

ANALYSING EFFECTS OF GLOBAL WARMING ON EARTH
USING DATA MINING METHODS

KIVANÇ KILIÇER

ANALYSING EFFECTS OF GLOBAL WARMING ON EARTH
USING DATA MINING METHODS

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
THE GRADUATE SCHOOL
OF
THE UNIVERSITY of BAHCESEHIR
BY
KIVANÇ KILIÇER

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES

JULY 2006

Approval of the Graduate School of (Name of the Graduate School)

(Title and Name)

Director

I certify that this thesis satisfies all the requirements as a
thesis for the degree of Master of Science

(Title and Name)

Head of Department

This is to certify that we have read this thesis and that in our
opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for
the degree of Master of Science.

(Title and Name)

Co-Supervisor

(Title and Name)

Supervisor

Examining Committee Members

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

ABSTRACT

ANALYSING EFFECTS OF GLOBAL WARMING ON EARTH USING DATA MINING METHODS

Kılıçer, Kivanç

M.S. Department of Information Technologies

Supervisor: Asst. Prof. Dr. Adem Karahoca

July 2006, 89 pages

In recent years, many geographical and atmospherical incidents are observed on earth because of climate changes, where the global warming has the most important role. The aim of this research is to search the possible effects of global warming on earth and to build a relationship between meteorological variables, by examining the changes from past to today, using data mining methods. Firstly, the climate changes in the past and the attributes behind these changes are determined. For each attribute 70 years daily data is found and the data is merged into a new dataset covering all attributes. Through analyzing the data in computer, correlation coefficients between variables are found for different time periods. As an example, the daily past-values of attributes like sea level, soil moisture and snow depth for different regions on earth are processed in order to understand what kind of anomalies can global warming bring out in the future.

Key Words: Global warming, temperature, soil moisture, precipitation, data mining, cloud cover, snow depth, climate, glacier, weka, linear regression, humidity

ÖZET
KÜRESEL ISINMANIN DÜNYAYA ETKİLERİNİN
VERİ MADENCİLİĞİ YÖNTEMİ İLE
ANALİZİ

Kılıçer, Kıvanç

Yüksek Lisans, Bilgi Teknolojileri Bölümü

Tez Yöneticisi: Yrd. Doç. Dr. Adem Karahoca

Temmuz 2006, 89 sayfa

Son yıllarda küresel ısınma başta olmak üzere dünyadaki iklim değişiklikleri sebebiyle yerkürede bir çok coğrafi ve atmosferik olaylar gözlenmektedir. Bu çalışmanın amacı, iklim değişikliklerin yer küre üzerindeki olası etkilerini araştırmak ve geçmişten günümüze meteorolojik değişkenlerin değişimini inceleyerek bu değişkenleri veri madenciliği yöntemi ile ilişkilendirmektir. Öncelikle geçmişte gerçekleşen iklim değişiklikleri ve bu değişikliklere yol açan değişkenler saptanmıştır. Bu değişkenlerin 70 yıllık verileri toplanmış ve bu veriler birleştirilerek yeni bir dataset haline getirilmiştir. Datasetlerin bilgisayar ortamında analizi yapılarak çeşitli zaman periyotlarındaki diğer değişkenlerle aralarındaki korelasyon katsayıları çıkartılmıştır. Örneğin, deniz seviyesi, toprak nemliliği, kar kalınlığı gibi değişkenlerin geçmişten günümüze çeşitli bölgelerdeki değerleri toplanarak küresel ısınmanın artışının gelecekte ne gibi etkilere sahip olacağı irdelenmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: küresel ısınma, sıcaklık, toprak nemliliği, yağış, veri madenciliği, bulut büyüklüğü, kar kalınlığı, iklim, buzul, weka, lineer regresyon, nem

To My Wife

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis is dedicated to **my wife** for her patience and understanding during my master's study and for her help in the preparation of this thesis. I am also grateful to **my mother**, who provided moral and spiritual support.

I would like to express my gratitude to **Asst. Prof. Dr. Adem Karahoca**, for not only being such a great supervisor but also encouraging and challenging me throughout my academic program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	VIII
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 LITERATURE SURVEY	2
2 BASIC CONCEPTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE.....	6
3 OBSERVED CHANGES IN CLIMATE	7
3.1 OBSERVED CHANGES IN TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION	8
3.2 OBSERVED CHANGES IN SEA LEVEL	9
3.3 OBSERVED CHANGES IN SEA ICE EXTENT AND CONCENTRATION	12
3.4 OBSERVED CHANGES IN OZONE.....	16
4 DETECTION AND ATTRIBUTION OF CLIMATE CHANGE SIGNALS	17
5 POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE.....	18
5.1 WATER RESOURCES.....	18
5.2 AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SECURITY	19
6 REGIONAL / LOCAL SCALE CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS.....	20
6.1 AFRICAN CLIMATE TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS	20
6.1.1 <i>Climate Change Scenarios in Africa</i>	20
6.2 MIDDLE EAST AND ARID ASIA.....	22
6.2.1 <i>Observed Temperature and Future Projections</i>	22
6.2.2 <i>Observed Precipitation and Future Projections</i>	22
6.2.3 <i>Water Resources</i>	23
6.3 MEDITERRANEAN REGION	23
6.3.1 <i>Observed Changes</i>	24
6.3.2 <i>Future Projections</i>	25
7 THE UNFCCC AND KYOTO PROTOCOL.....	26
7.1 THE UNFCCC	26
7.2 THE KYOTO PROTOCOL	27
7.3 RECENT CLIMATE CHANGE DEBATES	28
8 DEVELOPING AND APPLYING SCENARIOS.....	30
8.1 LAND-USE AND LAND-COVER CHANGE SCENARIOS.....	30
8.1.1 <i>Methods of Scenario Development Future Projections</i>	30
8.1.2 <i>Types of Land-Use and Land-Cover Change Scenarios</i>	33
8.1.3 <i>Application of Scenarios and Uncertainties</i>	36
8.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SCENARIOS.....	41
8.2.1 <i>CO₂ Scenarios</i>	41
8.2.2 <i>UV-B Radiation Scenarios</i>	43
8.2.2 <i>Scenarios of Marine Pollution</i>	45
8.3 SEA-LEVEL RISE SCENARIOS	46
8.3.1 <i>Global Average Sea-Level Rise</i>	46
8.3.2 <i>Regional Sea-Level Rise</i>	47
8.3.3 <i>Scenarios Incorporating Variability</i>	48
8.4 FLOOD SCENARIOS.....	50
8.4.1 <i>Changes in Flood Frequency</i>	50
9 GLOBAL WARMING AND DATA MINING METHOD.....	52

9.1 DATA MINING METHOD.....	52
9.2 DATA MINING SOFTWARE: WEKA.....	53
9.3 DATASETS.....	54
9.3.1 Humidity.....	55
9.3.2 Mean Temperature.....	55
9.3.3 Cloud Cover.....	56
9.3.4 Precipitation.....	56
9.3.5 Snow Depth.....	57
9.3.6 Sunshine.....	57
9.4 DATASET COMBINATION AND GROUPING.....	58
9.5 FINAL DATASET.....	60
9.6 BASIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ATTRIBUTES.....	60
9.7 PROCESSING AND VISUALIZING ALL ATTRIBUTES IN WEKA.....	64
9.7.1 Discretisizing.....	65
9.7.2 Classification.....	66
9.7.3 Clustering Method.....	80
9.7.4 Prediction.....	83
10 CONCLUSION.....	84
REFERENCES.....	86
VITA.....	89

1 INTRODUCTION

On a global scale, there is increasing evidence that climate is changing. Increased concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere due to human activities are believed to be the underlying cause of the change in global climate. The atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases, mainly carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O), have risen significantly since the pre-industrial era. Current estimates indicate that the CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere have reached to almost 370 ppmv³, which is a 30 percent increase from its pre-industrial levels. (Keeling, Ralph, Stephen Piper, Martin Heimann, 1996)

The model simulations indicate that global average surface temperatures will rise by 1.5-4.5°C over the next 100 years assuming that no action is taken to reduce emissions. Scientists expect that the average global surface temperature could rise 1-4.5°F (0.6-2.5°C) in the next fifty years, and 2.2-10°F 1.4-5.8°C) in the next century, with significant regional variation. Instrumental temperature records provide some evidence that the warming is already begun. Average world surface temperatures appear to have risen by 0.3-0.6°C over the past 100 years. The warming is even more prominent in the last three decades. The global average surface temperature in 2001 was the second warmest on record, 0.42°C above the 1961-1990 average. Some climatologists, however, believe that these observed warming is still within the range of natural variability. (Information Unit on Climate Change, 2001)

Rising global temperatures are expected to raise sea level, and change precipitation and other local climate conditions. Changing regional climate could alter forests, crop yields, and water supplies. It could also affect human health, animals, and

many types of ecosystems. Evaporation will increase as the climate warms, which will increase average global precipitation. Soil moisture is likely to decline in many regions, and intense rainstorms are likely to become more frequent.

1.1 Literature Survey

Climate change issue has been observed and projected in the past studies. Some examples of these studies are as follows:

1) “Probabilistic Climate Change Projections Using Neural Networks”

The research presents a neural network based climate model substitute that increases the efficiency of large climate model ensembles by at least an order of magnitude. Using the observed surface warming over the industrial period and estimates of global ocean heat uptake as constraints for the ensemble, this method estimates ranges for climate sensitivity and radiative forcing that are consistent with observations. In particular, negative values for the uncertain indirect aerosol forcing exceeding -1.2 W m^{-2} can be excluded with high confidence. A parameterization to account for the uncertainty in the future carbon cycle is introduced, derived separately from a carbon cycle model. This allows us to quantify the effect of the feedback between oceanic and terrestrial carbon uptake and global warming on global temperature projections. Finally, probability density functions for the surface warming until year 2100 for two illustrative emission scenarios are calculated, taking into account uncertainties in the carbon cycle, radiative forcing, climate sensitivity, model parameters and the observed temperature records. The research finds that warming exceeds the surface warming range projected by IPCC for almost half of the ensemble members. Projection uncertainties are only consistent with

IPCC if a model-derived upper limit of about 5 K is assumed for climate sensitivity.

(Knutti R., Stocker T. F., Joos F., Plattner G.-K., 1986)

2) “Rainfall Forecasting Using Soft Computing Models and Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines”

Long-term rainfall prediction is a challenging task especially in the modern world where we are facing the major environmental problem of global warming. In general, climate and rainfall are highly non-linear phenomena in nature exhibiting what is known as the "butterfly effect". While some regions of the world are noticing a systematic decrease in annual rainfall, others notice increases in flooding and severe storms. The global nature of this phenomenon is very complicated and requires sophisticated computer modeling and simulation to predict accurately. The past few years have witnessed a growing recognition of Soft Computing (SC) technologies that underlie the conception, design and utilization of intelligent systems . In this paper, the SC methods considered are

- i) Evolving Fuzzy Neural Network (EFuNN)
- ii) Artificial Neural Network using Scaled Conjugate Gradient Algorithm
- iii) Adaptive Basis Function Neural Network (ABFNN) and
- iv) General Regression Neural Network (GRNN).

Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS) is a regression technique that uses a specific class of basis functions as predictors in place of the original data. In this paper, it is reported a performance analysis for MARS and the SC models considered. To evaluate the prediction efficiency, 87 years of rainfall data in Kerala state, the southern part of the Indian peninsula is used. (Abraham A., Steinberg D., Philip N., 2001)

3) “Neural Network Modeling of Climate Change Impacts on Irrigation Water Supplies in Arkansas River Basin”

Climate change in the region that includes the Arkansas River basin may have profound effects on water users. The potential impacts of climate change include changes in snowfall, snowmelt and rainfall amount and intensities. Snowmelt is the main source of water supply in the region. Water supply is a key factor in determining agricultural potential. In scientific studies dealing with modeling irrigation water budgets, water supply is usually assumed sufficient. The possible effects of climatic changes on surface water supplies for irrigation in the Arkansas River basin are investigated using Artificial Neural network (ANN). ANN models have been found useful and efficient, particularly in problems for which the characteristics of the process are difficult to describe using physically based models. ANN is capable of identifying complex nonlinear relationships between input and output data sets without prior knowledge of the internal structure of a system. This study presents a procedure for modeling the impacts of climate change on irrigation water supplies and demonstrates the potential of ANN models for simulating such nonlinear hydrologic behavior. Precipitation over the mountains and the basin area coupled with stream flow is used to quantify the impacts of climate changes on surface water supply for irrigation. A feedforward neural network is trained to map the relation between the water diverted for irrigation (output) and the streamflow/precipitation (inputs).

