount, vtvaluesay, vrealvaluesay DECLARE real vtvaluetop, vrealvalueTOP, vtvaluesay, vrealvaluesay, vgenelorttvalue, vgenelorttvalue **BEGIN** #Project Tabl's **OPEN** "tg project table" **FOR** Output As ProjectTable **OPEN** "tg_project_table_value" FOR Output As ProjectTableValue **OPEN** "tg_project_table_matrix" **FOR** Input As ProjectTableMatrix #find Project trial Table no and TrialNo SET vtablo:=READ Table_No FROM Project_Trial FOR Project_No=pProjectNo AND trial=pTrialNo)

SET vrowno:=READ Row_No FROM Project_Trial FOR Project_No=pProjectNo AND trial=pTrialNo) #delete ProjectTable and ProjectTableValue DELETE FROM ProjectTable FOR Project_No=pProjectNo AND Trial_no= pTrialNo AND method_no=pyontem AND response_no= pResponse DELETE FROM ProjectTableValue FOR Project_No=pProjectNo AND Trial_no=pTrialNo AND method_no=pyontem AND response_no= pResponse #insert estimation table matrix into Project Table matrix WHILE (NOT EOF(TableMatrix) AND Table_No= vtablo) READ TableMatrix, observation no

WRITE ProjectTable, pProjectNo, pTrialNo, observation_no, tvalue =0, result_no= 1, method_no =pyontem, response_no=presponse

END WHILE

#insert estimation table matrix into Project Table Value matrix

WHILE (NOT EOF(TableMatrix) AND Table_No= vtablo) READ TableMatrix, column_no, level_no, observation_no,status IF status='A' THEN vresult:=1 Else vresult:=0

WRITE ProjectTableValue,

ProjectNo= pProjectNo,TrialNo= pTrialNo, ColumnNo= column_no, LevelNo= level_no, ObservationNo=observation_no, TValue= vresult, ResultNo=1, MethodNo= pyontem, response_no=presponseno

END WHILE

#read table matrix

WHILE (NOT EOF(TableMatrix) AND Table_No= vtablo) **READ** TableMatrix, observation_no

STORE vrealobsno=**CALL** GetTaguchiMatrixRow(pProjectNo, pTrialNo,ptableno,observation_no,4)

READ ProjectTrialObservation, result as vrealresult	
FOR project_no = pprojectno AND trial_no = ptria	alno AND observation_no = vrealobsno
AND response_no = presponseno;	
{Taguchi Methods are: Larger is better: -10log10((1/y2	$2/n$) Smaller is better: $-10log10(y2)/n$ }
IF(pyontem=1) THEN	
COMPUTE vdeger:=vrealresult;	#real value
ELSIF(pyontem=2) THEN	#-S/N larger is better
STORE vdeger=vrealresult;	
STORE vdeger:=power(vdeger,2);	#square
STORE vdeger:=log(10,(1/vdeger));	#logarithm(vdeger)
COMPUTE vdeger:=vdeger*-10;	#multiply with 10
ELSIF(pyontem=3) THEN	#-S/N smaller is better
STORE vdeger=vrealresult;	
STORE vdeger:=power(vdeger,2);	#square
STORE vdeger:=log(10,(vdeger));	#logarithm(vdeger)
COMPUTE vdeger:=vdeger*-10;	#multiply with 10
ELSIF(pyontem=4) then	
STORE vdeger:=vrealresult;	#logarithm(vdeger)
COMPUTE vdeger:=log(10,vdeger);	

ENDIF;

#write project tble matrix real values and estimated values. **UPDATE** ProjectTableValue, tvalue= vdeger, matrix_order_no = vrealobsno, real_value = vrealresult FOR project_no = pprojectno AND trial_no = ptrialno AND observation_no = xobservation no **AND** tvalue <> -1 **AND** method_no = pyontem **AND** response_no = presponseno; *(response means one observation have more than one response value like temperature, pressure...* Analyze all response values at time same time.} **UPDATE** ProjectTable, tvalue = vdeger, matrix order no = vrealobsno, real value = vrealresult **FOR** project_no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = ptrialno **AND** observation_no = observation_no #*Read from TableMatrix* **AND** method no = pyontem **AND** response no = presponseno; **END WHILE** ****** #Write Sum, Count, Avegare of values to ProjectTable *#create sum column* ************* ******* WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project_no = pprojectno AND trial_no = pTrialNo AND column_no = 1 AND tvalue <> -1 AND result_no < 90 AND method_no = pyontem) **READ** ProjectTableValue, tvalue, realvalue **STORE** vtvalue=vtvalue+tvalue **STORE** vrealvalue = vrealvalue + realvalue **END WHILE** WRITE ProjectTable, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=90, Tvalue=vtvalue,realvalue=vrealvalue,resultno=91,resultname="SUM", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse #create count column **WHILE** (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) **AND** project no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** column_no = 1 **AND** tvalue <> -1 **AND** result_no < 90 **AND** method no = pyontem) **READ** ProjectTableValue, tvalue, realvalue **STORE** vtvaluecount= vtvaluecount +1 **STORE** vrealvaluecount = vrealvaluecount + 1 END WHILE WRITE ProjectTable, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=92, Tvalue=vtvalue,realvalue=vrealvalue,resultno=92,resultname="COUNT", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse #create Average column **READ** ProjectTableValue, tvalue, realvalue FOR project no = pprojectno AND trial_no = pTrialNo AND result_no=91 AND method_no = pyontem AND response no= presponseno) **STORE** vtvaluetop=tvalue **STORE** vrealvaluetop= realvalue **READ** ProjectTableValue, tvalue, realvalue FOR project no = pprojectno

 Table 4.2 Create project table result

AND trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** result_no=92 **AND** method_no = pyontem AND response_no= presponseno) STORE vtvaluesay=tvalue **STORE** vrealvaluesay= realvalue **COMPUTE** vgenelorttvalue:=round(vtvaluetop/vtvaluesay ,5) *#calculate estimated value* average **COMPUTE** vgenelortrvalue:=round(vrealvaluetop/vrealvaluesay,5) #calculate real value average **WRITE** ProjectTable, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=93, Tvalue= vgenelorttvalue,realvalue= vgenelorttvalue,resultno=93,resultname="AVERAGE", Methodno=pmethod,response no=presponse *******/ #Write Sum, Count, Avegare of values to ProjectTableValue #create sum column WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** tvalue <> -1 **AND** result_no < 90 **AND** method_no = pyontem) READ ProjectTableValue, columnno, levelno, tvalue, realvalue **STORE** vtvalue=vtvalue+tvalue **STORE** vrealvalue = vrealvalue + realvalue END WHILE WRITE ProjectTableValue, project no, trial no, observation no=90, Column no= columnno #read from ProjectTableValue table Level_no=levelno #read from ProjectTableValue table Tvalue=vtvalue,realvalue=vrealvalue,resultno=91,resultname="SUM", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse #create count column WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project_no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** tvalue <> -1 **AND** result_no < 90 **AND** method_no = pyontem) **READ** ProjectTableValue, columnno, levelno, tvalue, realvalue **STORE** vtvaluecount= vtvaluecount +1 **STORE** vrealvaluecount= vrealvaluecount + 1 **END WHILE** WRITE ProjectTableValue, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=92, Column no= columnno #read from ProjectTableValue table Level_no=levelno #read from ProjectTableValue table Tvalue= vtvaluecount,realvalue= vrealvaluecount,resultno=92,resultname="COUNT", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project_no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** result_no =91 **AND** method_no = pyontem) READ ProjectTableValue, columnno as xcolumnno, Levelno as xlevelno ,tvalue as xtoptvalue, Realvalue as xtoprealvalue WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project_no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** result_no =92 **AND** method_no = pyontem **AND** columno=xcolumno **AND** levelno=xlevelno #read from ProjectTableValue) **READ** ProjectTableValue, tvalue as xsaytvalue, realvalue as xsayrealvalue **COMPUTE** vgenelorttvalue:=round(xtoptvalue / xsavtvalue.5): **COMPUTE** vgenelortrvalue:=round(xtoprealvalue / xsavrealvalue,5); **WRITE** ProjectTableValue, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=93, Column_no= xcolumnno #read from ProjectTableValue table from Sum row

Table 4.2 Create project table result

Level no=xlevelno #read from ProjectTableValue table from Sum row Tvalue= vgenelorttvalue,realvalue= vgenelortrvalue,resultno=93,resultname="AVERAGE", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse **END WHILE STORE** vtvaluecount= vtvaluecount +1 **STORE** vrealvaluecount = vrealvaluecount + 1 **END WHILE** #Write dummy record into Projettable effects of columns **WRITE** ProjectTable, PROJECT_NO = pprojectno TRIAL_NO= ptrialno **OBSERVATION NO=94**, TVALUE=0, real_value=0, ,RESULT_NO=94, METHOD NO=PYONTEM RESULT NAME='EFFECT', RESPONSE NO= presponseno) #Write dummy record into Projettable order of columns **WRITE** ProjectTable, PROJECT_NO = pprojectno TRIAL_NO= ptrialno **OBSERVATION NO=95**, TVALUE=0, real value=0, RESULT NO=95, METHOD NO=PYONTEM RESULT NAME=ORDER, RESPONSE NO= presponseno) #Write record into ProjettableValue effects of columns WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project no = pprojectno AND trial no = pTrialNo **AND** result no =93 **AND** method no = pyontem READ ProjectTableValue, columnno as xcolumnno, Levelno as xlevelno, tvalue as xTvalue, Realvalue as xrealvalue STORE Vlevelcarp=1 ELSE STORE Vlevelcarp=-1 END IF IF (xlevelno=1) THEN **COMPUTE** vtvaluetop= vtvaluetop+xtvalue* Vlevelcarp **COMPUTE** vrealvaluetop= vrealvaluetop +xtrealvalue* Vlevelcarp WRITE ProjectTableValue, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=94, Column_no= xcolumnno ,Level_no=1 ,Tvalue= vtvaluetop,realvalue= vrealvaluetop,resultno=94,resultname="EFFECT", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse **END WHILE** #Write record into ProjettableValue orders of columns WHILE (NOT EOF(ProjectTableValue) AND project no = pprojectno **AND** trial_no = pTrialNo **AND** result_no =94 **AND** method_no = pyontem) READ ProjectTableValue, columnno as xcolumnno, tvalue as xTvalue, Realvalue as xrealvalue **STORE** SIRA=**SORT** ABSOULTE(xTvalue) WRITE ProjectTableValue, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=94, Column no= xcolumnno ,Level no=1 Tvalue= sira, realvalue= sira, resultno=95, resultname="ORDER", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse WRITE ProjectTableValue, project_no, trial_no, observation_no=94, Column_no= xcolumnno Level no=2 Tvalue= sira ,realvalue= sira ,resultno=95,resultname="ORDER", Methodno=pmethod,response_no=presponse **END WHILE** #Close Tables **CLOSE** ProjectTable CLOSE ProjectTableValue **CLOSE** ProjectTableMatrix **RETURN** 0: END;

4.4 Views

4.4.1 Formula Coefficients for Prediction

DOExpert Software uses some database views to make some operations in an easy way. Project trial analysis is done by using viw_tg_formula view that is shown below. This view combines the coefficients and formulas from the all project trial analysis results so that this software uses this view to analyze and to make a prediction. Some values of Taguchi analysis and project trial table is combined as a formula. This view is shown in Figure 4.9.

Figure 4.9 Formula coefficients for prediction formula

4.4.2 Taguchi Analysis Result Table

DOExpert Software shows the total Taguchi estimation table via this viw_tg_result_table view as shown below. This view contains framework tables

which are tg_project_table, tg_project_table_value and tg_project_table_column to show the Taguchi analysis results. This view is shown in Figure 4.10.

CREATE OR REPLACE FORCE VIEW CONFIDA40.VIW TG RESULT TABLE (satir no, project no, trial no, column no,level no,observation no,tvalue,column name, param_no,param_name,value_type,method_no,gozlemtvalue,matrix_order_no,result_no, result name, response no ì AS SELECT TO CHAR (observation no) satir no, project no, trial no, column no, level no, observation no, tvalue, column name, param no, param name, value type, method no, gozlemtvalue, matrix order no, result no, result name, response no FROM (SELECT a.*, pp.param_no, param_name, value_type FROM (SELECT v.method no, t.project no, t.trial no, v.column no, v.level no, t.observation no, t.tvalue gozlemtvalue, c.column name, v.tvalue, v.real value, t.matrix order no, v.result no, v.result name, v.response no FROM tg_project_table t, tg_project_table_value v, tg project table column c WHERE t.project no = v.project no AND t.trial_no = v.trial_no AND t.observation_no = v.observation_no(+) **AND** t.method no = v.method no(+) AND t.result_no = v.result_no(+) AND t.project no = c.project no AND t.trial_no = c.trial_no AND v.column no = c.column no AND t.response_no = v.response_no) a, tg_project_table_column_param_cp, tg_project_parameter_pp WHERE a.project_no = cp.project_no(+) **AND** a trial no = cp. trial no(+) **AND** a.column no = cp.column no(+) AND cp.project no = pp.project no(+) AND cp.param_no = pp.param_no(+));

Figure 4.9 Taguchi analysis result table view

CHAPTER FIVE SYSTEM DESIGN

This chapter explains the design of the system in detail. It presents UML diagrams such as Use Case Diagram and Class Diagrams, explains database in detail by giving Entity Relation Diagram (E/R) diagram, database tables, view list, package functions and procedures.

5.1 Use Case Diagram

DOExpert Use Case Diagrams is shown in Figure 5.1. This part of the software contains configuration operations. User, role definition and authorization can be done by administrator user.



DOExpert Use Case Diagrams

Figure 5.1 User definition use case diagram

Authorized user can make some operations like factor definition, project definition, observation entrance and other operations related with this main functions. Use case diagram of some of these operations are shown in Figure 5.2.



Figure 5.2 Authorized user operations

5.2 E/R Diagram

Project definitions can be made in detail by using this framework. Project definition and the columns and parameters of columns of a project can be defined. A project has more one trial for more than one response variable. By using this system design, project table definition and Taguchi table definition can be done on the system for configuration. After configuration of the system, project trials can be analyzed with an algorithm using Taguchi table structures. After analyzing of a project trial, the result values of analysis are stored as Taguchi table format.

Experimental project definition E/R diagram is shown in Figure 5.1. Project trial observation details and trial combinations data can also be stored in this framework.



Figure 5.1 DOExpert Project trial design E/R diagram

The E/R diagram of a project trial Taguchi estimation table and project response tables is shown in Figure 5.2. Project can more than one response variable. At this experimental work color project has 6 response variables as *ltop, atop, btop, lbottom, abottom* and *bbottom*.



Figure 5.2 DOExpert Taguchi table design UML diagram

After analyzing a project trial, the result values of all response variables are stored in this database. The E/R diagram of project response tables is shown in Figure 5.3.



Figure 5.3 DOExpert project response prediction diagram

5.3 Class Diagram

DOExpert software contains database classes, windows form classes and classes for matrix operations. Database classes were created by Llblgen software contains database fields as properties, database functions as methods. Windows form classes are inherited from form class. DOExpert definition forms classes are shown in Figure 5.4, while project trial and graph form classes are shown in Figure 5.5, matrix functions class diagram is shown in Figure 5.6.



