# UNIVERSAL MODULES OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

## DİFERANSİYEL OPERATÖRLERİN EVRENSEL MODÜLLERİ

### HALİSE MELİS TEKİN AKÇİN

ASSOC. PROF. DR. ALİ ERDOĞAN Supervisor

Submitted to Institute of Sciences of Hacettepe University
as a Partial Fulfillment to the Requirements
for the Award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Mathematics

This work named "Universal Modules of Differential Operators" by HALİSE MELİS TEKİN AKÇİN has been approved as a thesis for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN MATHEMATICS by the below mentioned Examining Committee Members.

Prof. Dr. Mahmut KUZUCUOĞLU	
Head	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali ERDOĞAN	
Supervisor	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Bülent Saraç	
Member	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nuri ÇİMEN	
Member	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Evrim AKALAN	
Member	

This thesis has been approved as a thesis for the Degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOS-OPHY IN MATHEMATICS** by Board of Directors of the Institute for Graduate School of Science and Engineering.

Prof. Dr. Fatma SEVİN DÜZ

Director of the Institute of

Graduate School of Science and Engineering

### **ETHICS**

In this thesis study, prepared in accordance with the spelling rules of Institute of Graduate Studies in Science of Hacettepe University,

### I declare that

- all the information and documents have been obtained in the base of academic rules
- all audio-visual and written information and results have been presented according to the rules of scientific ethics
- in case of using other Works, related studies have been cited in accordance with the scientific standards
- all cited studies have been fully referenced
- I did not do any distortion in the data set
- and any part of this thesis has not been presented as another thesis study at this or any other university.

16/01/2015

Halise Melis Tekin Akçin

### ABSTRACT

#### UNIVERSAL MODULES OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

# Halise Melis TEKİN AKÇİN Doctor of Philosophy, Department of Mathematics Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali ERDOĞAN January 2015, 87 pages

This thesis is concerned with universal differential operator modules of order n. Let R be a commutative k-algebra where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Suppose that  $J_n(R)$  is the universal module of differential operators of order n with the universal differential operator  $\Delta_n$  and  $\Omega_n(R)$  is the universal module of derivations of order n with the universal operator  $\delta_n$ . Firstly, we obtain the following result:

Let m and n be positive integers such that m < n. We have the following short exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \to ker\theta \to \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_m(R) \to 0.$$

Moreover,  $ker\theta$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_n(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})\}$$

where  $r_i \in R$  for i = 0, ..., m; T' is the complement of the set T in the set  $\{0, ..., m\}$  and

$$r_T = \prod_{\substack{k \in T \\ T \subseteq \{0, \dots, m\}}} r_k.$$

Next, we consider the map

$$J_n(R) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R))$$

and obtain some results on  $ker\alpha$  and  $coker\alpha$  where R is a domain of dimension one or two.

Then we focus on the behavior of the Betti series of the universal module of derivations. Firstly, we showed that the Betti series of  $\Omega_2(R_m)$  is rational under some conditions where R is the coordinate ring of an affine irreducible curve represented by  $\frac{k[x_1,x_2,...,x_s]}{(f)}$  and m is a maximal ideal of R. Next, we generalize this result for the universal module of nth order derivations and we proved the following theorem:

Let  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra and m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  containing an irreducible element f. Let

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_s^{\alpha_s}f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_s])$$

for  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_s \le n - 1$ . Assume that  $R = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s]}{(f)}$  is not a regular ring at  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$ . Then  $B(\Omega_n(R_{\bar{m}}), t)$  is a rational function.

Furthermore, we showed that under some conditions the Betti series of

$$\Omega_n((k[U\times A_k^t])_{\bar{m}})$$

is a rational function where  $k[U \times A_k^t]$  is the coordinate ring of the product of U and  $A_k^t$ ,  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$  and m is a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t]$  containing the irreducible element f.

**Key words:** Differential Operator, Universal Module, Minimal Resolution, Betti Series

### ÖZET

### DİFERANSİYEL OPERATÖRLERİN EVRENSEL MODÜLLERİ

# Halise Melis TEKİN AKÇİN Doktora, Matematik Bölümü Tez Danışmanı: Doç. Dr. Ali ERDOĞAN Ocak 2015, 87 sayfa

R ve k birimli ve değişmeli halkalar olmak üzere R bir k-cebir olsun. F bir R-modül olmak üzere  $D \in Hom_k(R, F)$  dönüşümüne k üzerinde n. mertebeden türev operatörü denir eğer R'den aldığımız keyfi n+1 tane eleman  $\{x_0, \ldots, x_n\}$  için aşağıdaki koşul sağlanırsa:

$$D(x_0 \dots x_n) = \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_s} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s} D(x_0 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \dots x_n).$$

Burada  $\hat{x}_i = 1$  olarak alınacaktır [1].

Yukarıdaki tanım göz önüne alınırsa, 1. mertebeden türev operatörünün R'den F'ye bilinen türev olduğu kolaylıkla görülebilir.

q. mertebeden türevler için evrensel modül inşa etme fikri [2, Nakai]'ye kadar uzanır. Nakai, bu çalışmasında sadece 1. mertebeden türev operatörleri için evrensel modülü tanımlamış ve bunların varlığını ispatlamıştır. Yüksek mertebeden türevler için evrensel modülün,  $\Omega_k^q(R)$ , varlığı ilk defa Osborn [3] tarafından ispatlanmıştır. Nakai [1] ve Osborn [3] tarafından elde edilen özellikleri göz önüne alırsak,  $\Omega_k^q(R)$  aşağıdaki özellikleri sağlar:

- (i) q. mertebeden bir türev operatörü (kanonik)  $\delta_q:R\to\Omega^q_k(R)$  vardır,
- (ii)  $\Omega_k^q(R)$ , R-modül olarak  $\{\delta_q(r): r\in R\}$  kümesi tarafından üretilir,

(iii) F herhangi bir R-modül ve  $D:R\to F,\,q$ . mertebeden herhangi bir türev operatörü olmak üzere, tek bir R-modül homomorfizması

$$\alpha: \Omega_k^q(R) \to F$$

vardır ve  $\alpha \delta_q = D$  sağlanır.

Evrensel diferansiyel operatör modülleri bir halkanın cebirsel yapısını anlamak için kullanılan en etkili araçlardan biridir. Böylelikle, cebirlerle ilgili problemler modül teoriye aktarılmış olunur. Örneğin, aşağıdaki sonuç yardımıyla regüler halkaları karakterize edebiliriz [5, Theo. 15.2.9]:

A karakteristiği sıfır olan bir cisim üzerinde afin tamlık bölgesi ve B, A'nın bir maksimal idealindeki lokalizasyonu olmak üzere I, B'nin maksimal ideali olsun. Bu durumda aşağıda verilen ifadeler denktir:

- (i)  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  kümesi I'nın minimal üreteç kümesi olmak üzere  $\Omega_k^1(B)$ , B üzerinde rankı n olan bir serbest modüldür ve tabanı  $\{db_1, \ldots, db_n\}$  kümesi olur.
- (ii)  $\Omega^1_k(B)$ , B üzerinde bir serbest modüldür .
- (iii) B regülerdir.

Dolayısıyla, yukarıdaki ifadenin bir sonucu olarak söyleyebiliriz ki, A'nın regüler olması için gerek ve yeter koşul  $\Omega_k^1(A)$ 'nın projektif olmasıdır. Buna ek olarak, litaratürde regüler halkaların karakterizasyonuyla ilgili Nakai ve Zariski-Lipman tarafından ortaya atılan ve hala açık olan iki önemli problem vardır. Nakai'nin ortaya attığı problem Mount ve Villamayor tarafından [6] aşağıdaki şekilde ifade edilmiştir:

Nakai Sanısı: R karakteristiği sıfır olan bir cisim üzerinde afin bir halka olsun.  $Der_k(R)$  ile R üzerinde tanımlı yüksek mertebeden türevlerin cebirini,  $der_k(R)$  ile  $Der_k(R)$ 'nin 1. mertebeden türevlerle üretilen alt cebirini gösterelim. Bu durumda,  $der_k(R) = Der_k(R)$  olmasıyla R'nin regüler olması denktir.

**Zariski-Lipman Sanısı:**  $Der_k(R)$  serbest R-modül ise R regülerdir.

Bazı özel durumlarda, bu ifadeler ispatlanmıştır. Bu problemlerden yola çıkarak sorulabilecek en doğal sorulardan bir tanesi, bu iki iddianın arasında bir bağlantı olup olmadığıdır. Bu soru, 1978 yılında Becker [8] tarafından cevaplanmıştır. Becker, Nakai'nin sanısının Zariski-Lipman'nın sanısını gerektirdiğini ispatlamıştır.

1996 yılında, Erdoğan [9] tarafından n. mertebeden evrensel diferansiyel operatör modüllerinin projektif boyutları ile ilgili önemli sonuçlar elde edilmiştir:

**Teorem** S bir afin tamlık bölgesi olmak üzere  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_s]/(f)$  biçiminde temsil edilsin. Bu durumda  $pdJ_n(S) \leq 1$  sağlanır.

1999 yılında, Çimen ve Erdoğan tarafından [10] n. mertebeden evrensel diferansiyel operatör modüllerinin projektif boyutları ile ilgili aşağıdaki teorem ispatlanmıştır:

**Teorem** U indirgenmiş bir hiperyüzey ve  $A_k^t$  bir afin t-uzayı olsun.  $k[U \times A_k^t]$ , U ve  $A_k^t$ 'nin çarpımının koordinat halkası olmak üzere

$$pdJ_n(k[U \times A_k^t]) \leq 1$$

sağlanır.

Bu tezin ilk kısmında, diferansiyel operatörlerin evrensel modüllerinin tarihsel gelişimi incelenerek bu alanda elde edilen önemli sonuçlara yer verildi. İkinci kısmın amacı ise diferansiyel operatörler ve bunların evrensel modülleriyle ilgili ilerideki çalışmalarımıza temel olacak teoriyi oluşturmaktır. Bu kısımda öncelikle n. mertebeden diferansiyel operatörün ve n. mertebeden türev operatörünün tanımı yapılarak, bunlar için evrensel modüllerin varlığı ve tekliği ispatlandı. Daha sonra bölüm halkaları, lokal halkalar ve regüler halkalar gibi özel durumlarda evrensel modüllerin özellikleri incelendi. Üçüncü kısımda ise, evrensel modüllerin projektif boyutları ile ilgili bazı sonuçlara örneklerle birlikte yer verildi. Ayrıca, aşağıdaki sonuç elde edildi:

**Teorem** R bir k-cebir olmak üzere m ve n, m < n olacak şekilde pozitif tam sayılar olsun.  $\delta_n$  ve  $\delta_m$ , sırasıyla R'nin n. ve m. mertebeden evrensel türev operatörleri olsunlar. Bu durumda elimizde R-modüllerin

$$0 \to \varsigma ek\theta \to \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_m(R) \to 0$$

tam dizisi vardır. Ayrıca,  $i=0,\ldots,m$  için  $r_i\in R$  ve T', T'nin  $\{0,\ldots,m\}$  kümesi içindeki tümleyeni olmak üzere

$$r_T = \prod_{\substack{k \in T \\ T \subseteq \{0,\dots,m\}}} r_k$$

verilsin. Bu durumda,  $\varsigma ek\theta$ 

$$\{\delta_n(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})\}$$

kümesi tarafından üretilir.

Buna ek olarak, R bir tamlık bölgesi olmak üzere R'nin boyutunun bir veya iki olduğu durumlarda

$$J_n(R) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R))$$

dönüşümünün çekirdeği ve eşçekirdeği (cokernel) ile ilgili bazı sonuçlar elde edildi. (R, m) bir lokal halka olsun.  $\Omega_n(R)$ 'nin Betti serisi,  $n \geq 1$  olmak üzere

$$B(\Omega_n(R), t) = \sum_{i>0} boy_{R/m} Ext^i(\Omega_n(R), \frac{R}{m})t^i$$

olarak tanımlanır.

Dördüncü bölümde, 2. mertebeden evrensel türev modülünün Betti serisinin rasyonelliği incellendi ve elde edilen bu sonuçlar n. mertebeden evrensel türev modülüne genellenerek aşağıdaki teoremler ispatlandı:

**Teorem**  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$ , k üzerinde bir polinomlar cebiri ve m,  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$ 'nin indirgenemez f elemanını içeren bir maksimal ideali olsun.  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_s \le n-1$  olmak üzere

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s} f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s])$$

sağlandığını kabul edelim ve  $R=\frac{k[x_1,x_2,...,x_s]}{(f)},$   $\bar{m}=m/(f)$ 'de regüler olmasın. Bu durumda,  $B(\Omega_n(R_{\bar{m}}),t)$  rasyoneldir.

 $A_k^t$  bir afin t-uzayı olsun.  $k[A_k^t]$ ,  $A_k^t$ 'nin koordinat halkasını göstermek üzere  $k[y_1, \ldots, y_t]$  biçimidedir. U indirgenmiş bir hiperyüzey olsun. Bu durumda k[U],  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s]/(f)$  biçimindedir.

**Teorem**  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t]$  bir polinomlar cebiri olmak üzere m, R'nin indirgenemez f elemanını içeren bir maksimal ideali verilsin. Ayrıca,  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_s + \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_t \le n-1$  olmak üzere

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s} y_1^{\beta_1} y_2^{\beta_2} \dots y_t^{\beta_t} f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t])$$

sağlansın. Diğer taraftan,  $k[U \times A_k^t]$ 'nin  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$ 'de regüler olmadığını kabul edelim. Bu durumda,  $\Omega_n((k[U \times A_k^t])_{\bar{m}})$  evrensel modülünün Betti serisi rasyoneldir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler**: Diferansiyel Operatör, Evrensel Modül, Minimal Çözülüş, Betti Serisi

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisor Ali Erdoğan for his help and understanding. This thesis would not have been possible without his comments and suggestions. And, I would like to thank Mahmut Kuzucuoğlu and Nuri Çimen for their helpful comments.

I would also like to thank Department of Mathematics of Hacettepe University and the members of it who made my time enjoyable.

Of course to thank everyone personally would lengthen this part; however, some of them deserve special mention as they contributed so much to this thesis. I'm really grateful to my mom Sevim Tekin and my dad Müfit Tekin for their unflagging support throughout my life and I would also like to thank my sister Gizem Tekin for being such a nice friend.

I would also like to thank my husband Mahmut Akçin for his encouragement and for spending most of his time with me in the library or in my office. Without his patience, support and good cheer this thesis would have never been completed.

I would like to acknowledge that I've made some part of my research in Department of Mathematics of the University of Sheffield for the period from April 2013 to January 2014. I would like to thank Vladimir Bavula for his great hospitality and support.

I would also like to say that my visit to the University of Sheffield was supported by TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) and I would like to thank TÜBİTAK for their financial support.

## CONTENTS

	Ī	age
	ABSTRACT	i
	ÖZET	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
	CONTENTS	viii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Historical Backgroud of Universal Modules	1
2	UNIVERSAL MODULES	7
2.1	Modules of Differential Operators	7
2.2	Universal Modules of Differential Operators	12
2.3	Universal Modules of High Order Derivations	21
2.4	Universal Modules of Local Rings	26
2.5	Examples of Differential Operators and Their Universal Modules	30
2.6	Universal Modules of Factor Rings	37
2.7	Relation between Universal Modules and Vector Spaces	42
2.8	Universal Modules of Field Extensions	45
2.9	Universal Modules of Regular Algebras	48
3	PROJECTIVE DIMENSION OF THE UNIVERSAL MODULE OF	
	DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS	54
3.1	Characterizing the Projective Dimension of the Universal Module of Dif-	
	ferential Operators	54
3.2	Some Results On Universal Modules of Differential Operators	61
3.3	Homomorphisms between Universal Modules	67
4	BETTI SERIES OF THE UNIVERSAL MODULE OF DERIVATIONS	70
4.1	Some Homological Background	70
4.2	Some Results on Rationality of Betti Series	74
	REFERENCES	83
	CURRICULUM VITAE	86

### 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Historical Backgroud of Universal Modules

**Definition 1.1.1** [1] Let R and k be commutative rings with identity and let R be a k-algebra. An nth order derivation D of R into an R-module F over k is an element of  $Hom_k(R,F)$  such that for any set of n+1 elements  $\{x_0,\ldots,x_n\}$  of R we have the following identity:

$$D(x_0 ... x_n) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{i_1 < ... < i_s} x_{i_1} ... x_{i_s} D(x_0 ... \widehat{x_{i_1}} ... \widehat{x_{i_s}} ... x_n)$$

where the hat over  $x_i$ 's means that it is missed.

By using the above definition, it can be easily seen that a first order derivation is just the ordinary derivation of R into an R-module F.

The idea of constructing a universal object,  $\Omega_k^q(R)$ , for  $q^{th}$  order derivations goes as far back as [2, Nakai]. In this work, he constructed a universal object for just  $1^{st}$  order derivations and proved some functorial properties of  $\Omega_k^1(R)$ . Universal module for high order derivations was defined by Osborn [3], in 1967. In this paper, a more general version of derivations was introduced,  $\varphi$ -derivations, where A and B are k-algebras and  $\varphi: A \to B$  is an algebra homomorphism. Note that a  $\varphi$ -derivation is a derivation of the given order where  $\varphi$  is the identity homomorphism on A. Later developments on high order derivations and their universal modules have been proved by Heyneman and Sweedler [4], in 1969.