The Research projects an increase in temperature (4 – 7o C) and winter precipitation and a decrease in summer precipitation. Based on these projections the study region is expected to get drier. These dry conditions have adverse effects on water supplies

in the region. Following the projected precipitation patterns, a decrease in water supply will occur. In 2060 a reduction in water supplies will occur from midseason (April/May) to the end of the season (June-Sept.). In 2090, based on the projections, water will be short over the whole season. High projected temperature increases ET and alters snowmelt time causing a shift in water availability to late winter and early summer. The study region is one of the regions most vulnerable to climate change. Water shortage is already a problem in the region. If precipitation amounts and timing change as projected, the water resources in the region will be under more stress. (Elgaali E., Garcia L., 2002)

2 BASIC CONCEPTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Climate is the average state of the atmosphere and is typically described by the statistics of a set of atmospheric and surface variables, such as temperature, precipitation, wind, humidity, cloudiness, soil moisture, and sea surface temperature in terms of the long-term average. Although climate and climate change are usually presented in global mean terms, there may be large local and regional departures from these global averages. Factors that contribute to climate and climate change are usually defined by climate forcing. A climate forcing can be defined as an imposed perturbation of Earth's energy balance. An increase in the luminosity of the sun, for example, is a positive forcing that leads a warmer Earth. A very large volcanic eruption, on the other hand, can increase the aerosols in the lower stratosphere, and thereby reduces the solar energy delivered to Earth's surface. These examples are natural forcing. Human-made forcing result from, for example, the gases and aerosols produced by fossil fuel burning, and alterations of Earth's surface from various changes in land use, such as the conversion of forests into agricultural land. The observations of human-induced forcing underlie the current concerns about climate change. (The National Academies Press, "Climate Change Science: An Analysis of Some Key Questions, 2001)

3 OBSERVED CHANGES IN CLIMATE

Since 1860, mean global temperatures have risen by between 0.3°C and 0.6°C. Warming since the mid-1970s has been particularly rapid with nine of the ten warmest years have occurred since 1990, including 1999 and 2000 despite cooling influence of the tropical Pacific La Niña which contributed to a somewhat lower global average (0.29°C and 0.26°C above average, respectively). The warming trend is spatially widespread and is consistent with the global retreat of mountain glaciers, reduction in snow-cover extent, the accelerated rate of rise of sea level during the 20th century relative to the past few thousand years, and the increase in upper-air water vapor and rainfall rates over most regions. The ocean, which represents the largest reservoir of heat in the climate system, has warmed by about 0.05°C averaged over the layer extending from the surface down to 10,000 feet, since the 1950s. Sea ice in the central Arctic has thinned since the 1970s. A decline of about 10% in spring and summer continental snow cover extent over the past few decades also has been observed. (IPCC Technical Summary, Climate Change, 2001)

Satellite data on temperatures in the lower 4.8 miles of the atmosphere, spanning a period from 1979 to the present, show little if any warming trend compared with the surface-based record during the same period. However, the 1979-2000 satellite data series may be too short to show a trend in atmospheric temperature. Balloon-borne instruments used to measure temperatures in the lower 4.8 miles of the atmosphere since 1958, show an overall warming trend from 1958-2000 similar to that of the surface record. But when just the period 1979-2000 is considered, the

balloon data resemble the satellite data. (US Environmental Protection Agency web site, 2006)

3.1 Observed Changes in Temperature and Precipitation

The global average surface temperature has increased by 0.6 - 0.2°C since the late 19th century. It is very likely that the 1990s was the warmest decade and 1998 the warmest year in the instrumental record since 1861. Most of the increase in global temperature since the late 19th century has occurred in two distinct periods: 1910 to 1945 and since 1976. The most recent period of warming (1976 to 1999) has been almost global, but the largest increases in temperature have occurred over the mid- and high latitudes of the continents in the Northern Hemisphere.

Annual land precipitation has continued to increase in the middle and high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere at a rate of 0.5 to 1% /decade), except over Eastern Asia. Over the sub-tropics (10°N to 30°N), land surface rainfall has decreased on average around 0.3% /decade, although this has shown signs of recovery in recent years. Tropical land-surface precipitation measurements indicate that precipitation likely has increased by about 0.2 to 0.3%/ decade over the 20th century, but increases are not evident over the past few decades and the amount of tropical land (versus ocean) area for the latitudes 10°N to 10°S is relatively small. Nonetheless, direct measurements of precipitation and model predictions indicate that rainfall has also increased over large parts of the tropical oceans. In contrast to the Northern Hemisphere, no comparable systematic changes in precipitation have been detected in broad latitudinal averages over the Southern Hemisphere. (National Academy Press, 1996)

Variations of the Earth's surface temperature for:




Figure 3.1 Variations of the Earth's surface temperature over the last 140 years and the last millennium. (UN Environment Program, 2006)

3.2 Observed Changes in Sea Level

Sea level has risen worldwide approximately 15-20 cm in the last century. Approximately 2-5 cm of the rise has resulted from the melting of mountain glaciers. Another 2-7 cm has resulted from the expansion of ocean water that resulted from warmer ocean temperatures. The pumping of ground water and melting of the polar ice sheets may have also added water to the oceans. Based on tide gauge data, the rate of global mean sea level rise during the 20th century is in the range 1.0 to 2.0 mm/yr. Based on the very few long tide-gauge records, the average rate of sea level rise has been larger during the 20th century than during the 19th century. No significant acceleration in the rate of sea level rise during the 20th

century has been detected. This is not inconsistent with model results due to the limited data.

Global sea level is currently rising as a result of ocean thermal expansion and glacier melt, both caused by recent increases in global mean temperature. Antarctica and Greenland, the world's largest ice sheets, make up the vast majority of the Earth's ice. If these ice sheets melted entirely, sea level would rise by more than 70 meters.

Although current estimates indicate that mass balance for the Antarctic ice sheet is in approximate equilibrium, the Greenland Ice Sheet may have contributed substantial mass to the ocean due to negative mass balance. Some areas of the Antarctic have shown significant imbalance, e.g., Pine Island, Thwaites, and glaciers in the Antarctic Peninsula. (There is still much uncertainty about accumulation rates in Antarctica, especially the East Antarctic Plateau.)

Global mass balance data are transformed to sea-level equivalent by multiplying annual average mass balance (approximately -190 millimeters for the period 1961 to 2003) by the surface area of these "small" glaciers (785,000 square kilometers). When dividing this value by the surface area of the oceans (361.6 million square kilometers), the final result is 0.4 millimeters of sea level rise per year. The Glacier Contribution to Sea Level graph demonstrates how the contribution to sea level rise from melting glaciers began increasing at a faster rate starting in the late 1980s. This is in agreement with high-latitude air temperature records (US Environmental Protection Agency web site, 2006).

Glacier Contribution to Sea Level




Figure 3.2 Glacier Contributions to Sea Level (National Snow and Ice Data Center web site, 2006)

Over the past 100 years, sea level has risen by 1.0 to 2.5 millimeters per year; thus the contribution from melting small glaciers would be approximately 20 to 30 percent of the total. Climate models based on the current rate of increase in greenhouse gases, however, indicate that sea level will rise at a rate of about two to five times the current rate over the next 100 years from the combined effect of ocean thermal expansion and increased glacier melt. Below graph indicates the glacier contribution to sea level vs. annual global air temperature.

Glacier Contribution to Sea Level and Air Temperature




Figure 3.3 Glacier Contributions to Sea Level and Air Temperature (National Snow and Ice Data Center web site, 2006)

3.3 Observed Changes in Sea Ice Extent and Concentration

Sea ice is important because it regulates exchanges of heat, moisture and salinity in the polar oceans. It insulates the relatively warm ocean water from the cold polar atmosphere except where cracks, or leads, in the ice allow exchange of heat and water vapor from ocean to atmosphere in winter. The number of leads determines

where and how much heat and water are lost to the atmosphere, which may affect local cloud cover and precipitation (ENN News Archive, 1998).

The seasonal sea ice cycle affects both human activities and biological habitats. For example, companies shipping raw materials such as oil or coal out of the Arctic must work quickly during periods of low ice concentration, navigating their ships towards openings in the ice and away from treacherous multi-year ice that has accumulated over many years. Many arctic mammals, such as polar bears, seals, and walruses, depend on the sea ice for their habitat. These species hunt, feed, and breed on the ice. Should the sea ice recede excessively, scientists worry that increased nutritional stresses on the limited food chain may adversely affect these populations, particularly polar bears who must store large amounts of fat to survive arctic winters (Environmental News Network 1998).

Ice thickness, its spatial extent, and the fraction of open water within the ice pack can vary rapidly and profoundly in response to weather and climate. Sea ice typically covers about 14 to 16 million square kilometers in late winter in the Arctic and 17 to 20 million square kilometers in the Antarctic Southern Ocean. The seasonal decrease is much larger in the Antarctic, with only about three to four million square kilometers remaining at summer's end, compared to approximately seven to nine million square kilometers in the Arctic. The maps below provide examples of late winter and late summer ice cover in the two hemispheres (National Climatic Data Center web site, 2006).




Figure 3.4 Arctic and Antarctic sea ice concentration climatology from 1978-2002, at the approximate seasonal maximum and minimum levels. Image provided by National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Boulder. (National Snow and Ice Data Center web site, 2006)




Figure 3.5 Sea ice conditions for September 2002, 2003, and 2004, derived from the Sea Ice Index (National Snow and Ice Data Center web site, 2006)

Sea ice thickness has shown substantial decline in recent decades. Using data from submarine cruises, Rothrock and collaborators determined that the mean ice draft at the end of the melt season in the Arctic has decreased by about 1.3 meters over the past 30 to 40 years. These recent trends and variations in ice cover are consistent with recorded changes in high-latitude air temperatures, winds, and oceanic conditions. It is important to note though, that the ice cover responds to a variety of climatic factors, and the available record of sea ice cover is relatively short. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

Satellite data from the SMMR and SSM/I instruments have also been combined with earlier observations from ice charts and other sources to yield a time series of arctic ice extent from the early 1900s onward.




Figure 3.6 Decrease in Arctic Sea Ice Draft from 1958 to 1997. Graph derived from Rothrock et al. 1999(National Snow and Ice Data Center web site, 2006).

3.4 Observed Changes in Ozone

Global monitoring of ozone levels from space by the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) instrument has shown statistically significant downward trends in ozone at all latitudes outside the tropics. Measurements at several ground-based stations have shown corresponding upward trends in CFCs in both the northern and southern hemisphere. Ozone depletion and climate change are linked in a number of ways, but ozone depletion is not a major cause of climate change. The climate impact of changes in ozone concentrations varies with the altitude at which these ozone changes occur. The major ozone losses that have been observed in the lower stratosphere due to the human-produced chlorine- and bromine-containing gases have a cooling effect on the Earth's surface. On the other hand, the ozone increases that are estimated to have occurred in the troposphere because of surface-pollution gases have a warming effect on the Earth's surface, thereby contributing to the "greenhouse" effect (Ciesin, Colombia University web site, 2006).

Stratospheric ozone depletion, caused by increasing concentrations of human-produced chemicals, has increased since the 1980s. The springtime loss in Antarctica is the largest depletion. Currently, in nonpolar regions, the ozone layer has been depleted up to several percent compared with that of two decades ago. The magnitude of ozone depletion varies between the regions of the Earth. Since the early 1980s, the ozone hole has formed over Antarctica during every Southern Hemisphere spring (September to November), in which up to 60% of the total ozone is depleted. Since the early 1990s, ozone depletion has also been observed

over the Arctic, with the ozone loss from January through late March typically being 20-25% in most of the recent years. WMO 2000 Antarctic Ozone Summary reports an exceptionally large area of very low stratospheric temperatures over Antarctica which set the stage for the earlier than usual development of the annual Austral Spring ozone hole. By early September of 2000, the ozone hole was the largest ever on record, and in late September and early October it was also the deepest. During this period, losses of total column atmospheric ozone exceeded 50 percent within most of the area of the ozone hole (World Meteorological Organization, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, 1998).