Figure 5.4 DOExpert definition forms classes



Figure 5.5 DOExpert project trial and graphs form classes



Figure 5.6 DOExpert classes for matrix operations

5.4 Database Tables

DOExpert software framework table list is shown in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 DOExpert software framework table list
--

TABLE NAME	FIELDS	DESCRIPTION
USER TABLES		
TG_USER	User Code, User Name, Status	User definition
TG_ROLE	Role Code,Role name	Role Definition
TG_USER_ROLE	User Code,Role Code	User Role definition
TG_MENU	Menu Code, Menu Name	Menu Definitions
TG_ROLE_MENU	Role Code, Menu Code, Role Type	Role Menu detail
TAGUCHI TABLE DEFINITION		
TG_TABLE	Table No, TableName, ColumnCount	Taguchi Table Names and column counts eg. L4,L8
TG_TABLE_COLUMN	Table No, ColumnNo, ColumnName	Taguchi Table Columns defined.
TG_TABLE_COLUMN_DETAIL	Table No, RowNo,ColumnNo, EffectNo,ParameterNo	Taguchi Table Columns Details defined. Eg. Suppose L16 Taguchi Table, This table contains possible column parameters, and also equivalent of parameters.
TG_TABLE_COLUMN_LEVEL	Table No,ColumnNo, LevelNo	Taguchi Table Column Levels are defined. Eg. For L16 estimation Table column levels
TG_TABLE_COMBINATION	Table No, RowNo,ColumnNo, ObservationNo, LevelNo	User constructs combinations according to desired factor count User make trials according to these combinations.
TG_TABLE_DETAIL	(Table No, RowNo, ParamNumber, LevelCount	Effected or noneffected Tables. Eg. For L16 These table can be as follows: (Row1:15 factors, Row2: 5 factors, non-effected, Row3: 5 faktors non-effected
TG_TABLE_MATRIX	Table No,ColumnNo, ObservationNo, LevelNo	The matrix desing of Taguchi Tables.active and passive cells records are here.
TG_TABLE_PARAMETER	(Table No, RowNo, ParameterNo, ParameterNAme	Taguchi Table Parameters. Eg.L16, 5 factors parameter
TG_TABLE_ROW	(Table No, Observation No	Taguchi Table Trials. Eg.L16 has 16 trials.
PROJECTS		
TG_PROJECT	(Project No,Name)	Project Definitions
TG_PROJECT_PARAMETER	(Project No, ParamNo, PAramName)	Project Parameters, parameter units are defined Blue,PS01
TG_PROJECT_PARAMETER_VAL UE	(Project No,ParamNo,LevelNo,Value	Project Parameters Levels and Levels Values defined Project parameter Low and Upper Limits
TG_PROJECT_RESPONSE	(Project No,Response No,Response Name)	Project Response variables are defined. Eg. Ltop
PROJECT TRIALS		
TG_PROJECT_TRIAL	(Project No,Trial No,TrialNAme, TableNo,RowNo)	Project Trials
TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_OBSERVATI ON	(Project No, Trial No, Response No, Observation No, Result	Project Trials Response Values

Table 5.1 DOExpert software framework table list

TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_VALUE	Project No,Trial No,ObservationNo, Param_No,Level No)	Projects Trial Combinations
PROJE TRIALS TO TAGUCHI TABL	ES	
TG_PROJECT_TABLE	(Project No,Trial No,ObservationNo,MethodNo, ResultNo,ResponseNo, Tvalue,RealValue	First part of Estimation Table of Project
TG_PROJECT_TABLE_COLUMN	Project No,Trial No, ColumnNo, ColumnName	Project Estimation Table Columns
TG_PROJECT_TABLE_COLUMN_ LEVEL	Project No,Trial No,ColumnNo, LevelNo)	Project Estimation Table Column Levels
TG_PROJECT_TABLE_COLUMN_P ARAM	(Project No,Trial No,ColumnNo, LevelNo)	Project Estimation Table Column Parameters
TG_PROJECT_TABLE_VALUE	(Project No,Trial No,ColumnNo, LevelNo,ObservationNo,MethodNo , , ResponseNo, TValue.Rvalue	Project Estimation Table Cells
MAKE PREDICTION		
TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_PRE	Project No, Trial No, ResponseNo, MethodNo, PredictionNo, Tresult, Constant Value	Make Prediction according to coefficiens that found. User selects levels for factors like A- 1,B-0,C-0,D-1,E-0. Program makes prediction according to these coefficients like Y=35.52
TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_PRE_DET	Project No, Trial No, ResponseNo, MethodNo, PredictionNo,ColumnNo, TrialValue, Coefficient,LevelNo	Y=35.52+ALevel*ACoeffient+B*Bcoeffient TrialValue=ALevel*ACoeffient,A=column MethodNo=1,2,larger is best,ResponseNo(like ltop) In shortly,the eauation Y=35.52+ Level1*CoeffA+Level2*CoeffB+ stored here.

5.5 DOExpert Software Framework View List

DOExpert software framework view list and used tables is shown in Table 5.2.

VIEW NAME	FIELDS	USED TABLES	DESCRIPTION
VIW_TG_FORMULA	project_no, trial_no, method_no,	tg_project_table,	Used for prediction
	param_no, constant,	viw_tg_result_table	
	tvalue,response_no		
VIW_TG_RESULT_	satir_no, project_no,	tg_project_table t,	All of the Taguchi
TABLE	trial_no,column_no,level_no,	tg_project_table_value v,	analysis results can be
	observation_no, tvalue,	tg_project_table_column c,	shown via this view
	column_name, param_no,	tg_project_table_column_param,	
	param_name, value_type, method_no,	tg_project_parameter	
	gozlemtvalue, matrix_order_no,		
	result_no, result_name, response_no		

Table 5.2 DOExpert software framework view list

5.6 Package Functions & Procedures

DOExpert framework functions and procedures list is shown in Table 5.3.

PROCEDURE NAME	PARAMETERS	RETUR N	DESCRIPTION
CreateProjectTable	pProjectNo in number, pTrialNo in number	Number	(TG_PROJECT_TABLE_VALUE) Constructs Project Estimation Table Cells (TG_PROJECT_TABLE_COLUMN_LE VEL column levels (TG_PROJECT_TABLE_COLUM_PAR AM) Column parameters (TG_PROJECT_TABLE_COLUMN) Columns
CreateProjectTableResult	pProjectNo in number, pTableNo in number, pTrialNo in number, pMethod in number	No return	(TG_PROJECT_TABLE) Fisrt part of Estimation Table of Project (TG_PROJECT_TABLE_VALUE) Project estimation table cells
CreateProjectTrialResult	pProjectNo in number, pTableNo in number, pRowNo in number, pTrialNo in number, pResponse number	No return	A combination table is constructed for the Taguchi table selected by user. User makes project trials according to these combinations. TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_VALUE, TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_OBSERVATION constructed.
GetTaguchiMatrixRow	pProjectNo in number, pTrialNo in number, pTableNo in number, pObsNo in number, pColNo in number, presponse number	Number	Real observationno is found and called by CreateProjectTable function.
MakePrediction	pproject number, ptrial number, presponseno number, pmethodno number, ppredictionno number. pyontem varchar2	Number	Prediction is done. TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_PRE_DET and TG_PROJECT_TRIAL_PRE values are esimated by using VIW_TG_FORMULA view.

Table 5.3 DOExpert software framework functions and procedures

CHAPTER SIX IMPLEMENTATION

In this thesis, several development and mapping tools and database system were used to develop DOExpert system. These are LLBLGEN Pro, Oracle Database, Microsoft Visual Studio, DevExpress Components and Microsoft Visio to draw diagrams.

6.1 LLBLGEN Pro

LLBLGEN Pro 2.6 is an ORM (Object Relational Mapping) tool which generates a class for each database object. These programs generate classes for tables and views. For example if we create a new table, ORM tool generates a new class for this table automatically. Instead of using this tool, a developer has to write *Update*, *Insert*, *Delete* and *Select* statements. ORM tool makes all these standard operations automatically. Apart from this, any stored procedure, function codes are generated by ORM tool. In addition, developer can write codes independent from the database.

6.2 Oracle Database

Oracle Database 10g is one of the best database systems to develop critical applications in business and technical areas. It has strong management and development tools such as Enterprise Manager and SQL Developer to develop an application which includes scripts, packages, procedures, triggers and functions.

6.3 Microsoft Visual Studio

Microsoft Visual Studio 2012 is an IDE (Integrated Development Environment) tool which was developed by Microsoft. It is supported by Microsoft Windows, Windows Mobile, Windows CE, NET Framework, NET Compact Framework and Microsoft Silverlight. It is used to develop web, mobile and desktop applications.

6.4 DevExpress Components

DevExpress is a .NET component for Windows Forms applications. DevExpress WinForm components offer many options both visually as well as functionality. Some of the supports of the DevExpress components are: Skin Care, Instrument Support, ProgressBar, Grid Support, Table Support (ChartControl), Navigation Bar Support.

6.5 Microsoft Visio

Microsoft Office Visio provides a platform to draw UML diagrams, flowcharts, maps, scheduling diagrams, detailed network diagram, industrial control systems and others systems diagrams. At this project UML diagrams, flowcharts are drawn by Microsoft Office Visio.

6.6 DOExpert Software

The implementation details and general usage information about our DOExpert software is explained in this section. Software contains 4 parts as follows:

- a) User & Menu & Role Configuration
- b) DOE Configuration for Taguchi Design
- c) DOE Project Definition
- d) Project Trials & Prediction & Graphs

6.6.1 Login Page

User enters the system by entering a valid username and password. After entering the system, configuration screen appears to select a menu item from the list.

6.6.2 DOExpert System Configuration Screen

DOExpert system configuration screen is shown in Figure 6.10. Menu definition, Role definition, User definition and authorization operations are done by using these menu items.



Figure 6.1 DOExpert system configuration screen

6.6.3 Menu Definition

Menu definition screen shows the menu items of this DOExpert system. Data Manipulation Language (DML) operations of menu items can be done from the screen shown in Figure 6.2.

-		
	Menu Code	Menu Name
•	1	Menu Definition
	2	Role Definition
	3	User Definition & Autohorization
	4	Table Definition & Matrix
	5	Project Definition
	6	Enter Trial and Simulate

Figure 6.2 Menu definition
6.6.4 Role Definition

Role definition is an important part of DOExpert System. This system is an authorized system by assigning roles to users. There are three roles on the system as a default. A user is authorized to enter the menu options via this menu. Figure 6.3 shows role definition screen details.

Role Code		Role Name	R	ol Menu	
	1	Administrator Role		Menu Code	Role Type
1	2	Expert Role	Þ	Role Definition	Expert Authority
	3	Staff Role (for only simul			
		M	Menu Name		
			*	Menu Definition	
				Role Definition	
				User Definition & Autoho	orization
				Table Definition & Matrix	:
				Project Definition	
				Enter Trial and Simulate	

Figure 6.3 Role definition

6.6.5 User Definition & Authorization

Each user has a role as shown in Figure 6.13. Administrator of the system can give an authority to a user via *User Authority* screen. Each user has a role and each role have menu item(s).

• • •	User Autl	nority								
r	Us	Name	Surname	Passwd	Status			Roles		
	ADMIN	ADMIN	-	7862436	Active		٢	ole Code		
►	PAZIMLI	PINAR	AZİMLİ	123456	Active			pert Role		$\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}$
						=>		:S		
						<=		Role Name		
								dministrator	Role	
								xpert Role		
								taff Role (for	r only simulation)	

Figure 6.4 User definition & authorization screen

6.6.6 DOE Configuration for Taguchi

6.6.6.1 Table Definition & Matrix

DOExpert System allows user to analyze an experimental work by using Taguchi method. So it is needed to define Taguchi Tables framework on the system for later use. Figure 6.14 shows *Table Definition* screen to define Taguchi L16 orthogonal tables and the interactions of these tables according to factor numbers on the system.



Figure 6.5 Table definition screen

6.6.7 DOExpert Projects

6.6.7.1 Project Definition

In order to analyze an experimental work, project definitions, project factors and factor levels must be defined on the system. This operation is important to construct the DOExpert framework. Color Project factors and levels on Project Definition screen are shown in Figure 6.6.

P	ROJEC	TS	Face		5	Generate 1	Table Gen	erates Row a	as Factor count
	Prj #	Project Name		or Count	2	Cave Para			
	1	Test Project	Lev	elCount	4		in values		
	5	Color Project(5 factor effects)		FactorNo	T	FactorName	Level1	Level2	Unit
			•		1	Ultramarineblue	0	0,05	ph
				2	2	Mangane Violet	0	0,05	ph
				3	3	PS01	0	0,2	ph
				4	4	Diluted Green	0	0,2	ph
					5	MgO	0	0,2	ph



6.6.8 Project Trials

6.6.8.1 Enter Trial & Simulate

Project Trials should be entered on the system to analyze the effects of the factors. User selects Taguchi table and creates a combination table to enter the response values for a trial. Figure 6.7 shows the Project Trial combination screen for this experimental work.

1	oject						Possible Tagu	chi Designs					
	Prj# P	Project Name			Param Count	Level Coun =	CreateTrials Combination	Apply T All Resp	aguchi for onse		💿 Mean V	/alues 🔘	s/N
	1 T	est Project		_	1		Respons	e No	Response	Name	Ve	lue Type	
	5	alar Projecti	(5 Facto	ar effects)	1	<u>×</u>		1	ltop	9.0000000	1000	www.countrie	
5.5					-	>		2	atop				
2	X La r							з	btop				
T	Tri T	rial Name	Trial	D Notes		100		4	Ibotom				
f	1 1	op 5 fact	03.07	2013 5 facto	s(With Intera	ctions)		5	abotom				
1	2 lt	op 5 fakt		51	actors(Main E	ffects)			bbotom				
B	IAL COMBI	NATION DE	TAIL	MATRIXESTIN	ATION Tabl								
			and search			1.0							
i.		2	_										
		The second second					(1			1	0	1
	ObsNo	1-Ultrar blue()	n	2-Manga Violet()	3-P50	4-Dilut Green()	5-Mg0()	Itop	atop	btop	lbot	abot	bbotom
k		1	0	0	0	0	e	96,98	-0,78	5,04	90,03	-0,70	496
		2	0	1	1	1	1	91,46	-4,91	8,83	96,09	2,67	6,4
		3	1	0	0	0	1	98,78	0,44	1,63	94,01	-2,25	0,7
		4	1	1	1	1	C	94,35	-0,94	3,60	94,60	1,51	1,11
		5	0	0		1	0	98,55	5,35	7,42	97,70	2,16	5,0
	5	6	0	1	0	0	1	94,47	-0,53	4,56	95,19	0,17	4,0
		7	1	0	1	1	1	93,95	-0,54	6,40	95,69	0,32	3,9
		8	1	1	0	0	C	93,43	-1,22	0,05	93,21	-1,40	-0,3
		9	0	0	0	1	1	93,76	0,15	10,74	98,56	1,33	10,1
	1	0	Û	1	1	0	C	94,85	0,44	2,59	64,33	0,49	0,5
	1	1	1	0	0	1	C	96,24	1,21	4,79	96,65	0,16	4,1
	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	94,38	1,44	0,32	92,27	-1,08	-2,0
	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	96,94	0,50	5,52	95,56	-0,59	3,1
	1	4	0	1	0	1	C	97,09	1,54	5,86	96,59	2,96	5,10
	1	5	1	0	1	0	C	95,26	-2,54	1,10	93,60	-1,97	-1,0
	and the second sec							A	A CONTRACT			100 March 100 Ma	12000

Figure 6.7 Trial details screen

CHAPTER SEVEN EXPERIMENTAL WORK

In experimental works, after making several interviews with laboratory experts, it was decided to apply design of experiment methods on color work of windows profiles. Color study contains defining factor levels to find color amounts to reach customer request. Factors consist of five different paints. Desired results can be reached by setting amount of top and bottom color values for window profiles. In this study, Taguchi method and regression analysis were applied at color data's to find the effects and optimum values of color factors.

7.1 Color Measurement System

How do we measure colour

The color of a (white) window profile is characterized by CIELab (color) space. The CIE-L a b color space is a color-opponent space with dimension L (black-white direction) for lightness and a (green-red direction) and b (blue-yellow direction) for the color-opponent dimensions (Figure 7.1), based on nonlinearly compressed CIE XYZ color space coordinates.

The right color specification of a customer can sometimes be reached by the right addition of additives. However, sometimes the addition of tinting pigments (blue, violet, black, green and yellow) is necessary.