By using the results proved in [1] and [3], a universal object for  $q^{th}$  order derivations,  $\Omega_k^q(R)$ , is an R-module satisfying the following properties:

- (i) There exists a canonical  $q^{th}$  order derivation  $\delta_q: R \to \Omega_k^q(R)$ ,
- (ii)  $\Omega_k^q(R)$  is generated as an R-module by  $\{\delta_q(r): r \in R\}$ ,
- (iii) Given any R-module F together with  $q^{th}$  order derivation  $D: R \to F$ , there exists a unique R-module homomorphism  $\alpha: \Omega_k^q(R) \to F$  such that  $\alpha \delta_q = D$ .

In 1970, Nakai [1] gave some fundamental computations on high order derivations, introduced the module of high order differentials and proved some important functorial properties of it.

In [1, prop. 2], it is proved that:

If R is a polynomial algebra  $k[x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda]$  over k with indeterminates  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ , then the universal module of derivations of order n is a free R-module.

Universal differential operator module is a powerful tool in understanding the algebraic structure of a ring. So, by this way we are able to reduce questions about algebras to module theory. For example, there is a well-known result which helps to characterize regular rings [5, Theo. 15.2.9]:

**Theorem 1.1.2** Let A be an affine domain over a field of characteristic zero and let B be the localization of A at some maximal ideal. Assume that I is the maximal ideal of B. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Omega_k^1(B)$  is free of rank n over B with a basis  $db_1, \ldots, db_n$  where  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  is a minimal generating set for I.
- (ii)  $\Omega_k^1(B)$  is free over B.
- (iii) B is regular.

Hence, as a corollary we have:

A is regular if and only if  $\Omega_k^1(A)$  is projective.

Moreover, there are two important conjectures on characterizing regular rings. Nakai's Conjecture is stated in [6] as follows:

**Nakai's Conjecture:** Assume that R is an affine ring of an algebraic variety defined over a field k of characteristic zero. Denote by  $Der_k(R)$ , the algebra of high order derivations of R into itself, and denote by  $der_k(R)$ , the subalgebra of  $Der_k(R)$  which is generated by the first order derivations of R into itself.

Is the condition  $Der_k(R) = der_k(R)$  equivalent to the regularity of R?

Second conjecture is given by Lipman as follows:

**Zariski-Lipman Conjecture:** If  $Der_k(R)$  is free then R is regular.

It is proved that both Nakai's and Zariski-Lipman's conjectures are true for some important cases. Of course, it is natural and interesting to ask whether the conjectures given above have a relation. This question is answered by Becker [8], in 1978. It is proved that if the Nakai's conjecture is true, then so is Zariski-Lipman's.

Later work on universal module of differential operators has been done by Erdoğan [9], in 1996. The result in this paper involves a study of the projective dimension of universal modules of differential operators of order n and it is proved that:

**Theorem 1.1.3** Let S be an affine domain represented by  $S = k[x_1, ..., x_s]/(f)$ . Then the projective dimension of  $J_n(S)$  is less than or equal to 1.

Another result on projective dimension has been given by Çimen and Erdoğan [10], in 1999. In this paper, it is proved that

**Theorem 1.1.4** Let U be a reduced hypersurface and  $A_k^t$  be an affine t-space. Suppose that  $k[U \times A_k^t]$  is the coordinate ring of the product of U and  $A_k^t$ . Then the projective dimension of  $J_n(k[U \times A_k^t])$  is at most one.

Further results on identifying the projective dimensions of  $\Omega_n(R)$ , are proved in 2006 by Olgun and Erdoğan [11] where R is an affine algebra represented by  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(f)$ . Moreover, in this paper, the generators of the kernel of the map

$$\Omega_n(R) \to \Omega_1(R)$$

are determined where R is an affine algebra.

In 2003, Erdoğan [12] proved the following:

**Theorem 1.1.5** Let R be an affine regular algebra. Then

$$0 \to \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\varphi} J_p(\Omega_n(R)) \to coker \varphi \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of R-modules where  $\Omega_n(R)$  denotes the universal module of derivations of order n and  $J_p(R)$  denotes the universal module of differential operators of order p.

Another interesting exact sequence constructed in [13] by Erdoğan is the following

$$\Omega_2(R) \to J_1(\Omega_1(R)) \to \wedge^2(\Omega_1(R)) \to 0$$

where  $\Lambda^2\Omega_1(R)$  denotes the second exterior power of  $\Omega_1(R)$ . Additionally, in 1996, Hart [14] proved that the above map is also injective.

Besides, in [12, Theorem 7], it is showed that the regularity of an affine algebra R is equivalent to the projectivity of  $\Lambda^2\Omega_1(R)$ .

In 2005, Olgun and Erdoğan [15] examined the structure of the universal module over the tensor product algebra  $R \otimes S$  and proved

$$0 \to \frac{N + K\Omega_n(R \otimes_k S)}{K\Omega_n(R \otimes_k S)} \to \frac{\Omega_n(R \otimes_k S)}{K\Omega_n(R \otimes_k S)} \to \Omega_n(R/I \otimes_k S/J) \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of  $(\frac{R \otimes_k S}{K})$ -modules where I is an ideal of R, J is an ideal of S, K is given by  $I \otimes S + R \otimes J$  and N is a submodule of  $\Omega_n(R \otimes_k S)$  generated by the elements of the form  $\{\delta_n(x) : x \in K\}$ . Moreover, they investigated the homological dimension of  $\Omega_n(R \otimes_k S)$ .

Before concluding this introductory section on the history of differential operators, it might be interesting if we give the following theorem which gives the relations between differential operators and geometry.

**Theorem 1.1.6** [2, Corollary 1] Let P be a point of an algebraic set V. Then under some suitable conditions the necessary and sufficient condition for P to be a simple point of V is that  $\Omega_1(R)$  is a free R-module where R is the local ring corresponding the point P of V.

The purpose of this thesis is to further study the universal modules of differential operators of order n. The thesis proceeds as follows:

The aim of chapter 2 is to develop the theory of differential operators and their universal modules. Firstly, we give the definition of differential operators of order n and high order derivations of order n. Next, we construct the universal modules for both and prove their existence and uniqueness. And, we end this section by examining some properties of the universal modules for some particular cases, such as factor rings, local rings and regular rings.

Chapter 3 includes some well-known results on projective dimension of the universal module of differential operators of order n. Next, we give some examples on computing the projective dimensions of the universal modules. Furthermore, in this section we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.7** Let R be a k-algebra and m, n be positive integers such that m < n. Suppose that  $\delta_n$  and  $\delta_m$  denote the universal operators of R of order n and m, respectively. Then we have the following short exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \to ker\theta \to \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_m(R) \to 0.$$

Moreover,  $ker\theta$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_n(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})\}$$

where  $r_i \in R$  for i = 0, ..., m; T' is the complement of T in the set  $\{0, ..., m\}$  and

$$r_T = \prod_{\substack{k \in T \\ T \subset \{0, \dots, m\}}} r_k.$$

Note that this result is indeed a generalization of the result proved in the paper [11, Olgun and Erdoğan]. Then we give some examples to discuss the result more closely. Next, we consider the map

$$J_n(R) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R))$$

and obtain some results on  $ker\alpha$  and  $coker\alpha$  where R is a domain of dimension one or two.

Now, let us recall the definition of the Betti series:

**Definition 1.1.8** Let (R, m) be a local ring. The Betti series of  $\Omega_n(R)$  is defined to be the series

$$B(\Omega_n(R), t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \dim_{R/m} Ext^i(\Omega_n(R), \frac{R}{m})t^i \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

In chapter 4, we present our contribution which includes a study on the behavior of the Betti series of the universal modules. Firstly, we discuss the rationality of the Betti series of  $\Omega_2(R_m)$  where R is a coordinate ring of an affine irreducible curve represented by  $\frac{k[x_1,x_2,...,x_s]}{(f)}$  and m is a maximal ideal of R. Next, we generalize these results for the universal modules of differential operators of order n. We obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.9** Let  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra and m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  containing an irreducible element f. Let

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_s^{\alpha_s}f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s])$$

for  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_s \le n - 1$ . Assume that  $R = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s]}{(f)}$  is not a regular ring at m = m/(f). Then  $B(\Omega_n(R_m), t)$  is a rational function.

Let U be a reduced hypersurface and  $A_k^t$  be an affine t-space. Additionally, we showed that under some conditions the Betti Series of

$$\Omega_n((k[U\times A_k^t])_{\bar{m}})$$

is a rational function where  $k[U \times A_k^t]$  is the coordinate ring of the product of U and  $A_k^t$ , m is a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t]$  containing the irreducible element f and  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$ .

### 2 UNIVERSAL MODULES

This chapter summarizes the elementary theory of differential operators and their universal modules. In the subsection 1, we give the definition of differential operators of order n and then we construct a universal object  $J_n(R)$  which is unique up to isomorphism. Next, we define high order derivations and their universal modules,  $\Omega_n(R)$ . Then, inevitably, we give the relation between  $J_n(R)$  and  $\Omega_n(R)$ . Subsection 4 concerns the universal modules of local rings. In the subsection 5, we give some examples which illustrate the theory and next, we concentrate on universal modules of factor rings. So, we are able to compute the universal modules where it is of the form R/I. Then we give the relation between universal modules and vector spaces. In the subsection 8, we examine the universal modules of field extensions. And, we close this section by proving some important results on universal modules of regular algebras. Note that the definitions, results and examples in this chapter come from [1, Nakai], [3, Osborn], [4, Heyneman and Sweedler], [13, Erdogan], [16, Poulton] and [17, Sweedler].

### 2.1 Modules of Differential Operators

Throughout our work, unless the contrary is stated explicitly, by a ring, we mean a commutative ring with identity. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, R be a k-algebra and let M and N be R-modules.  $Hom_k(M, N)$  denotes the set of all k-linear maps from M to N. With the following operations  $Hom_k(M, N)$  becomes an R-R bimodule:

$$rf: m \mapsto rf(m)$$

$$fr: m \mapsto f(rm)$$

where  $f \in Hom_k(M, N)$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $r \in R$ . The commutator of f and r is denoted by [f, r] and defined as:

$$[f,r] := fr - rf.$$

Moreover, we know that  $[f, r] \in Hom_k(M, N)$ .

**Definition 2.1.1** The differential operator module of order n from M to N is denoted by  $D_R^n(M, N)$  and is defined recursively: Firstly, we set

$$D_R^0(M,N) := Hom_R(M,N).$$

Assume that  $D_R^{n-1}(M,N)$  has been defined. Then

$$D_R^n(M,N) := \{ f \in Hom_k(M,N) : [f,r] \in D_R^{n-1}(M,N), \ \forall r \in R \}.$$

Let us define  $D_R^n(M, N) = 0$ , where n is a negative integer.

**Definition 2.1.2** The space of k-linear differential operators from M to N is defined as:

$$D_R(M,N) := \bigcup_{n \ge 0} D_R^n(M,N).$$

**Proposition 2.1.3**  $D_R^n(M,N)$  is an R-submodule of  $Hom_k(M,N)$ .

**Proof.** The proof proceeds by induction on n. Firstly, let n = 0. Then by definition, we know

$$D_R^0(M,N) = Hom_R(M,N)$$

which is an R-module. Assume that the proposition is true for n-1, that is, assume that  $D_R^{n-1}(M,N)$  is an R-module. We want to prove it for n. Let  $f,g \in D_R^n(M,N)$  and  $r,s \in R$ . So, we have

$$[f+g,r] = [f,r] + [g,r].$$

By the definition of differential operators, [f, r] and [g, r] belong to  $D_R^{n-1}(M, N)$  and by using the induction assumption, we obtain

$$[f+g,r] \in D_R^{n-1}(M,N)$$

for all  $r \in R$ . Hence,  $f + g \in D_R^n(M, N)$ .

On the other hand, by using the commutativity of R, we have

$$[sf, r] = s[f, r].$$

Since  ${\cal D}^{n-1}_R(M,N)$  is an R-module, we get

$$[sf,r] \in D^{n-1}_R(M,N)$$

for all  $r \in R$ . Thus,  $sf \in D_R^n(M, N)$ .

Proposition 2.1.4 For every integer n, we have

$$D_R^n(M,N) \subseteq D_R^{n+1}(M,N).$$

**Proof.** The proof follows by induction on n. For the case n = 0, we have

$$f \in D_R^0(M,N) = Hom_R(M,N)$$

and hence, we obtain

$$[f,r] = 0 \in Hom_R(M,N).$$

So,  $f \in D_R^1(M, N)$ . Now, assume that the assertion is true for n-1, in other words, we have

$$D_R^{n-1}(M,N) \subseteq D_R^n(M,N).$$

Let f be an element of  $D_R^n(M, N)$ . Then by the assumption,

$$[f,r]\in D^{n-1}_R(M,N)\subseteq D^n_R(M,N)$$

for all  $r \in R$ . Therefore,  $f \in D_R^{n+1}(M, N)$ .

Observe that by the propositions (2.1.3) and (2.1.4), we filter  $Hom_k(M, N)$  by increasing submodules  $D_R^n(M, N)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.5** Let M, N and K be R-modules. Let  $f \in D_R^n(M, N)$  and  $g \in D_R^m(N, K)$ . Then

$$gf \in D_R^{m+n}(M,K).$$

In particular, if  $u \in Hom_R(M, N)$  and  $v \in Hom_R(N, K)$ , then

$$v \circ f \in D^n_R(M,K)$$
 and  $g \circ u \in D^m_R(M,K)$ .

**Proof.** We prove it by induction on m + n. For the first case, let m = n = 0. The assertion is clear since if  $f \in Hom_R(M, N)$  and  $g \in Hom_R(N, K)$ , then

$$gf \in Hom_R(M, K)$$
.

Now assume that the expression is true for the integers less than m+n. Let  $f \in D_R^n(M,N)$  and  $g \in D_R^m(N,K)$ . Then we have

$$[gf, r] = g[f, r] + [g, r]f$$

for all  $r \in R$ . On the other hand,  $g \in D_R^m(N, K)$  and  $[f, r] \in D_R^{n-1}(M, N)$  and by the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$g[f,r] \in D_R^{m+n-1}(M,K).$$

Similarly,  $[g, r]f \in D_R^{m+n-1}(M, K)$ . So,

$$[gf, r] = g[f, r] + [g, r]f \in D_R^{m+n-1}(M, K)$$

for all  $r \in R$  and this means  $gf \in D^{m+n}_R(M,K)$  as required.  $\blacksquare$ 

Corollary 2.1.6  $D_R(M, M) = D_R(M)$  is a k-subalgebra of  $End_k(M)$ .

**Definition 2.1.7**  $D_R(M)$  is called the ring of differential operators of M.

**Example 2.1.8** Let R be the polynomial algebra R = k[x, y, z]. Then

$$D_R^0(R) \cong R,$$

$$D_R^1(R) = \langle 1, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rangle,$$

$$D_R^2(R) = \langle 1, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial xy}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial xz}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial yz} \rangle,$$

More generally, we have

$$D^n_R(R) = \big\langle \big\{ 1, \tfrac{\partial^t}{\partial x^i y^j z^k} : 1 \leq t = i+j+k \leq n \big\} \big\rangle.$$

**Proposition 2.1.9** Let R and S be commutative k-algebras, let M, N be R-modules and let M', N' be S-modules. If  $f \in D_R^r(M, N)$  and  $g \in D_S^t(M', N')$ , then

$$f \otimes g \in D^{r+t}_{R \otimes S}(M \otimes M', N \otimes N').$$

**Proof.** The proof proceeds by induction on r + t. Let r + t = 0. If  $f \in Hom_R(M, N)$  and  $g \in Hom_S(M', N')$ , then we know

$$f \otimes g \in Hom_{R \otimes S}(M \otimes M', N \otimes N')$$

with the following definition

$$(f \otimes g)(m \otimes m') := f(m) \otimes g(m').$$

Suppose that the result is true for all values less than r + t.

**Claim**. We have the following equality:

$$[f \otimes q, u \otimes v] = [f, u] \otimes (\tilde{v} \circ q) + (f \circ \tilde{u}) \otimes [q, v]$$

where  $u \in R$ ,  $v \in S$  and  $\tilde{u} \in Hom_R(M, M)$  and  $\tilde{v} \in Hom_R(N', N')$  such that

$$\tilde{u}: m \mapsto um,$$

$$\tilde{v}: n' \mapsto vn'.$$

*Proof of Claim.* If we apply  $a \otimes b$  both sides, then we get

$$[f \otimes g, u \otimes v](a \otimes b) = [(f \otimes g)(u \otimes v) - (u \otimes v)(f \otimes g)](a \otimes b)$$
$$= f(ua) \otimes g(vb) - uf(a) \otimes vg(b)$$

and

$$([f, u] \otimes (\tilde{v} \circ g) + (f \circ \tilde{u}) \otimes [g, v])(a \otimes b) = [f, u](a) \otimes (\tilde{v} \circ g)(b) + (f \circ \tilde{u})(a) \otimes [g, v](b)$$
$$= (f(ua) - uf(a)) \otimes vg(b)$$
$$+f(ua) \otimes (g(vb) - vg(b)).$$

So, we can conclude that they are equal. Then by using the induction hypothesis, we get

$$[f \otimes g, u \otimes v] \in D^{r+t-1}_{R \otimes S}(M \otimes M', N \otimes N')$$

for all  $(u \otimes v) \in R \otimes S$ . Therefore,  $f \otimes g \in D^{r+t}_{R \otimes S}(M \otimes M', N \otimes N')$ .