4 DETECTION AND ATTRIBUTION OF CLIMATE CHANGE SIGNALS

The purpose of the climate change detection and attribution activity is to identify variability and trends in the climate system and to ascribe these changes to specific factors, whether natural or man-induced.

The science of detection comprises several key elements: 1) understanding natural change through the paleo record and model simulations; 2) development and implementation of advanced statistical techniques for climate signal identification; and 3) analysis of observations and model output to understand the limitations of both data sources (i.e., uncertainty estimates) and to validate model hind casts of climate system response to natural and anthropogenic forcing. Although temperature is usually the first variable considered in assessments of global climate change, it is important to consider other data that integrate the state of the climate system over space and time. These include temperature proxy data (such as tree

ring records), borehole temperature measurements in soil, permafrost, and ice sheets, and measurements of the mass balance of valley glaciers and ice caps. Through analysis of paleo-proxy records (tree rings, ice cores, corals, etc.); past climate variations in the pre-industrial era can be described and used to provide a context for statements about present and future climate possibilities. Besides the long-term data, general circulation models (GCMs) are the major tools in climate change detection and attributions. However, predictions of future climate are imperfect because they are limited by significant uncertainties (Miller C., 2000).

5 POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Natural and human systems are expected to be influenced by climatic variations such as changes in the average, range, and variability of temperature and precipitation, as well as the frequency and severity of weather events. The following section discusses impacts of climate change on various sectors.

5.1 Water Resources

The effect of climate change on stream flow and groundwater recharge varies regionally and among scenarios, largely following projected changes in precipitation. There are apparent trends in stream flow volumes—increases and decreases—in many regions. However, confidence that these trends are a result of climate change is low because of factors such as the variability of hydrological behavior over time, the brevity of instrumental records, and the response of river flows to factors other than climate change.

Higher temperatures mean that a greater proportion of winter precipitation falls as

rain rather than snow and therefore is not stored on the land surface until it melts in spring. In particularly cold areas, an increase in temperature would still mean that winter precipitation falls as snow, so there would be little change in stream flow timing in these regions.

Flood magnitude and frequency are likely to increase in most regions, and low flows are likely to decrease in many regions. Changes in low flows are a function of changes in precipitation and evaporation. Evaporation generally is projected to increase, which may lead to lower flows even where precipitation increases or shows little change. Projected climate change could further decrease stream flow and groundwater recharge in many of these water-stressed countries—for example, in central Asia, southern Africa, and countries around the Mediterranean Sea (IPCC Technical Summary, 2001).

5.2 Agriculture and Food Security

It is established with medium confidence that a few degrees of projected warming will lead to general increases in temperate crop yields, with some regional variation. At larger amounts of projected warming, most temperate crop yield responses become generally negative. In regions where some crops are near their maximum temperature tolerance and where dry land agriculture predominates, yields are expected to decrease generally with even minimal changes in temperature. Also where there is a large decrease in rainfall, crop yields would be even more adversely affected (medium confidence). Higher minimum temperatures will be beneficial to some crops, especially in temperate regions, and detrimental to other crops, especially in low latitudes (high confidence).

In arid or semi-arid areas where climate change is likely to decrease available soil moisture, agricultural productivity is expected to decrease. Increased CO₂ concentrations may counteract some of these losses. However, many of these areas are affected by El Niño/La Niña, other climatic extremes, and disturbances such as fire. Changes in the frequencies of these events and disturbances could lead to loss of productivity thus potential land degradation, potential loss of stored carbon, or decrease in the rate of carbon uptake (UN Environment Programme, 2006).

6 REGIONAL / LOCAL SCALE CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS

As global climate appears to be changing, we would expect that climate also will change regionally and locally. Detection of climate change on this scale is, however, extremely difficult as the high variability in local climates masks trends in the 'noise' of natural fluctuations. Moreover, the short period of observations makes the identification of clear trends difficult and creates uncertainty over the scale of natural variability. No current climate model is capable of providing realistic regional/ local scale climate change signals. Recent attempts have been made to use nested regional GCMs to down scale global climate change signals to regional levels. Following sections discusses observed and projected climate change for the selected regions where water and agricultural sectors will be affected significantly.

6.1 African Climate Trends and Projections

6.1.1 Climate Change Scenarios in Africa

With respect to temperature, land areas may warm by 2050 by as much as 1.6°C

over the Sahara and semi-arid parts of southern Africa. Equatorial countries might be about 1.4°C warmer. This projection represents a rate of warming to 2050 of about 0.2°C per decade.

Sea- surface temperatures in the open tropical oceans surrounding Africa will rise by less than the global average (i.e., only about 0.6–0.8°C); the coastal regions of the continent therefore will warm more slowly than the continental interior. Rainfall changes projected by most GCMs are relatively modest, at least in relation to present-day rainfall variability. In general, rainfall is projected to increase over the continent—the exceptions being southern Africa and parts of the Horn of Africa; here, rainfall is projected to decline by 2050 by about 10%. Seasonal changes in rainfall are not expected to be large. Great uncertainty exists; however, in relation to regional-scale rainfall changes simulated by GCMs. Parts of the Sahel could experience rainfall increases of as much as 15% over the 1961–90 average. Equatorial Africa could experience a small (5%) increase in rainfall. These rainfall results, however, are not consistent (Keeling R., Piper S., Heimann, M.,1996).

Projected temperature increases are likely to lead to increased open water and soil/plant evaporation. Exactly how large this increased evaporative loss will be would depend on factors such as physiological changes in plant biology, atmospheric circulation, and land-use patterns. As a rough estimate, potential evapotranspiration over Africa is projected to increase by 5–10% by 2050. Rainfall may well become more intense, but whether there will be more tropical cyclones or a changed frequency of El Niño events remains largely speculated (Marland G.,

Pippin A., 1990).

6.2 Middle East and Arid Asia

6.2.1 Observed Temperature and Future Projections

The observed change in annual temperature in the region from 1955–74 to 1975–94 was 0.5°C. Annual temperatures in most of the Middle East region showed almost no change during the period 1901–96, but a 1–2°C/century increase was discernible in central Asia (based on the 5°x5° grid). There was a 0.7°C increase during 1901–96 in the region as a whole.

Climate models that include the effects of sulfate aerosols (GFDL and CCC) project that the temperature in the region will increase 1–2°C by 2030–2050. The greatest increases are projected for winter in the northeast and for summer in part of the region's southwest. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

6.2.2 Observed Precipitation and Future Projections

Rainfall is low in most of the region, but it is highly variable seasonally and interannually. There was no discernible trend in annual precipitation during 1901–95 for the region neither as a whole nor in most parts of the region— except in the southwestern part of the Arabian Peninsula, where there was a 200% increase. This increase, however, is in relation to a very low base rainfall (<200 mm/yr). Precipitation tends to be very seasonal; in the Middle East countries, for example, precipitation occurs during winter, and the summer dry period lasts for 5–9 months. Winter precipitation is projected to increase slightly (<0.5 mm/day) throughout the region; summer precipitation is projected to remain the same in the northeastern

part of the region and increase (0.5–1 mm/day) in the Southwest (i.e., the southern part of the Arabian peninsula). These projected changes vary, however, from model to model and are unlikely to be significant. Soil moisture is projected to decrease in most parts of the region because projected precipitation increases are small and evaporation will increase with rising temperatures.

6.2.3 Water Resources

In an area dominated by arid and semi-arid lands, water is a very limited resource. Droughts, desertification, and water shortages are permanent features of life in many countries in the region. Rapid development is threatening some water supplies through salinization and pollution, and increasing standards of living and expanding populations are increasing demand. Water is a scarce resource—and will continue to be so in the future. Projections of changes in runoff and water supply under climate change scenarios vary. Some countries are developing programs to conserve and reuse water or to achieve more efficient irrigation. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

6.3 Mediterranean Region

One key finding is that future climate change could critically undermine efforts for sustainable development in the Mediterranean region. In particular, climate change may add to existing problems of desertification, water scarcity and food production, while also introducing new threats to human health, ecosystems and national economies of countries. The most serious impacts are likely to be felt in North African and eastern Mediterranean countries (Marland G., Pippin A., 1990).

6.3.1 Observed Changes

Sea surface temperature records for the Mediterranean region show clear fluctuations in climate over the last 120 or so years, but little overall trend. This record shows that temperatures rose sharply to a maximum around 1940 after which they stabilized for around 20 years. After this, while global temperatures continued to rise to unprecedented levels, the Mediterranean region experienced a decade of rapid cooling. Warming resumed in the late 1970s, but still temperatures remained below those experienced in the 1930s and 1940s up until 1989 at least. Land records for the western and central Mediterranean do, however, suggest a long-term warming trend. Recent changes in temperature across the Mediterranean clearly fall within the range of natural variability. Since 1900, precipitation decreased by over 5% over much of the land bordering the Mediterranean Sea, with the exception of the stretch from Tunisia through to Libya where it increased slightly. Within these overall trends, regular alternations between wetter and drier periods are discernible. Records for both the western Mediterranean and the Balkans indicate major moist periods sometime during the periods 1900 to 1920, 1930 to 1956, and 1968 to 1980 with intervening dry periods. Records for the period 1951 onwards show a slight tendency towards decreasing rainfall in almost all regions and in all seasons.

Both the unusual coldness of over the eastern Mediterranean over the last decade and the dry conditions afflicting most of the region has been linked with exceptionally high values in the NAO. From the 1940s to the early 1970s, NAO values decreased markedly. This trend re-verses sharply 25 years ago, resulting in largely unprecedented positive values of NAO values from 1980 onwards (with the

notable exception of the 1995-96 winter). Changes in parts of the western and central Mediterranean have been connected to the ENSO the phenomenon. The prolonged 1990 to 1995 El Niño event is the longest on record and would be expected to occur less than once every 2000 years (Keeling R., Piper S., Heimann, M.,1996).

6.3.2 Future Projections

The Mediterranean region is particularly vulnerable to climate change as over much of the region, summer rainfall is virtually zero. The Mediterranean region is likely to warm significantly over the next century and beyond in response to rising concentrations of greenhouse gases. It is impossible to be certain over the precise pattern or scale of warming, but it is likely that warming rates over some inland areas will be much greater than the global average, while rates elsewhere may be slightly lower than average. Warming will be accompanied by changes in precipitation, moisture availability and the frequency and severity of extreme events. Significant uncertainties remain over future precipitation patterns in the region, but the balance of current evidence suggests annual precipitation may decline over much of the Mediterranean region. Moisture availability may go down even in areas where precipitation goes up due to higher evaporation and changes in the seasonal distribution of rainfall and its intensity. As a consequence, the frequency and severity of droughts could increase.

Sea level rise and a reduction in moisture availability would exacerbate existing problems of desertification and water scarcity and substantially increase the risks associated with food production. Coastal areas are directly threatened by rising sea

levels, but the risks arising from changes in moisture availability and the intensity of rainfall remain difficult to quantify because of the large scientific certainties and the concurrence of ongoing trends in land degradation. Again the greatest adverse impacts would arise from rising sea levels and the possible reduction in moisture availability. The most serious impacts are likely to be experienced in North African and the eastern Mediterranean countries. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

7 THE UNFCCC AND KYOTO PROTOCOL

7.1 The UNFCCC

The Framework Convention the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) was negotiated under United Nations to deal with the impacts of human activities on the global climate system. The ultimate objective of the Convention is stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system. Such a level should be achieved within a time-frame sufficient to allow ecosystems to adapt naturally to climate change, to ensure that food production is not threatened and to enable economic development to proceed in a sustainable manner. Developed countries which are parties to the UNFCCC (called "Annex 1 countries in the wording) agree to limit carbon dioxide and other human - induced greenhouse gas emissions, and to protect and enhance greenhouse gas sinks and reservoirs. Annex 1 parties are required to report periodically on the measures they are undertaking to address the objective of the convention, and on their projected emissions and sinks of greenhouse gases. There are also commitments to assist developing countries that are particularly vulnerable to adverse effects of climate

change, with costs of adapting to adverse effects, and to facilitate transfer of environmentally sound technologies to developing countries.

The Seventh Conference of the Parties (COP-7) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) was held in Marrakech, Morocco, from 29 October - 10 November 2001. The meeting sought to finalize agreement on the operational details for commitments on reducing emissions of greenhouse gases under the 1997 Kyoto Protocol. It also sought agreement on actions to strengthen implementation of the UNFCCC.