Figure 7.1 CIELab colour space (Dr. Schiller, 2013)

7.2 Project Detail

7.2.1 Color Pigments

The addition of the right tinting pigment in the right amount is needed a lot of experience or a lot of trials to be done. The 3rd option is a DOE in which the five tinting pigments were varied. The constant part of this DOE is a dryblend combination similar to that what a customer has been using without tinting pigment and a Clacium-Zinc based stabilizer:

100 phr PVC ($k = 66 \dots 68$)

6 phr coated Filler

5 phr Acrylic impact modifier

5 phr Titanium dioxide (Rutile, window grade)

7 phr commercially available Calcium-Zinc based window profile stabilizer without tinting pigment (commercially available for more than 5 years; produced on pilot production to avoid additional mistakes)

In this work there are 5 color pigment values, each have minimum and maximum level values as follows:

- defined and diluted blue pigment from 0.0 to 0.2 phr
--

- defined and diluted violet pigment from 0.0 to 0.2 phr
- defined and diluted black pigment from 0.0 to 0.1 phr
- defined and diluted green pigment from 0.0 to 0.1 phr
- defined non-diluted yellow pigment from 0.0 to 0.1 phr

Laboratory personnel made 21 trials based on a DOE Project according to Taguchi design. The design was changed by logical reasons to avoid many contradicting combinations e.g. violet combined with green. The dryblends were weighted (about 15 kg) and mixed on a hot-cool-mixer according standard conditions. The dryblends were extruded on a KM 35-25 L/D extruded with a window profile standard set up. Extrusion speed was about 1 m/min and about 30 kg/hr. Extrusion torques, pressures and temperatures were measured. Details are

entered into a R&D Project from "Trial01" to "Trial21". (Pressure, torque and mass temperature were going slightly down during extrusion. The influence on color is investigated.)

More important parts of this study were the color values *L*, *a*, *b* on the top and the bottom side of window profile. As shown in Figure 7.2 and Figure 7.3 windows profile section is produced and *ltop* and *lbottom* values are written.



Figure 7.2 Window Profile top and bottom color values



Figure 7.3 Window Profile from different perspectives

7.2.2 Extrusion Process

Extrusion is a method that is used to give a shape to materials under some temperature and pressure. A plastic extrusion machine is shown in Figure 7.4.

7.2.2.1 Extrusion process stages

Extrusion process stages are as follows; Powder or granular is put in the hopper, goes to heating roller and is pushed forward by extrusion screw, as a result of this friction softens and melts.

After passing some cylinder part, it is forced to pass through from mold. After passing from mold, the product is in the shape of mold. Product goes to cooling system. After that product measurement is done and cut.



Figure 7.4 Plastic Extrusion Machine Line (Auvinen, 2013)

7.3 Benefits of DOExpert

7.3.1 Time to Make a Trial

For each color study, for one trial, plastic extrusion machine spends about 30 minute and 1 personnel job rotation. Personnel spend time to mix color pigments and make some preparation to make a trial.

After trial ends, some operations that should be done are:

- save information from extruder machine
- get sample
- measure color values of sample
- enter results Confida system
- create product receipt

7.3.2 Preparation of the Mixture Powder

Recipes are given to the lab. The materials are weighted at laboratory. Weighing material is put into the mixer. Weighing and Mixer takes 20 minutes to process a single trial.

7.3.3 Number of Work About Color Calibration at Laboratory

An average count of recipe (per month) is 25. For 25 recipes 75 trials should be done. DOExpert system reaches the truth result after doing 50 trials instead of 75 trials.

7.3.4 R&D Expert Time

Operations like getting results, evaluation, doing theoretical calculations for new experiments takes an average of 7 minutes.

7.3.5 Reology Work

Plastograph extrusion trials performed outside the machine (raw material plasticization time determination (plastograph) analysis is done for 6-7 minutes for the first trial is in progress.

7.3.6 Save Time

- Thermal stabilizer (strength) varies by product, takes an average of 50-60 minutes
- Personnel preparing time of sample takes 2 minutes
- Raw material gain, a wider basis of the inventory (from factory or outside.)
- The energy used in electric and nitrogen tube

7.4 DOExpert Software

DOExpert Software was designed to make an analysis of experimental design and applied to one of the chemical factory for color measurement system. This system proposes a framework that contains user controlled system, Taguchi table definition system to analyze data for optimum values. In this section every section of this software will be explained and windows profile top and bottom color measurement values will be analyzed by the system.

7.4.1 User & Role Administration

User roles consist of Administration Role, Expert Role, Staff Role. These were explained in detail.

7.4.1.1 Administration Role

Administration Role enables user to define the system tables, functions, roles to configure the system. Taguchi orthogonal table structures are defined by administrator. These operations can be done via a screen shown in Figure 7.5.

7.4.1.2 Expert Role

Each user who has expert role can create projects, trial combinations and enter observation values is used for experimental design of experiments into the system.

7.4.1.3 Staff role

Staff role is an unauthorized role. This role allows running prediction for projects. Predictions are done by using the coefficients found by Expert Role Experimental Design.

18.	Iser Code	Name	Sumame	Status	Passwd	User Roles Role Code				Roles
A	DMIN	AE/MIN			7862436	1	Role Code	=>	Ðſ	Role Name
P	AZIMLI	PINAR	AZIMLI	Active	123456		Administrator Role	V (-		Administrator Role
									-1	Expert Role
										Staff Role (for only simulation)

Figure 7.5 DOExpert user role definition screen

7.4.2 Table Definition & Matrix

Taguchi Tables definition and the structures of the tables can be defined by using Table Definition screen shown in Figure 7.6.

2	a ×				
I	ables				
	Tabl	Table Name	Table Sname	Column Count	
	ž	00	1.0		
	3	19	19		
	4	111	1111		
-	5			16	
	2	1.10	112		

Figure 7.6 Taguchi tables

The combinations of Taguchi L16 table for 15 factors have 2 levels are shown in Figure 7.7. Taguchi orthogonal table details can be entered via a screen shown in Figure 7.8

Expe- riment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	a	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
4	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
5	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2
6	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1
7	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1
8	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2
9	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
10	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
11	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	1
12	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2
13	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1
14	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2
15	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2
16	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1

Figure 7.7 L16 estimation table

Taguchi table for 5 factors to 15 factors dependencies and estimation table structure is shown in Figure 7.8. This screen allows authorized user to add, delete or update a Taguchi table definition in detail. Gray cells show the passive cells of Taguchi table.

Та	bles	-Co	lum	nns-D	epe	ender	ncies																								
\square	Tabl	e	R	owNo		Effec		Par	a	c		c	¢.,		c	с.		c	¢		c	c	c		c	c		c	c		c
÷.		5	5							15 A		в.			D.	Ε.		F.			н.							м.	N.	¢	b.
		Ę	5		2		1			5 A		в.	с.		D.															E	
		ę	5		з		1			5 A		в.	С,		D.	Α.	в,	A.C.	A.D),	в.⊂.	B.D.	C	.D.	D.E.	C.E		B.E.	A.E	, E	
Drop	o Filte	r Fiel	lds H	lere					_																						
Sta)[Colu	imn I	No 🔺		Colum	nAdi	*	[⊂.1	intera	c	·)[.evel	vo ≁	•	_						_									
	_	- 1		- 2		- 3	3	Ξ.	•	Ξ.	5	- 6)	Θ.	7	- 8	3	- 9			0	- 1		- 1	2	- 13		⊡ 1	•	Ξ1	5
		A		- 6			-	0		Ξ,	٨B	04	۱C	Ξ,	۹D	0	BC .	ΞB	D		D	🗆 DI			E	🗆 BE		- A		- E	1
			,	- B		00		- (), 	Ξ,	А.В.	04	4. ⊂.	Ξ,	A.D.	- E	3.⊂,	ΞB	.D.		.D.	ΞD	Ε.		.E.	- B.E	Ε.		Ε.	- E	
<u> </u>		1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	Z	1	Z	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
1	_			- 4		- 4	-				- 1			-					-		A	A		A		- 1					A
2	_								-				- A	A			-														
3	_							- 4					-										A								
4											-																				
6																								A		-	A				A
7								A		A					.			A										A			
8							A			A									A			A		A	-						
9										A		A									A		A		- A	10	٨	A			
10						A			A	A		A			A			A					A			A			A		
11							A			A			A									A				A			A		
12							A		A				A		A			A			A	۸						Α			
13								A			- 1							A			A	A							A		A
14						A			A		- 1	A			A	A			A			A			A	A		A			
15							A	A			1			A				A					A	٨				A			
16							A		A		1		A		A		A		A		A		A		A				A		A

Figure 7.8 Taguchi table estimation table structure

7.4.3 Project Definition

Project definition screen is shown in Figure 7.9. In this work, 5 factors 2 levels color measurement values were analyzed. Before starting analyzing, color project and factors of the project are defined on the screen. The input factors of color projects are ultramarine blue, mangane violet, ps01, diluted green, mgo with two levels.

	Projec	t Definitions							X
P	roji	ECTS		Fac	tor Count	5 🎒 Genera	ite Table	Generates	Row as
		Project Name	•			2 🔺 🕞 Sava I	Param Valu	192	
	1	Test Project		Lev	reicourit			163	
×	5	Color Project	(5 factor		Fachar	C	1	Lavalo	11-11
					Factor	FactorName	Lev	Level2	Unit
				•	1	Ultramarine blue		0,05	
					2	Mangane Violet	0	0,05	phr
					3	PS01	0	0,2	phr
					4	Diluted Green	0	0,2	phr
					5	MgO	0	0,2	phr

Figure 7.9 Project definition screen

Project definition section of this software enables to create a framework to analyze a project trial by using Taguchi or regression analysis method.

7.4.4 Project Trials

After defining project on the system, project trials response values should be entered into the system according to method of analysis. Figure 7.10 shows the possible Taguchi tables for a selected color project with 5 factors. User should choose one of the Taguchi tables to enter the response values of project trials.

•	Trial De	etails & Taguchi Matrix Table										
P	oject					Po	ossible Tagu	ichi Designs				
	Prj#	Project Name	Param Count	Level Count			Table No	Table Name	Column Count	Para Number	Row No	Level Count
	1	Test Project	1	:	1	Þ	2	L8				
•	5	Color Project(5 factor effects)	5	:	2		5	L16 5 factors(With Interactions)	15	5	3	2
							5	L16 5 factors(Main Effects)	15	5	2	2
		×					reateTrials	Apply Taguchi for All Response	🔵 Mean Val	lues 💿 Sj	'n	OLog

Figure 7.10 Project trial entry screen

As shown in Figure 7.10 project trial analysis may be done take into account main effects or main effects and interactions between factors. After choosing one of the suitable Taguchi table, user clicks on generate table button to create a combination table to enter the project trials response values. In shortly, after creating a combination for table L16, observation values can be entered to the system according to response number. All the columns are the same for all L16 combinations, so that

Taguchi columns are constructed for Project trials. Taguchi orthogonal array can be chose by user according to analyzing details. Two level Taguchi analyze is done at this Project. But the DOExpert framework is suitable to define other Taguchi tables. If Taguchi table is defined into DOExpert system, data can be analyzed using this Taguchi table structure Figure 7.11 shows the combinations for L16 design table structure, Figure 7.11 shows response variable values that can be entered on the system. This work contains color response variables as *ltop, btop, atop* and *lbotom, bbotom, abottom* as shown in Figure 7.11.

According to these combinations, experiments are done randomly and response results are entered into the system as respectively as shown in Figure 7.11.

diana.							_	and the second second		Rectorice N	0 9	ecologie Marciel	. V	alue Turne -	
Pri#	Pro	ect None	1	Paran _	Level	5		14	*	The sponse of the	1			on the	
	e 7251		NAME OF COLUMN		2000		_				2 #	op.	1		
-	9 101	F PHOREACS FIGURE	a source of		-		_	12			3 54	ap.			
1.0	X										4 b	notore			
Trial P	Na 1	Irial Nave	Trial Date	Notini				1			5 at	otom .			
	1	up 5 factor e	03.07.2013	er 11 - 4	fi factor	allertin Branco	ene:				6 tł	otam			
AL C	DMBIN	ATION DETAIL	MATRIXES	TIMATION	Table										
	1.34	1			_						_	_			
ab	A 10	I-Ultram	Z-Manga	3-PS0	0.0	4-Diluted		5-Ma0O		Rop	atop	btop	lbotom	abotom	bbotom
D.c.s		1	Frithboot, Inc.	0	0	N R-CHURCH	17		10	96,50	-0.7	1 5.04	96,81	-0.70	-1/
	2	0		1	1		1	1 8	1	91,46	-4,9	1 8,83	96,09	2,57	6,
	3	1		0	0	3	0	\$ 3	1	98,78	0,4	4 1,63	94,01	-2,25	0,3
1	4	1		1	1		1	1	0	94,35	-0,9	4 3,60	94,60	1,51	1,
	5	0		0	1		1		0	98,55	5,3	5 7,42	97,70	2,16	5,1
	6	0		1	0		0		1	94,47	-0,5	3 4,56	95,19	0,17	4,1
	7	1		0	1		1		1	93,95	-0,5	4 6,40	95,69	0,32	3,5
	8	1		1	0		0		0	93,43	-1,2	2 0,05	93,21	-1,40	-0,
	9	0		0	0	-	1		1	93,76	0,1	5 10,74	98,56	1,33	10,
	10	0		1	1		0		0	94,85	0,4	4 2,59	64,33	0,49	0,
	11	1		0	0		1		0	96,24	1,2	1 4,79	96,65	0,16	4,
2	12	1		1	1		0		1	94,38	1,4	1 0,32	92,27	-1,08	-2,
	13	0		0	1	1	0		1	96,94	0,5	0 5,52	95,56	-0,59	3,:
	14	0		1	0		1		0	97,09	1.5	4 5,06	96,59	2,96	5,
	-15	. 1		0	1		0		0	95,26	-2,5	4 1,10	93,60	-1,97	-1,0
the second second															

Figure 7.11 Project trial combinations and response variables screen

After entering response variables result values into the system, analysis method should be chosen by the user. After choosing one of the methods from "Mean", S/N, "log10", analysis can be done. This system can analyze the values for one or all of the methods at the same time. This means user can shows all results at the same time and compares the results so that an analysis can be done in an effective way. Project

trial method and possible Taguchi table and response variable selection screen is shown in Figure 7.12.

°c	ossible Tagu	ichi Designs					_		
	Table No	Table Nam	e	Column Count	Para Number	Row No		Level Count	Is Def
•	2	L8		:		5	3		2
	5	L16 5 facto	ors(With Inter	15	5	5	3	:	2
	5	L16 5 facto	ors(Main Effects)	15	5	5	2	:	2
	reateTrials ombination	Apply Ta All Resp	aguchi for onse	O Mean	Values 💿 S	5/N		<mark>O</mark> Lo	og10
	reateTrials ombination Respons	Apply Ta All Resp	aguchi for onse Response Name	O Mean V	Values 💿 : alue Type	5/N		OL	og10
	reateTrials ombination Respons	Apply Ta All Resp ie No 1	aguchi for onse Response Name Itop	O Mean '	Values 💿 : alue Type	5/N			og10
	reateTrials ombination Respons	Apply Ta All Resp ie No 1 2	aguchi for onse Response Name Itop atop	O Mean	Values 💿 : alue Type	5/N			og10
	Respons	Apply Ta All Resp ie No 1 2 3	Response Name Itop atop btop	O Mean	Values 💿 🤉	5/N			og10
	Respons	Apply Ta All Resp ie No 1 2 3 4	Aguchi for onse Response Name Itop atop btop Ibotom	Mean V	Values 💿 : alue Type	5/N			og10
	Respons	Apply Ta All Resp ie No 1 2 3 4 5	aguchi for nonse Response Name Itop atop btop Ibotom abotom	Mean V	Values 💿 :	5/N			og10

Figure 7.12 Project trial screen

7.4.5 Project Trial's Taguchi Analysis

After entering response variable values into the system, this system creates Taguchi estimation table which shows the effects of the factors in detail. This work analyzes *ltop, atop, btop, lbottom, abottom* and *bbotom* response values respectively according to mean of response values. In this work two analyses were done for 5 factors color project. First analysis is done for main factor effects. Second analysis is done for main factor effects with interactions. These are explained in detail below.

7.4.5.1 Main Effects Ltop

Windows profile *ltop* response values main effects table is shown in Figure 7.13. There are 5 color factors as input parameters. This table shows the effects as Mangane Violet=2.59, MgO=-1.67, Diluted Green =1.25, Ultramarine blue=1.00, PS01=-0.0175. The absolute values of the effects of the factors are ordered and can be shown in Figure 7.13.