### 2.2 Universal Modules of Differential Operators

Let R be a k-algebra and let  $r_i, r_j, s_i, s_j \in R$ . Then  $R \otimes_k R$  becomes a k-algebra with the given operation

$$(\sum_{i} r_{i} \otimes s_{i}).(\sum_{j} r_{j} \otimes s_{j}) = \sum_{i,j} r_{i} r_{j} \otimes s_{i} s_{j}.$$

Further,  $Hom_k(M, N)$  is endowed an  $R \otimes_k R$ -module structure with

$$(r \otimes_k s)f : m \mapsto rf(sm)$$

where  $r, s \in R$ ,  $f \in Hom_k(M, N)$  and  $m \in M$ .

Let us define the multiplication map,

$$\theta: R \otimes_k R \to R$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i.$$

By this map, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow ker\theta \longrightarrow R \otimes_k R \stackrel{\theta}{\longrightarrow} R \longrightarrow 0$$

exact sequence of R-modules. For notational simplicity, we denote  $ker\theta = I$ .

**Lemma 2.2.1** I is an ideal of  $R \otimes_k R$  and generated by the set

$$\{1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1 : r \in R\}.$$

**Proof.** It is easy to see that the elements of the form

$$\{1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1 : r \in R\}$$

belong to I. Conversely, let

$$\alpha = \sum_{i} r_i \otimes s_i \in I.$$

By the definition of the map, we have

$$\sum_{i} r_i s_i = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\alpha = \sum_{i} r_i \otimes s_i = \sum_{i} r_i \otimes s_i - (\sum_{i} r_i s_i) \otimes 1 = \sum_{i} (r_i \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s_i - s_i \otimes 1)$$

as desired.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Proposition 2.2.2** Let M and N be R-modules and let  $f \in Hom_k(M, N)$ . Then

$$[f,r] = (1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1)f$$

for all  $r \in R$ .

**Proof.** By using R - R bimodule and  $R \otimes_k R$ -module structures of  $Hom_k(M, N)$ , we have

$$[f,r](m) = (fr - rf)(m)$$

$$= f(rm) - rf(m)$$

$$= [(1 \otimes r)f](m) - [(r \otimes 1)f](m)$$

$$= (1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1)f(m).$$

This means that,  $[f, r] = (1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1)f$ .

Since I is an ideal of  $R \otimes_k R$ ,  $I^{n+1}$  is an ideal of  $R \otimes_k R$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and it is generated by the elements of the form

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n} (1 \otimes r_i - r_i \otimes 1)$$

where  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ . Moreover, we have the following equality

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n} (1 \otimes r_i - r_i \otimes 1) = \sum_{T \subseteq \{0,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \otimes r_{T'}$$

where T is any subset of  $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ ; T' is the complement of T in  $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ ; |T| denotes the number of elements of T;

$$r_T = \prod_{k \in T} r_k$$
 and  $r_{\phi} = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.2.3** Let M and N be R-modules and let  $f \in Hom_k(M, N)$ . Then f is a differential operator of order n if and only if  $I^{n+1}f = 0$ .

**Proof.** We prove it by induction on n. Let  $r \in R$ . For n = 0, by considering the definition in (2.1.1), we obtain

$$f \in D_R^0(M, N) \Leftrightarrow [f, r] = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R,$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow (1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1)f = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R,$   
 $\Leftrightarrow If = 0.$ 

Let us assume that the assertion is true for n. We shall prove it for n + 1.

By using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$f \in D_R^{n+1}(M,N) \iff [f,r] \in D_R^n(M,N) \text{ for all } r \in R,$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1)f \in D_R^n(M,N) \text{ for all } r \in R,$$

$$\Leftrightarrow If \in D_R^n(M,N) \text{ for all } r \in R,$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I^{n+2}f = 0.$$

Hence, we get the required result. ■

Corollary 2.2.4 Let M and N be R-modules and let  $f \in D_R^n(M, N)$ . Then

$$f(r_0 \dots r_n m) = \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}\\|T| \ge 1}} (-1)^{|T|+1} r_T f(r_{T'} m)$$
 (1)

where  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$  and  $m \in M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in D_R^n(M, N)$ . Then by using the proposition (2.2.3), we have  $I^{n+1}f = 0$ . Therefore, we get

$$0 = [(1 \otimes r_0 - r_0 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes r_1 - r_1 \otimes 1) \dots (1 \otimes r_n - r_n \otimes 1)f](m)$$

$$= [\sum_{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} (r_T \otimes r_{T'})f](m)$$

$$= \sum_{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T f(r_{T'}m).$$

So, this ensures that  $f(r_0 \dots r_n m) = \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\} \\ |T| > 1}} (-1)^{|T|+1} r_T f(r_{T'} m)$ .

**Remark 2.2.5** Let  $f \in D_R^0(M, N) = Hom_R(M, N)$ . Then

$$[f, r_0](m) = f(r_0 m) - r_0 f(m) = 0$$

for any  $r_0 \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Therefore, we get  $[f, r_0] = 0$ .

**Remark 2.2.6** Let  $f \in D^1_R(M, N)$ . By considering the equation given in (1), we obtain

$$[f, r_0, r_1](m) = f(r_0 r_1 m) - r_0 f(r_1 m) - r_1 f(r_0 m) + r_0 r_1 f(m) = 0$$

for any  $r_0, r_1 \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Hence, we get  $[f, r_0, r_1] = 0$ .

More generally, let  $f \in D_R^n(M, N)$ . Then by (1), we have

$$[f, r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n] = 0$$

for any  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ .

**Definition 2.2.7** Let M and N be R-modules and let

$$\Delta_n: M \longrightarrow N$$

be a differential operator of order n. If for any R-module K and for any differential operator

$$d: M \longrightarrow K$$

of order n, there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\alpha: N \longrightarrow K$$

which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} & K \\
\Delta_n \downarrow & & \downarrow id \\
N & \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & K
\end{array}$$

commutative, then

$$\Delta_n: M \longrightarrow N$$

is said to be the universal differential operator of order n. And N is called the universal differential operator module of order n.

Let M be an R-module and consider the tensor product  $R \otimes_k M$ .  $R \otimes_k M$  is an  $R \otimes R$ -module with

$$(r \otimes s)(r^{'} \otimes m) = (rr^{'} \otimes sm)$$

where  $r, s, r' \in R$  and  $m \in M$ .

Note that since  $I^{n+1}$  is an ideal of  $R \otimes_k R$  for  $n \geq 1$ , we can define the quotient module

$$R \otimes_k M/I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M).$$

**Definition 2.2.8** Let R be a k-algebra and let M be an R-module. The quotient module

$$R \otimes_k M/I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M)$$

is called the universal differential operator module of order n of M and denoted by  $J_n(M)$ . Moreover, the universal differential operator  $\Delta_n$  is defined as the composite of the following maps

$$\Delta_n: M \to R \otimes_k M \to J_n(M)$$

$$m \mapsto 1 \otimes m \mapsto 1 \otimes m + I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M).$$

**Proposition 2.2.9**  $\Delta_n: M \to J_n(M)$  is a differential operator of order n.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that  $\Delta_n$  is k-linear. Further, by the definition of  $\Delta_n$  we see that  $I^{n+1}\Delta_n = 0$ . By using the proposition (2.2.3), we get the result.  $\blacksquare$ 

Next, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the universal module of differential operators.

**Proposition 2.2.10** Let M be an R-module. Then the map

$$\Delta_n: M \to J_n(M)$$

is the universal differential operator of order n of M.

**Proof.** Let K be an R-module and let

$$f: M \longrightarrow K$$

be a differential operator of order n. Our aim is to show that there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\alpha: J_n(M) \longrightarrow K$$

such that  $\alpha \Delta_n = f$ . Let us define the map

$$F: R \otimes_k M \to K$$
  
 $r \otimes m \mapsto rf(m).$ 

Then we have Fi = f where

$$i: M \longrightarrow R \otimes M$$

is given by  $i(m) = 1 \otimes m$ . Since f is a differential operator of order n, by using the proposition (2.2.3), we see  $I^{n+1}f = 0$ .

Claim. We have  $F(I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M)) = 0$ .

Proof of Claim. Let  $r, r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Then considering the equality given in (1) and the fact that f is a differential operator of order n enables us the following:

$$F(\prod_{i=0}^{n} (1 \otimes r_{i} - r_{i} \otimes 1)(r \otimes m)) = F(\sum_{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} (r_{T} \otimes r_{T'})(r \otimes m))$$

$$= F(\sum_{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} (r_{T}r \otimes r_{T'}m))$$

$$= \sum_{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} r_{T} r f(r_{T'}m)$$

$$= r(\sum_{T \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|T|} r_{T} f(r_{T'}m)) = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain the uniquely induced map

$$\overline{F}: R \otimes_k M/I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M) \longrightarrow K$$
$$r \otimes m + I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M) \longrightarrow rf(m)$$

such that  $\overline{F}p = F$  where p is the natural homomorphism

$$p: R \otimes_k M \longrightarrow R \otimes_k M/I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M).$$

So, we see that

$$\overline{F}pi = Fi = f.$$

Thus, the map  $pi = \Delta_n$  is the universal differential operator of order n.

**Proposition 2.2.11** Let M be an R-module and let  $\Delta'_n$  and  $J'_n(M)$  be another universal differential operator and universal differential operator module of M, respectively. Then there exists a unique R-module isomorphism

$$\alpha: J_n(M) \longrightarrow J'_n(M)$$

such that  $\Delta'_n = \alpha \Delta_n$ .

**Proof.** Since we know that

$$\Delta'_n: M \longrightarrow J'_n(M)$$

satisfies the universal property, we obtain the following commutative diagrams:

such that

$$\alpha \circ \Delta_n = \Delta'_n$$
 and  $\beta \circ \Delta'_n = \Delta_n$ .

Hence, we get

$$\beta \alpha \Delta_n(m) = \Delta_n(m)$$

and

$$\alpha\beta\Delta_{n}^{'}(m) = \Delta_{n}^{'}(m)$$

for each  $m \in M$ . On the other hand, the identity maps  $1_{J_n(M)}$  and  $1_{J_n'(M)}$  satisfy

$$1_{J_n(M)}\Delta_n(m) = \Delta_n(m)$$
 and  $1_{J_n'(M)}\Delta_n'(m) = \Delta_n'(m)$ 

for each  $m \in M$ . So, by the uniqueness we see  $\alpha \beta = 1_{J_n'(M)}$  and  $\beta \alpha = 1_{J_n(M)}$ . Then we conclude that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism, as desired.

**Proposition 2.2.12** Let M and N be R-modules. Then the map

$$\psi: Hom_R(J_n(M), N) \longrightarrow D_R^n(M, N), \ \alpha \mapsto \alpha \Delta_n$$

is an R-module isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let  $D \in D_R^n(M, N)$ . Since  $J_n(M)$  satisfies the universal property, there exists an R-linear map

$$\alpha: J_n(M) \longrightarrow N$$

such that  $\alpha \Delta_n = D$ . Then  $\psi(\alpha) = \alpha \Delta_n = D$ . Hence,  $\psi$  is surjective.

Let  $\alpha \in Hom_R(J_n(M), N)$  and let  $\psi(\alpha) = 0$ . By the definition of the map  $\psi$ , we obtain  $\alpha \Delta_n(m) = 0$  for each  $m \in M$ .

Furthermore, we know that  $J_n(M)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\Delta_n(m): m \in M\}$$

as an R-module and  $\alpha$  is an R-module homomorphism. Then we get

$$\alpha(J_n(M)) = 0.$$

So,  $\alpha = 0$  which means that  $\psi$  is one-to-one.

Corollary 2.2.13 Let M = N = R in the proposition (2.2.12). Then

$$Hom_R(J_n(R), R) \cong D^n(R)$$

is an R-module isomorphism.

Let M be an R-module. In the following theorem, we give the relation between  $J_n(R) = R \otimes_k R / I^{n+1}$  and  $J_n(M) = R \otimes_k M / I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.14** Let M be an R-module. Assume  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Then the map

$$J_n(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma} J_n(R) \otimes_R M$$

given by

$$\gamma(r \otimes sm + I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k M)) = (r \otimes s + I^{n+1}) \otimes_R m$$

is an R-module isomorphism.

**Proof.** Consider the natural isomorphism

$$p: M \to R \otimes_R M$$
.

This map induces the following isomorphism

$$J_n(M) \cong J_n(R \otimes_R M).$$

By the definition, we have

$$J_n(R \otimes_R M) = R \otimes_k (R \otimes_R M) / I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k (R \otimes_R M)).$$

On the other hand, we get

$$J_n(R \otimes_R M) = (R \otimes_k R) \otimes_R M / I^{n+1} \otimes_R M$$

since

$$I^{n+1}(R \otimes_k (R \otimes_R M)) = I^{n+1} \otimes_R M.$$

Then we conclude

$$J_n(M) \cong J_n(R) \otimes_R M.$$

as desired.  $\blacksquare$ 

Corollary 2.2.15 Let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  and N be R-modules. Then the followings hold:

(i) 
$$J_n(\bigoplus_i M_i) \cong \bigoplus_i J_n(M_i)$$
.

(ii) Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a finite family of R-modules. Then

$$D_R^n(\bigoplus_i M_i, N) \cong \bigoplus_i D_R^n(M_i, N).$$

Proof.

(i) We have  $J_n(\bigoplus_i M_i) \cong J_n(R) \otimes_R(\bigoplus_i M_i)$ . Then

$$J_n(R) \otimes_R (\bigoplus_i M_i) \cong \bigoplus_i (J_n(R) \otimes_R M_i) \cong \bigoplus_i J_n(M_i).$$

(ii) Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a finite family of R-modules. Then

$$D_R^n(\bigoplus_i M_i, N) \cong Hom_R(J_n(\bigoplus_i M_i), N).$$

By (i), we get

$$D_{R}^{n}(\bigoplus_{i} M_{i}, N) \cong Hom_{R}(\bigoplus_{i} J_{n}(M_{i}), N)$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i} Hom_{R}(J_{n}(M_{i}), N)$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i} D_{R}^{n}(M_{i}, N)$$

as required.

### 2.3 Universal Modules of High Order Derivations

**Definition 2.3.1** Let M be an R-module. An n<sup>th</sup> order differential operator d,

$$d: R \longrightarrow M$$

such that d(1) = 0 is called a derivation of order n.

**Definition 2.3.2** Let M be an R-module. Then the set

$$\{d \in D^n(R, M) : d(1) = 0\}$$

is called the module of derivations of order n and is denoted by  $Der^n(R, M)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.3** Let  $J_n(R)$  be the universal module of differential operators of order n of R. Then  $R\Delta_n(1)$  is a direct summand of  $J_n(R)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $1_R: R \longrightarrow R$  be the identity map and

$$\Delta_n: R \longrightarrow J_n(R)$$

be the universal differential operator of order n of R. By using the proposition (2.1.4), we say that  $1_R \in D^n(R)$  and by universality, there exists an R-module homomorphism

$$\alpha: J_n(R) \longrightarrow R$$

such that  $\alpha \Delta_n = 1_R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an R-module homomorphism, we get  $\alpha$  is surjective. Let us define a map

$$\beta: R \to J_n(R)$$

$$r \mapsto r\Delta_n(1).$$

This map is an R-module homomorphism and it satisfies  $\alpha\beta = 1_R$ .

Claim 1. 
$$J_n(R) = ker(\alpha) + R\Delta_n(1)$$
.

Proof of Claim 1. Let  $x \in J_n(R)$ . Then we can rewrite x as following:

$$x = (x - \beta \alpha(x)) + \beta \alpha(x).$$

Since  $\alpha\beta = 1_R$ , we have  $\alpha(x - \beta\alpha(x)) = 0$  and hence,  $x - \beta\alpha(x) \in ker(\alpha)$ .

On the other hand, by the definition of  $\beta$  we see that

$$\beta(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x)\Delta_n(1).$$

Therefore we get,  $x \in ker(\alpha) + R\Delta_n(1)$ .

Claim 2.  $ker(\alpha) \cap R\Delta_n(1) = 0$ .

Proof of Claim 2. Assume  $x \in ker(\alpha) \cap R\Delta_n(1)$ . Then  $\alpha(x) = 0$  and x is of the form  $r\Delta_n(1)$  for some  $r \in R$ . So, we get

$$0 = \alpha(x) = \alpha(r\Delta_n(1)) = r.$$

Thus, we have

$$J_n(R) = ker(\alpha) \oplus R\Delta_n(1),$$

as desired.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Definition 2.3.4** Let R be a k-algebra. Then the factor module

$$ker\alpha := J_n(R)/R\Delta_n(1)$$

is called the universal module of derivations of order n and is denoted by  $\Omega_n(R)$ . Universal derivation of order n is denoted by  $\delta_n$  and is defined as the composition of the following maps:

$$\delta_n: R \to J_n(R) \to \Omega_n(R)$$

$$r \mapsto \Delta_n(r) \mapsto \Delta_n(r) + R\Delta_n(1).$$

**Proposition 2.3.5** Let R be a k-algebra. Then the map

$$\delta_n:R\longrightarrow\Omega_n(R)$$

is a derivation of order n.