7.2 The Kyoto Protocol

At the first Conference of the Parties to the Convention, in April 1995, it was decided that existing commitments in the UNFCCC were inadequate to achieve the objective of avoiding dangerous human-induced interference with the climate system. Further negotiations led to the Kyoto Protocol, which was agreed to in December 1997. This is a legally binding protocol, under which industrialized countries will reduce their collective emissions of greenhouse gases by 5.2%. The 5.2% reduction in total developed country emissions will be realized through national reductions of 8% by Switzerland, many Central and East European states, and the European Union, 7% by the US; and 6% by Canada, Hungary, Japan, and Poland. Russia, New Zealand, and Ukraine are to stabilize their emissions, while Norway may increase emissions by up to 1%, Australia by up to 8%, and Iceland 10%. The agreement aims to lower overall emissions from a group of six greenhouse gases by 2008-12, calculated as an average over these five years. Cuts in the three most important gases - carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and

nitrous oxide (N₂O) - will be measured against a base year of 1990. If compared to expected emissions levels for the year 2000, the total reductions required by the Protocol will actually be about 10%; this is because many industrialized countries have not succeeded in meeting their earlier non-binding aim of returning their emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2000, and their emissions have in fact risen since 1990. Compared to the emissions levels that would be expected by 2010 without emissions-control measures, the Protocol target represents a 30% cut (Wikipedia web site, 2006).

7.3 Recent Climate Change Debates

There are rising arguments among the scientist about legitimacy of the global warming arguments. Some scientists believe that the observed warming in surface temperatures is still within the range of natural variability. This section reflects views of those who oppose global warming arguments laid out by the IPCC findings.

A key finding of the IPCC's recent Third Assessment Report (TAR) is that temperature rose by 0.6 ± 0.2 °C over the 20th century. This warming occurred during two periods: 1910 to 1945 and 1975 to 2000. That increasing greenhouse gas concentrations contributed to this warming is not in serious dispute. What is subject to debate is whether those increases in greenhouse gas concentrations were the dominant factor, specifically whether "most of the temperature rise over the last 50 years is attributable to human activities." That assumption is the basis of the TAR projections of 1.4 to 5.8 °C temperature rise between 1990 and 2100. The wide range of projected temperature rise to 2100 is the result of uncertainties in

both future levels of greenhouse gas and aerosol emissions, the human activities that can affect climate and how changes in greenhouse gas and aerosol concentrations might affect the climate system.

The IPCC concludes that human activities were responsible for most of the temperature rise of the last 50 years. Their conclusion is based on a comparison of observed global average surface temperature since 1861 with model simulations of surface temperatures. However, these model simulations fail to reproduce the difference in temperature trends in the lower to mid-troposphere¹ and at the surface over the past 20 years. Some experts explain the difference between surface and tropospheric temperature trends as a delayed response in surface temperature to earlier warming in the troposphere. However, the tropospheric warming that occurred rather abruptly around 1976 is not consistent with the gradual change in tropospheric temperature that would be expected from greenhouse gas warming. And since 1979, satellite measurements have not recorded any significant increase in tropospheric temperature.

Some argues that the data for surface temperature are uncertain because of uneven geographic coverage, deficiencies in the historical data base for sea surface temperature, and the urban heat island effect. Similarly, the models simulations are considered to be uncertain because of well-documented deficiencies in climate models, including poor characterization of clouds, aerosols, ocean currents, the transfer of radiation in the atmosphere and their relationship to global climate change; the implicit assumption that the models adequately account for natural variability; and uncertainties regarding clouds and the hydrological cycle and their

representation in climate models.

The projections of temperature rise to 2100 are uncertain because they depend on model projections and are subject to the acknowledged limitations on those models. Climate models are one tool in advancing understanding of the climate system. They can be useful in evaluating policy options, but they should be used with great caution in scientific assessments of global warming (National Climate Centre web site, 2006).

8 DEVELOPING AND APPLYING SCENARIOS

8.1 Land-Use and Land-Cover Change Scenarios

8.1.1 Methods of Scenario Development Future Projections

A large variety of LUC-LCC scenarios have been constructed. Many of them focus on local and regional issues; only a few are global in scope. Most LUC-LCC scenarios, however, are developed not to assess GHG emissions, carbon fluxes, and climate change and impacts but to evaluate the environmental consequences of different agro systems (e.g., Koruba et al., 1996), agricultural policies and food security or to project future agricultural production, trade, and food availability. Moreover, changes in land-cover patterns are poorly defined in these studies. At best they specify aggregated amounts of arable land and pastures.

One of the more comprehensive attempts to define the consequences of agricultural policies on landscapes was the "Ground for Choices" study (Van Latesteijn, 1995). This study aimed to evaluate the consequences of increasing agricultural productivity and the Common Agricultural Policy in Europe and analyzed the

possibilities for sustainable management of resources. It concluded that the total amount of agricultural land and employment would continue to decline—the direction of this trend apparently little influenced by agricultural policy. Many different possibilities for improving agricultural production were identified, leaving room for development of effective measures to preserve biodiversity, for example. This study included many of the desired physical, ecological, socioeconomic, and regional characteristics required for comprehensive LUC-LCC scenario development but did not consider environmental change.

Different LUC-LCC scenario studies apply very different methods. Most of them are based on scenarios from regression or process-based models. In the global agricultural land-use study of Alexandratos (1995), such models are combined with expert judgment, whereby regional and disciplinary experts reviewed all model-based scenarios. If these scenarios were deemed inconsistent with known trends or likely developments, they were modified until a satisfactory solution emerged for all regions. This approach led to a single consensus scenario of likely agricultural trends to 2010. Such a short time horizon is appropriate for expert panels; available evidence suggests that expert reviews of longer term scenarios tend to be conservative, underestimating emerging developments (Rabbinge and van Oijen, 1997).

Most scenarios applied in climate change impact assessments fail to account satisfactorily for LUC-LCC. By incorporating land-use activities and land-cover characteristics, it becomes feasible to obtain comprehensive estimates of carbon fluxes and other GHG emissions, the role of terrestrial dynamics in the climate system, and ecosystem vulnerability and mitigation potential. Currently, the only

tools for delivering this are IAMs (Weyant et al., 1996; Parson and Fisher-Vanden, 1997; Rotmans and Dowlatabadi, 1998), but only a few successfully incorporate LUC-LCC, including Integrated Climate Assessment Model (ICAM—Brown and Rosenberg, 1999), Asian-Pacific Integrated Model (AIM—Matsuoka et al., 1995), Integrated Model for the Assessment of the Greenhouse Effect (IMAGE—Alcamo et al., 1998b), and Tool to Assess Regional and Global Environmental and Health Targets for Sustainability (TARGETS—Rotmans and de Vries, 1997). These models simulate interactions between global change and LUC-LCC at grid resolution (IMAGE, AIM) or by regions (ICAM, TARGETS). All of these models, however, remain too coarse for detailed regional applications.

LUC-LCC components of IAMs generally are ecosystem and crop models, which are linked to economic models that specify changes in supply and demand of different land-use products for different socioeconomic trends. The objectives of each model differ, which has led to diverse approaches, each characterizing a specific application.

ICAM, for example, uses an agricultural sector model, which integrates environmental conditions, different crops, agricultural practices, and their interactions. This model is implemented for a set of typical farms. Productivity improvements and management are explicitly simulated. Productivity levels are extrapolated toward larger regions to parameterize the production functions of the economic module. The model as a whole is linked to climate change scenarios by means of a simple emissions and climate module. A major advantage of ICAM is that adaptive capacity is included explicitly. Furthermore, new crops, such as biomass energy, can be added easily. Land use-related emissions do not result from

the simulations. ICAM is used most effectively to assess impacts but is less well suited for the development of comprehensive spatially explicit LUC-LCC scenarios.

IMAGE uses a generic land-evaluation approach, which determines the distribution and productivity of different crops on a 0.5° grid. Achievable yields are a fraction of potential yields, set through scenario-dependent regional "management" factors. Changing regional demands for land-use products are reconciled with achievable yields, inducing changes in land-cover patterns. Agricultural expansion or intensification leads to deforestation or afforestation. IMAGE simulates diverse LUC-LCC patterns, which define fluxes of GHGs and some land-climate interactions. Changing crop/vegetation distributions and productivity indicate impacts. Emerging land-use activities (Leemans et al., 1996a,b) and carbon sequestration activities defined in the Kyoto Protocol, which alter land-cover patterns, are included explicitly. This makes the model very suitable for LUC-LCC scenario development but less so for impact and vulnerability assessment because IMAGE does not explicitly address adaptive capacity.

8.1.2 Types of Land-Use and Land-Cover Change Scenarios

8.1.2.1 Driving Forces of Change

In early studies, the consequences of LUC often were portrayed in terms of the CO₂ emissions from tropical deforestation. Early carbon cycle models used prescribed deforestation rates and emission factors to project future emissions. During the past decade, a more comprehensive view has emerged, embracing the diversity of driving forces and regional heterogeneity. Currently, most driving

forces of available LUC-LCC scenarios are derived from population, income, and agricultural productivity assumptions. The first two factors commonly are assumed to be exogenous variables (i.e., scenario assumptions), whereas productivity levels are determined dynamically. This simplification does not yet characterize all diverse local driving forces, but it can be an effective approximation at coarser levels.

8.1.2.2 Processes of LUC-LCC

The central role of LUC-LCC in determining climate change and its impacts has not fully been explored in the development of scenarios. Only limited aspects are considered. Most scenarios emphasize arable agriculture and neglect pastoralism, forestry, and other land uses. Only a few IAMs have begun to include more aspects of land use. Most scenarios discriminate between urban and rural population, each characterized by its specific needs and land uses. Demand for agricultural products generally is a function of income and regional preferences. With increasing wealth, there could be a shift from grain-based diets toward more affluent meat-based diets. Such shifts strongly alter land use. Similar functional relations are assumed to determine the demand for nonfood products. Potential productivity is determined by climatic, atmospheric CO₂, and soil conditions. Losses resulting from improper management, limited water and nutrient availability, pests and diseases, and pollutants decrease potential productivity. Most models assume constant soil conditions. In reality, many land uses lead to land degradation that alters soil conditions, affecting yields and changing land use. Agricultural management, including measures for yield enhancement and protection, defines actual

productivity. Unfortunately, management is demonstrably difficult to represent in scenarios.

Most attempts to simulate LUC-LCC patterns combine productivity calculations and demand for land-use products. In this step, large methodological difficulties emerge. To satisfy increased demand, agricultural land uses in some regions intensify, whereas in others they expand in area. These processes are driven by different local, regional, and global factors. Therefore, subsequent LCC patterns and their spatial and temporal dynamics cannot be determined readily. For example, deforestation is caused by timber extraction in Asia but by conversion to pasture in Latin America. Moreover, land-cover conversions rarely are permanent. Shifting cultivation is a common practice in some regions, but in many other regions agricultural land also has been abandoned in the past or is abandoned regularly. These complex LUC-LCC dynamics make the development of comprehensive scenarios a challenging task.

The outcome of LUC-LCC scenarios is land-cover change. For example, the IMAGE scenarios (Alcamo et al., 1998b) illustrate some of the complexities in land-cover dynamics. Deforestation continues globally until 2050, after which the global forested area increases again in all regions except Africa and Asia. Pastures expand more rapidly than arable land, with large regional differences. One of the important assumptions in these scenarios is that biomass will become an important energy source. This requires additional cultivated land. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

8.1.2.3 Adaptation

Adaptation is considered in many scenarios that are used to estimate future agricultural productivity. Several studies assume changes in crop selection and management and conclude that climate change impacts decrease when available measures are implemented. Reilly *et al.* (1996) conclude that the agricultural sector is not very vulnerable because of its adaptive capability. However, Risbey *et al.* (1999) warn that this capability is overestimated because it assumes rapid diffusion of information and technologies.

In contrast, most impact studies on natural ecosystems draw attention to the assumed fact that LCC will increase the vulnerability of natural systems. For example, Sala *et al.* (2000) use scenarios of LUC-LCC, climate, and other factors to assess future threats to biodiversity in different biomes. They explicitly address a biome's adaptive capacity and find that the dominant factors that determine biodiversity decline will be climate change in polar biomes and land use in tropical biomes. The biodiversity of other biomes is affected by a combination of factors, each influencing vulnerability in a different way (IPCC Technical Summary, 2001).