Т	aguchi Tab	le											
	50r	Res	оь	U.B L1	U.B L2	M.V L1	M.V L2	P501- L1	P501- L2	D.G L1	D.G L2	MgO- L1	MgO- L2
	1		96,58	96,58		96,58		96,58		96,58			96,58
	2		93,76	93,76		93,76		93,76			93,76	93,76	
2	3		96,94	96,94		96,94		l l	96,94	96,94		96,94	
	4		98,55	98,55		98,55			98,55		98,55		98,55
	5		94,47	94,47			94,47	94,47		94,47		94,47	
	6		97,09	97,09			97,09	97,09			97,09		97,09
	7		94,85	94,85			94,85		94,85	94,85			94,85
	8		91,46	91,46			91,46		91,46		91,46	91,46	
	9		98,78		98,78	98,78		98,78		98,78		98,78	
	10		96,24		96,24	96,24		96,24			96,24		96,24
	11		95,26		95,26	95,26			95,26	95,26			95,26
	12		93,95		93,95	93,95			93,95		93,95	93,95	
	13		93,43		93,43		93,43	93,43		93,43			93,43
	14		89,25		89,25		89,25	89,25			89,25	89,25	
	15		94,38		94,38		94,38		94,38	94,38		94,38	
	16		94,35		94,35		94,35		94,35		94,35		94,35
	90	SUM	1519,34	763,70	755,64	770,06	749,28	759,60	759,74	764,69	754,65	752,99	766,35
	92	COUNT	16	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	93	AVG	94,95	95,4625	94,4550	96,2575	93,66	94,95	94,9675	95,58	94,33	94,12	95,79
	94	EFFECT	0	1,0075		2,5975		-0,0175		1,2550		-1,67	
	95	ORDER	0	4	4	1	1	5	5	3	3	2	2

TRIAL COMBINATION DETAIL MATRIX ESTIMATION Table

Figure 7.13 *Ltop* Taguchi L16 estimation table results

7.4.5.2 Main Effects Atop

Windows profile *atop* response values main effects table is shown in Figure 7.14. There are 5 color factors as input parameters. This table shows the effects as Mangane Violet=1.76, MgO=-1.58, Ultramarine blue=1.25, PS01=-0.51, Diluted Green=0.25. The absolute values of the effects of the factors are ordered and is shown in Figure 7.14.

Т	aguchi Tab	le											
	sor	Res	ОЬ	U.B L1	U.B L2	M.V L1	M.V L2	P501- L1	P501- L2	D.G L1	D.G L2	MgO- L1	MgO- L2
Þ	1		-0,78	-0,78		-0,78		-0,78		-0,78			-0,78
	2		0,15	0,15		0,15		0,15			0,15	0,15	
	3		0,50	0,50		0,50			0,50	0,50		0,50	
	4		5,35	5,35		5,35			5,35		5,35		5,35
	5		-0,53	-0,53			-0,53	-0,53		-0,53		-0,53	
	6		1,54	1,54			1,54	1,54			1,54		1,54
	7		0,44	0,44			0,44		0,44	0,44			0,44
	8		-4,91	-4,91			-4,91		-4,91	е — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	-4,91	-4,91	
	9		0,44		0,44	0,44		0,44		0,44		0,44	
	10		1,21		1,21	1,21		1,21			1,21	1	1,21
	11		-2,54		-2,54	-2,54			-2,54	-2,54		-	-2,54
	12		-0,54		-0,54	-0,54			-0,54		-0,54	-0,54	
	13		-1,22		-1,22		-1,22	-1,22		-1,22			-1,22
	14		-6,13		-6,13		-6,13	-6,13			-6,13	-6,13	
	15		1,44		1,44		1,44		1,44	1,44		1,44	
ĺ.	16		-0,94		-0,94		-0,94	Ĵ	-0,94		-0,94	j	-0,94
	90	SUM	1512,82	1,76	-8,28	3,79	-10,31	-5,32	-1,20	-2,25	-4,27	-9,58	3,06
	92	COUNT	32	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	93	AVG	47,27	0,22	-1,0350	0,473	-1,288	-0,6650	-0,15	-0,281	-0,533	-1,1975	0,3825
	94	EFFECT	0	1,2550		1,7625		-0,5150		0,2525		-1,58	
	95	ORDER	0	3	3	1	1	4	4	5	5	2	2

TRIAL COMBINATION DETAIL MATRIX ESTIMATION Table

Figure 7.14 Atop Taguchi L16 estimation table results

7.4.5.3 Main Effects Btop

Windows profile *btop* response values main effects table is shown in Figure 7.15. There are 5 color factors as input parameters. This table shows the effects as Diluted Green=-4.08, Ultramarine blue=3.35, MgO=1.67, Mangane Violet=1.37, PS01=0.34 respectively. The absolute values of the effects of the factors are ordered and is shown in Figure 7.15.

Ţ	aguchi Tab	le											
	50r	Res	оь	U.B L1	U.B L2	M.V L1	M.V L2	P501- L1	P501- L2	D.G L1	D.G L2	MgO- L1	MgO- L2
*	1		5,04	5,04		5,04		5,04		5,04			5,04
	2		10,74	10,74		10,74		10,74			10,74	10,74	
	3		5,52	5,52		5,52			5,52	5,52		5,52	
	4		7,42	7,42		7,42			7,42		7,42		7,42
	5		4,56	4,56			4,56	4,56		4,56		4,56	
	6		5,86	5,86			5,86	5,86			5,86		5,86
	7		2,59	2,59			2,59		2,59	2,59			2,59
	8		8,83	8,83			8,83		8,83		8,83	8,83	
	9		1,63		1,63	1,63		1,63		1,63		1,63	
	10		4,79		4,79	4,79		4,79			4,79		4,79
	11		1,10		1,10	1,10			1,10	1,10			1,10
	12		6,40		6,40	6,40			6,40		6,40	6,40	
	13		0,05		0,05		0,05	0,05		0,05			0,05
	14		5,85		5,85		5,85	5,85			5,85	5,85	
	15		0,32		0,32		0,32		0,32	0,32		0,32	
	16		3,60		3,60		3,60		3,60		3,60		3,60
	90	SUM	1587,12	50,56	23,74	42,64	31,66	38,52	35,78	20,81	53,49	43,85	30,45
	92	COUNT	48	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	93	AVG	33,0650	6,32	2,9675	5,33	3,9575	4,8150	4,4725	2,601	6,686	5,481	3,806
	94	EFFECT	0	3,3525		1,3725		0,3425		-4,0850		1,6750	
	95	ORDER	0	2	2	4	4	5	5	1	4	3	3

TRIAL COMBINATION DETAIL MATRIX ESTIMATION Table

Figure 7.15 *Btop* Taguchi L16 estimation table results

7.4.5.4 Main Effects Lbottom

Windows profile *lbottom* response values main effects table is shown in Figure 7.16. There are 5 color factors as input parameters. This table shows the effects as Diluted Green=-5.75, Mangane Violet=5.15, PS01=4.49, MgO=3.62, Ultramarine blue=-1.78 respectively. The absolute values of the effects of the factors are ordered and is shown in Figure 7.16.

T	aguchi Tab	ole											
	SOr	Res	оь	U.B L1	U.B L2	M.V L1	M.V L2	P501- L1	P501- L2	D.G L1	D.G L2	MgO- L1	MgO- L2
*	1		96,63	96,63		96,63		96,63		96,63			96,63
	2		98,56	98,56		98,56		98,56			98,56	98,56	
	3		95,56	95,56		95,56			95,56	95,56		95,56	
	4		97,70	97,70		97,70			97,70		97,70		97,70
	5		95,19	95,19		c.	95,19	95,19		95,19		95,19	
	6		96,59	96,59			96,59	96,59			96,59		96,59
	7		64,33	64,33			64,33		64,33	64,33			64,33
	8		96,09	96,09			96,09		96,09		96,09	96,09	
	9		94,01		94,01	94,01		94,01		94,01		94,01	
	10		96,65		96,65	96,65		96,65			96,65		96,65
	11		93,60		93,60	93,60			93,60	93,60			93,60
	12		95,69		95,69	95,69			95,69		95,69	95,69	
	13		93,21		93,21		93,21	93,21		93,21			93,21
	14		94,92		94,92		94,92	94,92			94,92	94,92	
	15		92,27		92,27		92,27		92,27	92,27		92,27	
	16		94,60		94,60		94,60		94,60		94,60		94,60
	90	SUM	3082,72	740,65	754,95	768,40	727,20	765,76	729,84	724,80	770,80	762,29	733,31
	92	COUNT	64	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	93	AVG	48,1675	92,58	94,36	96,05	90,90	95,72	91,23	90,60	96,35	95,28	91,66
	94	EFFECT	0	-1,7875		5,15		4,49		-5,75		3,6225	
	95	ORDER	0	5	5	2	2	3	3	1	1	4	4

TRIAL COMBINATION DETAIL MATRIX ESTIMATION Table

Figure 7.16 Lbottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results

7.4.5.5 Main Effects Abottom

Windows profile *abottom* response values main effects table is shown in Figure 7.17. There are 5 color factors as input parameters. This table shows the effects as Diluted Green=-2.38, Ultramarine blue=1.54, Mangane Violet=-0.93, PS01=-0.30, MgO=-0.25 respectively. The absolute values of the effects of the factors are ordered and is shown in Figure 7.17.

Т	aguchi Tab	le											
	50r	Res	оь	U.B L1	U.B L2	M.V L1	M.V L2	P501- L1	P501- L2	D.G L1	D.G L2	MgO- L1	MgO- L2
*	1		-0,70	-0,70		-0,70		-0,70		-0,70			-0,70
	2		1,33	1,33		1,33		1,33			1,33	1,33	
	3		-0,59	-0,59		-0,59			-0,59	-0,59		-0,59	
	4		2,16	2,16		2,16			2,16		2,16		2,16
	5		0,17	0,17			0,17	0,17		0,17		0,17	
	6		2,96	2,96			2,96	2,96			2,96		2,96
	7		0,49	0,49			0,49		0,49	0,49			0,49
	8		2,57	2,57			2,57		2,57		2,57	2,57	
	9		-2,25		-2,25	-2,25		-2,25		-2,25		-2,25	
	10		0,16		0,16	0,16		0,16			0,16		0,16
	11		-1,97		-1,97	-1,97			-1,97	-1,97			-1,97
	12		0,32		0,32	0,32			0,32		0,32	0,32	
	13		-1,40		-1,40		-1,40	-1,40		-1,40			-1,40
	14		0,71		0,71		0,71	0,71	_		0,71	0,71	
	15		-1,08		-1,08		-1,08		-1,08	-1,08		-1,08	
	16		1,51		1,51		1,51		1,51		1,51		1,51
	90	SUM	3087,11	8,39	-4	-1,54	5,93	0,98	3,41	-7,33	11,72	1,18	3,21
	92	COUNT	80	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	93	AVG	38,58	1,048	-0,50	-0,1925	0,741	0,1225	0,426	-0,916	1,4650	0,1475	0,401
	94	EFFECT	0	1,548		-0,933		-0,303		-2,381		-0,253	
	95	ORDER	0	2	2	3	3	4	4	1	1	5	5

TRIAL COMBINATION DETAIL MATRIX ESTIMATION Table

Figure 7.17 Abottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results

7.4.5.6 Main Effects Bbottom

Windows profile *bbottom* response values main effects table is shown in Figure 7.18. There are 5 color factors as input parameters. This table shows the effects as Diluted Green=-3.96, Ultramarine blue=3.40, PS01=2.058, MgO=1.56, Mangane Violet=1.31 respectively. The absolute values of the effects of the factors are ordered and is shown in Figure 7.18.

T	aguchi Tab	le	12.										
1	50r	Res	Ob	U.B L1	U.B L2	M.V L1	M.V L2	PS01- L1	P501- L2	D.G L1	D.G L2	MgO- L1	MgO- L2
	1		4,62	4,62		4,62		4,62		4,62			4,62
	2		10,11	10,11		10,11		10,11			10,11	10,11	
	3		3,13	3,13		3,13			3,13	3,13		3,13	
	4		5,03	5,03		5,03			5,03		5,03		5,03
	5		4,04	4,04			4,04	4,04		4,04		4,04	
	6		5,10	5,10			5,10	5,10			5,10		5,10
	7		0,51	0,51			0,51		0,51	0,51			0,51
	8		6,48	6,48			6,48		6,48		6,48	6,48	
	9		0,74		0,74	0,74		0,74		0,74		0,74	
	10		4,17		4,17	4,17		4,17			4,17		4,17
	11		-1,09		-1,09	-1,09			-1,09	-1,09			-1,09
	12		3,97		3,97	3,97			3,97		3,97	3,97	
	13		-0,38		-0,38		-0,38	-0,38		-0,38			-0,38
	14		5,25		5,25		5,25	5,25			5,25	5,25	
	15		-2,03		-2,03		-2,03		-2,03	-2,03		-2,03	
	16		1,18		1,18		1,18		1,18		1,18		1,18
	90	SUM	3137,94	39,02	11,81	30,68	20,15	33,65	17,18	9,54	41,29	31,69	19,14
	92	COUNT	96	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	93	AVG	32,68	4,8775	1,476	3,8350	2,518	4,206	2,1475	1,1925	5,161	3,961	2,3925
	94	EFFECT	0	3,401		1,316		2,058		-3,968		1,568	
	95	ORDER	0	2	2	5	5	3	3	1	1	4	4

TRIAL COMBINATION DETAIL MATRIX ESTIMATION Table

Figure 7.18 Bbottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results

7.4.5.7 Main Effects and Interactions Ltop

Before starting the showing analysis results of this work including interaction effects a brief explanation will be done here.

After analyzing main factor effects, interactions of the factors for *ltop, atop, btop, lbottom, abottom, bbottom* response values should be analyzed. Because there may be a significant factors which has an important effect than main factor effect. Taguchi L16 table structure provides a way to analyze with interactions. Each factor is analyzed on the system and effects of main factors and their interactions are ordered respectively.

Table 7.1 Color factors and abbreviations

Main Factor Name	Abbreviation	Letter for factor
Ultramarine blue	U.B.	А

Main Factor Name	Abbreviation	Letter for factor
Mangane Violet	M.V	В
PS01	PS	С
Diluted Green	D.G.	D
MgO	M.O	Е
Interactions	Abbreviation	Letter for factor
Ultramarine blue-Mangane Violet	A.B	A.B
Ultramarine blue- PS01	A.C	A.C
Ultramarine blue- Diluted Green	A.D	A.D
Mangane Violet- PS01	B.C	B.C
Mangane Violet- Diluted Green	B.D	B.D
PS01- Diluted Green	C.D	C.D
Diluted Green- MgO	D.E	D.E
PS01- MgO	C.E	C.E
Mangane Violet- MgO	B.E	B.E
Ultramarine blue- MgO	A.E.	A.E

Table 7.1 Color factors and abbreviations

Ltop, abtop, btop, lbottom, abottom and *bbotom* response values are analyzed via DOExpert software and each result is given at Taguchi L16 estimation table below.

Windows profile main effects and interactions order of color factors for *ltop* response value is shown in Figure 7.19 and table detail is shown in Figure 7.20.