**Proof.** Let p be the natural epimorphism

$$p: J_n(R) \longrightarrow \Omega_n(R).$$

We know that  $p \in D_R^0(J_n(R), \Omega_n(R))$ . Since  $\delta_n = p\Delta_n$ , by using the proposition (2.1.5), we get  $\delta_n \in D^n(R, \Omega_n(R))$ . Besides,  $\delta_n(1) = p\Delta_n(1) = 0$ . Then we conclude that  $\delta_n \in Der^n(R, \Omega_n(R))$ .

### **Proposition 2.3.6** Let M be an R-module and

$$d: R \longrightarrow M$$

be a derivation of order n. Then there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\rho:\Omega_n(R)\to M$$

such that

$$R \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \Omega_n(R)$$

$$d \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho$$

$$M \xrightarrow{1_R} M$$

the diagram commutes. In other words,  $\Omega_n(R)$  and  $\delta_n$  are universal.

**Proof.** Assume  $d \in Der^n(R, M)$ . Then by the definition, we know that  $d \in D^n(R, M)$ . So, by the proposition (2.2.10), there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\beta: J_n(R) \to M$$

such that  $\beta \Delta_n = d$ . Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$R \xrightarrow{d} M$$

$$\Delta_n \downarrow \qquad ||$$

$$J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\beta} M.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\beta(\Delta_n(1)) = d(1) = 0.$$

Hence, we can induce a unique R-homomorphism

$$\rho:\Omega_n(R)\longrightarrow M$$

such that the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R & \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} & M \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ J_n(R) & \stackrel{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & M \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Omega_n(R) & \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} & M. \end{array}$$

Then we have the desired result.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Proposition 2.3.7** Let  $\Omega'_n(R)$  and  $\delta'_n$  be any other universal module and universal derivation of order n of R, respectively. Then there exists an R-module isomorphism,

$$\gamma: \Omega_n(R) \longrightarrow \Omega'_n(R)$$

such that  $\delta'_n = \gamma \delta_n$ .

**Proof.** By universality of  $\delta_n$ , we have the following commutative diagram

$$R \xrightarrow{\delta'_n} \Omega'_n(R)$$

$$\delta_n \downarrow \qquad ||$$

$$\Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Omega'_n(R).$$

Since the map

$$\delta'_n: R \to \Omega'_n(R)$$

is universal, in the same manner we get:

$$R \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \Omega_n(R)$$

$$\delta'_n \downarrow \qquad ||$$

$$\Omega'_n(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_n(R).$$

And by commutativity they both satisfy,

$$\gamma \delta_n = \delta'_n$$
 and  $\alpha \delta'_n = \delta_n$ .

So, we have

$$\alpha \gamma \delta_n(r) = \delta_n(r)$$
 and  $\gamma \alpha \delta_n'(r) = \delta_n'(r)$ 

for all  $r \in R$ . On the other hand, we have

$$1_{\Omega_n(R)}\delta_n(r) = \delta_n(r)$$
 and  $1_{\Omega'_n(R)}\delta'_n(r) = \delta'_n(r)$ ,

for all  $r \in R$ . Then by uniqueness, we obtain

$$\gamma \alpha = 1_{\Omega'_n(R)}$$
 and  $\alpha \gamma = 1_{\Omega_n(R)}$ .

Therefore,  $\gamma:\Omega_n(R)\to\Omega'_n(R)$  is an isomorphism of R-modules.  $\blacksquare$ 

Next, we will prove the relation between  $\Omega_n(R)$  and  $J_n(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.8**  $J_n(R)$  is projective if and only if  $\Omega_n(R)$  is projective.

**Proof.** Let  $J_n(R)$  be a projective R-module. Then there exists a free R-module F and a projective R-module P such that

$$F = P \oplus J_n(R)$$
.

By the lemma (2.3.3), we have  $\Omega_n(R)$  is a direct summand of the free module F. Hence, it is a projective R-module. Conversely, assume that  $\Omega_n(R)$  is projective. Then there exists a free R-module Q and projective R-module K such that

$$Q = \Omega_n(R) \oplus K$$
.

Then, we get

$$Q \oplus R = \Omega_n(R) \oplus R \oplus K$$
.

By using the lemma (2.3.3), we obtain  $J_n(R)$  is projective.

Proposition 2.3.9 Let M be an R-module. Then

$$D^n(R, M) \cong Der^n(R, M) \oplus M$$
.

**Proof.** By the proposition (2.2.12), we have

$$D^n(R, M) \cong Hom_R(J_n(R), M).$$

And, by the lemma (2.3.3), we get

$$D^n(R, M) \cong Hom_R(\Omega_n(R), M) \oplus Hom_R(R, M).$$

By considering the isomorphism

$$Hom_R(R, M) \cong M$$
,

we obtain

$$D^n(R, M) \cong Der^n(R, M) \oplus M.$$

as desired.  $\blacksquare$ 

#### 2.4 Universal Modules of Local Rings

**Lemma 2.4.1** (Uniqueness Lemma) Let R and S be k-algebras and let M be an R-module. Let  $f: S \to R$  be an algebra homomorphism. M is considered as an S-module by means of f. Suppose that:

if  $d: R \to M$  is a derivation with df = 0, then d = 0.

Then if  $d_1, d_2 : R \to M$  are differential operators of any order with  $d_1 f = d_2 f$ , then  $d_1 = d_2$ .

**Proof.** ([17], Lemma 13.1). ■

**Theorem 2.4.2** (Local Extension Lemma) Let R be a k-algebra and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. Let  $\psi: R \to R_S$  be the natural map. If M is an  $R_S$ -module and d is a differential operator from R into the  $R_S$ -module M, then there is a unique differential operator  $d_S$  from  $R_S$  into M such that  $d_S\psi = d$ .

**Proof.** ([17], Lemma 13.2). ■

**Lemma 2.4.3** Let d be a differential operator of order n on  $R_S$  into an  $R_S$ -module M and assume that d(r/1) = 0 for all  $r \in R$ . Then d = 0.

**Proof.** We prove it by induction on n. Let n = 0. Then d is an  $R_S$ -module homomorphism. So,

$$d(r/s) = r/sd(1) = 0$$

for all  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$  which means that d = 0. Assume that the lemma is true for differential operators of order less than n. Now, we prove it for n. Let d be a differential operator of order n and let  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then

$$([d, r/1]1/s - r/s[d, s/1]1/s)(1) = d(r/s) - r/sd(1) = [d, r/s](1).$$
 (2)

On the other hand, we have

$$[d, r/1](s/1) = d(rs/1) - r/1d(s/1) = 0.$$

As [d, r/1] is a differential operator of order n-1, by the induction hypothesis, we get [d, r/1] = 0 for all  $r \in R$ .

By using the equation given in (2), we obtain

$$[d, r/s](1) = 0.$$

Hence, d=0.

**Theorem 2.4.4** Let R be a k-algebra and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. Let  $J_n(R_S)$  be the universal module of order n of  $R_S$ . Then

$$J_n(R_S) \cong R_S \otimes_R J_n(R)$$
.

**Proof.** Let  $\Delta_n : R \to J_n(R)$  be the universal differential operator of order n of R and let

$$\psi: J_n(R) \rightarrow J_n(R)_S$$

$$m \mapsto m/1$$

be the canonical map where  $m \in J_n(R)$ .

Claim.  $J_n(R)_S$  is the universal module of differential operators of order n of  $R_S$ .

Proof of Claim. We have the following maps:

$$R \stackrel{\Delta_n}{\longrightarrow} J_n(R) \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} J_n(R)_S.$$

By the proposition (2.1.5),  $\psi \Delta_n$  is a differential operator of order n of R. Since  $J_n(R)_S$  is an  $R_S$ -module, by the local extension lemma given in (2.4.2), there exists a differential operator  $\delta$  of order n

$$\delta: R_S \to J_n(R)_S$$

such that  $\delta(r/1) = \psi \Delta_n(r)$  for all  $r \in R$ . Our aim is to show that  $\delta$  satisfies the universal property. Let N be an  $R_S$ -module and let D be a differential operator of order n of  $R_S$  into N. Let us define a map

$$d: R \to N$$

such that d(r) = D(r/1) for all  $r \in R$ . By the definition of the map d, we can see that  $d \in D^n(R, N)$ .

By the universality of  $J_n(R)$ , there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\alpha: J_n(R) \to N$$

such that  $\alpha \Delta_n = d$ . Since N is an  $R_S$ -module,  $\alpha$  induces a unique  $R_S$ -module homomorphism

$$\alpha_S: J_n(R)_S \to N$$

such that  $\alpha_S(m/1) = \alpha(m)$  for all  $m \in J_n(R)$ . Then for any  $r \in R$ , we have

$$D(r/1) - \alpha_S \delta(r/1) = D(r/1) - \alpha_S \psi \Delta_n(r) = d(r) - \alpha \Delta_n(r) = 0.$$

That is,  $(D - \alpha_S \delta)(r/1) = 0$ . By using the lemma (2.4.3), we obtain  $D = \alpha_S \delta$ . Therefore, the following diagram commutes:

$$R_S \xrightarrow{D} N$$

$$\delta \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$J_n(R)_S \xrightarrow{\alpha_S} N.$$

Thus,  $\delta$  is the universal differential operator of order n of  $R_S$ . By the uniqueness of the universal module, we obtain

$$J_n(R)_S \cong J_n(R_S).$$

On the other hand, by considering the following isomorphism

$$J_n(R)_S \cong R_S \otimes_R J_n(R)$$

we obtain the desired result  $J_n(R_S) \cong R_S \otimes_R J_n(R)$ .

Corollary 2.4.5 Let R be a k-algebra and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. Let M be an R-module. Then

$$J_n(M_S) \cong J_n(M)_S$$
.

**Proof.** By the theorems (2.2.14) and (2.4.4), we have

$$J_n(M_S) \cong M_S \otimes_{R_S} J_n(R_S) \cong M_S \otimes_{R_S} (R_S \otimes_R J_n(R))$$
  
$$\cong M_S \otimes_R J_n(R) \cong R_S \otimes_R (M \otimes_R J_n(R))$$
  
$$\cong R_S \otimes_R J_n(M) \cong J_n(M)_S$$

as required.

Corollary 2.4.6 Let R be a k- algebra and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. Let  $\Omega_n(R_S)$  be the universal module of derivations of order n of  $R_S$ . Then

$$\Omega_n(R_S) \cong R_S \otimes_R \Omega_n(R).$$

Corollary 2.4.7 Let R be a k-algebra and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. Let M be an R-module. Then

$$\Omega_n(M_S) \cong \Omega_n(M)_S$$
.

# 2.5 Examples of Differential Operators and Their Universal Modules

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers and let s be a fixed natural number. Suppose that  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . We shall set the followings:

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_s$$
 and  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \ldots \alpha_s!$ .

We say  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , if  $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$  for all i = 1, ..., s. Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_s$  be elements in R where R is a k-algebra, then we write

$$x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s}$$
.

**Example 2.5.1** Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra with s variables over k. Consider the map

$$\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : R \to R \text{ with } \partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$$

for i, j = 1, ..., s where  $\delta_{i,j}$  denotes Kronecker delta function. For any monomial  $x^{\beta} := x_1^{\beta_1} ... x_s^{\beta_s} \in R$ , the partial derivation of order  $|\alpha|$  is given by the formula,

$$\partial^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-\alpha)!} x^{\beta-\alpha} & \text{if } \beta \geq \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, we can conclude that  $\partial^{\alpha}$  is a differential operator of order  $|\alpha|$  of R.

The next example shows the relationship between  $Der^n(R,A)$  and  $D^n(R,A)$ .

**Example 2.5.2** Let R be a k-algebra and let A be an R-module. Assume that  $D \in Hom_k(R, A)$ . Then we have

$$D \in D^1(R, A)$$
 if and only if  $D - D(1)_R \in Der^1(R, A)$ 

where  $D(1)_R$  denotes the multiplication map from R into A and is defined by

$$D(1)_R(x) := xD(1).$$

Assume that  $D \in D^1(R, A)$ . By the definition (2.1.1), we have

$$0 = [D, a_0, a_1] = Da_0a_1 - a_0Da_1 - a_1Da_0 + a_0a_1D.$$

Hence, we see that

$$D(a_0 a_1) = a_0 D(a_1) + a_1 D(a_0) - a_0 a_1 D(1)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{T \neq \emptyset \\ T \subseteq \{0,1\}}} (-1)^{|T|+1} a_T D(a_{T'})$$
(3)

where  $a_T = \prod_{k \in T} a_k$ ; T' is the complement of T in  $\{0,1\}$  and  $a_0, a_1 \in R$ . On the other hand, by using the equality in (3), we see that

$$(D - D(1)_R)(a_0 a_1) = a_0(D - D(1)_R)(a_1) + a_1(D - D(1)_R)(a_0)$$

for any  $a_0, a_1 \in R$ . Hence,  $D - D(1)_R$  is a derivation of R into A. Conversely, assume that  $D - D(1)_R$  is a derivation. Then by using the equality,

$$(D - D(1)_R)(a_0 a_1) = a_0 (D - D(1)_R)(a_1) + a_1 (D - D(1)_R)(a_0)$$

we obtain that

$$D(a_0a_1) = a_0D(a_1) + a_1D(a_0) - a_0a_1D(1)$$

which is the desired result. Moreover, this result can be generalized as:

$$D \in D^n(R, A)$$
 if and only if  $D - D(1)_R \in Der^n(R, A)$ 

(see [18, Lemma 1.2.1]).

**Example 2.5.3** Let  $R = k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra over k with s variables and let D be a differential operator of order n of R. Assume that I is an ideal of R such that  $D(I) \subseteq I$ . Then D induces a differential operator

$$\bar{D}: R/I \to R/I$$

of order n. Notice that  $\bar{D}$  is defined as  $\bar{D}(r+I) = D(r) + I$  and since  $D(I) \subseteq I$ , it can be easily seen that  $\bar{D}$  is well-defined. Furthermore, we have

$$[\bar{D}, \bar{r_0}, \dots, \bar{r_n}] = [D, r_0, \dots, r_n] + I.$$

So,  $\bar{D}$  is a differential operator of order n.

**Example 2.5.4** [18, Remark 1.1] Let  $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a set of k-algebra generators of R and assume that  $D \in Hom_k(R, A)$  and  $[D, a_i] \in D^{n-1}(R, A)$  for every  $i \in I$ . Then by using the following equality

$$[D, a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial (a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n})}{\partial a_i} [D, a_i]$$

we conclude that  $D \in D^n(R, A)$ .

**Example 2.5.5** [19, Lemma 1] Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]/P^{n+1}$  where P is a prime ideal of the polynomial algebra  $k[x_1, ..., x_s]$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of R such that  $\sigma$  induces the identity on  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]/P$ .

Claim.  $\sigma$  is a differential operator of order n of R.

Since  $\sigma$  induces the identity on  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_s]/P$ , we obtain

$$\sigma(r) - r \in P$$

for any  $r \in R$ . Hence, we have the following:

$$[\sigma, r_0, \dots, r_n](1) = (\sigma(r_0) - r_0) \dots (\sigma(r_n) - r_n) = 0$$

where  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ . So,  $\sigma$  is a differential operator of order n, as required.

Next, we give some examples about universal modules of differential operators of order n.

**Example 2.5.6** [1, Prop. 2] Let k be a commutative ring with identity and  $A = k[x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda]$  be a polynomial algebra over k with indeterminates  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . In this case,  $A \otimes_k A$  is again a polynomial ring with indeterminates  $1 \otimes x_{\lambda}$  and  $x_{\lambda} \otimes 1$  on the same index set  $\Lambda$ . If we set

$$y_{\Lambda} := 1 \otimes x_{\lambda} - x_{\lambda} \otimes 1$$

and identify  $x_{\lambda} \otimes 1$  with  $x_{\lambda}$ , then  $A \otimes_k A$  is a polynomial ring  $k[x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda]$ . So, the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\varphi: A \otimes A \to A$$

is generated by  $\{y_{\lambda}\}.$ 

Hence,  $\Omega_n(A) = I/I^{n+1}$  is a free module over A with basis

$$\delta_n x_{\lambda}, \delta_n x_{\lambda} \delta_n x_{\mu}, \dots, \delta_n x_{\lambda_1} \dots \delta_n x_{\lambda_n}$$

where  $\delta_n$  denotes the universal differential operator of order n of A. For any polynomial  $f \in A$ , we obtain

$$\delta_n(f) = \sum_{\lambda} (\Delta_{\lambda} f) \delta_n x_{\lambda} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu} (\Delta_{\lambda \mu} f) \delta_n x_{\lambda} \delta_n x_{\mu}$$
$$\dots + \sum_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} (\Delta_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} f) \delta_n x_{\lambda_1} \dots \delta_n x_{\lambda_n}.$$

On the other hand, by using the given equality

$$\delta_n(x_{\lambda_1} \dots x_{\lambda_n}) = \sum_i x_{\lambda_1} \dots \hat{x}_{\lambda_i} \dots x_{\lambda_n} \delta_n(x_{\lambda_i}) + \dots + \sum_{i < j} x_{\lambda_1} \dots \hat{x}_{\lambda_i} \dots \hat{x}_{\lambda_j} \dots x_{\lambda_n} \delta_n(x_{\lambda_i}) \delta_n(x_{\lambda_j}) + \dots$$

we can solve  $\delta_n x_{\lambda} \delta_n x_{\mu}, \dots, \delta_n x_{\lambda_1} \dots \delta_n x_{\lambda_n}$  in terms of

$$\delta_n(x_{\lambda}), \delta_n(x_{\lambda}x_{\mu}), \ldots, \delta_n(x_{\lambda_1}\ldots x_{\lambda_n}).$$

Hence,

$$\{\delta_n(x_{\lambda}), \delta_n(x_{\lambda}x_{\mu}), \dots, \delta_n(x_{\lambda_1} \dots x_{\lambda_n})\}$$

forms a basis for  $\Omega_n(k[x_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda])$ . Note that this result is also true for  $J_n(A)$ , in other words, if A is given as above, then  $J_n(A)$  is a free A-module with basis

$$\{\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}) : |\alpha| \le n\}$$

where  $\Delta_n: A \to J_n(A)$  be the universal differential operator of order n of A.