8.1.3 Application of Scenarios and Uncertainties

LUC-LCC scenarios are all sensitive to underlying assumptions of future changes in, for example, agricultural productivity and demand. This can lead to large differences in scenario conclusions. For example, the FAO scenario (Alexandratos, 1995) demonstrates that land as a resource is not a limiting factor, whereas the IMAGE scenarios (Alcamo *et al.*, 1996) show that in Asia and Africa, land rapidly becomes limited over the same time period. In the IMAGE scenarios, relatively rapid transitions toward more affluent diets lead to rapid expansion of (extensive)

grazing systems. In contrast, the FAO study does not specify the additional requirement for pastureland. The main difference in assumptions is that animal productivity becomes increasingly dependent on cereals (FAO) compared to pastures (IMAGE). This illustrates how varying important assumptions may lead to discrepancies and inconsistencies between scenario conclusions. In interpreting LUC-LCC scenarios, their scope, underlying assumptions, and limitations should be carefully and critically evaluated before resulting land-cover patterns are declared suitable for use in other studies. A better perspective on how to interpret LUC-LCC both as a driving force and as a means for adaptation to climate change is strongly required. One of the central questions is, "How can we better manage land and land use to reduce vulnerability to climate change and to meet our adaptation and mitigation needs?" Answering this question requires further development of comprehensive LUC-LCC scenarios. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

Table 8.1 : Some illustrative estimates of reference and future levels of atmospheric constituents that typically are applied in model-based and experimental impact studies. Global values are presented, where available. European values also are shown to illustrate regional variations at the scale of many impact studies. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

Scenario	[CO ₂] ^a (ppm)	[SO ₂] ^b (mg m ⁻³)	S- Deposition ^c (meq m ⁻² a ⁻¹)	N- Deposition ^c (meq m ⁻² a ⁻¹)	Ground- Level [O ₃] ^d (ppb)
<i>Reference/Control</i>					
- Global/hemispheric	367	0.1-10	26	32	40
- Europe	—	5-100+	12-165 (572)	11-135 (288)	28-50 (72)
- Experiments	290-360	0-10	—	—	10-25
<i>Future</i>					
- Experiments	490- 1350	50- 1000	—	—	10-200
2010/2015					
- Global/hemispheric	388-395	—	26	36	—
- Europe	—	—	7-63 (225)	5-95 (163)	—

2050/2060					
-	463-623	—	—	—	~60
Global/hemispheric					
- Europe	—	—	8-80 (280)	5-83 (205)	—
2100					
-	478-	—	—	—	>70
Global/hemispheric	1099				
- Europe	—	—	6-49 (276)	4-60 (161)	—

^a **Carbon dioxide concentration.** *Reference:* Observed 1999 value

Experiments: Typical ranges used in enrichment experiments on agricultural crops. Some controls used ambient levels; most experiments for future conditions used levels between 600 and 1000 ppm (Strain and Cure, 1985; Wheeler *et al.*, 1996).
Future: Values for 2010, 2050, and 2100 are for the range of emissions from 35 SRES scenarios, using a simple model; note that these ranges differ from those presented by TAR WGI .

^b **Sulphur dioxide concentration.** *Reference:* Global values are background levels (Rovinsky and Yegerov, 1986; Ryaboshapko *et al.*, 1998); European values are annual means at sites in western Europe during the early 1980s (Saunders, 1985).
Experiments: Typical purified or ambient (control) and elevated (future) concentrations for assessing long-term SO₂ effects on plants (Kropff, 1989).

^c **Deposition of sulphur/nitrogen compounds.** *Reference:* Global values are mean

deposition over land areas in 1992, based on the STOCHEM model (Collins *et al.*, 1997; Bouwman and van Vuuren, 1999); European values are based on EMEP model results (EMEP, 1998) and show 5th and 95th percentiles of grid box (150 km) values for 1990 emissions, assuming 10-year average meteorology (maximum in parentheses). *Future*: Global values for 2015 are from the STOCHEM model, assuming current reduction policies; European values are based on EMEP results for 2010, assuming a "current legislation" scenario under the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (UN/ECE, 1998) and, for 2050 and 2100, assuming a modification of the preliminary SRES B1marker emissions scenario

^d **Ground-level ozone concentration.** *Reference*: Global/hemispheric values are model estimates for industrialized continents of the northern hemisphere, assuming 2000 emissions; European values are based on EMEP model results (Simpson *et al.*, 1997) and show 5th and 95th percentiles of mean monthly grid box (150 km) ground-level values for May-July during 1992-1996 (maximum in parentheses). *Experiments*: Typical range of purified or seasonal background values (control) and daily or subdaily concentrations (future) for assessing O₃ effects on agricultural crops (Unsworth and Hogsett, 1996; Krupa and Jäger, 1996). *Future*: Model estimates for 2060 and 2100 assuming the A1FI and A2 illustrative SRES emissions scenarios.

8.2 Environmental Scenarios

8.2.1 CO₂ Scenarios

8.2.1.1 Reference Conditions

Aside from its dominant role as a greenhouse gas, atmospheric CO₂ also has an important direct effect on many organisms, stimulating photosynthetic productivity and affecting water-use efficiency in many terrestrial plants. In 1999, the concentration of CO₂ in the surface layer of the atmosphere (denoted as [CO₂]) was about 367 ppm (see Table 8-1), compared with a concentration of approximately 280 ppm in preindustrial times. CO₂ is well mixed in the atmosphere, and, although concentrations vary somewhat by region and season (related to seasonal uptake by vegetation), projections of global mean annual concentrations usually suffice for most impact applications. Reference levels of [CO₂] between 300 and 360 ppm have been widely adopted in CO₂-enrichment experiments (Cure and Acock, 1986; Poorter, 1993; see Table 8-1) and in model-based impact studies. [CO₂] has increased rapidly during the 20th century, and plant growth response could be significant for responsive plants, although the evidence for this from long-term observations of plants is unclear because of the confounding effects of other factors such as nitrogen deposition and soil fertility changes (Joan A.Kleypas et al., Science, 1999).

8.2.1.2 Development and Application of CO₂ Scenarios

Projections of [CO₂] are obtained in two stages: first, the rate of emissions from different sources is evaluated; second, concentrations are evaluated from projected emissions and sequestration of carbon. Because CO₂ is a major greenhouse gas, CO₂ emissions have been projected in successive IPCC scenarios (Scenarios A-D—Shine

et al., 1990; IS92 scenarios—Leggett *et al.*, 1992; SRES scenarios—Nakicenovic *et al.*, 2000). To obtain scenarios of future [CO₂] from those of emissions, global models of the carbon cycle are required (e.g., Schimel *et al.*, 1995). Some estimates of [CO₂] for the SRES emissions scenarios are given in Table 8-1.

In recent years, there has been growing interest in emissions scenarios that lead to [CO₂] stabilization. Typically, levels of [CO₂] stabilized between 350 and 1000 ppm have been examined; these levels usually are achieved during the 22nd or 23rd century, except under the most stringent emissions targets. Whatever scenarios emerge, it is likely to be some time before a set of derivative CO₂-stabilization impact and adaptation assessments are completed, although a few exploratory studies already have been conducted (Keeling R., Piper S., Heimann, M., 1996).

Experimental CO₂-enrichment studies conventionally compare responses of an organism for a control concentration representing current [CO₂] with responses for a fixed concentration assumed for the future. In early studies this was most commonly a doubling (Cure and Acock, 1986), to coincide with equilibrium climate model experiments. However, more recent transient treatment of future changes, along with the many uncertainties surrounding estimates of future [CO₂] and future climate, present an infinite number of plausible combinations of future conditions. For example, Table 8-1 illustrates the range of [CO₂] projected for 2050 and 2100 under the SRES emissions scenarios, using simple models. To cover these possibilities, although doubled [CO₂] experiments are still common, alternative concentrations also are investigated (Olesen, 1999)—often in combination with a range of climatic conditions, by using devices such as temperature gradient tunnels (Marland G., Pippin A., 1990).

8.2.2 UV-B Radiation Scenarios

8.2.2.1 Reference Conditions

Anthropogenic emissions of chlorofluorocarbons (freons) and some other substances into the atmosphere are known to deplete the stratospheric ozone layer. This layer absorbs ultraviolet solar radiation within a wavelength range of 280-320 nm (UV-B), and its depletion leads to an increase in ground-level flux of UV-B radiation. Enhanced UV-B suppresses the immune system and may cause skin cancer in humans and eye damage in humans and other animal species. It can affect terrestrial and marine ecosystems and biogeochemical cycles and may reduce the service life of natural and synthetic polymer materials. It also interacts with other atmospheric constituents, including GHGs, influencing radioactive forcing of the climate (Karl, Thomas R., 1996)

Analyses of ozone data and depletion processes since the early 1970s have shown that the total ozone column has declined in northern hemisphere mid-latitudes by about 6% in winter/spring and 3% in summer/autumn, and in southern hemisphere mid-latitudes by about 5% on a year-round basis. Spring depletion has been greatest in the polar regions: about 50% in the Antarctic and 15% in the Arctic (Albritton and Kuijpers, 1999). These five values are estimated to have been accompanied by increases in surface UV-B radiation of 7, 4, 6, 130, and 22%, respectively, assuming other influences such as clouds to be constant. Following a linear increase during the 1980s, the 1990s springtime ozone depletion in Antarctica has continued at about the same level each year. In contrast, a series of cold, protracted winters in the Arctic have promoted large depletions of ozone levels during the 1990s (Peters, Robert L. and Thomas E. Lovejoy, eds. 1992).

8.2.2.2 Development and Application of UV-B Scenarios

Scenarios of the future thickness of the ozone column under given emissions of ozone-depleting gases can be determined with atmospheric chemistry models, sometimes in combination with expert judgment. Processes that affect surface UV-B flux also have been investigated via models (Alexandrov *et al.*, 1992; Matthijsen *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, several simulations have been conducted with coupled atmospheric chemistry and climate models, to investigate the relationship between GHG-induced climate change and ozone depletion for different scenarios of halogenated compounds. It is known that potential stratospheric cooling resulting from climate change may increase the likelihood of formation of polar stratospheric clouds, which enhance the catalytic destruction of ozone. Conversely, ozone depletion itself contributes to cooling of the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere.

Serious international efforts aimed at arresting anthropogenic emissions of ozone-depleting gases already have been undertaken—namely, the Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer (1985) and the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (1990) and its Amendments. The abundance of ozone-depleting gases in the atmosphere peaked in the late 1990s and now is expected to decline as a result of these measures, recovering to pre-1980 levels around 2050. Without these measures, ozone depletion by 2050 was projected to exceed 50% in northern mid-latitudes and 70% in southern mid-latitudes—about 10 times larger than today. UV-B radiation was projected to double and quadruple in northern and southern mid-latitudes, respectively (Newman S., 1998).

There have been numerous experimental artificial exposure studies of the effects of UV-B radiation on plants. There also have been a few investigations of the joint effects of enhanced UV-B and other environmental changes, including climate. A study of the impacts of UV-B on skin cancer incidence in The Netherlands and Australia to 2050, using integrated models, is reported by Martens (1998), who employed scenarios of future ozone depletion based on the IS92a emissions scenario and two scenarios assuming compliance with the London and Copenhagen Amendments to the Montreal Protocol.

8.2.2 Scenarios of Marine Pollution

8.2.3.1 Reference Conditions

Marine pollution is the major large-scale environmental factor that has influenced the state of the world oceans in recent decades. Nutrients, oxygen-demanding wastes, toxic chemicals (such as heavy metals, chlorinated hydrocarbons, potential endocrine-disrupting chemicals, and environmental estrogens), pathogens, sediments (silt), petroleum hydrocarbons, and litter are among the most important contaminants leading to degradation of marine ecosystems. The following ranges of concentrations of heavy metals are characteristic of open ocean waters: mercury ($0.3\text{-}7\text{ ng l}^{-1}$), cadmium ($10\text{-}200\text{ ng l}^{-1}$), and lead ($5\text{-}50\text{ ng l}^{-1}$); levels of chlorinated hydrocarbons are a few ng l^{-1} . Chemical contaminants and litter are found everywhere in the open ocean, from the poles to the tropics and from beaches to abyssal depths. Nonetheless, the open ocean still remains fairly clean relative to coastal zones, where water pollution and the variability of contaminant concentrations are much higher (often by one to two orders of magnitude; specific values depend on the pattern of discharge and local conditions).