FACTORS	DE-	M.V.	MgO	D.G	U.B.	AE	BE	AD	AB	CD	BC	CE	AC	PS	BD
EFFECT	-2,78	2,60	-1,67	1,26	1,01	0,94	-0,87	0,76	0,61	-0,48	-0,18	0,10	-0,04	-0,02	-0,01
ORDER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

Figure 7.19 Ltop factors effects order

	M	96,5	10		98,5		97,0	94,8			96,2	95,2		93,4	10		94,3	766,3		95,7.		
-	Μ		93,71	6'96 1		94,4			91,46	98,76			93,96		89,26	94,31		152,95		94,1	-1,6)	
	АĘ-		93,76	96'94		94,47			91,46		96,24	95,26		93,43			94,35	155,91		94,4.		
	AE-	96,58			98,55		60'26 (94,85		98,78			93,95		89,25	94,38		763,43		95,4.	0,94	
-	-ia		93,76	96,94			91,09	94,85		98,78			93,95	93,43			94,35	763,15		95,3.		1999. 1999
	BE-	96,58			98,55	94,47			91,46		96,24	95,26			89,25	94,38		756,19		94,5.	-0,87	E.
	ė		93,76		98,55	94,47		94,85		98,78		95,26			89,25		94,35	759,27		94,9.		12
	ė	96,58		6'96 1			60'26 (91,46		1 96,24		93'96	93,43		94,38		760,07		95,0.	0,1(12
	ц.		-	6'96	98,55	94,47	97,09	10		98,78	96,24	-	10		10	94,38	94,35	1 770,80		. 96,35		
	ц.	96,51	93,7(94,8	91,46			95,2(93,92	93,4	89,25			748,54		93,5.	-2,78	
	ė	96,58			98,55	94,46			91,46	98,78			93,95	93,4	10		94,36	1 761,51		. 95,1		10
	ė		93,76	96,94			60'26	94,85			96,24	95,26			89,25	94,38		757,TT		94,7	-0,47	4
-	ģ	96,58		96,94			97,09		91,46	98,78		95,26			89,25		94,35	759,74	~	94,9.		15
	- DB		93,76		98,55	94,47		94,85			96,24		93,95	93,43		94,38		759,63		94,9.	-0,01	15
	ģ	96,58	93,76					94,85	91,46	98,78	96,24					94,38	94,35	760,40		95,05		H
	ģ			96,94	98,55	94,47	60'16					95,26	93,95	93,43	89,25			758,94	~	94,8	-0,18	H
	AD-	96,58		96,94		94,47		94,85			96,24		93,95		89,25		94,35	756,63	œ	94,5		
	AD-		93,76		98,55		97,09		91,46	98,78		95,26		93,43		94,38		762,71	æ	95,3	0,76	~
	ÅÇ.	96,58	93,76			94,47	97,09					95,26	93,95			94,38	94,35	759,84	œ	94,98		13
	ÅÇ.			96,94	98,55			94,85	91,46	98,78	96,24			93,43	89,25			759,50	æ	94,9	-0,04	13
	AB-	96,58	93,76	96,94	98,55									93,43	89,25	94,38	94,35	157,24	œ	94,6		6
	AB-					94,47	97,09	94,85	91,46	98,78	96,24	95,26	93,95					762,10	œ	95,2	0,6075	6
	D		93,76		98,55		97,09		91,46		96,24		93,95		89,25		94,35	754,65	œ	94,3		đ
	D	96,58		96,94		94,47		94,85		98,78		95,26		93,43		94,38		764,69	*	95,5	1,2550	4
	P			96,94	98,55			94,85	91,46			95,26	93,95			94,38	94,35	759,74	8	94,9		14
ple	P	96,58	93,76			94,47	91,09			98,78	96,24			93,43	89,25			759,60	*	94,95		14
TION Ta	M					94,47	97,09	94,85	91,46					93,43	89,25	94,38	94,35	749,28	~	93,66		2
ESTIMA	M	96,58	93,76	96,94	98,55					98,78	96,24	95,26	93,95					770,06	æ	96,2	2,5975	2
ATRIX.	u									98,78	96,24	95,26	93,95	93,43	89,25	94,38	94,35	755,64	8	94,4		5
TAIL	u	96,58	93,76	96,94	98,55	94,47	97,09	94,85	91,46									763,70	8	95,4	1,0075	5
ION DE	0	96,58	93,76	96,94	98,55	94,47	60'26	94,85	91,46	98,78	96,24	95,26	93,95	93,43	89,25	94,38	94,35	1519	16	94,9		•
IMBINA1	R		-			125401				1.5.4.1						-10		NIN	COU	AVG	EFFE	ORD
RIAL CO	:	-	+ 2		4	5	9	2	8	6	9	F	12	13	14	15	16	30	92	93	94	36
Here a	-		-								-		-		_	_		_	_	_		

Figure 7.20 Ltop Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions

7.4.5.8 Main Effects and Interactions Atop

Windows profile main effects and interactions order of color factors for *atop* response value is shown in Figure 7.21 and Figure 7.22.

FACTORS	DE	BD	M.V	MgO	AE	U.B	BE	AD	PS	AB	AC	D.G	CE	BC	CD
EFFECT	-3,07	2,39	1,763	-1,58	1,255	1,255	-0,91	0,878	-0,52	-0,41	-0,27	0,253	0,125	-0,08	-0,03
ORDER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

ľ	2	5			33		151	푬			121	125		12			S,	ä		SORT	-	-
	1		1,15	5		15			HT-	Ŧ			5		113	14		5	60	-	ş	+
	-		115	5		15			\$		K7	-254		42			H.	ET.		a		~
	4	113			525		3	t,t		1,44			5		5	t,		5		an 1	1,256	-
	14		115	5			5	H.		Ħ			151	an.			151	5		R		-
	-	4.78			5	15			167		17)	155			403	Ŧ		5	- 00	11	131	-
	6		1,5		S	51		8,44		0,H		124			-		434	将	00	B/F		-
	÷	4.78		150			51		NET.		ις)		ALS.	ų;		t,H		-2.N	- 00	TT.	0,1250	-
	*	- 1		5	5.8	53	3			R,H	5					1	NCT-	5	- 00	4.0.		-
	*	4.0	646					0,44	Ŧ			154		17 I	10		1	234-	- 00	131	*	-
	Ó	4.16			\$25	25			\$	H.			15J	Ωţ.			101	40		파		
	0		918	5			5	9,46			K7	57			84	¥.		7		- 31	an	12
	ė	4.7		63		111.5	154		H\$T	평		52			막		NC1	ata-	- 00	벽		-
			0,15		873	2		10			14		154	14 17		1.4		0		Curry .	2	-
	3	A.A.	0,65					8,8	쿠	40	2					ta ta	16.0	3,9		-		*
	8			6,9	S.S.	5	5 <u>5</u>					時	5	24	- 410			SF .		a.	aur.	-
	Ŕ	L.H		E.P.				4,0			12		-		194		6.0	11/8 5		-	-	
	\$	-	5 Byte		55		4 15		9	3		1 -25		파		44		2		-	and a	
	定	E.B.	94		50	24	15	-		SF.	T	27	54	2	12	44	0¢	22		4 4/21.		*
	¥	98	10	50 8	3			6,4	7	6,4	1			2 -12	13 6		-	7	-	5	478	-
		Life.	5	Ş	3	12	7	3	E	T.	-	7	35	C T	4	4	\$	8 -15	-	10		
_	蝗		10	:;	90	양	22 25	4	97 10	3	24 12	57	발		100		X	4		Hat -	2	
	0	-	10		2	12	15	32	작	at	4	-	24	2	24	20	54	57		51-	543	-
	3	4		10.05	12	24		3	-	10		12	3	4		-	*	17		Not 2	N.	-
dia.	a	12	5	5	цŧ.	12	3		Ŧ	a	1	4	4	23		÷.	÷.	1	7.22	H.	(10)	-
-8	à	4	- 25			4		3	55	3	-2	_		2 4	9	4	3	3	-	-	57	-
TINUE I	×	12	12		10	4	4	-	প	#	25	3	苏	4	4	2	4	10 - 10	-	77	14	-
ESTIMA			100	1	ω¢.					1	1	2 2	ी इ	2	P	4	3,	1	-	L ES	5	-
MATEN.	Ш.,	篇	15	57	×	5	35	a	5	-	<u>्र</u> म् /	4	4	्रम्	19	_	- F.	1	-	2.46	10	-
ETAL	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	4		1911 1911	4	#	2		12	-	2		-1	3	
TONO	6	4	615	2	5.5	1	154	4	7	2	12	25	5	4	1	14	11	151	52 L	18 3	ũ	-
MBHD	a	-		-	+	-	-	2	-	-	=	Ŧ	2	ţ.	≠	\$	*	a su	22 COI	NH IS	A III	52 GR
HH C	un.	-				-			11 11	_	- 37	1200		1000		- 55	1987 A.	1572	15.278			1213

Figure 7.21 Atop factors effects order

Figure 7.22 Atop Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions

7.4.5.9 Main Effects and Interactions Btop

Windows profile main effects and interactions order of color factors for *btop* response value is shown in Figure 7.23 and table detail is shown in Figure 7.24.

FACTORS	D.G	U.B.	MgO	M.V.	DE	AE	AB	PS	AD	BE	AC	BC	CD	CE	BD
EFFECT	-4,09	3,35	1,68	1,37	0,86	-0,51	-0,35	0,34	-0,30	0,19	-0,12	-0,10	-0,10	-0,09	-0,07
ORDER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

	ai.	See			2/1		5,05	872			5	11		Sta			348	3.8	-	-		40.0
	4		10,74	3		53			113	15					5,05	2		20.05	-	2a	NUS	
	4		10,1	3		153			8		5	10		LUIS			141	3,19	-	5		-
	4	5,04			1,12		5,05	529		tin			5,8		5,05	21		W.W		5	95ft-	170
	14		1	55			35	53		5			5	ŝ			3	5	-	E		
	in in	5.04			1, ₽	456	Let Inc	and the second	-		\$	5			5,05	g	1010	HE L	**	di la	5	
	-489		11/1		3,2	\$		148		15		5			35		191	8	**	-	-	#
	0	5,04		25			-		553		43		3	8		d,2		5	**	-	2	*
	0	2945		3	Q'1	25			istil)	막	e,			16 N		g	ant:	0'M 3	-	105 4	4	- 10
	8	te:	0,74	196.4	20.05	1.00	9500	857	22	(Contraction)	1000	1,10		Sec.	548	22006.			**	3 82	12	-
	8	3	-	_	R.	53			8	R				-	10.00	<u> </u>	and a	R	-	1.5	-	-
	8		trin	24			100	5			5	1			50	R.		11 3	-	a d		-
	8	th.	Ŧ	9	_			100	8	Q.		5			5	80.03	19	8	-	2. 49	2	*
	盈	1000	t.		Q	<u>تر</u>		5	100	Serie -	5	<u>.</u> 77-4	現	25	0.42	R		1	-	2	-	-
	8	a	24 H	_	Par la			2 65	1	12	3				_	20 1	3	3	-	50 48		-
	*	- 14	#	22	4	55	14	2	-		-		-	2	12	-	-	22	**	10	2	2
	2	2		3	2		4	8		_	2	1	4 4	1	SE SE	-	8	R R		황니	3	-
	ą	3	2		24	÷.	18	2	22	12	4	8	3	10	4	R	a	H H	**	C 419	8	-
	Ŕ	2	1		14	18	3		3	2		2 .	-	3	_	5		2 16	-	5 448	*	-
	Ŕ	3	100	24	- 24	1	3	571	67	62	97	4	3	542	10	3	35	11.5		100	-1	
	윢	-		2 55	2 1/4			52	3	1,5	17			3	5	2		1 36.6		S CBS	4.11	-
	蝗	50	Lan	55	14									5	3	3	57	5 25	-	un a		
	蝗					22	5	52	35	4	4	\$	3					25,7		44	2	
	D		10.7		2,5		5,0		3		5		5		3		97	53,4		10		100
	d	SIL		3		5		52		1,63		1,18		ŝ		21		AUE		197	-	
044	a [‡]	C-7.6		53	đĩ	10.00	1.4-	67	-	Stear	el Longan	1,18	3	100.00		12	91	103		4475	W	
		÷.	104			155	505			3	5			S	515			3452		ES Hat	Saca	12
N136	N					156	35	55	a					ŝ	5,05	an	-	10 M		Sust		Mile.
INVIL	ų.	504	NUN.	23	7,42					tts	473	5	3					10.64	**	3	1,225	1
RIVES	G.					08.57				3	Ş	5	5	SUP .	5,85	23	and t	23,74	**	2,9675	1	494/
NN T		504	10.00	255	1,0	\$	3	538	83									30,95	40	23	SISTE	2
VIDETA	0	N	NU1	3	a	5		5	8	3	5	8	3	×	10	3	3	-185		at		1000
NATION	d											-						夏	In	SWC	HH.	đ
BMCO.		-	~	-	-	un.		~	-	-	=	÷	42	5	#	\$	#		8	8	-	-
1 miles				1	1		1			1	lik i	Ĭ										

Figure 7.23 Btop factors effects order

Figure 7.24 Btop Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions

7.4.5.10 Main Effects and Interactions Lbottom

The order of windows profile main effects and interactions for *lbottom* response value is shown in Figure 7.25 and table detail is shown in Figure 7.26.

FACTORS	D.G.	M.V	PS01	AE	AB	AC	CD	BE	CE	DE	BC	MgO	AD	BD	U.B.
EFFECT	-5,75	5,15	4,49	-3,92	-3,91	-3,83	-3,83	3,81	3,72	-3,69	3,67	3,62	3,56	-3,55	-1,79
ORDER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

	si	56,63	10	15	N/X	12	87,93	ELU3	-	E	SLIS	80,00	12	1715	St	22	and a	NUCL R	-	ME	20	12 12
	1		**	1		10			136 g	T.	191	8	3	E	at a	2	2	24.8		18	Int	-
	쎀	62	18	13		961	80		N.	-	56.6	age -	97	503	5	10-	346	4 763,		- 15		-
	ų	36			C76		2,32 8	1643		IL SU			135		2.42	23		1221		SH -	野	28
	-		5.87	8,8			3,85	Ŧ		35			978	đ			946	501		315		-
	Ŵ	N.K.			C'IS	1Kril			20		55.2				NIC	272		TRUE		atta .	3,002	
	ė		95,96	e de la com	1075	56,83		13		175		SUB	-112-04		116		St.B	ALCE!		14.5		
	ė	19%		R.M.			55		St.W		調査		101	N ^{an}		12.02		NC 4	-	13	STUT	
	*	-		95'36	8C/35	56,19	85.38			2	36,65					10,21	19	IS201		1 M		
	÷	36,63	51 M					60,10	56,89			80,02	56.63	12.02	2516			Stats7	-	345	16	
	é	136			11/15	35,15			-	Mile .			1015	No.			34,68	363,12	-	10.13	-	
	ė		35.92	95,55			85.38	2			98.65	100			ans	10.27		12,4	** .	81'W	-	-
	-	36,63		15.35			85.38		56,89	M.K		BO,B			34.92		BINS .	20		873		
	4		17.18 17.18		87,71	55,15		12			36,65		95,63	No.		17 TE		BACC	-	11.16	舞	=
	2	56,53	5					E.H.	8,8	SUR	38		CLAR.			1775	-	WIT	**	HE		£
	4			55,55	8038	55,13	86.98					10,02	5,0	57,05	31,12			12.46		d	5	÷
	0	19/96		16,45		82,15		1473			36,65		1015		346		94/68	1 252	-	-	-	2
	0 1		95'85		87.78		85,88		N.CO	HT.		808		10,21		12,02		1002		-	谎	\$2
	1	100	5			6,19	5					80	8.8		_	173	and a	1 6/19		3	**	5
	-48- 1-3-			10	R'3			112	6,05	E.	6,65			NT:D	140			0,42 N	-	4	d	
	Sec.	23	35.0	5	5.00		—	47						173	aj.	523	8	345 23	••	3		- 125
	37 27					6,13	5	12	613	5	6.15	110	619					C.H5 76		4	4	46
	24		50		5		1				1 23		895		48		468	EL MAN	-	a sta	-7	7
	0	3		35		6,10		<u> </u>		5		65		R		2,27		1	-	5	19	-
		5		5	802			5	E.IS			5 893	E.		-	172	3	21 1415	-	1	172	
	a	3		en	en.	5,15	5		-	Đ,	遊	677		E.	25	en		51 I 22	-	113	3	-
者		-	-	i		8	-	5	100			1		2 121	3	127	8	世界	-	5	-	61
LINDUX	N.	1	5	胡	10	35	- 24	-	35	-	12	1	3	205	-	54	34	121 (14)	-	5	-	2
(ESTIM	20		81	35	8				_	5	12	10	8	5	2	5	3	100	-	-		-
MATED	in the	12	SR .	18	R	81	8	R	-	*	*		*	8	*	F	*	151 3W		1	3	22
THE	U.	35	35	5	35	3	25	8	55		40		m		2	1-		E		8	9	
NUNC	0	36.5	2,85	53	22	15	2,25	3	3	3	36.1	12	13	10	212	22	3,6	M	5		3	
MENA	æ	-	2	-		1.07	-	-	-	-		-	2		3	10	-		NO 75	IN ST	田田	95 060
HALO	t	1-224		0.19			Const.		10.00	1.000	1	Sector.	1000	- 24		100	10.00	and a second	7465		(Mass)	741
Arrest 1	71					-			-				1									_

Figure 7.25 Lbottom factors effects order

Figure 7.26 Lbottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions

7.4.5.11 Main Effects and Interactions Abottom

Windows profile main effects and interactions order of color factors for *abottom* response value is shown in Figure 7.27 and Figure 7.28.