**Example 2.5.7** Let  $K = k(x_1, ..., x_s)$  be the field of fractions of  $k[x_1, ..., x_s]$ . Then by the following isomorphism given in (2.4.4)

$$J_n(K) \cong K \otimes_R J_n(R),$$

we obtain that  $J_n(K)$  is a K-vector space with basis

$$\{\Delta_n(x^\alpha): |\alpha| \le n\}$$

where  $\Delta_n: K \to J_n(K)$  is the universal differential operator of order n of K.

**Example 2.5.8** Let F be a free module of finite rank over a polynomial algebra  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_s]$  with basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_t$ . Let M be a free R-module with basis

$$\{m_{\alpha,i} : i = 1, ..., t \text{ and } |\alpha| \le n\}.$$

Let  $\Delta_n : F \to M$  be a k-linear transformation defined by  $\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}e_i) = m_{\alpha,i}$ . Suppose that N is the submodule of M generated by all the relations

$$\{[\Delta_n, r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n](e_i) : r_j \in R, i = 1, \dots, t\}$$

and we have the natural map

$$\pi: M \to M/N$$
.

Claim. The composition map

$$\pi \Delta_n : F \to M/N$$

is a differential operator of order n.

Proof of Claim. We need to show that

$$[\pi \Delta_n, r_0, \dots, r_n] = 0$$

for any  $r_0, \ldots, r_n \in R$ . Notice that we have the following equality

$$[\pi \Delta_n, r_0, \dots, r_n](e_i) = \pi [\Delta_n, r_0, \dots, r_n](e_i),$$

and by considering the definition of N, we get

$$[\pi \Delta_n, r_0, \dots, r_n](e_i) = 0$$

for each i = 1, ..., t. Moreover, M/N is the universal module of differential operators of order n of F and the composite map  $\pi \Delta_n$  is the universal differential operator of F. So,  $J_n(F) = M/N$ . On the other hand, we have the following isomorphism

$$J_n(F) \cong J_n(R) \otimes_R F$$

given by  $\pi \Delta_n(x^{\alpha}e_i) = \delta_n(x^{\alpha}) \otimes e_i$  where  $\delta_n$  is the universal differential operator

$$\delta_n: R \to J_n(R)$$

and we know by the example (2.5.6) that  $J_n(R)$  is a free R-module. So,  $J_n(R) \otimes F$  is a free F-module with basis

$$\{\delta_n(x^{\alpha})\otimes e_i: i=1,\ldots,n \text{ and } |\alpha|\leq n\}.$$

Hence,  $J_n(F)$  is a free F-module with basis

$$\{\pi\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}e_i): i=1,\ldots t \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq n\}.$$

Now, we give some examples on the module of differential operators of order n.

**Example 2.5.9** Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra with s variables over k. Then

$$D^n(R) = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \le n} R \partial^{\alpha}$$

where  $\partial^{\alpha}$  is defined as in the example (2.5.1).

**Example 2.5.10** Let  $K = k(x_1, ..., x_s)$  be the field of fractions of  $k[x_1, ..., x_s]$ . Then  $D^n(K)$  is a K-vector space with basis

$$\{\partial^{\alpha}: |\alpha| \leq n\}.$$

**Example 2.5.11** Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra and let S = R/I. Then there is a well-defined map

$$\phi: \{D \in D^n(R) : D(I) \subseteq I\} \to D^n(S)$$

where  $\phi(D)(\overline{r}) = \overline{D(r)}$ . If  $\phi(D) = 0$ , then  $\overline{D(r)} = 0$  and this means that  $D(r) \in I$  for all  $r \in R$ . Hence,  $D(R) \subseteq I$ . Now, assume that  $f \in D^n(S)$  and consider the natural map  $\pi : R \to S$ . Then by the proposition (2.1.5), we have

$$f\pi:R\to S$$

is a differential operator of order n of R. By the universality of  $J_n(R)$ , there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\alpha: J_n(R) \to S$$

such that  $\alpha \Delta_n = f\pi$ . Notice that we have the following diagram

$$J_n(R)$$

$$\downarrow \alpha$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\pi} S$$

and by the example (2.5.6), we know that  $J_n(R)$  is a free R-module.

So, there exists an R-module homomorphism

$$\beta: J_n(R) \to R$$

such that  $\pi\beta = \alpha$ . Moreover, we have

$$\pi \beta \Delta_n(I) = \alpha \Delta_n(I) = f\pi(I) = 0$$

which illustrates that  $\beta \Delta_n(I) \subseteq I$ . On the other hand, we obtain

$$\phi(\beta \Delta_n)(\overline{r}) = \overline{\beta \Delta_n(r)} = \alpha \Delta_n(r) = f\pi(r) = f(\overline{r}).$$

Hence,  $\phi(\beta\Delta_n) = f$  and this ensures that  $\phi$  is surjective. Therefore, we obtain the following important isomorphism:

$$\{D\in D^n(R):D(I)\subseteq I\}/\{D\in D^n(R):D(R)\subseteq I\}\cong D^n(S).$$

#### 2.6 Universal Modules of Factor Rings

Let R and S be k-algebras and let

$$h: R \to S$$

be a k-algebra homomorphism. Assume that  $J_n(R)$  and  $J_n(S)$  are universal modules of order n of R and S, respectively and let

$$\Delta_n: R \to J_n(R)$$

and

$$\delta_n: S \to J_n(S)$$

be the universal differential operators of order n of R and S. By the k-algebra homomorphism h, we can regard  $J_n(S)$  as an R-module. By the proposition (2.1.5), we know

$$\delta_n h \in D^n(R, J_n(S)).$$

By the universal property of  $J_n(R)$ , there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$h^*: J_n(R) \to J_n(S)$$

such that  $h^*\Delta_n = \delta_n h$ , that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$R \xrightarrow{h} S$$

$$\Delta_n \downarrow \qquad \delta_n \downarrow$$

$$J_n(R) \xrightarrow{h^*} J_n(S).$$

Since  $J_n(S)$  is an S-module, we can define the following S-module homomorphism:

$$\theta: S \otimes_R J_n(R) \to J_n(S)$$

such that

$$\theta(\sum_{i} s_{i} \otimes \Delta_{n}(r_{i})) = \sum_{i} s_{i} \delta_{n}(h(r_{i}))$$

where  $r_i \in R$  and  $s_i \in S$ .

Let  $h: R \to R/I$  where I is an ideal of R. If we consider the isomorphism

$$R/I \otimes_R J_n(R) \cong J_n(R)/IJ_n(R),$$

then  $\theta$  can be defined as following:

$$\theta(\overline{\sum_{i} r_i \Delta_n(x_i)}) = \overline{\sum_{i} r_i} \delta_n(\overline{x_i})$$

where  $\overline{r_i} \in R/I$  and  $x_i \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.6.1** Let R be a k-algebra and let I be an ideal of R. Suppose that N is a submodule of  $J_n(R)$  generated by the elements of the form

$$\{\Delta_n(x):x\in I\}.$$

Then we have the following short exact sequence of R/I-modules:

$$0 \to \frac{N + IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \to \frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \xrightarrow{\theta} J_n(R/I) \to 0.$$
 (4)

**Proof.** By the definition of the map  $\theta$ , it is easy to see that it is surjective. To prove the exactness of the sequence in (4), we need to show that  $ker\theta = \frac{N+IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$ . For any  $x \in I$ , we have  $\theta(\overline{\Delta_n(x)}) = \delta_n(\overline{x}) = 0$  which shows that

$$\frac{N+IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \subseteq ker\theta.$$

Then  $\theta$  induces a unique R/I-module homomorphism

$$\frac{J_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}{N+IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} J_n(R/I)$$

and  $ker\overline{\theta} = \frac{\ker \theta}{N + IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}$ .

Claim.  $\overline{\theta}$  is one-to-one.

Proof of Claim. Let us consider the following maps:

$$R \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \frac{J_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}{N + IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are natural maps.

By the proposition (2.1.5), we have

$$\pi_2 \pi_1 \Delta_n \in D^n(R, \frac{J_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}{N+IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}).$$

Since  $\pi_2 \pi_1 \Delta_n(I) = 0$ , it reduces a unique map  $\overline{\Delta_n}$ ,

$$R/I \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta_n}} \frac{J_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}{N+IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}$$
.

Besides  $\overline{\Delta_n}$  is a differential operator of order n. By the universal property of  $J_n(R/I)$ , there exists a unique R/I-module homomorphism

$$\Psi: J_n(R/I) \to \frac{J_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}{N+IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)}$$

such that  $\Psi \overline{\theta} = 1$ . So,  $\overline{\theta}$  is one-to-one. Then we obtain

$$ker\theta \subseteq N + IJ_n(R)/IJ_n(R)$$

and it is the desired result.

Corollary 2.6.2 Let R be a k-algebra and let I be an ideal of R. Suppose that N is the submodule of  $\Omega_n(R)$  generated by the elements of the form

$$\{\delta_n(x):x\in I\}.$$

Then we have the following short exact sequence of R/I-modules:

$$0 \to \frac{N + I\Omega_n(R)}{I\Omega_n(R)} \to \frac{\Omega_n(R)}{I\Omega_n(R)} \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_n(R/I) \to 0.$$
 (5)

**Proposition 2.6.3** Let  $R = k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial k-algebra with s variables and I be an ideal of R generated by the set  $\{f_1, ..., f_t\}$  and let

$$\Delta_n: R \to J_n(R)$$

be the universal differential operator of order n of R. Assume that L is the submodule of  $J_n(R)$  generated by the set

$$\{\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}f_i) : 0 \le |\alpha| < n, \ i = 1, ..., t\}$$

where  $x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} ... x_s^{\alpha_s}$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_s$ . Then

$$R\Delta_n(I) \subseteq L + IJ_n(R)$$
.

**Proof.** It is known that  $\Delta_n$  is k-linear. We only need to show

$$\Delta_n(f_ig) \in L + IJ_n(R)$$

where  $g \in R$ . We can write g as following:

$$g = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta} b_{\beta} x^{\beta}, |\alpha| \ge n, |\beta| < n$$

where  $a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta} \in k$ . Then

$$\Delta_n(gf_i) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \Delta_n(f_i x^{\alpha}) + \sum_{\beta} b_{\beta} \Delta_n(f_i x^{\beta}).$$

Since  $|\alpha| \geq n$ , we can write  $\Delta_n(f_i x^{\alpha})$  as

$$\Delta_n(f_i x^{\alpha}) = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} \Delta_n(f_i x^{\mu}) + f_i(\sum_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} \Delta_n(x^{\gamma})), \quad |\mu| < n, \quad |\gamma| \le n$$

where  $c_{\mu}, d_{\gamma} \in R$ . By considering the above equations, we get

$$\Delta_n(gf_i) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mu} a_{\alpha} c_{\mu} \Delta_n(f_i x^{\mu}) + \sum_{\beta} b_{\beta} \Delta_n(f_i x^{\beta}) + f_i \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma} a_{\alpha} d_{\gamma} \Delta_n(x^{\gamma}) \in L + IJ_n(R).$$

This ensures that  $R\Delta_n(I) \subseteq L + IJ_n(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.4**  $\frac{R\Delta_n(I)+IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$  is generated by the set

$$\{\Delta_n(f_i x^{\alpha}) + IJ_n(R) : |\alpha| < n, i = 1, ..., t\}$$

as an R/I-module.

**Proof.** Let L be as above. Then  $\frac{L+IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$  is generated by

$$\{\overline{\Delta_n(f_i x^{\alpha})}: |\alpha| < n, i = 1, ..., t\}.$$

On the other hand, by proposition (2.6.3), we know  $R\Delta_n(I) \subseteq L + IJ_n(R)$ . Hence, we see

$$\frac{R\Delta_n(I) + IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} = \frac{L + IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$$

as stated.  $\blacksquare$ 

Corollary 2.6.5  $J_n(R/I)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_n(x^{\alpha}+I): |\alpha| \le n \}$$

 $with\ relations$ 

$$\theta(\Delta_n(f_ix^\alpha) + IJ_n(R))$$

where  $\delta_n: R/I \to J_n(R/I)$  is the universal differential operator of order n of R/I.

**Proof.** By the example (2.5.6),  $J_n(R)$  is a free R-module with basis

$$\{\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}): |\alpha| \leq n \}.$$

By considering the isomorphism

$$\frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \cong \frac{R}{I} \otimes_R J_n(R),$$

we obtain that  $\frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$  is a free R/I-module with basis

$$\{\overline{\Delta_n(x^\alpha)}: |\alpha| \le n\}.$$

Moreover, we have the following exact sequence

$$\frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \xrightarrow{\theta} J_n(R/I) \to 0.$$

So,  $J_n(R/I)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\theta(\overline{\Delta_n(x^{\alpha})}) : |\alpha| \le n\}$$

and this set equals to  $\{\delta_n(x^{\alpha}+I): |\alpha| \leq n\}$ . The relations are determined by the generators of  $\ker \theta$ . Hence, by the proposition (2.6.4), we get the result.

#### 2.7 Relation between Universal Modules and Vector Spaces

In this subsection, we give some relations between universal modules and vector spaces. Our aim is to prove that  $J_n(R) \otimes_R k \cong R/m^{n+1}$  where R is a k-algebra, m is a maximal ideal of R and  $R/m \cong k$ .

**Lemma 2.7.1** Let R be a k-algebra and m be an ideal of R. Let M and N be Rmodules. Then

$$D_R^n(M,N)(m^{n+i}M) \subseteq m^iN.$$

**Proof.** We prove it by induction on n. Firstly, assume that n=0. Then we have

$$D_R^0(M,N)(m^iM) = Hom_R(M,N)(m^iM) \subseteq m^iN.$$

Suppose that the result is true for all values less than n. We need to prove it for n, that is, we need to show the following:

$$D_R^n(M,N)(m^{n+i}M) \subseteq m^iN.$$

To show it we use induction on i. Let i = 0. Then the result is obvious. Assume that the result is true for i. Let  $\theta \in D_R^n(M, N)$  and by the definition of differential operator, we know that

$$[\theta, r] \in D_R^{n-1}(M, N)$$

for any  $r \in R$ . So, we have

$$\theta(m^{n+i+1}M) \subseteq m^{i+1}N + m\theta(m^{n+i}M)$$
 (by induction hypothesis on  $n$ )  
 $\subseteq m^{i+1}N + m(m^{i}N)$  (by induction hypothesis on  $i$ )  
 $\subseteq m^{i+1}N$ .

Then

$$D_R^n(M,N)(m^{n+i+1}M) \subseteq m^iN$$

as stated.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Lemma 2.7.2** Let R be a k-algebra and let m be a maximal ideal of R such that  $R/m \cong k$ . Then we have the following isomorphism of k-vector spaces:

$$\phi: D^n(R,k) \to Hom_k(R/m^{n+1},k)$$

$$D \mapsto \widetilde{D}$$

where  $\widetilde{D}(r+m^{n+1})=D(r)$  for any  $r\in R$ .

**Proof.** Let  $D \in D^n(R, k)$ . Then  $D(m^{n+1}) = 0$ . So, D induces a k-linear map

$$\widetilde{D}: R/m^{n+1} \to k$$

such that  $\widetilde{D}\pi = D$  where  $\pi$  is the natural surjection

$$\pi: R \to R/m^{n+1}$$
.

Hence, we have a well-defined map

$$\phi: D^{n}(R,k) \to Hom_{k}(R/m^{n+1},k)$$

$$D \mapsto \widetilde{D}.$$

Our aim is to show that  $\phi$  is a k-vector space isomorphism. Assume  $\phi(D) = 0$ . Then

$$0 = \widetilde{D}(\overline{r}) = \widetilde{D}\pi(r) = D(r)$$

for any  $r \in R$ . Hence, D = 0 which means that  $\phi$  is injective. Let  $\alpha \in Hom_k(R/m^{n+1}, k)$ . Then the composite of the following maps

$$R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/m^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} k$$

is an element of  $Hom_k(R, k)$ . Let  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ . Then  $r_i = x_i + l_i$  where  $x_i \in m$  and  $l_i \in k$ .

$$[\alpha \pi, r_0, \dots, r_n](R) = [\alpha \pi, x_0, \dots, x_n](R)$$

$$\subseteq (\alpha \pi x_0 \dots x_n)(R) + m \alpha \pi(R)$$

$$\subseteq \alpha \pi(m^{n+1}R) + m \alpha \pi(R)$$

$$= 0.$$

So,  $\alpha \pi \in D^n(R,k)$  and  $\phi(\alpha \pi) = \alpha$ . And, this ensures that  $\phi$  is surjective.