8.2.3.2 Development and Application of Marine Scenarios

Data characterizing the state of the marine environment have been obtained through national as well as international monitoring programs in recent decades, and analysis of tendencies may serve as an initial basis for developing environmental scenarios. At present, expert judgment appears to be the most promising method of scenario development because modeling methods are insufficiently developed to facilitate prediction.

In qualitative terms, trends in marine pollution during the 21st century could include enhanced eutrophication in many regions, enhancement of exotic algal blooms, expanded distribution and increased concentration of estrogens, invasion of nonindigenous organisms, microbiological contamination, and accumulation of pathogens in marine ecosystems and seafood, and increases of chemical toxicants. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

8.3 Sea-Level Rise Scenarios

8.3.1 Global Average Sea-Level Rise

The major components of average global sea-level rise scenarios are thermal expansion, glaciers and small ice caps, the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, and surface and groundwater storage. These phenomena usually are modeled separately. Using GCM output, the thermal component of sea-level rise has been estimated by Bryan (1996), Sokolov *et al.* (1998), and Jackett *et al.* (2000). Contributions from glaciers and ice sheets usually are estimated via mass-balance methods that use coupled atmosphere-ocean and atmosphere-ice relationships. Such studies include: for glaciers and the Greenland ice sheet, Gregory and Oerlemans (1998); for

Greenland only, Van de Wal and Oerlemans (1997) and Smith (1998); for the Antarctic ice sheet, Smith *et al.* (1998); and for Greenland and Antarctica, Ohmura *et al.* (1996) and Thompson and Pollard (1997).

Simple models that integrate these separate components through their relationship with climate, such as the upwelling diffusion-energy balance model of Wigley and Raper (1992, 1993, 1995) used in Warrick *et al.* (1996), can be used to project a range of total sea-level rise. De Wolde *et al.* (1997) used a two-dimensional model to project a smaller range than in Warrick *et al.* (1996); the major differences were related to different model assumptions. Sokolov and Stone (1998) used a two-dimensional model to achieve a larger range.

8.3.2 Regional Sea-Level Rise

Regional sea-level rise scenarios require estimates of regional sea-level rise integrated with estimates of local land movements. Currently there are too few model simulations to provide a range of regional changes in sea level, restricting most scenarios to using global mean values. An exception is Walsh *et al.* (1998), who produced scaled scenarios of regional sea-level rise for the Gold Coast of eastern Australia on the basis of a suite of runs from a single GCM. Because relative sea-level rise scenarios are needed for coastal impact studies, local land movements also must be estimated. This requires long-term tide gauge records with associated ground- or satellite-based geodetic leveling. Geophysical models of isostatic effects, incorporating the continuing response of the Earth to ice-loading during the last glaciations, also provide estimates of long-term regional land movements (Met Office, 2006).

8.3.3 Scenarios Incorporating Variability

Most impacts on the coast and near coastal marine environments will result from extreme events affecting sea level, such as storm surges and wave set-up. The magnitude of extreme events at any particular time is influenced by tidal movements, storm severity, decadal-scale variability, and regional mean sea level. These phenomena are additive. Because it is impossible to provide projections of all of these phenomena with any confidence, many assessments of coastal impacts simply add projections of global average sea level to baseline records of short-term variability. Moreover, several coastal processes also are stochastic, and locally specific scenarios may have to be constructed for these (George C. Marshall Institute, 2001).

Table 8-2: Illustration of importance of some different feedback processes. Values are for the year 2100, obtained from a baseline scenario implemented in the IMAGE-2 integrated assessment model (adapted from Alcamo et al., 1998a). The no-feedbacks case excludes CO₂ fertilization and accelerated ice melt and includes an intermediate adaptation level of vegetation. (UN Environment Programme, 2006)

Simulation	[CO₂] (ppm)	Net Ecosystem Productivity (Pg a⁻¹)^a	Temp. Change (°C)	Sea- Level Rise (cm)	Vegetation Shift (%)^b
All feedbacks	737	6.5	2.8	43	41
No CO ₂ fertilization	928	0.1	3.6	52	39

Vegetation adapts immediately	724	7.0	3.1	45	40
No adaptation of vegetation	762	5.3	3.2	46	41
No land-use change	690	6.9	2.9	41	39
No feedbacks	937	0.0	3.5	29	45
No land-use change/no feedbacks	889	0.2	3.4	28	45
Range	690-937	0.0-7.0	2.8-3.6	28-52	39-45
<p>^a 1 Pg a⁻¹ = 10¹⁵ grams per year.</p> <p>^b Percentage of vegetated area for which climate change induces a change of vegetation class.</p>					

8.4 Flood Scenarios

8.4.1 Changes in Flood Frequency

Although a change in flood risk is frequently cited as one of the potential effects of climate change, relatively few studies since the early 1990s have looked explicitly at possible changes in high flows. This largely reflects difficulties in defining credible scenarios for change in the large rainfall (or snowmelt) events that trigger flooding. Global climate models currently cannot simulate with accuracy short-duration, high-intensity, localized heavy rainfall, and a change in mean monthly rainfall may not be representative of a change in short-duration rainfall (New York Times, 1998).

A few studies, however, have tried to estimate possible changes in flood frequencies, largely by assuming that changes in monthly rainfall also apply to “flood-producing” rainfall. In addition, some have looked at the possible additional effects of changes in rainfall intensity. Reynard et al. (1998), for example, estimated the change in the magnitude of different return period floods in the Thames and Severn catchments, assuming first that all rainfall amounts change by the same proportion and then that only “heavy” rainfall increases. Table 1-3 summarizes the changes in flood magnitudes in the Thames and Severn by the 2050s: Flood risk increases because winter rainfall increases, and in these relatively large catchments it is the total volume of rainfall over several days, not the peak intensity of rainfall, is important. Schreider et al. (1996) in Australia assessed change in flood risk by assuming that all rainfall amounts change by the same proportion. They found an increase in flood magnitudes under their wettest scenarios—even though annual runoff totals did not increase—but a decline in flood frequency under their driest scenarios (Rothrock, D.A., 1999).

Table 8-3: Percentage change in magnitude of peak floods in Severn and Thames catchments by the 2050s (Reynard et al., 1998).

Catchment	Return Period				
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	20-Year	50-Year
Thames					
– GGx-x ^a	10	12	13	14	15
– GGx-s ^b	12	13	14	15	16
Severn					
– GGx-x ^a	13	15	16	17	20
– GGx-s ^b	15	17	18	19	21

^a GGx-x = HadCM2 ensemble mean scenario with proportional change in rainfall.

^b GGx-s = HadCM2 ensemble mean scenario with change in storm rainfall only.

9 GLOBAL WARMING AND DATA MINING METHOD

9.1 Data Mining Method

Data mining method is widely used around the world for processing data via usage of many classifying, clustering, associating tests on data attributes and instances. Generally, data mining (sometimes called data or knowledge discovery) is the process of analyzing data from different perspectives and summarizing it into useful information - information that can be used to increase revenue, cuts costs, or both. Data mining software is one of a number of analytical tools for analyzing data. It allows users to analyze data from many different dimensions or angles, categorize it, and summarize the relationships identified. Technically, data mining is the process of finding correlations or patterns among dozens of fields in large relational databases.

Data mining is primarily used today by companies with a strong consumer focus - retail, financial, communication, and marketing organizations. It enables these companies to determine relationships among "internal" factors such as price, product positioning, or staff skills, and "external" factors such as economic indicators, competition, and customer demographics. And, it enables them to determine the impact on sales, customer satisfaction, and corporate profits. Finally, it enables them to "drill down" into summary information to view detail transactional data.

While large-scale information technology has been evolving separate transaction and analytical systems, data mining provides the link between the two. Data mining software analyzes relationships and patterns in stored transaction data based on open-ended user queries. Several types of analytical software are available:

statistical, machine learning, and neural networks. Generally, any of four types of relationships are sought:

- **Classes:** Stored data is used to locate data in predetermined groups. For example, a restaurant chain could mine customer purchase data to determine when customers visit and what they typically order. This information could be used to increase traffic by having daily specials.
- **Clusters:** Data items are grouped according to logical relationships or consumer preferences. For example, data can be mined to identify market segments or consumer affinities.
- **Associations:** Data can be mined to identify associations. The beer-diaper example is an example of associative mining.
- **Sequential patterns:** Data is mined to anticipate behavior patterns and trends. For example, an outdoor equipment retailer could predict the likelihood of a backpack being purchased based on a consumer's purchase of sleeping bags and hiking shoes (Frans J., 2006).

9.2 Data Mining Software: WEKA

Weka is a collection of machine learning algorithms for data mining tasks. The algorithms can either be applied directly to a dataset or called from your own Java code. Weka contains tools for data pre-processing, classification, regression, clustering, association rules, and visualization. It is also well-suited for developing new machine learning schemes. Weka algorithms will help us to study the relationship between our dataset attributes.

9.3 Datasets

In order to process and analyze data, we need to combine meaningful datasets in one file. In this research, datasets related with global warming will be needed. Our datasets must include as much instance as possible to reach more concrete results.

It's possible to find datasets through the World Wide Web service, however analyzing global warming necessitates many different attributes for the same time interval. What's more, even if the time interval is same, the stations can be different. Therefore, it is wiser to gather different attributes for the same station and during the same time interval.

The dataset attributes needed for this research are very scarce for every location for a specific time period. From National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), we can only gather precipitation and temperature datasets which are not having enough meaningful instances. From NASA, we can gather the dataset for surface temperature for many locations in the world including Turkey, but again the number of instances is very low.

The most helpful dataset center for this data mining research was European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D). The necessary dataset attributes such as humidity, surface temperature, precipitation, cloud cover, sunshine and snow depth were present for many different locations. However, there are only 4-5 locations which include all the datasets at the same time.

Wien, Austria is one of these locations whose datasets includes daily humidity, surface temperature, precipitation, cloud cover, sunshine and snow depth data from 1901 to 2004 which would be enough to process through Weka. The aim is to find the relationship, if any, between these attributes and try to understand if global warming is a trend that will continue or not.

9.3.1 Humidity

Humidity dataset includes the daily humidity in Wien in %1(HU)

Q_HU is the quality code for HU (0='OK'; 1='suspect'; 9='missing')

```
SQUID,  DATE,  HU, Q_HU
102163,19010101, 72,  0
102163,19010102, 73,  0
102163,19010103, 75,  9
.....
```

9.3.2 Mean Temperature

Temperature dataset includes the daily mean temperature (TG) in Wien in 0.1 °C.

Q_TG is the quality code for TG (0='OK'; 1='suspect'; 9='missing')

```
SQUID,  DATE,  TG, Q_TG
100042,19010101, -83,  0
100042,19010102, -112,  0
100042,19010103, -9999,  9
100042,19010104, -106,  0
.....
```


9.3.3 Cloud Cover

Cloud Cover dataset includes the daily cloud cover (CC) in Wien in octas.

Q_CC is the quality code for CC (0='OK'; 1='suspect'; 9='missing')

```
SQUID,  DATE,  CC, Q_CC
102162,19010101,  3, -9
102162,19010102,  2, -9
102162,19010103,  3, -9
102162,19010104,  8, -9
102162,19010105,  5, -9
102162,19010106,  8, -9
.....
```

9.3.4 Precipitation

Precipitation dataset includes the daily precipitation amount (RR) in Wien in 0,1

mm. Q_RR is the quality code for RR (0='OK'; 1='suspect'; 9='missing')

```
SQUID,  DATE,  RR, Q_RR
100043,19010101,  0,  0
100043,19010102,  0,  0
100043,19010103, 13,  0
100043,19010104,  4,  0
100043,19010105,  0,  0
.....
```

9.3.5 Snow Depth

Snow Depth dataset includes the daily snow depth (SD) in Wien in 1 cm.

Q_SD is the quality code for SD (0='OK'; 1='suspect'; 9='missing')

```
SQUID, DATE, SD, Q_SD
102164,19010101,-9999, 9
102164,19010102,-9999, 9
102164,19010103,-9999, 9
102164,19010104,-9999, 9
102164,19010105,-9999, 9
102164,19010106,-9999, 9
.....
```

9.3.6 Sunshine

Sunshine dataset includes the daily sunshine (SS) in Wien for 0,1 hours.