FACTORS	D.G.	U.B.	M.V.	PS	MgO	DE	AE	AC	AB	CD	BE	BC	AD	CE	BD
EFFECT	-2,38	1,55	-0,93	-0,30	-0,25	-0,21	0,10	-0,09	0,06	-0,05	-0,04	0,04	0,03	0,01	-0,01
ORDER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

	201 201	111	1,23	61	2,55	147	246	1/8	139	572	115	10-	12	140	1 th	-1,18	151	111 121		1945 4.8.	LX.
	*		5	659		15,0			152		111	-1,37		-1.4			121	11	-	SIL	Water a
	ú	A.A	8	8	24		35 23	40 GF		X 22			a az		a.n	1.0	51	157 IE		20 -	0,16
	鹵	113	5	1	2,46	UN	7		152	2	100	5		-	5	3		2.002		20 222	4
	出		1,20		2,16	63		8,48	221.041	225		131			5		151	2/15	-	17.1	-
	÷	E.A.		101			54		191		0,16		6,2	-		1.00		12	-	12	-Ma
	*			878	216	0,12	87			275	8,16					1	1,51	-	~	**	
	*	N 4.1	5		10	11		9.8	1 2,55	20		21:	an a	1	5		55	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		K Q.K.	424.
	ė.	4	10	65	2	-	35	1,43	2	2	512	5		ন	N.	20	*	2 10	-	21 42	-
	0	11/1		1 151			2,5%		2,2	575		13			5	T	1,51	12	-	1 12	4
	6		524		2,16	8,12	2.3	8.6	330	2.40	0,16		0,22	-1,4		載す		245	*	-	am.
	96-	8,78	5					8.8	2,52	2,55	918					*	151	2403	**	N.	
	÷			871 1	87 87	1510 2	367					5	100	1,10	HO I			112		BRC'D	Line.
	\$	101	22	4	6.00	15	500	1,8	DX.	10	5	Sec.	3		5	-	5	2 24	-	11	
	뉷	E.	2	_	21	10	17 51		52	2		11- B	22	4		11- 10	52	2 22	-	1	100
	1	7	10	659	216		~	818	152	225	9,16	T	•	8	N.N	त्रिः	676	THE S	-	2 01	
	24 14	4,10	5	51	1					a secondaria de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la co					5	-	5	3		A SHO	4
	-					th.	258	1,43	121	575	1,15	57	2					2,46		D.	N.
	B		1,23		2,16		157		151		6,15		122		ty.		1,51	11,22	-	CHESH.	
	-1	E.P.		878 B		6,12		8. 9.8	17	2,25		1,1,9	8	4		4,108	2	RF H		Not 1	23
	a	R	8	SUP	22	14	8	6.9	52	X	续	et-	3	-	T.	40	45	3 34		25 4.0	4
ä		4				117 8	2 352	148	151	2				1.00	UN C	198	151			14L 012	9
MALININ .	31	5	1,23	51	2,46	NEX.	North Control	6	194	572	6,15	-1,57	12	100		52/	0.3	15		11-11	-
HIGH	U #							100-		572	818	N ^t	3	1,4	R,R	-1,08	1,51	4	-	F 151	-
2	-	10,78	12	254	2,16	0,47	2,66	8,45	12									5	*	1.	151
ON DET	Q.,	4.70	5	45	\$2	55	15	81	152	23	3	151-	a	-	5	-	55	- Mil	=	385	-
COMENNATI	1	-	2		+	-	-	~				Ŧ	4		≠	5	5	MIS IS	22 C001	SD ANG	SHEE.

Figure 7.27 Abottom factors effects order

Figure 7.28 Abottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions

7.4.5.12 Main Effects and Interactions Bbottom

Windows profile main effects and interactions order of color factors for *bbottom* response value is shown in Figure 7.29 and Figure 7.30.

FACTORS	D.G.	U.B.	PS	MgO	M.V.	DE	AE	AB	AD	BE	AC	BC	CE	CD	BD
EFFECT	-3,97	3,40	2,06	1,57	1,32	1,01	-0,56	-0,37	-0,36	0,26	-0,12	-0,09	-0,09	-0,07	0,00
ORDER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

L L <thl< th=""> <thl< th=""> <thl< th=""> <thl< th=""></thl<></thl<></thl<></thl<>		1	3			1		511	1510			412	and the		at a			1,18	19,14	7	SUECT		Contra-
L. L. <thl.< th=""> L. L. L.<!--</td--><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>and the</td><td>313</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>23</td><td>8.74</td><td></td><td></td><td>럆</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>部</td><td></td><td>調査</td><td>**</td><td></td><td>12</td><td></td></thl.<>		1		and the	313					23	8.74			럆		5	部		調査	**		12	
No. Lo. <thlo.< th=""> <thlo.< th=""> <thlo.< th=""></thlo.<></thlo.<></thlo.<>		4	1.000	15.05	38		\$			8 ¹ 8		441	100		3			1,18	1912	**	1000		
No. No. <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>48</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>503</td> <td></td> <td>6,10</td> <td>0,51</td> <td></td> <td>0,74</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ift</td> <td></td> <td>SC3</td> <td>2,00</td> <td></td> <td>23,19</td> <td>**</td> <td>28</td> <td>1.E</td> <td>16</td>		4	48			503		6,10	0,51		0,74			Ift		SC3	2,00		23,19	**	28	1.E	16
S I <th< td=""><td></td><td>14</td><td></td><td>10,55</td><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>N1</td><td></td><td></td><td>111</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>373</td><td>**</td><td>and a</td><td></td><td></td></th<>		14		10,55	10			5	5		N1			111	3			5	373	**	and a		
S L <thl< th=""> L L <thl< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>a)</td><td></td><td></td><td>503</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td>52</td><td></td><td>4,67</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>55</td><td>-218</td><td></td><td>35,47</td><td></td><td>T</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></thl<></thl<>		-	a)			503	5			52		4,67	-			55	-218		35,47		T	-	-
S L <thl< th=""> L L <thl< td=""><td></td><td>÷</td><td></td><td>10,11</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>1,51</td><td></td><td>t7)</td><td></td><td>108</td><td></td><td></td><td>53</td><td></td><td>1,18</td><td>271</td><td></td><td>di</td><td></td><td>-</td></thl<></thl<>		÷		10,11		5	3		1,51		t7)		108			53		1,18	271		di		-
S Lu. <thlu.< th=""> <thlu.< td="" thr<=""><td></td><td>ė</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>111</td><td></td><td></td><td>113</td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td>40</td><td></td><td>3,55</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>-2,00</td><td></td><td>ZAN</td><td>-</td><td>SUIT</td><td>-</td><td>Ŧ</td></thlu.<></thlu.<>		ė	3		111			113		3		40		3,55	5		-2,00		ZAN	-	SUIT	-	Ŧ
S In. <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>343</td> <td>55</td> <td>ş</td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6,74</td> <td>445</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5,00</td> <td>1,18</td> <td>21,22</td> <td>-</td> <td>1972</td> <td></td> <td>180</td>		4			343	55	ş	15			6,74	445					5,00	1,18	21,22	-	1972		180
S. L. <thl.< th=""> L. L. L.<!--</td--><td></td><td>4</td><td>攻</td><td>10,11</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>15,0</td><td>5.4</td><td></td><td></td><td>1,00</td><td>30</td><td>113</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td>29,42</td><td></td><td>뼒</td><td>tat.</td><td>100</td></thl.<>		4	攻	10,11					15,0	5.4			1,00	30	113	5			29,42		뼒	tat.	100
Line Line <thline< th=""> Line Line <thl< td=""><td></td><td>ė</td><td>\$</td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td>ş</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>a,74</td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td><td>\$</td><td></td><td></td><td>118</td><td>24th</td><td>**</td><td>*</td><td></td><td>*</td></thl<></thline<>		ė	\$			8	ş				a,74			30	\$			118	24th	**	*		*
M. Consummentation M. M. M. Set Find In the manage of the ma		ė		10,01	3/0			5,10	151			44	100			\$23	1		XIS	*	34	*	*
M. Consequencine (M, M. Nethold: Manual M. Nethold: M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M.		ġ.	48		310			5,00		6.8	U.		ş			5		2	35,41	**	347-		20
M. TO CRESTIMATION Table M. T. M. M. M. T. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M.		\$		11.11		50	-		R)			4,0		ΞĒ	7		-210		2,2	**	ante	-	10
Multicitational Multicitat		ý.	ίΩ)	11					55	5,8	U.H	183					-118	1,8	25,73	-	Suit		5
MUNICIFINATION (MADE ENANCLINATION (MADE EN		÷			111	3	\$	103					Bit1-	101	\$	55			25,05	-	311	-	4
M. Consentation (CER) M. No.		\$	46		341		5		151			412		B ¹		23		1,1	36,82	-	12		118
MCORRENTINCUE MCCORRENTINCUE MCCORRENTINCUE MCCORRENTINCUE MCCORRENTINCUE MCC		Ŕ		10,11		500		5,10		6, 2	8,74		1,05		10.28		55		楷	-	2,4954	-	10
M.C.DORRINATIONCE/M. M.T. F., F., F., F., F., L. D., E., E. E., K. 5 L. L. M		sie.	3				2	610					1,00	3a	1.00		5년	1,18	N'S	**	SICT	- 10-	Ŧ
MUNICIPAL MUNICIPAL <t< td=""><td></td><td>÷</td><td></td><td></td><td>310</td><td>Sub</td><td></td><td></td><td>A.S.</td><td>3</td><td>ana.</td><td>4/1</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>St.</td><td></td><td></td><td>SAS</td><td>*</td><td>311.</td><td>412</td><td>-</td></t<>		÷			310	Sub			A.S.	3	ana.	4/1			-	St.			SAS	*	311.	412	-
MICROGENUM MICROGE		쉆	48	98,51	2	500									5	33	200	1.15	E.	*	N.		
M.C.ORRENANDANCETIM M.I.P. C. M. P. P. P. P. P. P. P. P. P. P. P. P. P.		2					4	5	151	5	U4	4.67	-	131					212		5	TTT-	1.00
MATERICETING MATERICE	-	10		11.11		83		5.00	11417	6.8		48		13		23		1,18	67 H		-11		
MACCOMMUNICUUM MACCOMMUNICUUM MAC <td></td> <td>ŋ</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>151</td> <td></td> <td>th.</td> <td></td> <td>-1,09</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>972</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>tinos</td> <td>118</td> <td></td>		ŋ	3		10		\$		151		th.		-1,09		5		972			-	tinos	118	
M.C.OREMUNDURERIAL M.T. P.C. M.L. M.	E	a	a a		ELZ.	5			151	3	a 3		EUT-	at.			unt-	5	12,11	-	SHIS		1997
MLCODEMUNITION TAX MLTRACEST MANITON TAX S R U U M R S R O U U M R 2 NUM NUM M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.00 NUM M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 4.01 M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. 1 4.01 M.M. M.M.			34	10,11			ş	511			t/1	412			2	27			SPEE	7	10	206.	197
MLCODERMINITIONEE (ML) ML ML ML S R O U ML 2 R O U ML ML 2 R O L ML ML ML 2 R S R ML ML ML 3 S R ML ML ML ML 3 S R ML ML ML ML 4 S L.1 L.1 L.1 ML ML ML 5 L.1 L.	DN Tabl	1					ş	\$10	1540	3	1				at t	27	망	44	20,15		251-		
MLCODEBUNITIONLEFUL, MLTRUE S R O U S R O U U 1 1 S R O U 2 1 1 S R U U 3 1 2 1 1 1 U. 4 5 1 1 1 1 U 5 44 44 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		М.,	3	10,11	aft.	3					NU0	41	\$	ut:	10.00				and a		and a	121-	
 ALCOREMAIDANCETAL IN S., E., O., U., S., E., O., U., T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T	CIRINE I	"" 1									00	48		165	5	55	ş	1	新計		14		1
M.L. CORRUNDUCT S R O S R O 2 R O 2 R O 3 R O 4 S V 5 V D 6 S. V 7 L D 8 V D 9 L D 1 L D 1 L D 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L 1 L L <td< td=""><td>NI N</td><td>ц.,</td><td>3</td><td>11</td><td>21</td><td>3</td><td>\$</td><td>1</td><td>5</td><td>13</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>and</td><td></td><td>Anna A</td><td>3.HL.</td><td>STSTC.</td></td<>	NI N	ц.,	3	11	21	3	\$	1	5	13									and		Anna A	3.HL.	STSTC.
ALCOREMAN S., R., L., L., L., L., L., L., L., L., L., L	DNIDET		3	10.01	8	5	5	5	151	3	5	115	5	33	5	5	3	13	3637	55	225	-	-
	BINAT	ai				-			1	-	-		-	-	-	4		194	NIIS I	2 COL	3 400	HH H	S ORD.
	MO	N.					12				, <u> </u>	-	-	٣.	1		-	*	5	-	86	20	10 M

Figure 7.29 Bbottom factors effects order

Figure 7.30 Bbottom Taguchi L16 estimation table results with interactions

7.4.6 Project Trial Regression Analysis

In this work, other experimental design method named regression analysis method was applied on color project data. *Regression Analysis* is a common statistical method for design of experiment. DOExpert Software includes the project parameter definition screen as shown in Figure 7.31. After choosing an experimental project, project parameters are shown on the screen. At the right hand of the screen, user enter the interactions with * (star character) between them. There are 8 interactions and 5 main factors as shown in Figure 7.31.



Figure 7.31 Project parameters and interactions entry screen

After entering the interactions on the screen, data saved into database to make a regression analysis. Combination table with interactions are constructed as shown in Figure 7.32. There are 13 columns contains main factors and interactions of the factors. After doing regression analysis, results are shown in Figure 7.33.

Trial Value	Column I	No 🔺][ColumnN	ame 🔺									
	01	- 2	- 3	- 4	5	- 6	 -7	- 8	9	 10	 11	- 12	E 13
Observati 🔺	UB	MV	PS	DG	MG	UB*MV	UB*PS	UB*DG	UB*MG	MV*DG	MV*MG	PS*MG	DG*MG
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4
3	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	4	1	2	2	2
4	2	2	2	2	1	4	4	4	2	4	2	2	2
5	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2
6	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	4	2	2
7	2	1	2	2	2	2	:4	4	4	2	2	4	:4
8	2	2	1	1	1	4	2	2	2	2	2	1	1
9	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	:4
10	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	1
11	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	4	2	2	1	1	2
12	2	2	2	1	2	4	4	2	4	2	4	4	2
13	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	4	2
14	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	4	2	1	2
15	2	1	2	1	1	2	4	2	2	1	1	2	1
16	2	2	1	2	2	4	2	4	4	4	4	2	4

Figure 7.32 Project combinations for *ltop* response variable

	Column No	Column Name	Reg Coeff
Þ	0	Constant	87,05375
	1	UB	0,1475
	2	MV	1,805
	3	PS	-0,41
	4	DG	9,3425
	5	MG	6,1675
	6	UB*MV	-1,215
	7	UB*PS	0,085
	8	UB*DG	-1,52
	9	UB*MG	1,88
	10	MV*DG	0,02
	11	MV*MG	-1,74
	12	PS*MG	0,2
	13	DG*MG	-5,565

Figure 7.33 Project regression analysis results for *ltop* response variable

7.4.7 Taguchi and Regression Analysis Prediction

DOExpert software makes a prediction by using previous project trial results. User selects a project trial from the list shown in Figure 7.34. After selecting a project trial, project factors and response variables are shown on the screen.