Corollary 2.7.3 Let R be a Noetherian k-algebra and let m be a maximal ideal of R such that  $R/m \cong k$ . Then

$$J_n(R) \otimes_R k \cong R/m^{n+1}$$

as k-vector spaces.

**Proof.** By the proposition (2.2.12), we have the following isomorphism

$$D^n(R,k) \cong Hom_R(J_n(R),k).$$

Since  $\otimes$  and Hom functors are adjoint operators, we get

$$Hom_R(J_n(R), k) \cong Hom_k(J_n(R) \otimes_R k, k).$$

So, by using the lemma (2.7.2),

$$Hom_k(R/m^{n+1}, k) \cong Hom_k(J_n(R) \otimes_R k, k).$$

Since R is Noetherian,  $R/m^{n+1}$  is a finite dimensional k-vector space. Therefore,

$$J_n(R) \otimes_R k \cong R/m^{n+1}$$

as required.  $\blacksquare$ 

#### 2.8 Universal Modules of Field Extensions

Let L and K be field extensions of k such that  $K \subseteq L$ . In this subsection, we give the relations between  $J_n(K)$  and  $J_n(L)$ .

**Theorem 2.8.1** Let L and K be field extensions of k such that  $K \subseteq L$ . Let  $\delta_n : K \to J_n(K)$  and  $\Delta_n : L \to J_n(L)$  be the universal differential operators of order n of K and L, respectively. If L is a finite dimensional extension of K, that is,  $\dim_K L$  is finite, then

$$\theta: L \otimes_K J_n(K) \to J_n(L)$$

$$\sum_i l_i \otimes_K \delta_n(x_i) \mapsto \sum_i l_i \Delta_n(x_i)$$

 $is\ an\ isomorphism\ of\ L\mbox{-spaces}.$ 

**Proof.** ([17], Theorem (13.12)).  $\blacksquare$ 

**Proposition 2.8.2** Let L be the field of fractions of an affine domain over a field k with transcendence basis  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$ . Then  $J_n(L)$  is an L-vector space with basis

$$\{\Delta'_n(x^\alpha): |\alpha| \le n, \ x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s}\}$$

where  $\Delta'_n: L \to J_n(L)$  is the universal operator of order n of L.

**Proof.** Let  $K = k(x_1 ... x_s)$ . Then L is a finite dimensional extension of K. By the example (2.5.7),  $J_n(K)$  is a K-vector space with basis

$$\{\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}): |\alpha| \le n, x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s}\}$$

where

$$\Delta_n: K \to J_n(K)$$

is the universal differential operator of order n of K. Therefore,  $L \otimes_K J_n(K)$  is an L-vector space with basis

$$\{1 \otimes \Delta_n(x^{\alpha}) : |\alpha| \leq n, \ x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s} \}.$$

By the theorem (2.8.1), we know the following isomorphism:

$$L \otimes_K J_n(K) \cong J_n(L).$$

So,  $J_n(L)$  is an L-vector space with basis

$$\{\Delta'_n(x^\alpha): |\alpha| \le n, x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s}\}$$

where  $\Delta'_n$  is the universal differential operator of order n of L.

Corollary 2.8.3 Suppose L is the field of fractions of an affine domain such that  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$  is a transcendence basis of L over k. Then

$$L \otimes_R D^n(K) \cong D^n(L)$$

where  $K = k(x_1 \dots x_s)$ .

**Proof.** By the proposition (2.2.12), we have the following isomorphisms

$$D^n(K) \cong Hom_K(J_n(K), K)$$
 and  $D^n(L) \cong Hom_L(J_n(L), L)$ .

Since  $J_n(K)$  is a finite dimensional vector space over K, we have

$$L \otimes_K Hom_K(J_n(K), K) \cong Hom_L(J_n(L), L).$$

Therefore, we get

$$L \otimes_R D^n(K) \cong D^n(L)$$

as required.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Lemma 2.8.4** Let K and L be field extensions of k such that  $K \subseteq L$  and L is algebraic over K. Let M be an L-module and let

$$\delta: L \to M$$

be a differential operator on L. If  $\delta$  is K-linear, then  $\delta$  is L-linear.

**Proof.** Assume that  $\delta \in D^n(L, M)$  is of the smallest degree which is K-linear but not L-linear. Since  $\delta$  is K-linear,  $[\delta, x]$  is K-linear for any  $x \in L$ . By the assumption, we know that L is algebraic over K. So, there exists a minimal polynomial

$$p(t) = \sum_{n} a_n t^n$$

with  $a_n \in K$  such that p(x) = 0 for all  $x \in L$ .

Since  $[\delta, x]$  is L-linear, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{split} [\delta, x^r] &= \delta x^r - x^r \delta \\ &= \delta x^r - x \delta x^{r-1} + x \delta x^{r-1} - x^r \delta \\ &= [\delta, x] x^{r-1} + x [\delta, x^{r-1}] \\ &= x^{r-1} [\delta, x] + x [\delta, x^{r-1}]. \end{split}$$

Hence, by induction we have

$$[\delta, x^n] = nx^{n-1}[\delta, x].$$

Then

$$0 = [\delta, p(x)] = [\delta, \sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}]$$
$$= \sum_{n} a_{n} [\delta, x^{n}]$$
$$= \sum_{n} n a_{n} x^{n-1} [\delta, x]$$
$$= p'(x) [\delta, x].$$

By the minimality of p(x),  $p'(x) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $[\delta, x] = 0$  which is a contradiction as we assume that  $\delta$  is not L-linear. Hence, we get  $\delta$  is L-linear as claimed.

**Proposition 2.8.5** Let K and L be field extensions of k such that  $K \subseteq L$  and L is algebraic over K. Let M be an L-module and let  $\delta$  is a differential operator of L into M. If  $\delta(K) = 0$ , then  $\delta(L) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\delta \in D^n(L, M)$  is of the smallest degree such that it is non-zero, but its restriction to K is zero. So, we have  $[\delta, x](K) = 0$  for each  $x \in K$ . By the minimality,  $[\delta, x] = 0$  which means that  $\delta$  is K-linear. By the lemma (2.8.4),  $\delta$  is L-linear. Since  $\delta(1) = 0$ , we get  $\delta(L) = 0$ .

#### 2.9 Universal Modules of Regular Algebras

Firstly, we develop basic tools of regular algebras. The following definitions and results can be found in [20, Chapter 15].

**Definition 2.9.1** Let R be a non-trivial commutative ring. An expression

$$P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \ldots \subset P_n$$

in which  $P_0, \ldots, P_n$  are prime ideals of R, is called a chain of prime ideals of R; the length of such a chain is the number of 'links'.

**Definition 2.9.2** The dimension of R, denoted by dimR, is defined to be

 $\sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{ there exists a chain of prime ideals of } R \text{ of length } n\}$ 

if this supremum exists, and  $\infty$  otherwise.

**Definition 2.9.3** Let  $P \in Spec(R)$ . Then the height of P, denoted by htP, is defined to be the supremum of lengths of chains

$$P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \ldots \subset P_n$$

of prime ideals of R for which  $P_n = P$  if this supremum exists, and  $\infty$  otherwise.

**Definition 2.9.4** Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal m. Then R is said to be regular if

$$dimR = vdim_{R/m}m/m^2$$

where vdim denotes the vector space dimension.

**Remark 2.9.5** Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal m. Then R is regular precisely when m can be generated by dimR elements.

**Example 2.9.6** Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, and suppose that there exists a prime ideal P which has height n and can be generated by htP = n elements  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ . Then the localization of R at P,  $R_P$ , is a regular local ring of dimension n, because by [20, Remarks 14.18 (iv) and (v)] we have

$$dim R_P = h t_{R_P} P R_P = h t P = n,$$

and its maximal ideal

$$PR_P = (\sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i)R_P = \sum_{i=1}^n R_P \frac{a_i}{1}$$

can be generated by n elements.

By this example, we get a way to construct substantial supply of examples of regular local rings.

**Example 2.9.7** Let p be a prime number. Then,  $p\mathbb{Z}$  is a prime ideal in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  and we have  $ht(p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ . Besides, it is generated by 1 element, it follows from the example (2.9.6) that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p\mathbb{Z}}$  is a regular local ring of dimension 1. Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a regular ring of dimension 1.

**Definition 2.9.8** Let R be a Noetherian regular local ring with maximal ideal m. A regular system of parameters for R is a set of dimR elements which generate m.

Note that, from now on, we'll consider R to be a Noetherian local k-algebra with maximal ideal m such that  $R/m \cong k$  under the natural map. Since R is Noetherian, then for each  $i \geq 0$ ,  $m^i/m^{i+1}$  is a finite dimensional k-vector space. Let us denote  $m^0 = R$ . It is clear that, for each  $i \geq 0$ , we have the following short exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \ \rightarrow \ m^i/m^{i+1} \ \rightarrow \ R/m^{i+1} \ \rightarrow \ R/m^i \ \rightarrow \ 0.$$

Inductively, we obtain that

$$dim_k R/m^{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} dim_k m^i/m^{i+1}.$$

**Theorem 2.9.9** Let R be a regular local k-algebra such that  $R/m \cong k$  under the natural map and let  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$  be a regular system of parameters for R. Then

$$dim_k R/m^{n+1} = \binom{n+s}{s}$$
.

**Proof.** [21, Theo. 2.9, p.119]

**Lemma 2.9.10** Let R be a domain and let L be the field of fractions of R. If M is a finitely generated R-module, then M is free if and only if

$$\dim_L L \otimes_R M = \mu(M)$$

where  $\mu(M)$  denotes the number of elements in the minimal generating set of M.

**Proof.** Firstly, note that the dimension of  $L \otimes_R M$  is called the rank of M. Assume that M is a free R-module. Then for some n,

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{n} R.$$

Hence, we get

$$\dim_L L \otimes_R M \cong \dim_L \bigoplus_n L = n.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $\dim_L L \otimes_R M = \mu(M) = n$ . Conversely, let  $\mu(M) = t$  and M is generated by the elements  $\{m_1, m_2, ..., m_t\}$ . Then we have

$$0 \to ker\theta \to R^t \xrightarrow{\theta} M \to 0 \tag{6}$$

short exact sequence of R-modules where the map  $\theta$  is defined as following:

$$\theta: R^t \to M$$

$$e_i \mapsto m_i$$

and  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_t\}$  forms a free basis for  $R^t$ . If we tensor the exact sequence given in (6) by L and consider the fact that L is a flat R-module, then we obtain the following short exact sequence of vector spaces:

$$0 \to L \otimes_R ker\theta \to L \otimes_R R^t \to L \otimes_R M \to 0.$$
 (7)

By the assumption,

$$\dim_L L \otimes_R M = \mu(M) = t.$$

Then we get  $L \otimes_R ker\theta = 0$  and so,  $ker\theta$  is a torsion submodule of  $R^t$ . Since  $R^t$  is a free R-module, we have  $ker\theta = 0$  and this ensures that M is a free R-module.

**Lemma 2.9.11** Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module. Then M is projective if and only if M is locally projective.

**Proof.** We denote the projective dimension of M by pd(M). If M is projective, then pd(M) = 0. By using the following fact:

$$pd(M) = sup_m \{pd(M_m) : m \text{ maximal ideal of R } \}$$

we get  $pd(M_m) = 0$  for every maximal ideal m, and this means that M is locally projective. The other side can be proved similarly, by using the above fact.

**Proposition 2.9.12** Let R be an s-dimensional regular local k-algebra with maximal ideal m such that  $R/m \cong k$ . Let  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$  be a regular system of parameters for R. Then

$$J_n(R) = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \le n} R\Delta_n(x^{\alpha})$$

where  $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s}$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s$  and  $\Delta_n$  is the universal differential operator of order n of R. Therefore,  $J_n(R)$  is a free R-module.

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$  be a regular system of parameters for R. Then we can conclude, as a result of the theorem (2.9.9), that the set

$$\{\vartheta + m^{n+1} : \vartheta \text{ is a monomial in } x_1, \dots, x_s; \ 0 \le \deg \vartheta \le n\}$$

forms a k-basis of  $R/m^{n+1}$ . By the corollary (2.7.3), we have the following k-vector space isomorphism:

$$J_n(R) \otimes_R k \cong R/m^{n+1}$$
.

So,  $J_n(R) \otimes_R k$  is a k-vector space with basis

$$\{\Delta_n(\vartheta) \otimes 1 : \vartheta \text{ is a monomial in } x_1, \dots, x_s; \ 0 \leq \deg \vartheta \leq n\}$$

where  $\Delta_n: R \to J_n(R)$  is the universal differential operator of order n of R. By using the isomorphism

$$J_n(R) \otimes_R R/m \cong J_n(R)/mJ_n(R),$$

we have

$$\{\Delta_n(\vartheta) + mJ_n(R) : \vartheta \text{ is a monomial in } x_1, \dots, x_s; \ 0 \le \deg \vartheta \le n\}$$

is a k-basis for  $J_n(R)/mJ_n(R)$ . Therefore, by Nakayama's lemma we obtain

$$\{\Delta_n(\vartheta): \vartheta \text{ is a monomial in } x_1,\ldots,x_s; \ 0 \leq \deg \vartheta \leq n\}$$

is a minimal set of generators of  $J_n(R)$ . Our aim is to show that

$$\mu(J_n(R)) = rank J_n(R).$$

Then by the lemma (2.9.10), we can conclude that  $J_n(R)$  is a free R-module. Since R is a regular local k-algebra, by [20, Theo. 15.34], R is an integral domain.

Let L be the field of fractions of R, then we have the following isomorphism:

$$\theta: L \otimes_R J_n(R) \rightarrow J_n(L).$$

Hence,

$$rankJ_n(R) = dim_L L \otimes_R J_n(R) = dim_L L.$$

Since L is an algebraic extension of  $k(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ , by the proposition (2.8.2), we get

$$dim_L L = \binom{n+s}{s}.$$

Therefore,  $\mu(J_n(R)) = rank J_n(R)$  as required.

Corollary 2.9.13 Let R be an s-dimensional regular local k-algebra with maximal ideal m such that  $R/m \cong k$  and let F be a free R-module. Then  $J_n(F)$  is free.

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$  be a regular system of parameters for R and let  $\{e_i\}$  be a basis for F. As a consequence of the proposition (2.9.12),  $J_n(R) \otimes_R F$  is a free module with basis

$$\{\Delta_n(x^{\alpha}) \otimes e_i : |\alpha| \le n, \ i = 1, \dots, t\}$$

where  $\Delta_n$  is the universal operator of order n of R. Notice that by the theorem (2.2.14), we have the following isomorphism:

$$J_n(R) \otimes_R F \cong J_n(F)$$
.

So, we obtain  $J_n(F)$  is free.

Corollary 2.9.14 Let R be a regular affine k-algebra such that for each maximal ideal m of R,  $R_m/mR_m \cong k$ . Then  $J_n(R)$  is a projective R-module.

**Proof.** For each maximal ideal m of R,  $R_m$  is a regular local ring. Then by the theorem (2.9.12),  $J_n(R_m)$  is a free  $R_m$ -module. By the theorem (2.2.14), we have the following isomorphism

$$J_n(R_m) \cong R_m \otimes_R J_n(R)$$
.

So, we get  $J_n(R)$  is locally projective. Hence, by using (2.9.11), we get  $J_n(R)$  is projective.

Corollary 2.9.15 Let R be a regular affine k-algebra such that for each maximal ideal m of R,  $R_m/mR_m \cong k$  and let F be a finitely generated projective R-module. Then so is  $J_n(F)$ .

**Proof.** Since F is finitely generated projective over R, then by [29, Corol. 3.5],  $F_m$  is finitely generated free over  $R_m$ . So, by the corollary (2.9.13),  $J_n(F_m)$  is a free  $R_m$ -module for each maximal ideal m of R. Hence, by the lemma (2.9.11) we get the result.

### 3 PROJECTIVE DIMENSION OF THE UNIVER-SAL MODULE OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERA-TORS

In this section, we give some important and well-known theorems to estimate the projective dimension of the universal module of differential operators of order n. Actually, we see that there exists an upper bound for the projective dimension, if R is of the form  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s]/(f)$ . And next, we provide some examples to illustrate these results. Moreover, in contrast to the given case, we see in the example (3.1.5) that it is difficult to find an upper bound for the universal module of differential operators if R is not of the form  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s]/(f)$ .

### 3.1 Characterizing the Projective Dimension of the Universal Module of Differential Operators

If R is a regular affine algebra, then by using the result given in (2.9.14) we can conclude that  $J_n(R)$  is a projective R-module. Hence,  $pd(J_n(R)) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.1** [9] Let S be an affine domain represented by

$$S = k[x_1, \dots, x_s]/(f).$$

Then

$$pd(J_n(S)) < 1.$$

**Proof.** Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]$  and let  $\Delta_n : R \to J_n(R)$  be the universal differential operator of order n of R. Then we have

$$0 \to \frac{N + IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \to \frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \xrightarrow{\theta} J_n(S) \to 0$$
 (8)

short exact sequence of S-modules where I = (f). We want to show that the exact sequence given in (8) is also a projective resolution for  $J_n(S)$ . Since R is a polynomial algebra, by the example (2.5.6), we see that  $J_n(R)$  is a free R-module of rank  $\binom{n+s}{s}$ .