Q_SS is the quality code for SS (0='OK'; 1='suspect'; 9='missing')

```
SQUID, DATE, SS, Q_SS
102165,19280324, 39, 0
102165,19280325, 0, 0
102165,19280326, 82, 0
102165,19280327, 69, 0
102165,19280328, 3, 0
.....
```

9.4 Dataset Combination and Grouping

Although all the datasets seems to start from year 1901, most of them have meaningless or missing data until 1929. Therefore the instance range between 1901 and 1928 is removed from all datasets. Then, the datasets are transferred into Microsoft Excel which makes it possible to combine the datasets in one dataset. All the values in the Cloud Cover dataset are multiplied by 10 in order to make the data more visible among the values of other attributes.

A class attribute named “season” is added manually and the data become like this:

Date, season, humidity, precipitation (0,1 mm), cloud cover (0,1 octas), snow depth (cm), sunshine (0,1 hrs), temperature(0,1 °C)

19290101, Winter, 68, 34, 70, 2, 0, 6

19290102, Winter, 93, 172, 80, 16, 0, -14

19290103, Winter, 86, 92, 80, 27, 0, -31

19290104, Winter, 85, 1, 80, 30, 0, -34

19290105, Winter, 78, 2, 80, 25, 4, -28

Processing this database on Weka did not pass the first tests. The correlation coefficient was near to zero and there were too many errored instances in algorithms.

“Date” values for datasets are making misleading effects in relationship algorithms, because the software analyzes them as numbers not dates. To overcome this problem, daily date values are converted into year values. Plus the season

classification did not give a desired affect since all the number of instances is same for each season (91-91-91-91 instances for each year). Therefore this attribute is also omitted.

Grouping the data needs a logical classification. Since the topic is global warming, I added an attribute called “warmth”. In excel, I calculated average temperature values for each year in Wien. When we take a close look at the temperature dataset:

	DATA
YEAR	Average Temp – Warmth
1929	8,769 – LOW
1930	10,313 – HIGH
1931	9,229 – NORMAL
1932	9,835 –NORMAL
1933	8,826 – LOW
1934	11,433 – HIGHER
1935	9,884 – NORMAL
.....

The grouping is done as:

Yearly average temperature < 9 °C. - LOW

9 °C < Yearly average temperature < 10 °C. - NORMAL

10 °C < Yearly average temperature < 11 °C. - HIGH

11 °C < Yearly average temperature < 12 °C. - HIGHER

Yearly average temperature > 12 °C. –VERY HIGH

9.5 Final Dataset

After making the necessary adjustments the final dataset has 27606 instances with 8 attributes as shown below:

Year, humidity, precipitation (0,1 mm), cloud cover (0,1 octas), snow depth (cm), sunshine (0,1 hrs), temperature(0,1 °C), warmth(class)

1929,68,34,70,2,0,6,low

1929,93,172,80,16,0,-14,low

1929,86,92,80,27,0,-31,low

1929,85,1,80,30,0,-34,low

1929,78,2,80,25,4,-28,low

1929,83,0,60,22,0,-38,low

1929,92,0,80,20,0,-10,low

1929,96,0,30,18,11,-41,low

.....

9.6 Basic Relationship Between Attributes

Before continuing with data mining methods, examining the data and checking the relationships with bare eyes can be useful. Below graphs are prepared in Microsoft Excel using the annual data in final dataset.




Figure 9-1: Average Yearly Precipitation Trend




Figure 9-2: Average Yearly Humidity Trend




Figure 9-3: Average Yearly Temperature Trend




Figure 9-4: Average Yearly Cloud Cover Trend




Figure 9-5: Average Yearly Snow Depth Trend




Figure 9-6: Average Yearly Sunshine Trend




Figure 9-7: Average Annual Values For All Attributes

According to the graphs the dataset seems reliable. At a glance it's seen that as temperature increases snow depth is going lower which is logical. Increase in sunshine is also reliable.

9.7 Processing and Visualizing All Attributes in Weka

After converting the final dataset into "ARFF" format for Weka to process, the situation is as shown in Figure 9-8:




Figure 9-8: Final Dataset's First Visualization of Weka

In figure 9-8, 8 different attributes are shown in different graphs. The colors are indicating the classification of “warmth” attribute. Blue is “low”; red is “normal”; cyan is “high”; green is “higher” and pink is “veryhigh” degree of warmth attribute. As seen in the 1st upper left graph, as years pass from 1900s to 2000s there is a remarkable increase in the mean temperatures. In 1980s the increase is obvious, mean temperature rises up to 13-14 °C in Wien. Therefore pink color is only visible after 80s.

9.7.1 Discretizing

Before applying classification and clustering algorithms, discretizing the data into 10 bins helps to understand and evaluate the data better (Figure 9-9). The numbers on top of the graphs show the number of instances in each column. In the precipitation graph, it can be seen that pink color (very high warmth) is only

present when the precipitation is 0. This is meaningful because when warmth level is very high, rain and snow is unlikely to happen.




Figure 9-9: After Discretizing The Data Into 10 Bins

9.7.2 Classification

Methods for analyzing and modeling data can be divided into two groups: “supervised learning” and “unsupervised learning.” Supervised learning requires input data that has both predictor (independent) variables and a target (dependent) variable whose value is to be estimated. By various means, the process “learns” how to model (predict) the value of the target variable based on the predictor variables. Decision trees, regression analysis and neural networks are examples of supervised learning. If the goal of an analysis is to predict the value of some variable, then supervised learning is recommended approach.

Unsupervised learning does not identify a target (dependent) variable, but rather treats all of the variables equally. In this case, the goal is not to predict the value of a variable but rather to look for patterns, groupings or other ways to characterize the data that may lead to understanding of the way the data interrelates. Cluster analysis, correlation, factor analysis (principle components analysis) and statistical measures are examples of unsupervised learning (Detreg web site, 2006).

9.7.2.1 Naïve Bayes Method

Why Naive Bayes? Naive Bayes is one of the simplest density estimation methods from which we can form one of the standard classification methods in machine learning. Its fame is partly due to the following properties:

- Very easy to program and intuitive
- Fast to train and to use as a classifier
- Very easy to deal with missing attributes
- Very popular in certain fields such as computational linguistics/NLP

Our only nominal attribute, warmth, will be the base of Naïve Bayes classification. According to the degrees of warmth level, a classification will be made through learning by data. A Naive Bayes classifier is a simple probabilistic classifier. Naive Bayes classifiers are based on probability models that incorporate strong independence assumptions which often have no bearing in reality.

Depending on the precise nature of the probability model, Naïve Bayes classifiers can be trained very efficiently in a supervised learning setting. In many practical applications, parameter estimation for naive bayes models uses the method of maximum likelihood; in other words, one can work with the naïve bayes model

without believing in Bayesian probability or using any Bayesian methods.

In spite of their naïve design and apparently over-simplified assumptions, naïve bayes classifiers often work much better in many complex real-world situations than might be expected. Recently, careful analysis of the Bayesian classification problem has shown that there are sound theoretical reasons for the apparently unreasonable efficacy of naïve bayes classifiers.

Let X be the data record (case) whose class label is unknown. Let H be some hypothesis, such as "data record X belongs to a specified class C ." For classification, we want to determine $P(H|X)$ -- the probability that the hypothesis H holds, given the observed data record X .

$P(H|X)$ is the posterior probability of H conditioned on X . For example, the probability that a fruit is an apple, given the condition that it is red and round. In contrast, $P(H)$ is the prior probability, or apriori probability, of H . In this example $P(H)$ is the probability that any given data record is an apple, regardless of how the data record looks. The posterior probability, $P(H|X)$, is based on more information (such as background knowledge) than the prior probability, $P(H)$, which is independent of X .

Similarly, $P(X|H)$ is posterior probability of X conditioned on H . That is, it is the probability that X is red and round given that we know that it is true that X is an apple. $P(X)$ is the prior probability of X , i.e., it is the probability that a data record from our set of fruits is red and round. Bayes theorem is useful in that it provides a

way of calculating the posterior probability, $P(H|X)$, from $P(H)$, $P(X)$, and $P(X|H)$.

[26] Bayes theorem is

$$P(H|X) = P(X|H) P(H) / P(X)$$

Below screen shows how the data is classified using Naïve Bayes method through Weka software. The classification of our nominal attribute “warmth” is like this:

Class “low” has %7 probability

Class “normal” has %26 probability

Class “high” has %50 probability

Class “higher” has %14 probability

Class “very high” has %3 probability




Figure 9-10: Naive Bayes Classifier

9.7.2.2 RBF Network Method

Radial basis function (RBF) networks have a static Gaussian function as the nonlinearity for the hidden layer processing elements. The Gaussian function responds only to a small region of the input space where the Gaussian is centered. The key to a successful implementation of these networks is to find suitable centers for the Gaussian functions. This can be done with supervised learning, but an unsupervised approach usually produces better results. For this reason, NeuroSolutions implements RBF networks as a hybrid supervised-unsupervised topology.

The simulation starts with the training of an unsupervised layer. Its function is to derive the Gaussian centers and the widths from the input data. These centers are encoded within the weights of the unsupervised layer using competitive learning. During the unsupervised learning, the widths of the Gaussians are computed based on the centers of their neighbors. The output of this layer is derived from the input data weighted by a Gaussian mixture.

Once the unsupervised layer has completed its training, the supervised segment then sets the centers of Gaussian functions (based on the weights of the unsupervised layer) and determines the width (standard deviation) of each Gaussian. Any supervised topology (such as a MLP) may be used for the classification of the weighted input.

The advantage of the radial basis function network is that it finds the input to output map using local approximators. Usually the supervised segment is simply a linear

combination of the approximators. Since linear combiners have few weights, these networks train extremely fast and require fewer training samples (NeuroDimension web site, 2006).

9.7.2.3 Linear Regression Method

Just because we want to understand the relations between our attributes, choosing regression method would be the best way to see these relations. Regression attempts to model the relationship between two variables by fitting a linear or non-linear equation to observed data. One variable is considered to be an explanatory variable, and the other is considered to be a dependent variable. For example, a modeler might want to relate the weights of individuals to their heights using a linear regression model.

In statistics, linear regression is a method of estimating the conditional expected value of one variable y given the values of some other variable or variables x . Regression, in general, is the problem of estimating a conditional expected value.

It is often erroneously thought that the reason the technique is called "linear regression" is that the graph of $y = \alpha + \beta x$ is a line. But in fact, if the model is

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \gamma x_i^2 + \epsilon_i$$

, then the problem is still one of linear regression, even though the graph is not a straight line. The rationale for this terminology will be explained below.

Linear regression is called "linear" because the relation of the response to the explanatory variables is assumed to be a linear function of some parameters. Regression models which are not a linear function of the parameters are called nonlinear regression models. A neural network is an example of a nonlinear regression model (Yale university web site, 2006). Our attributes in our “warmth” dataset state a linear function like:

$$Y = a_0 + a_1 * x_1 + a_2 * x_2 + \dots$$

In the below figure, our dataset after using linear regression gives the following result:




Figure 9-11: Linear Regression applied on “Warmth” dataset

A valuable numerical measure of association between two variables is the correlation coefficient, which is a value between -1 and 1 indicating the strength of the association of the observed data for the two variables.

Correlation coefficient	0.7028
Mean absolute error	48.0009
Root mean squared error	59.1901
Relative absolute error	67.7809 %
Root relative squared error	71.1389 %
Total Number of Instances	27606

Table 9-1 Interpretation of the size of a correlation

Correlation	Negative	Positive
Small	-0.29 to -0.10	0.10 to 0.29
Medium	-0.49 to -0.30	0.30 to 0.49
Large	-0.50 to -1.00	0.50 to 1.00

“0,7028” states a large correlation between our attributes, which also states that daily temperature is dependent on other attributes.

9.7.2.4 Multilayer Perceptron Method

The Multi-layer perceptron is the most widely used type of neural network. It is both simple and based on solid mathematical grounds. Input quantities are processed through successive layers of "neurons". There is always an input layer, with a

number of neurons equal to the number of variables of the problem, and an output layer, where the perceptron response is made available, with a number of neurons equal to the desired number of quantities computed from the inputs (very often only one). The layers in between are called "hidden" layers. With no hidden layer, the perceptron can only perform linear tasks (for example a linear discriminant analysis, which is already useful). All problems which can be solved by a perceptron can be solved with only one hidden layer, but it is sometimes more efficient to use 2 hidden layers. Each neuron of a layer other than the input layer computes first a linear combination of the outputs of the neurons of the previous layer, plus a bias. The coefficients of the linear combinations plus the biases are called the weights. They are usually determined from examples to minimize, on the set of examples, the (Euclidian) norm of the desired output - net output vector. Neurons in the hidden layer then compute a non-linear function of their input. In `MLPfit`, the non-linear function is the sigmoid function $y(x) = 1/(1+\exp(-x))$. The output neuron(s) has its output equal to the linear combination. Thus, a Multi-Layer Perceptron with 1 hidden layer basically performs a linear combination of sigmoid function of the inputs. A linear combination of sigmoids is useful because of 2 theorems:

- a) A linear function of sigmoids can approximate any continuous function of 1 or more variable(s). This is useful to obtain a continuous function fitting a finite set of points when no underlying model is available.
- b) Trained with a desired answer = 1 for signal and 0 for background, the approximated function is the probability of signal knowing the input values. This second theorem is the basic ground for all classification applications (Paw web site, 2006).