	TAGUCHI Prediction Windo	1/0/			
P	ROJECTS		0	O churce III i p iii b	O Taguchi
	Project Name	Trial Name	Mean Values	5/N (Smaller is Better)	
Þ	Color Project(5 factor eff	Itop 5 factor effects (with effects)	S/N (Larger is Better)	U Log10	(Regression
	Color Project(5 factor eff	Itop 5 faktor effect(omit interactions)	Predict		

Figure 7.34 Project trials for prediction

Factor levels are chosen by user via Taguchi prediction window shown in Figure 7.35. For Taguchi analysis, one of the factor levels should be chosen to analyze. At the right hand of the screen, coefficients of factors are shown.

P	ROJECTS								0.5		
	Project Name	Tri	ial Name			9	9 Mi	ean values	05	/N (Smaller is Be	tter)
Þ	Color Project(5 factor eff	lto	p 5 factor effec	ts (with effects))	0) S/	N (Larger is	Better) 🔾 L	og10	
	Color Project(5 factor eff	lto	p 5 faktor effec	t(omit interactio	ns)	3	Pr	edict			
								Culor			
R	ESPONSES	F	ACTOR LEVE	LS		Т	agu	chi Effect Va	lues-Formula		
	Response Name		Param No	Param Name	Trial Value		Pa No	iram	Constant	T¥alue	
Þ	ltop		1	Ultramarine	1	Þ	•	Response	Name: Itop		
	atop		2	Mangane Vi	1			0	constant	94,958750	
	btop		3	PS01	0			1	Ultramarine	0,503750	
	lbotom	Ø.	4	Diluted Green	0			2	Mangane Vi	1,298750	
	abotom		5	MgO	0			3	PS01	-0,008750	
	bbotom							4	Diluted Green	0,6275	
								5	AB	0,303750	
								6	AC	-0.021250	

Figure 7.35 Project trials mean value coefficients

After entering trial values for prediction, DOExpert software finds a predicted response value for mean values is shown in Figure 7.36.

P	PREDICTION RESULTS										
	Predicti 🔽 No	TResult	Method No	Method Name	Prepared Date	Constant Value					
►	7	92,94				94,958750					
	6	96,96	1		01.09.2013	94,958750					
	4	93,3725	1		01.09.2013	94,958750					
	5	95,9525	1		01.09.2013	94,958750					

Figure 7.36 Project prediction results mean value coefficients

And also, this framework allows user to analyze an experimental project with regression analysis. Regression analysis equation of *ltop* response variable is shown in Figure 7.37.

					<u> </u>
۰	4ean Values	🔾 Taguchi			
0	5/N (Larger is	Regression			
🦻 Р	redict				
Tagi	uchi Effect Va	lues-Formula			
PN	'aram 🛓	Constant	T¥alue		
) E	Response	Name: Itop			=
	0	Constant	87,053750		
	1	UB	0,1475		
	2	MV	1,8050		
	3	PS	-0,41		
	4	DG	9,3425		
	5	MG	6,1675		
	6	UB*MV	-1,2150		✓

Figure 7.37 Project regression equation coefficients

7.4.8 DOExpert Project for Finding Optimum Values for Multiple Regression Equation

DOExpert project enables an opportunity to find the optimum values of the factors by using more than one equation. Regression equations are shown at the left hand side of the screen (Figure 7.38). By using the five of the equations and entering the target values, system finds the optimum values for the target. While the optimum values found by matrix elimination method is shown in Figure 7.38; optimum values found by *Least Square Method* are shown in Figure 7.39.

🖳 f	irmMultiOptim	ization							• ×
	Param No	Constant	TValue		Response No	Response Name	Target	Sec	
•	🗄 Response	Name: aboto	m		1	ltop	95,65		
	🗄 Response	Name: atop			2	atop	-1,27	\checkmark	
	🗄 Response	e Name: bboto	m		3	btop	3,29	\checkmark	
	🗄 Response	Name: btop			4	lbotom	95,45	\checkmark	
	🗄 Response	Name: Ibotor	n		5	abotom	-0,99		
	🗄 Response	Name: Itop		•	6	bbotom	2,92	\checkmark	
					Find Optimum So Opera op=1,119783199 op=1,217418666 otom=-0,397175	lution (Matrix Ro ations) 41973 atop=0,4 i02004 lbotom= 771234355	W Find (0880398869076 1,139086325999	Dptimum Solutio Square) 39 99	n (Least

Figure 7.38 Project factor's optimum values by matrix elimination method



Figure 7.39 Project optimum values by Least Square Method

7.4.9 Ltop Comparing Methods

Project Trials can be analyzed with several methods. These are Mean value, S/N graph, log10 graph. All of the main effects and interactions are shown in Figure 7.40 for mean value, Figure 7.41 for S/N value, Figure 7.42 for log10 value.







Figure 7.41 S/N value effect graph for *ltop*



Figure 7.42 Log10 value effect graph for *ltop*

7.5 DOExpert Software Forms

DOExpert software consists of 13 windows forms and 3 classes as shown below. DOExpert Software solution explorer in Table 7.2 shows the forms, classes and references.

PROJECT NAME	DOExpert Software
FORMS	DESCRIPTION
frmAuthorization	User Authorization Form
frmConfigurationMain.cs	DOExpert Configuration Screen
frmLogin.cs	Login Screen
frmMenuDefinition.cs	Menu definition screen
frmPrediction.cs	Prediction Screen
frmPrjDef.cs	Project Definition Screen
frmRegressionAnalysis.cs	Regression Analysis Screen
frmRoleDefinition.cs	Role Definition Screen
frmTableDefinition.cs	Table Definition Screen
frmTrial.cs	Project Trial Add/Remove Scren
frmTrialEffects.cs	Trial Effects Comparision Screen
frmTrialGraphSN.cs	Trial Effects Graphs Screen
frmMultiOptimization	Taguchi and Regression Multioptimization
CLASSES	DESCRIPTION
ExcelFileWrite.cs	Export Grid values into an Excel file
Matrix.cs	Matrix class for matrix operations
Complex.cs	Contains rows of matrix as elements.

Table 7.2 DOExpert software windows forms and classes

7.6 Comparing Methods

This part of the work contains the comparable values for *ltop* values using Minitab 16 statistical software. *Ltop* values were analyzed with Taguchi L16 table, ¹/₂ fractional factorial design, full factorial design, Taguchi 132 table and regression analysis.

7.6.1 Taguchi Analysis L16

Ltop values for 16 observations and 5 factors combinations are entered into the Minitab 16 program as shown in Figure 7.43. Design table structure is the form of L16 table. Analysis was done and the results are shown below. Figure A shows the *ltop* real values and prediction results.

A	в	С	D	E	Y	MEANI	FTTS
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	96.6	96.58	96.3
-1	-1	-1	1	1	93.8	93.76	94
-1	-1	1	-1	1	96.9	96.94	97.2
-1	-1	1	1	-1	98.6	98.55	98.3
-1	1	-1	-1	1	94.5	94.47	94.2
-1	1	-1	1	-1	97.1	97.09	97.3
-1	1	1	-1	-1	94.9	94.85	95.1
-1	1	1	1	1	91.5	91.46	91.2
1	-1	-1	-1	1	98.8	98.78	98.5
1	-1	-1	1	-1	96.2	96.24	96.5
1	-1	1	-1	-1	95.3	95.26	95.5
1	-1	1	1	1	94	93.95	93.7
1	1	-1	-1	-1	93.4	93.43	93.2
1	1	-1	1	1	89.3	89.25	89.5
1	1	1	-1	1	94.4	94.38	94.6
1	1	1	1	-1	94.4	94.35	94.1

Figure 7.43 Ltop real response values versus predicted values

Figure 7.44 shows the analysis results Taguchi L16 table. As shown below D*E and B are the significant factors. % 95 confidence interval, the results are S = 0.95 R-Sq = 99.0% R-Sq(adj) = 85.1%. This results are given by the program for larger is better response values.

Term	Constant	D*E	В	E	D	А	A*E	B*E	A*D	A*B	B*C	C*E	A*C	С	B*D
Y	94.9587	-1.39	1.30	0.84	0.63	0.50	0.47	-0.44	-0.38	-0.30	0.09	0.05	0.02	-0.01	0.01
Pre Y	94.9587	1.39	1.30	0.84	0.63	0.50	0.47	0.44	0.38	0.30	0.09	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.01

Figure 7.44 Interactions and main factors effects and order

Minitab orders the main factor effect shown in Figure 7.45.

Respon	se Tabl	e for M	eans			
Level	А	в	с	D	Е	
1	95,46	96,26	94,95	95,59	95,79	
2	94,45	93,66	94,97	94,33	94,12	
Delta	1,01	2,60	0,02	1,25	1,67	
Rank	4	1	5	3	2	



Figure 7.46 shows the detailed ANOVA table of Taguchi L16 design table.

Taguchi Analysis: Y versus A; B; C; D; E								
Linear Mo	del Analy	sis: Mear	is versus	A; B; C; D; E				
Estimated	Model Coe	fficients	for Mean	3				
Term	Coef	SE Coef	Т	P				
Constant	94,9587	0,2375	399,826	0,002				
A -1	0,5037	0,2375	2,121	0,280				
B -1	1,2988	0,2375	5,468	0,115				
C -1	-0,0087	0,2375	-0,037	0,977				
D -1	0,6275	0,2375	2,642	0,230				
E -1	0,8350	0,2375	3,516	0,176				
A*B -1 -1	-0,3037	0,2375	-1,279	0,422				
A*C -1 -1	0,0213	0,2375	0,089	0,943				
A*D -1 -1	-0,3800	0,2375	-1,600	0,356				
A*E -1 -1	0,4700	0,2375	1,979	0,298				
B*C -1 -1	0,0912	0,2375	0,384	0,766				
B*D −1 −1	0,0050	0,2375	0,021	0,987				
B*E -1 -1	-0,4350	0,2375	-1,832	0,318				
C*E -1 -1	0,0500	0,2375	0,211	0,868				
D*E -1 -1	-1,3912	0,2375	-5,858	0,108				
S = 0,95	R-Sq = 9	9,0% R-	Sq(adj) =	85,1%				

Figure 7.46 Taguchi analysis ANOVA table and coefficients

Figure 7.47 shows the regression line of predicted *Y* values.



Figure 7.47 Response *ltop* variable regression line

As shown in Figure 7.48, predicted Y value is between *limit1* and *limit2*, this system is confidence.


Figure 7.48 Probability plot of *ltop* prediction values.

Figure 7.49 shows the main effects separately.-1 is low limit, +1 is high limit.



Figure 7.49 Main effects plot for Means.

7.6.2 Fractional Factoriyel 1/2

Ltop response values are analyzed by the method of Fractional factorial $\frac{1}{2}$. This means when there are 5 factors with two levels each, there are $2^{5}=32$ combinations

by full factorial. ¹/₂ fractional factorial method uses only the half of the full factorial combinations.

After analyzing *ltop* response values for means, the effects of main factors and interactions is shown in Figure 7.50. *R2* value is 98% (Figure 7.51).

Term	Constant	D*E	в	E	D	A	A*E	B*E	A*D	A*B	C*E	A*C	С	B*D
Effect	94.959	-2.782	-2.598	-1.67	-1.255	-1.007	0.94	-0.87	-0.76	-0.608	0.1	0.043	0.017	0.01
Coef	0.1799	-1.391	-1.299	-0.835	-0.628	-0.504	0.47	-0.435	-0.38	-0.304	0.05	0.021	0.009	0.005
	0.1799	1.391	1.299	0.835	0.628	0.504	0.47	0.435	0.38	0.304	0.05	0.021	0.009	0.005

Figure 7.50 Ltop 1/2 fractional factorial analysis results

S = 0,719627 PRESS = 66,2864 R-Sq = 98,86% R-Sq(pred) = 27,08% R-Sq(adj) = 91,46%

Figure 7.51 Analysis results with 95% confidence interval

After analyzing with this method, system makes prediction and writes the combinations result as shown in Figure 7.52.

Y	96.58	93.76	96.94	98.55	94.47	97.09	94.85	91.46	98.78	96.24	95.26	93.95	93.43	89.25	94.38	94.35
Pre Y	96.25	93.91	97.27	98.40	94.32	97.42	95.00	91.13	98.45	96.39	95.59	93.80	93.28	89.58	94.53	94.02

Figure 7.52 Ltop real values and prediction values.

Figure 7.53 shows the predicted *ltop* response values, regression line and *R2* value.



Figure 7.53 *Ltop* predicted *Y* response values and regression line.



Figure 7.54 Graphs for *ltop* ½ fractional factorial analysis results.

Figure 7.54 contains 4 different graphs as follows:

- <u>Normal probability plot</u>: Sorts the residuals so that probability scale is constructed. All of the residuals should be at this trend. Residual value is the difference between real and predicted response values.
- Histogram: Distribution of residuals. Residuals should be at interval band.
- <u>Residuals versus fits</u>: Predicted Y values versus residuals distribution. Residuals not show a trend and should be from top –bottom or side by side.
- Versus order: Residuals in observation order

Double interaction graphs and Pareto graph are shown in Figure 7.55 and Figure 7.56 respectively. All factors and their interaction effects are shown as Figure 7.57. In this figure, red points indicate the significant factors.



Figure 7.55 Double interactions graphs



Figure 7.56 Pareto graph shows the effects.



Figure 7.57 The effects of all factors, red points are significant

7.6.3 Regression Analysis

The results of *ltop* regression analysis and ANOVA table are shown in Figure 7.58.

General Regression Analysis: Y versus A; B; C; D; E

Regression Equation

```
Y = 94,9588 - 0,50375 A - 1,29875 B + 0,00875 C - 0,6275 D - 0,835 E -
0,30375 A*B + 0,02125 A*C - 0,38 A*D + 0,47 A*E + 0,005 B*D - 0,435 B*E +
0,05 C*E - 1,39125 D*E
```

~-	-	-	-	-	_		-	_	-	_	
LO	e.	E.	I.	1	С	1	e	n	С	з.	

Term	Coef	SE Coef	Т	P
Constant	94,9588	0,179907	527,822	0,000
A	-0,5037	0,179907	-2,800	0,107
в	-1,2988	0,179907	-7,219	0,019
С	0,0087	0,179907	0,049	0,966
D	-0,6275	0,179907	-3,488	0,073
E	-0,8350	0,179907	-4,641	0,043
A*B	-0,3037	0,179907	-1,688	0,233
A*C	0,0213	0,179907	0,118	0,917
A*D	-0,3800	0,179907	-2,112	0,169
A*E	0,4700	0,179907	2,612	0,121
B*D	0,0050	0,179907	0,028	0,980
B*E	-0,4350	0,179907	-2,418	0,137
C*E	0,0500	0,179907	0,278	0,807
D*E	-1,3912	0,179907	-7,733	0,016

Summary of Model

S = 0,719627 R-Sq = 98,86% R-Sq(adj) = 91,46% PRESS = 66,2864 R-Sq(pred) = 27,08%

Figure 7.58 Regression analysis results

Figure 7.59 shows the prediction of the results with the real values and regression line.



Figure 7.59 Ltop regression line.

Figure 7.60 shows the graphs for this analysis. And also probability plot graphs is shown in Figure 7.61. All of the red points are between the limits, this system is confident at 95% confidence interval.



Figure 7.60 Regression analysis result graphs.



Figure 7.61 Probability plot of ltop values.

7.6.4. Full Factorial

In order to compare all methods, full factorial method was applied at this work. The ANOVA table of *ltop* response variable is shown in Figure 7.62. The effects and coefficients are shown in this table.