By using the isomorphism

$$R/I \otimes_R J_n(R) \cong \frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$$

we get that  $\frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}$  is a free S-module of rank  $\binom{n+s}{s}$ . Let  $\bar{m}$  be any maximal ideal of S. Then  $\left(\frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}\right)_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $S_{\bar{m}}$ -module of the same rank  $\binom{n+s}{s}$ .

We need to show that  $(\ker \theta)_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $S_{\bar{m}}$ -module for any maximal ideal  $\bar{m}$  of S. If we tensor the exact sequence given in (8) by  $S_{\bar{m}}$  and if we consider the following isomorphism

$$J_n(S_{\bar{m}}) \cong S_{\bar{m}} \otimes_S J_n(S) \cong J_n(S)_{\bar{m}}$$

we get the following short exact sequence of  $S_{\bar{m}}$ -modules:

$$0 \to \left(\frac{N + IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}\right)_{\bar{m}} \to \left(\frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}\right)_{\bar{m}} \stackrel{\theta_{\bar{m}}}{\to} J_n(S_{\bar{m}}) \to 0. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, since S is a domain of dimension s-1, we obtain  $S_{\bar{m}}$  is a domain of dimension s-1. Let L be the field of fractions of  $S_{\bar{m}}$ . Then  $Tr \deg L = s-1$ . By tensoring the exact sequence given in (9) by L, we have

$$0 \to L \otimes_{S_{\bar{m}}} \left( \frac{N + IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \right)_{\bar{m}} \to L \otimes_{S_{\bar{m}}} \left( \frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \right)_{\bar{m}} \xrightarrow{\theta_{\bar{m}}} L \otimes_{S_{\bar{m}}} J_n(S_{\bar{m}}) \to 0$$

exact sequence of L-vector spaces. By using the equalities

$$rank (J_n(S_{\bar{m}})) = \dim L \otimes_{S_{\bar{m}}} J_n(S_{\bar{m}}) = \dim J_n(L) = \binom{n+s-1}{s-1}$$

and

dim 
$$L \otimes_{S_{\bar{m}}} \left( \frac{J_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)} \right)_{\bar{m}} = \binom{n+s}{s},$$

we obtain

$$rank(ker\theta)_{\bar{m}}=\dim\ L\otimes_{S_{\bar{m}}}\left(\frac{N+IJ_n(R)}{IJ_n(R)}\right)_{\bar{m}}=\binom{n+s}{s}-\binom{n+s-1}{s-1}=\binom{n+s-1}{s}.$$

Moreover, by using the proposition given in (2.6.4),  $ker\theta$  is generated by the set

$$\{\Delta_n(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}...x_s^{\alpha_s}f): 0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_s \le n-1\}$$

and this set contains  $\binom{n+s-1}{s}$  elements. Hence,  $(ker\theta)_{\bar{m}}$  is generated by the images of these elements. Since the number of elements in the minimal generating set is equal to its rank, by using the lemma (2.9.10), we can conclude that  $(ker\theta)_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $S_{\bar{m}}$ -module. So,  $ker\theta$  is a projective S-module.

**Definition 3.1.2** Let  $A_k^t$  be an affine t-space and let k[U] denote the coordinate ring corresponding to an algebraic set U in  $A_k^t$ . We say that an algebraic set U is a reduced hypersurface if the coordinate ring T = k[U] is a reduced k-algebra and T is presented by R/(f) where R is a polynomial ring.

**Theorem 3.1.3** [10, Theorem 3] Let U be a reduced hypersurface and  $A_k^t$  be an affine t-space. Suppose that  $k[U \times A_k^t]$  is the coordinate ring of the product of U and  $A_k^t$ . Then the projective dimension of

$$J_n(k[U \times A_k^t])$$

is at most one.

**Example 3.1.4** Let R = k[x, y, z] and let I be an ideal generated by the polynomial  $x^3 - yz$ . Assume that S = R/I. Our aim is to find  $J_1(S)$ ,  $J_2(S)$  and  $J_3(S)$ .

(i) By using the corollary (2.6.5), we see that  $J_1(S) \cong F/N$  where F is a free S-module with basis

$$\{\Delta_1(x), \Delta_1(y), \Delta_1(z), \Delta_1(1)\}$$

and let N be the submodule of F generated by the element

$$\Delta_1(f) = 3x^2 \Delta_1(x) - z \Delta_1(y) - y \Delta_1(z) - x^3 \Delta_1(1).$$

So, we have the short exact sequence of S-modules:

$$0 \to N \xrightarrow{\phi} F \to J_1(S) \to 0$$

where  $\phi$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3x^2 \\ -z \\ -y \\ -x^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we know that  $rank J_1(S) = {1+2 \choose 2} = 3$  and hence,

$$rankN = rankF - rankJ_1(S) = 4 - 3 = 1.$$

As we obtain  $\mu(N) = rankN$ , by using the lemma (2.9.10), we conclude that N is a free S-module and the exact sequence given above is actually a free resolution of  $J_1(S)$ . Then  $pd(J_1(S)) \leq 1$ .

(ii) By using the corollary (2.6.5), we say that  $J_2(S) \cong F'/N'$  where F' is a free S-module with basis

$$\{\Delta_2(x^2), \Delta_2(y^2), \Delta_2(z^2), \Delta_2(xy), \Delta_2(xz), \Delta_2(yz), \Delta_2(x), \Delta_2(y), \Delta_2(z), \Delta_2(1)\}$$

and N' is a submodule of F' generated by the elements

$$\{\Delta_2(f), \Delta_2(xf), \Delta_2(yf), \Delta_2(zf)\}.$$

If we compute these expressions, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_2(f) & = & 3x\Delta_2(x^2) - 3x^2\Delta_2(x) + x^3\Delta_2(1) - \Delta_2(yz), \\ \Delta_2(xf) & = & 6x^2\Delta_2(x^2) - 7yz\Delta_2(x) - x\Delta_2(yz) - y\Delta_2(xz) \\ & & - z\Delta_2(xy) + xy\Delta_2(z) + xz\Delta_2(y) + 2x^4\Delta_2(1), \\ \Delta_2(yf) & = & 3xy\Delta_2(x^2) + 3x^2\Delta_2(xy) - 2y\Delta_2(yz) - z\Delta_2(y^2) \\ & & + y^2\Delta_2(z) - 6x^2y\Delta_2(x) + 2x^3y\Delta_2(1), \\ \Delta_2(zf) & = & 3x^2\Delta_2(xz) + 3xz\Delta_2(x^2) - 6x^2z\Delta_2(x) \\ & & - 2z\Delta_2(yz) - y\Delta_2(z^2) + z^2\Delta_2(y) + 2x^3z\Delta_2(1). \end{array}$$

So, we have the exact sequence of S-modules

$$0 \to N' \stackrel{\phi'}{\to} F' \to J_2(S) \to 0$$

where  $\phi'$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3x & 6x^2 & 3xy & 3xz \\ 0 & 0 & -z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -y \\ 0 & -z & 3x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -y & 0 & 3x^2 \\ -1 & -x & -2y & -2z \\ -3x^2 & -7yz & -6x^2y & -6x^2z \\ 0 & xz & 0 & z^2 \\ 0 & xy & y^2 & 0 \\ x^3 & 2x^4 & 2x^3y & 2x^3z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we have  $rank J_2(S) = {2+2 \choose 2} = 6$  and so,

$$rankN' = rankF' - rankJ_2(S) = 4.$$

Thus, we conclude that N' is a free S-module, as  $rankN' = \mu(N')$ . And, this ensures that the exact sequence given above is a free resolution of  $J_2(S)$ . Then  $pd(J_2(S)) \leq 1$ .

(iii) We know that  $J_3(S) \cong F''/N''$  where F'' is a free S-module with basis

$$\{\Delta_{3}(x^{3}), \Delta_{3}(y^{3}), \Delta_{3}(z^{3}), \Delta_{3}(x^{2}y), \Delta_{3}(xy^{2}), \Delta_{3}(xz^{2}), \Delta_{3}(yz^{2}), \Delta_{3}(x^{2}z), \Delta_{3}(x^{2}z), \Delta_{3}(yz^{2}), \Delta_{3}(x^{2}z), \Delta_{3}(xyz), \Delta_{3}(xz),$$

and N'' is a submodule of F'' generated by the elements

$$\{\Delta_3(x^2f), \Delta_3(y^2f), \Delta_3(z^2f), \Delta_3(xyf), \Delta_3(xzf), \Delta_3(yzf), \Delta_3(xf), \Delta_3(yf), \Delta_3(zf), \Delta_3(f)\}.$$

If we compute these expressions, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_{3}(f) & = & \Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - \Delta_{3}(yz), \\ \Delta_{3}(xf) & = & 4x\Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - 6x^{2}\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) + 4x^{3}\Delta_{3}(x) - \Delta_{3}(xyz) - x^{4}\Delta_{3}(1), \\ \Delta_{3}(yf) & = & 3x\Delta_{3}(x^{2}y) + y\Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - 3x^{2}\Delta_{3}(xy) - 3xy\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) \\ & & + x^{3}\Delta_{3}(y) + 3x^{2}y\Delta_{3}(x) - \Delta_{3}(y^{2}z) - x^{3}y\Delta_{3}(1), \\ \Delta_{3}(zf) & = & 3x\Delta_{3}(x^{2}z) + z\Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - 3x^{2}\Delta_{3}(xz) - 3xz\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) \\ & & x^{3}\Delta_{3}(z) + 3x^{2}z\Delta_{3}(x) - \Delta_{3}(yz^{2}) - x^{3}z\Delta_{3}(1), \\ \Delta_{3}(x^{2}f) & = & 10x^{2}\Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - 20x^{3}\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) + 15x^{4}\Delta_{3}(x) - 2x\Delta_{3}(xyz) \\ & & - y\Delta_{3}(x^{2}z) - z\Delta_{3}(x^{2}y) + x^{2}\Delta_{3}(yz) + 2xy\Delta_{3}(xz) \\ & & + 2xz\Delta_{3}(xy) + yz\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) - x^{2}y\Delta_{3}(z) - x^{2}z\Delta_{3}(y) \\ & & - 2xyz\Delta_{3}(x) - 3x^{5}\Delta_{3}(1), \\ \Delta_{3}(y^{2}f) & = & 3x^{2}\Delta_{3}(xy^{2}) + x^{3}\Delta_{3}(y^{2}) + 6xy\Delta_{3}(x^{2}y) - 12x^{2}y\Delta_{3}(xy) \\ & & + 3x^{3}y\Delta_{3}(y) - 6xy^{2}\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) + 9x^{2}y^{2}\Delta_{3}(x) - y^{3}\Delta_{3}(z) \\ & & + y^{2}\Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - 3y\Delta_{3}(y^{2}z) - z\Delta_{3}(y^{3}) + 3y^{2}\Delta_{3}(yz) - 3x^{3}y^{2}\Delta_{3}(1), \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_3(z^2f) & = & 3x^2\Delta_3(xz^2) + x^3\Delta_3(z^2) + 6xz\Delta_3(x^2z) - 12x^2z\Delta_3(xz) \\ & & -6xz^2\Delta_3(x^2) + 9x^2z^2\Delta_3(x) + z^2\Delta_3(x^3) - 3z\Delta_3(z^2y) \\ & & -y\Delta_3(z^3) + 3z^2\Delta_3(yz) - z^3\Delta_3(y) + 3x^3z\Delta_3(z) - 3x^3z^2\Delta_3(1), \\ \Delta_3(xyf) & = & 6x^2\Delta_3(x^2y) + 4xy\Delta_3(x^3) - 6x^3\Delta_3(xy) - 12x^2y\Delta_3(x^2) \\ & & + x^4\Delta_3(y) + 11x^3y\Delta_3(x) - x\Delta_3(y^2z) - 2y\Delta_3(xyz) \\ & & -z\Delta_3(xy^2) + y^2\Delta_3(xz) + xz\Delta_3(y^2) + 2xy\Delta_3(yz) \\ & & -xy^2\Delta_3(z) - 3x^4y\Delta_3(1), \\ \Delta_3(xzf) & = & 6x^2\Delta_3(x^2z) + 4xz\Delta_3(x^3) - 6x^3\Delta_3(xz) - 12x^2z\Delta_3(x^2) \\ & & + x^4\Delta_3(z) + 11x^3z\Delta_3(x) - x\Delta_3(yz^2) - y\Delta_3(xz^2) - 2z\Delta_3(xyz) \\ & & + xy\Delta_3(z^2) + 2xz\Delta_3(yz) + z^2\Delta_3(xy) - xz^2\Delta_3(y) - 3x^4z\Delta_3(1), \end{array}$$

$$\Delta_{3}(yzf) = 3x^{2}\Delta_{3}(xyz) + 3xy\Delta_{3}(x^{2}z) + 3xz\Delta_{3}(x^{2}y) + 2x^{3}\Delta_{3}(yz)$$

$$-6x^{2}y\Delta_{3}(xz) - 6x^{2}z\Delta_{3}(xy) - 6x^{4}\Delta_{3}(x^{2}) + x^{3}y\Delta_{3}(z) + x^{3}z\Delta_{3}(y)$$

$$+9x^{2}yz\Delta_{3}(x) + yz\Delta_{3}(x^{3}) - 2z\Delta_{3}(y^{2}z) + z^{2}\Delta_{3}(y^{2})$$

$$-2y\Delta_{3}(yz^{2}) + y^{2}\Delta_{3}(z^{2}) - 3x^{6}\Delta_{3}(1).$$

Moreover, we know that  $rank J_3(S) = {3+2 \choose 2} = 10$  and

$$rankN'' = 20 - 10 = 10.$$

Since  $rankN'' = \mu(N'')$ , we obtain N'' is a free S-module and the short exact sequence

$$0 \to N'' \xrightarrow{\phi''} F'' \to J_3(S) \to 0$$

is a free resolution of  $J_3(S)$ . Thus,  $pdJ_3(S) \leq 1$ .

**Example 3.1.5** [30] Let R = k[x, y, z] be a polynomial algebra and let I be an ideal of R generated by the polynomials

$$f = y^2 - xz$$
,  $g = yz - x^3$  and  $h = z^2 - x^2y$ .

Let S = R/I. We know that  $\Omega_1(S) \cong F/N$  where F is a free S-module with basis

$$\{d_1(x), d_1(y), d_1(z)\}$$

and N is a submodule of F generated by the elements

$${d_1(f), d_1(g), d_1(h)}.$$

We have the followings:

$$d_1(f) = d_1(y^2 - xz) = 2yd_1(y) - xd_1(z) - zd_1(x)$$

$$d_1(g) = d_1(yz - x^3) = yd_1(z) + zd_1(y) - 3x^2d_1(x)$$

$$d_1(h) = d_1(z^2 - x^2y) = 2zd_1(z) - x^2d_1(y) - 2xyd_1(x).$$

Then

$$0 \to N \xrightarrow{\phi} F \to \Omega_1(S) \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of S-modules and  $\phi$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -z & -3x^2 & -2xy \\ 2y & z & -x^2 \\ -x & y & 2z \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we apply elementary row operations to this matrix, then we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & y \\ y & 0 & -x^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And, we get the equations

$$xr_2 + yr_3 = 0$$
$$yr_1 - x^2r_3 = 0.$$

The solution set of these equations is

$$\{m_1 = (-x^2, z, -y), m_2 = (-xy, x^2, -z), m_3 = (-z, y, -x)\}.$$

So,  $N = \langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle$ . Let N' be the kernel of the map  $S^3 \to N$ , then we see that  $N' = \langle x, y, z \rangle$  which is a maximal ideal of S. Hence, we have

$$0 \to m \to S^3 \to F \to \Omega_1(S) \to 0$$

the exact sequence of S-modules and since  $pdm = \infty$ , we conclude  $pd(\Omega_1(S)) = \infty$ .

## 3.2 Some Results On Universal Modules of Differential Operators

In [11, Theorem 1.1], the following problem is examined:

Let R be any finitely generated k-algebra where k is a field of characteristic zero and let n be a positive integer. Let us consider the map

$$\Omega_n(R) \to \Omega_1(R)$$
.

Then what are the generators of the kernel of this map?

The purpose of this section is to answer the following question which generalizes the above result:

Let R be any k-algebra where k is a field of characteristic zero and let m and n be positive integers such that m < n. Then how can we characterize the generators of the kernel of the map

$$\Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_m(R)$$
?

Then we give some examples which illustrate our result. By universality, we know the existence of the map

$$J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)).$$

Moreover, we prove some results on kernel and cokernel of this map.