Figure 9-12 is the output table of our dataset when data mined through multilayer perceptron:




Figure 9-12: Multilayer Perceptron output, applied on “Warmth” dataset

9.7.2.5 Comparing The Confusion Matrixes

The confusion matrix of Naïve Bayes, RBF Network and Multilayer Perceptron methods are as follows:

Naïve Bayes Confusion Matrix:

Correctly Classified Instances	14848	53.7854 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	12758	46.2146 %

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
368	3	1455	0	0	a = low
233	720	5835	491	28	b = normal
226	684	11210	1688	70	c = high
43	72	1129	2550	70	d = higher
0	34	29	668	0	e = veryhigh

RBF Network Confusion Matrix:

Correctly Classified Instances	13878	50.2717 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	13728	49.7283 %

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
0	0	1826	0	0	a = low
0	0	7307	0	0	b = normal
0	0	13878	0	0	c = high
0	0	3864	0	0	d = higher
0	0	731	0	0	e = veryhigh

Multilayer Perceptron Confusion Matrix:

Correctly Classified Instances	16198	58.6757 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	11408	41.3243 %

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
1159	343	320	4	0	a = low
821	1087	5155	244	0	b = normal
791	964	11129	993	1	c = high
198	93	752	2821	0	d = higher
0	5	230	494	2	e = veryhigh

None of the three classification methods did correctly classified the warmth dataset more than 59 percent. This means that the variables do not have common characteristics as a single trend. It seems that they are not dependant on each other much. Therefore, it may be more helpful to analyze the dataset through decision tree method.

9.7.2.6 J48 Decision Tree Method

Decision trees represent a supervised approach to classification. A decision tree is a simple structure where non-terminal nodes represent tests on one or more attributes and terminal nodes reflect decision outcomes. J.R. Quinlan has popularized the decision tree approach with his research spanning more than 15 years. The latest

public domain implementation of Quinlan's model is C4.5. The Weka classifier package has its own version of C4.5 known as J48 (Minnesota State University web site, 2006). We are using decision tree method because, we need to see the tree formation in order to determine a separation point within the function. Here is the output of J48 when presented with the attributes:




Figure 9-13: Decision Tree

The tree shows the details of “warmth” attribute. Year 1942 differentiates low warmth years from normal and high warmth years. What’s more, 1982 and 1987 are very crucial years indicating the real trend is starting.




Figure 9-14: J48 tree view of warmth dataset

Before continuing to other methods, to check the real effects of global warming on earth I prepared another dataset. I changed the nominal attribute “warmth” with “snowdepth”. The dataset became:

1929,68,34,70,2,0,6,veryhigh

1929,93,172,80,16,0,-14,veryhigh

1929,86,92,80,27,0,-31,veryhigh

1929,85,1,80,30,0,-34,veryhigh

1929,78,2,80,25,4,-28,veryhigh

1929,83,0,60,22,0,-38,veryhigh

1929,92,0,80,20,0,-10,veryhigh

1929,96,0,30,18,11,-41,veryhigh

The grouping is done as:

Yearly snow depth < 1 cm. -VERY LOW


1 cm < Yearly snow depth < 2 cm. - LOW

2 cm < Yearly snow depth < 3 cm. - NORMAL

3 cm < Yearly snow depth < 4 cm. - HIGH

Yearly snow depth > 4 cm. -VERY HIGH

After processing the new “snow dataset” with WEKA, the J48 tree results were impressive:



9.7.3 Clustering Method

Clustering can be considered the most important *unsupervised learning* problem; so, as every other problem of this kind, it deals with finding a *structure* in a collection of unlabeled data.

A loose definition of clustering could be “the process of organizing objects into groups whose members are similar in some way”. A *cluster* is therefore a collection of objects which are “similar” between them and are “dissimilar” to the objects belonging to other clusters. We can show this with a simple graphical example:




Figure 9-16: Cluster examples (Politecnico di Italiano web site, 2006)

In this case we easily identify the 4 clusters into which the data can be divided; the similarity criterion is *distance*: two or more objects belong to the same cluster if they are “close” according to a given distance (in this case geometrical distance). This is called *distance-based clustering*.

Another kind of clustering is *conceptual clustering*: two or more objects belong to the same cluster if this one defines a concept *common* to all that objects. In other

words, objects are grouped according to their fit to descriptive concepts, not according to simple similarity measures (Politecnico di Italiano, 2006).

Coming to our dataset if we compare daily temperature with precipitation data, we receive the following graph through Weka.




Figure 9-17: Clustering using daily temperature vs. precipitation attributes

The graph again gives us a proof that the dataset is meaningful. As the temperature rises precipitation decreases.

Here we compare humidity with daily temperature (Figure 9-18). As temperature increase, humidity decreases which seems also meaningful. This creates 2 different clusters in the graph.




Figure 9-18: Clustering using daily temperature vs. humidity attributes




Figure 9-19: Clustering using daily temperature vs. sunshine attributes

Again temperature and sunshine is compared in figure 9-19. Sunshine is proportionate with temperature, and therefore the graph is the opposite of figure 9-18.

9.7.4 Prediction

By using Weka software, predictions can be made through different methods.

By adding the following line into our dataset, we will be able to predict the snowdepth level under the following conditions:

Year: 2080, Humidity: 90, Precipitation: 50 (5 mm), Cloudcover: 70, Sunshine: 100, Daily temperature: 223 (22,3 C), Warmth: Very High




Figure 9-20: Outputting “snow depth”prediction using RBF Network Classifier

Using RBF network classifier and training dataset, Figure 9-20 illustrates the fact that, in year 2080, under certain conditions, it seems possible that snow depth would be 1,884 cm.

10 CONCLUSION

Global warming is evidently showing its impacts in the 21st century. According to this research, it's also apparent that warming started in the last 50-60 years and increased its power in the last 20 years. We have seen that some years have quite important roles for this sudden change. Especially in the 80s, snow depths in Wien, Austria were much lower than expected because of high daily temperatures.

The global average surface temperature has increased by 0.6 - 0.2°C since the late 19th century while sea level has raised worldwide approximately 15-20 cm. Sea ice thickness has shown substantial decline in recent decades. Ozone was depleted around 60% in Antarctica in 1980s. These facts are clearly showing that strong measures should be taken to prevent more warming.

The predicted impacts of climate change are depletion of water resources, decrease in agriculture and food security, which are very crucial factors for our life. In the future some specific regions, like the Mediterranean, are said to be most vulnerable to climate affects. The Mediterranean region is likely to warm significantly over the next century and beyond in response to rising concentrations of greenhouse gases. Plus, sea level rise and a reduction in moisture availability would exacerbate existing problems of desertification and water scarcity and substantially increase the risks associated with food production..

Data mining methods also stressed out the fact that, temperature rise is related with the humidity level, cloud cover, sunshine level, precipitation and affects the

decrease in snow depth and glacier volumes. The data show that the increase is more visible in the last decades.

To prevent global warming, we must achieve the objective of avoiding dangerous human-induced interference with the climate system. Greenhouse effect, gas emissions, is inevitable. The Kyoto Protocol target, compared to the emissions levels that would be expected by 2010 without emissions-control measures, represents a 30% cut in gas emissions. Maybe then, the climate returns back to its normal position.

REFERENCES

- [1] National Climatic Data Center web site, 2006
<http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/globalwarming.html>
- [2] Committee on the Science of Climate Change, Division on Earth and Life Studies, National Research Council National Academy Press Washington, D.C., Climate Change Science: An Analysis of Some Key Questions, 2006
- [3] US Environmental Protection Agency web site, 2006
<http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming/climate/index.html>
- [4] http://www.met-office.gov.uk/research/hadleycentre/pubs/brochures/B1999/imp_sea_rise.html
- [5] George C. Marshall Institute, Climate Science and Policy. Washington D.C, 2001
- [6] NASA web site, <http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/graphs/>
- [7] Information Unit on Climate Change, UNEP, Natural Climate Variability, Switzerland, 2001
- [8] IPCC, Technical Summary of the Working Group I Report, 2001
- [9] Climate and Global Dynamics Division web site,
<http://www.cgd.ucar.edu/cas/catalog/surface/>
- [10] IPCC Technical Summary, Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability, A report of the Working Group II
- [11] European Climate Assessment & Dataset web site,
<http://eca.knmi.nl/dailydata/customquery.php>
- [12] World Meteorological Organization, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project — Report No. 44 Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 1998,
- [13] ENN News Archive, 1998. Arctic warming threatens polar bears, U.N. told. 3 November 1998. Environmental News Network

- [14] Rothrock, D.A., Y. Yu, and G.A. Maykut. 1999. Thinning of the Arctic sea-ice cover. *Geophysical Research Letters* 26(23): 3469-3472.
- [15] Keeling R., Piper S., Heimann, M., "Global and hemispheric carbon dioxide sinks deduced from changes in atmospheric oxygen concentration" *Nature*, Vol.381 May 16, 1996
- [16] Karl, Thomas R. "Current Effects of Climate Change," An Ozone Action Roundtable. June 24, 1996
- [17] Marland G., Pippin A., "United States Emissions of Carbon Dioxide to the Earth's Atmosphere by Economic Activity. " Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1990
- [18] Peters, Robert L. and Thomas E. Lovejoy, eds. 1992. *Global Warming and Biological Diversity*. New Haven, Conn. Yale University Press
- [19] "Geochemical Consequences of Increased Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide on Coral Reefs," Joan A.Kleypas et al., *Science*, April 2, 1999
- [20] Newman S., *Earth Week*, "Global Warming Victims," *Los Angeles Times Syndicate*, October 24, 1998.
- [21] *New York Times*, November 17, 1999
- [22] National Snow and Ice Data Center web site, 2006
http://nsidc.org/sotc/sea_level.html
- [23] <http://www.nd.com/models/rbf.htm>
- [24] http://grb.mnsu.edu/grbts/doc/manual/J48_Decision_Trees.html
- [25] <http://www.dtreg.com/othermethods.htm>
- [26] Barber, David, "Learning from Data 1, Naïve Bayes", 2001,2002
- [27] <http://paw.web.cern.ch/paw/mlpfit/node4.html>
- [28] <http://www.stat.yale.edu/Courses/1997-98/101/linreg.htm>
- [29] The National Academies Press, "Climate Change Science: An Analysis of Some Key Questions, 2001
- [30] <http://www.grida.no/>
- [31] <http://www.ciesin.columbia.edu/TG/OZ/cfcozn.html>
- [32] Miller C., "The Detection and Attribution of Climate Change", 2000

- [33] <http://www.niwascience.co.nz/ncc/clivar/unfccc>
- [34] <http://www.anderson.ucla.edu/faculty/jason.frand/teacher/technologies/palace/datamining.htm>
- [35] http://www.elet.polimi.it/upload/matteucc/Clustering/tutorial_html/
- [36] Knutti R., Stocker T. F., Joos F., Plattner G.-K., “Probabilistic Climate Change Projections Using Neural Networks”; Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute, 1986 University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, SUISSE
- [37] Abraham A., Steinberg D., Philip N., “Rainfall Forecasting Using Soft Computing Models and Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines” ; School of Computing and IT, Monash University, 2001, Churchill, VIC 3842, Australia
- [38] Elgaali E., Garcia L., “Neural Network Modeling of Climate Change Impacts on Irrigation Water Supplies in Arkansas River Basin”, Department of Civil Engineering, Colorado State University, 2002, Fort Collins, Colorado
- [39] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kyoto_Protocol

VITA

Kivanc Kilicer was born in Istanbul. He received his B.A. degree in Management Engineering from the Istanbul Technical University in 2000. Since then he has been working in a heating related Italian company as sales manager. His main areas of interest are management information systems and statistics.