La a sena seco	Essecus.	and cos			couera sa
Term	Effect	Coef	SE Coef	T	P
Constant		94,422	0,3501	269,70	0,000
1.	-1,159	-0,580	0,3501	-1,66	0,149
в.:	-2,051	-1,025	0,3501	-2,93	0,026
1	-0,917	-0,458	0,3501	-1,31	0,238
2	-1,123	-0,562	0,3501	-1,60	0,160
£	-0,912	-0,458	0,3501	-1,30	0,241
4*E	-0,466	-0,233	0,3501	-0,66	0,531
4+C	0,161	0,000	0,3501	0,23	0,826
*D	-0,561	-0,200	0,3501	-0,80	0,454
*E	1,193	0,597	0,3501	1,70	0,139
5AC	0,152	0,07€	0,3501	0,22	0,035
*D	0,871	0,435	0,3501	1,24	0,260
*E	-0,431	-0,215	0,3501	-0,62	0,561
*D	0,292	0,146	0,3501	0,42	0,691
*E	0,126	0,063	0,3501	0,18	0,864
*E	-1,451	-8,725	0,3501	-2,07	0,084
+B+C	1,332	0,444	0,3501	1,90	0,106
*B*D	0,026	0,013	0,3501	6,64	0,972
*B*E	-0,183	-0,092	0,3501	-0,26	0,802
+C+D	0,439	0,220	0,3501	0,63	0,553
*C*E	0,861	0,430	0,3501	1,23	0,265
*D*E	-0,031	-0,015	0,3501	-0,04	0,967
5*C*D	0,253	0,127	0,3501	0,36	0,730
*C*E	0,199	0,100	0,3501	0,28	0,785
*D*E	0,118	0,059	0,3501	0,17	0,072
2*D*E	0,142	0,071	0,3501	0,20	0,846

Figure 7.62 ANOVA table result for full factorial

Figure 7.63 shows the normal plot of the effects for *ltop*.



Figure 7.63 Normal plot of effect for *ltop*





Figure 7.64 Ltop real values versus predicted ltop values and regression line

Figure 7.65 shows analysis results of *ltop* response value with full factorial.



Figure 7.65 Analysis results of *ltop* response value with full factorial

7.6.5 Taguchi L32

Taguchi L32 method needs 32 trials to make an analysis. After entering 32 observations into the system, the interactions are chosen according to degrees of freedom. The ANOVA table is shown in Figure 7.66.

Factorial Fit: Y versus A; B; C; D; E

Term	Effect	Coef	IE Coef	7	P
Constant		94,422	0,3501	269,70	0,000
A .	-1,159	-0,580	0,3501	-1,66	0,149
B	-2,051	-1,025	0,3501	-2,95	0.026
C	-0,917	~0,458	0,3501	-1,31	0,238
D	-1,123	-0,562	0,3501	-1,60	0,160
E	-0,912	-0,456	0,3501	-1,30	0,241
A*B	-0,466	+0,233	0,3501	-0,66	0,531
A+C	0,161	0,000	0,3501	0,23	0,826
A*D	-0,561	-0,280	0,3501	-0,80	0,454
A*E	1,193	0,597	0,3501	1,70	0,139
B*C	0,152	0,076	0,3501	0,22	0,835
B*D	0,871	0,435	0,3501	1,24	0,260
B*E	-0,431	-0,215	0,3501	-0,€2	0,561
C*D	0,292	0,146	0,3501	0,42	0,691
C*E	0,126	0,063	0,3501	0,18	0,064
D*E	-1,451	-0,725	0,3501	-2,07	0,004
A*B*C	1,332	0,666	0,3501	1,90	0,106
A*B*D	0,026	0,013	0,3501	0,04	0,972
A*8*E	-0,183	-0,092	0,3501	-0,26	0,802
A+C*D	0,439	0,220	0,3501	0,63	0,553
A*C*E	0,061	0,430	0,3501	1,23	0,265
3+0+6	-0,031	-0,015	0,3501	-0,04	0,967
B+C+D	0,253	0,127	0,3501	0,36	0,730
田+仁+王	0,199	0,100	0,3501	0,28	0,785
B*D*E	0,118	0,059	0,3501	0,17	0.872
C*D*E	0,142	0,071	0,3501	0,20	0,846

R-5q = 04,00% R-5q(pred) = 0,00% R-5q(adj) = 21,00%

Figure 7.66 Ltop response variable ANOVA table

Figure 7.67 shows the real and predicted *ltop* value graph with regression line and equation.



Figure 7.67 Ltop regression line

While Figure 7.68 shows the main effects, Figure 7.69 shows the interactions plots in means of SN values.



Figure 7.68 Main effects plot of *ltop* values in terms of means.



Figure 7.69 Interactions plot for SN ratios.

After analysis, result graphs are shown in Figure 7.70.



Figure 7.70 Graphs for analysis results.

7.7 Experimental Results

After applying these methods to color data, project trial results were discussed with laboratory experts. An average amount of product recipes done at chemical laboratory is 25 for a month. One recipe is accepted with approximately 75 trials. As we take into account extrusion machine spends 30 minutes for the process for windows profile to produce a sample. Beside of this, powder mixture is prepared by laboratory staff in about 20 minutes. At the end of producing a sample, experts spend approximately 7 minutes to make some calculations after getting the measurement results to reach conclusion. After we multiply recipe number with trial number, it was shown that trial numbers are increased. In this case process improvement is provided by using experimental methods. These results are explained in detail in Table 7.3.

PROCESS NAME	COST	DESCRIPTION
Extrusion machine time	30 minutes/trial	extruder time to produce sample +recording data values from extruder, measuring pvc top and bottom color values, results
Drybrand+mixer time	20 minutes/trial	recipe is given to the lab. Materials are weighed at the lab. Weighed material is put the mixer. Weighing and Mixer takes 20 minutes to process a single trial.
R&D expert analyze time	7 minute/trial	results, evaluation, new experiments to theoretical calculations, takes an average of 7 min.
Recipe number per month	25 per month	recipe numbers at laboratory
Trial number per recipe	75 trial/recipe	for every recipe, 75 trials have to made
Personnel cost	1 staff shift/trial	staff costs+time
Materials time for raw material	6-7 minute/trial	except from extruder, the other materials like raw material (not colors), another machine named plastograph analyzes material about 6-7 minutes for one trial.
Raw materials profit	materials cost	raw material from factory or outside
PROCESS NAME	COST	DESCRIPTION
Arranging inventory	personel time+cost	arranging of the inventory
Stock control	personel time+cost	raw materials stocks are checked periodically.
Energry	electricity	energy cost
laboratory material cost	nitrogen tube	spend at laboratory
termal stabilization	50-60 minutes	Thermal stability (strength) changes according to the product ,average it takes 50-60 minutes.
manuel iteration for optimum	7 times/target	

Table 7.3 Cost saving with DOExpert system

Ltop color data was analyzed with four methods. After applying all of the methods, the results of these methods are explained in detail in Table 7.4.

	Table 7.4	Comparison	of different	methods
--	-----------	------------	--------------	---------

	TAGUCHI (L16)	FRACTIONAL FACTORIAL(1/2)	REGRESSION	FULL FACTORIAL	TAGUCHİ (L32)
Trial number for color					
work	16	16	16	32	32
R-Sq	99%	98.86%	98.86%	84.88%	84%
Recipe number/month	75				
	30+27=57				
Spend Time/ trial	min	30+27=57 min	30+27=57 min	30+27=57 min	30+27=57 min
Total Time for 75 trial (per	57 min.X75				
recipe)	trial	57 min.X75 trial	57 min.X75 trial	57 min.X75 trial	57 min.X75 trial
Recovery from trial number	80%	80%	76%	82%	82%
Trial rate reduced (per					
recipe)	80%	80%	76%	82%	82%
Recovery for finding					
optimum value	88%	88%	88%	92%	93%
Average success	87%	87%	85%	85%	85%
Cost profit	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%

CHAPTER EIGHT CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

8.1 Conclusion

In this thesis, Experimental Design methods and the usage of these methods were studied and analyzed. A new design of experiment system, called DOExpert, was modeled and developed to provide new features over current studies. In addition, statistical methods were examined and applied by using several statistical analysis programs.

DOExpert system was developed to analyze each response values for factor effects. Factor effects can be analyzed by using main factors or main factors with their interactions. Analysis results are used to predict a response value. DOExpert system has been developed for general purpose use, so it can be able to use in different industries.

In this study, experimental works were done for color measurement system when producing windows profiles at chemical industry. DOE methods like Taguchi method and regression analysis were applied at windows profiles response variables. A window profile has six color values, called *ltop, atop, btop, lbottom, abottom,* and *bbotom.* These values are response variables and consist of five color pigments. A critical part of this study is to find optimum values of pigments that give the desired windows profile response values. However, it is seen that it is difficult to find optimum values without experience. In order to overcome this difficulty, our system analyzed these response values and found an equation for each of them. After analyzing response variables, it is possible to know the effects of all factor variables. In addition, our system can find an optimum value for these six response variables to help users in their works. In other words, the proposed method does not require expert knowledge and reduces the need for expert to find the factors and effects of factors.

Several experiments has been performed and presented to assess the success of our proposed method. A product recipe can be done by making approximately 75 trials. One trial spends approximately 30 minutes to make and analyze. When we look at from this point, product cost will be decreased by reducing number of trials, if our system (DOExpert) is used. Our color management study for windows profiles is only an example to show the benefits of DOE methods. In this case, factory cost was decreased about 75% and market share was increased. It is also possible to use our system at other different chemical studies, even at different industries, to find factor effects and eliminate the insignificant factors.

8.2 Future Work

Several test methods may be used in conjunction operation with DOExpert Software. Taguchi experimental results of the analysis to be made in the number or other methods, such as a blocked design or response surface design, may be used in addition to Taguchi method.

In future studies, it is possible to use the following nine factors and two-level analysis of the values of Extruder.

EXTRUE	DER SETUP	SET VALUES	REAL VALUES	
Feeding Screw Speed,rpm (nD1)		50	50	
Extruder Screw Speed,rpm (ns)		34	34	
URES	Zone 1-TZ1	180	180	
AT	Zone 2-TZ1	185	185	
PER	Zone 3-TZ1	190	190	
EM	Zone 4-TZ1	180	180	
LE T	Zone 5-TZ1	180	180	
NOZ	Adapter (TA1)	187	187	
DIE	TW1 // TW2	198	198	

REFERENCES

- Anagün, A. S. (2000). Kalite kontrolde ileri teknikler. Ders notu, Osmangazi Üniversitesi, Eskişehir.
- Antoniou, G., & Van Harmelen, F. (2003). *A Semantic web primer*. London, England: The MIT Press.
- Auvinen, K. (2013). Entrepreneurial guide to starting up a plastics extrusion business. Master Thesis, Arcada University. Finland.
- Ay, İ. (2005). *Plastik malzemelerin işlenme teknikleri*. Ders notu, Balıkesir Üniversitesi.
- Barrentine, L. B. (1999). An introduction to design of experiments: A simplified approach. USA: Amer Society for Quality.
- Baynal, K., Altuğ, G. S., & Ünal, H. İ. (2012). Determination of corrosion behaviour of orthodontic wires classic design method for the determination of the experiment. *Mühendis ve Makina*, *53* (629), 48-54.
- Box, G. E. P. & Wilson, K.B. (1951). On the experimental attainment of optimum conditions (with discussion). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, *13* (1–45).
- Cheng, C. (2006). *Symmetric and trimmed solutions of simple linear regression*. Master Thesis. University of Southern California.
- Croarkin C., Tobias P. (2003). *Engineering statistics handbook*, U.S.: Nist / Sematech.

Çömlekçi, N. (2003). Deney tasarımı ilke ve teknikleri. İstanbul: ALFA.

Deming, W. E. (2000). Out of the crisis (1st ed.). USA: MIT Press

- Durmaz, S. (2008). Applying Taguchi's method in the industrial rubber vulcanization process. Master Thesis. Sakarya University.
- Eriksson, L., Johansson, E., Kettaneh-Wold, N., Wikström, C., & Wold, S. (2008). *Design of experiments, principles and applications* (3rd ed.). Sweden: Umetrics.
- Fisher, R. A. (1922). The goodness of fit of regression formula, and the distribution of regression coefficients, *Journal of Royal Statistical Society.*, 85, 597-612
- Fowlkes, W. Y., & Creveling, C. M. (1995). Engineering methods for robust product design. USA: Wesley.
- Fraley, S., Oom, M., Terrien, B.& Zalewskij J. (2012). *Design of experiments via Taguchi methods: orthogonal arrays*, Course Notes: University of Michigan.
- Franceschini, G., & Macchietto, S. (2008). *Model-based design of experiments for parameter precision*. USA: Chemical Engineering Science.
- Gökçe, B., Talaş, Ş., & Taşgetiren, S. (2012). Taguchi yönteminin çelik kaynak metallerinin optimizasyonunda kullanımı, *International Iron & Steel Symposium*. Karabük, Turkey.
- Guo, H., & Mettas, A. (2010). Design of experiments and data analysis, 2010 annual reliability and maintainability symposium. USA: ReliaSoft.
- Joseph, V. R. (2007). *Taguchi's Approach to Robust Parameter Design: A New Perspective*. Atlanta: Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Joseph, V. R., & Wu, C. F. J. (2002). Operating window experiments: A novel approach to quality improvement. *Journal of Quality Technology*, *34*, 345-354.

- Karabaş, H. (2012). Biodisel production from crude acorn kernel oil: An optimization process using Taguchi method. *Renewable Energy*, *53*, 384–388.
- Kasap, Ş. (2006). F4 Uçaklarında yakıt tüketimini etkileyen faktörlerin 2^k deneyleri ve Taguchi yöntemiyle belirlenmesi. Master Thesis, Selçuk University.

Kim, M. W., & Liao, W. M. (1994). Estimating hidden quality costs with quality loss functions. *Accounting Horizons*, 8-18.

- Koç, B., & Ertekin, F. K. (2013). Response surface methodology and food processing applications. *The Journal of Food*, 35, 1-6
- Kuhn, R., & Reilly M. J. (2002). An investigation of the applicability of design of experiments to software testing. *Software Engineering Workshop, Proceedings of* 27th Annual NASA Goddard/IEEE, 91 – 95.
- Lazic, Z. (2004). *Design of experiments in chemical engineering a practical guide*. Germany: Wiley-Vch.
- Libby, R., Bloomfield, R., Nelson, & W. M. (2002). Experimental research in financial accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 27 (8), 775-810.
- Madaeni, S. S., & Koocheki, S. (2006). Application of Taguchi method in the optimization of wastewater treatment using spiral-wound reverse osmosis element. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 119, 37-44.
- Matthews, R. E. (2009). Clinical six sigma in outpatient medicine: Achieving 'best in the nation' hypertension results. *American Society for Quality*, 7 (8).
- Montgomery, S. L. (1997). Permian bone spring formation: Sandstone play in the Delaware basin, American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 81 (9), 1423-1434.

- Myers, R. H., Montgomery, D.C., & Anderson-Cook, C.M. (2009). Response surface methodology: Process and product optimization using designed experiments (3rd ed.). USA: Wiley
- Öztop, M. (2007). Deney tasarımı yönetimi ile alüminyum ekstrüzyon prosesinin iyileştirilmesi, Master Thesis, Erciyes University.
- Phadke, M. S. (1989). Quality engineering using robust design. USA: Prentice Hall.
- Plasun, R. (1999). *Optimization of VLSI semiconductor devices*, Dissertation, Vienna University of Technology.
- Roy, R. K. (2001). Design of experiments using the Taguchi approach, USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Scheiner, S. M., & Gurevitch, J. (2001). *Design and Analysis of Ecological Experiments*. USA: Oxford University Press.
- Şanyılmaz, M. (2006). *Design of experiment and an application for Taguchi method of quality improvement activity*. Master Thesis, Dumlupinar University.
- Şenoğlu, B., & Acıtaş, Ş. (2011). *İstatiksel deney tasarımı* (2th ed.). Ankara: Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- Şirvancı, M. (2011). *Kalite için deney tasarımı Taguçi yaklaşımı* (2th ed.). İstanbul: Literatür Yayıncılık.
- Taguchi, G., & Wu, Y. (1985). *Introduction to off-line quality control*. Japan: Quality Control Association.

- Taylan, D. (2009). *Taguchi deney tasarımı uygulaması*. Master Thesis, Süleyman Demirel University.
- Telford, J. (2007). A brief introduction to design of experiments. *Johns Hopkins APL Technical Diges*, (27), 224-232
- Toropov V.V. (1988). *Simulation approach to structural optimization*. USSR (in Russian): Gorky University Press
- Yanar, N. (2008). Hidrolik silindir imalatında yüzey pürüzlülüğüne etkili parametrelerin Taguchi yöntemi ile belirlenmesi. Master Thesis. Selçuk University.
- Yates, F. (1952). The design and analysis of factorial experiments. *Technical Communication*, *35*(6)