**Theorem 3.2.1** Let R be a k-algebra and m, n be positive integers such that m < n. Assume  $\delta_n$  and  $\delta_m$  denote the universal differential operators of order n and m, respectively. Then we have the following short exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \to ker\theta \to \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_m(R) \to 0.$$

Moreover,  $ker\theta$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_n(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})\}$$

where  $r_i \in R$  for i = 0, ..., m; T' is the complement of T in the set  $\{0, ..., m\}$  and

$$r_T = \prod_{\substack{k \in T \\ T \subseteq \{0,\dots,m\}}} r_k.$$

**Proof.** By using the universal property of  $\Omega_n(R)$  and by using the proposition (2.1.4), there exists a map

$$\theta: \Omega_n(R) \to \Omega_m(R).$$

Moreover,  $\theta$  is surjective since m < n. Then we obtain

$$0 \to ker\theta \to \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_m(R) \to 0$$

short exact sequence of R-modules. Let N be the submodule of  $\Omega_n(R)$  generated by the set

$$\{\delta_n(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})\}.$$

We consider the composite of the following maps

$$R \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \Omega_n(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} \Omega_n(R)/N.$$

By the proposition (2.1.5), we know that  $\pi \delta_n$  is a differential operator of order n.

Claim 1.  $\pi \delta_n$  is also a differential operator of order m.

Proof of Claim 1. Let  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_m \in R$  and by the definition of N, we obtain

$$[\pi \delta_n, r_0, r_1, \dots, r_m](1) = \pi (\delta_n(r_0 \dots r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \emptyset \\ T \subseteq \{0, \dots, m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\pi \delta_n \in D^m(R, \Omega_n(R)/N)$ . So, by universality there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$\Omega_m(R) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Omega_n(R)/N$$

such that  $\beta \delta_m = \pi \delta_n$ .

#### Claim 2. $N = ker\theta$ .

Proof of Claim 2. By using the definition of  $\theta$  and by using the properties of mth order differential operators we get:

$$\theta(\delta_n(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_n(r_{T'})) = \delta_m(r_0...r_m) + \sum_{\substack{T \neq \phi \\ T \subseteq \{0,...,m\}}} (-1)^{|T|} r_T \delta_m(r_{T'}) = 0.$$

So, this illustrates that  $N \subseteq ker\theta$ . Conversely, let  $x \in ker\theta$ . Then we have

$$\beta\theta(x) = \pi(x) = 0.$$

And, this ensures that  $x \in N$ .

**Example 3.2.2** Let R = k[x, y] be a polynomial algebra over k. Then we have

$$0 \to ker\theta \to \Omega_3(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_2(R) \to 0$$

short exact sequence of R-modules. Here,  $\Omega_3(R)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_3(x^3), \delta_3(y^3), \delta_3(x^2y), \delta_3(xy^2), \delta_3(x^2), \delta_3(y^2), \delta_3(xy), \delta_3(x), \delta_3(y)\}$$

and  $\Omega_2(R)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_2(x^2), \delta_2(y^2), \delta_2(xy), \delta_2(x), \delta_2(y)\}.$$

Let us set the followings:

$$\epsilon_1 = \delta_3(x^3) - 3x\delta_3(x^2) + 3x^2\delta_3(x), 
\epsilon_2 = \delta_3(y^3) - 3y\delta_3(y^2) + 3y^2\delta_3(y), 
\epsilon_3 = \delta_3(x^2y) - y\delta_3(x^2) - 2x\delta_3(xy) + 2xy\delta_3(x) + x^2\delta_3(y), 
\epsilon_4 = \delta_3(xy^2) - x\delta_3(y^2) - 2y\delta_3(xy) + y^2\delta_3(x) + 2xy\delta_3(y).$$

Our aim is to show that  $ker\theta$  is generated by the set

$$\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4\} \subseteq \ker \theta$ . Conversely, let x be any element of  $\ker \theta$ . So, x is of the form

$$x = \alpha_{10}\delta_3(x) + \alpha_{20}\delta_3(x^2) + \alpha_{30}\delta_3(x^3) + \alpha_{11}\delta_3(xy) + \alpha_{12}\delta_3(xy^2) + \alpha_{21}\delta_3(x^2y) + \alpha_{01}\delta_3(y) + \alpha_{02}\delta_3(y^2) + \alpha_{03}\delta_3(y^3).$$

And, we get

$$0 = \theta(x) = \alpha_{10}\delta_2(x) + \alpha_{20}\delta_2(x^2) + \alpha_{30}\delta_2(x^3) + \alpha_{11}\delta_2(xy) + \alpha_{12}\delta_2(xy^2) + \alpha_{21}\delta_2(x^2y) + \alpha_{01}\delta_2(y) + \alpha_{02}\delta_2(y^2) + \alpha_{03}\delta_2(y^3).$$

By the properties of second order derivations, we obtain the following equalities:

$$\delta_{2}(x^{3}) = 3x\delta_{2}(x^{2}) - 3x^{2}\delta_{2}(x), 
\delta_{2}(y^{3}) = 3y\delta_{2}(y^{2}) - 3y^{2}\delta_{2}(y), 
\delta_{2}(xy^{2}) = 2y\delta_{2}(xy) + x\delta_{2}(y^{2}) - y^{2}\delta_{2}(x) - 2xy\delta_{2}(y), 
\delta_{2}(x^{2}y) = 2x\delta_{2}(xy) + y\delta_{2}(x^{2}) - x^{2}\delta_{2}(y) - 2xy\delta_{2}(x).$$

Hence, we see

$$0 = \theta(x) = \alpha_{10}\delta_{2}(x) + \alpha_{20}\delta_{2}(x^{2}) + \alpha_{30}(3x\delta_{2}(x^{2}) - 3x^{2}\delta_{2}(x))$$

$$+\alpha_{11}\delta_{2}(xy) + \alpha_{12}(2y\delta_{2}(xy) + x\delta_{2}(y^{2}) - y^{2}\delta_{2}(x) - 2xy\delta_{2}(y))$$

$$+\alpha_{21}(2x\delta_{2}(xy) + y\delta_{2}(x^{2}) - x^{2}\delta_{2}(y) - 2xy\delta_{2}(x)) + \alpha_{01}\delta_{2}(y)$$

$$+\alpha_{02}\delta_{2}(y^{2}) + \alpha_{03}(3y\delta_{2}(y^{2}) - 3y^{2}\delta_{2}(y)).$$

If we rewrite the above expression, we have

$$0 = (\alpha_{10} - 3\alpha_{30}x^2 - \alpha_{12}y^2 - 2\alpha_{21}xy)\delta_2(x)$$

$$+(\alpha_{20} + 3\alpha_{30}x + \alpha_{21}y)\delta_2(x^2) + (\alpha_{02} + 3\alpha_{03}y + \alpha_{12}x)\delta_2(y^2)$$

$$+(\alpha_{01} - 3\alpha_{03}y^2 - \alpha_{21}x^2 - 2\alpha_{12}xy)\delta_2(y)$$

$$+(\alpha_{11} + 2\alpha_{12}y + 2\alpha_{21}x)\delta_2(xy).$$

On the other hand, since  $\Omega_2(R)$  is a free R-module with basis

$$\{\delta_2(x^2), \delta_2(y^2), \delta_2(xy), \delta_2(x), \delta_2(y)\},\$$

we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_{10} & = & 3\alpha_{30}x^2 + \alpha_{12}y^2 + 2\alpha_{21}xy, \\ \alpha_{20} & = & -3\alpha_{30}x - \alpha_{21}y, \\ \alpha_{02} & = & -3\alpha_{03}y - \alpha_{12}x, \\ \alpha_{01} & = & 3\alpha_{03}y^2 + \alpha_{21}x^2 + 2\alpha_{12}xy, \\ \alpha_{11} & = & -2\alpha_{12}y - 2\alpha_{21}x. \end{array}$$

And, these results enable us

$$x = (3\alpha_{30}x^{2} + \alpha_{12}y^{2} + 2\alpha_{21}xy)\delta_{3}(x) + (-3\alpha_{30}x - \alpha_{21}y)\delta_{3}(x^{2})$$

$$+\alpha_{30}\delta_{3}(x^{3}) + (-2\alpha_{12}y - 2\alpha_{21}x)\delta_{3}(xy) + \alpha_{12}\delta_{3}(xy^{2})$$

$$+\alpha_{21}\delta_{3}(x^{2}y) + (3\alpha_{03}y^{2} + \alpha_{21}x^{2} + 2\alpha_{12}xy)\delta_{3}(y)$$

$$+(-3\alpha_{03}y - \alpha_{12}x)\delta_{3}(y^{2}) + \alpha_{03}\delta_{3}(y^{3}).$$

Thus,

$$x = \alpha_{30}(3x^{2}\delta_{3}(x) - 3x\delta_{3}(x^{2}) + \delta_{3}(x^{3}))$$

$$+\alpha_{12}(y^{2}\delta_{3}(x) - 2y\delta_{3}(xy) - x\delta_{3}(y^{2}) + 2xy\delta_{3}(y) + \delta_{3}(xy^{2}))$$

$$+\alpha_{21}(2xy\delta_{3}(x) - y\delta_{3}(x^{2}) - 2x\delta_{3}(xy) + x^{2}\delta_{3}(y) + \delta_{3}(x^{2}y))$$

$$+\alpha_{03}(3y^{2}\delta_{3}(y) - 3y\delta_{3}(y^{2}) + \delta_{3}(y^{3})).$$

Hence,  $x \in \langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4 \rangle$  as claimed.

**Example 3.2.3** Let R = k[x] be a polynomial algebra over k with one variable. Then we have

$$0 \to ker\theta \to \Omega_5(R) \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_3(R) \to 0$$

short exact sequence of R-modules.  $\Omega_5(R)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_5(x^5), \delta_5(x^4), \delta_5(x^3), \delta_5(x^2), \delta_5(x)\}$$

and  $\Omega_3(R)$  is generated by the set

$$\{\delta_3(x^3), \delta_3(x^2), \delta_3(x)\}.$$

We set the followings:

$$\epsilon_1 = \delta_5(x^5) - 5x\delta_5(x^4) + 10x^2\delta_5(x^3) - 10x^3\delta_5(x^2) + 5x^4\delta_5(x),$$
  

$$\epsilon_2 = \delta_5(x^4) - 4x\delta_5(x^3) + 6x^2\delta_5(x^2) - 4x^3\delta_5(x).$$

Let x be an arbitrary element in  $ker\theta$ . Then, we can write x as

$$x = \alpha_1 \delta_5(x) + \alpha_2 \delta_5(x^2) + \alpha_3 \delta_5(x^3) + \alpha_4 \delta_5(x^4) + \alpha_5 \delta_5(x^5).$$

And, we have

$$0 = \theta(x) = \alpha_1 \delta_3(x) + \alpha_2 \delta_3(x^2) + \alpha_3 \delta_3(x^3) + \alpha_4 \delta_3(x^4) + \alpha_5 \delta_3(x^5).$$

By considering the properties of differential operators of order 3, we obtain the following results:

$$\delta_3(x^4) = 4x\delta_3(x^3) - 6x^2\delta_3(x^2) + 4x^3\delta_3(x),$$
  
$$\delta_3(x^5) = 10x^2\delta_3(x^3) - 20x^3\delta_3(x^2) + 15x^4\delta_3(x).$$

Hence,

$$0 = \alpha_1 \delta_3(x) + \alpha_2 \delta_3(x^2) + \alpha_3 \delta_3(x^3) + \alpha_4 (4x\delta_3(x^3) - 6x^2 \delta_3(x^2) + 4x^3 \delta_3(x)) + \alpha_5 (10x^2 \delta_3(x^3) - 20x^3 \delta_3(x^2) + 15x^4 \delta_3(x)).$$

If we rewrite the expression above, we get

$$0 = (\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_4 x^3 + 15\alpha_5 x^4)\delta_3(x) + (\alpha_2 - 6\alpha_4 x^2 - 20\alpha_5 x^3)\delta_3(x^2) + (\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 x + 10\alpha_5 x^2)\delta_3(x^3).$$

Then

$$\alpha_1 = -4\alpha_4 x^3 - 15\alpha_5 x^4,$$

$$\alpha_2 = 6\alpha_4 x^2 + 20\alpha_5 x^3,$$

$$\alpha_3 = -4\alpha_4 x - 10\alpha_5 x^2.$$

So, this ensures that

$$x = \alpha_4(-4x^3\delta_5(x) + 6x^2\delta_5(x^2) - 4x\delta_5(x^3) + \delta_5(x^4))$$
$$+\alpha_5(-15x^4\delta_5(x) + 20x^3\delta_5(x^2) - 10x^2\delta_5(x^3) + \delta_5(x^5)).$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$\epsilon_1 + 5x\epsilon_2 = -15x^4\delta_5(x) + 20x^3\delta_5(x^2) - 10x^2\delta_5(x^3) + \delta_5(x^5).$$

Therefore,  $x \in \langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rangle$ .

# 3.3 Homomorphisms between Universal Modules

Let R be a k-algebra. Then consider

$$0 \to ker\alpha \to J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)) \to coker\alpha \to 0$$
 (10)

exact sequence of R-modules. Firstly, we show the existence of  $\alpha$ .

Let  $d_1: R \xrightarrow{d_1} \Omega_1(R)$  be the universal derivation and let  $\Delta_{n-1}$  be the universal differential operator of order n-1 of  $\Omega_1(R)$ . Consider the composite of the following maps:

$$R \stackrel{d_1}{\to} \Omega_1(R) \stackrel{\Delta_{n-1}}{\to} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)).$$

Then by using the proposition (2.1.5),  $\Delta_{n-1}d_1 \in D^n(R, J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)))$ . By the universality of  $J_n(R)$ , there exists a unique R-module homomorphism

$$J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)).$$

Hence, we obtain the exact sequence of R-modules given in (10).

**Theorem 3.3.1** Let R be a domain of dimension 1. Consider the following exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \to ker\alpha \to J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)) \to coker\alpha \to 0.$$
 (11)

Then  $coker\alpha$  is a torsion R-module.

**Proof.** Let L be the field of fractions of R. By tensoring the exact sequence given in (11) by L, we get

$$0 \to L \otimes_R ker\alpha \to L \otimes_R J_n(R) \stackrel{1 \otimes \alpha}{\to} L \otimes_R J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)) \to L \otimes_R coker\alpha \to 0$$
 (12)

exact sequence of L-vector spaces. And notice that we have the following isomorphisms of L-modules:

$$L \otimes_R J_n(R) \cong J_n(L)$$
 and  $L \otimes_R J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)) \cong J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(L))$ .

Since dim R = 1, we obtain

$$dim J_n(L) = \binom{n+1}{1} = n+1 \text{ and } dim J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(L) = \binom{n}{1} = n.$$

So, we get

$$L \otimes_R coker\alpha = 0$$

and this means that  $coker\alpha$  is a torsion R-module.

**Theorem 3.3.2** Let R be an affine domain of dimension 1. Then for the following exact sequence

$$0 \to ker\alpha \to J_n(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(R)) \to coker\alpha \to 0$$
 (13)

 $coker \alpha$  is of finite length.

**Proof.** By the theorem (3.3.1), we know that  $coker\alpha$  is a torsion R-module. Then the set

$$S := \{ann(x) : 0 \neq x \in coker\alpha\}$$

is non-empty. It is known that the maximal element of this set is a prime ideal. Let us denote this prime ideal by  $P_1$ . We consider the following map:

$$R \to coker\alpha, r \mapsto rx.$$

Then we have

$$R/P_1 \cong N_1$$

where  $Rx = N_1$ . If  $coker\alpha = N_1$ , then we get the result. Let  $N_1 \neq coker\alpha$ . Now, consider the set

$$S' := \{ann(\overline{x}) : 0 \neq \overline{x} \in coker\alpha/N_1\}$$

and denote its maximal element by  $P_2$ . Let us define the map

$$R \to coker\alpha/N_1, r \mapsto r\overline{x}.$$

Then, we get  $R/P_2 \cong R\overline{x}$ . Since  $R\overline{x}$  is a submodule of  $\operatorname{coker}\alpha/N_1$ , it is of the form  $N_2/N_1$  where  $N_2$  is a submodule of  $\operatorname{coker}\alpha$  containing  $N_1$ . Hence,  $R/P_2 \cong N_2/N_1$ .

Since  $coker\alpha$  is finitely generated, there exists  $i_0 \geq 0$  such that  $N_{i_0} = coker\alpha$ . By continuing on this way, we have the following chain

$$0 \subset N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \ldots \subset N_{i_0} \tag{14}$$

of submodules of  $coker\alpha$ . Moreover, we know that  $N_{i+1}/N_i \cong R/P_{i+1}$  and dimR = 1. This ensures that  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  is simple. So, the chain given in (14) is a composition series for  $coker\alpha$ .

**Theorem 3.3.3** Let R be a domain of dimension 2. Then for the following exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to ker\alpha \to J_2(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_1(\Omega_1(R)) \to coker\alpha \to 0$$
 (15)

 $ker\alpha$  and  $coker\alpha$  are torsion R-modules.

**Proof.** The exact sequence given in (15) is just a particular case of the sequence in (10), namely for n = 2. If we tensor this exact sequence by L, then we get

$$0 \to L \otimes_R ker\alpha \to L \otimes_R J_2(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} L \otimes_R J_1(\Omega_1(R)) \to L \otimes_R coker\alpha \to 0$$

the exact sequence of L-vector spaces. On the other hand, we know that

$$dim J_2(L) = dim J_{n-1}(\Omega_1(L)).$$

So, we conclude  $ker\alpha$  and  $coker\alpha$  are torsion R-modules.

# 4 BETTI SERIES OF THE UNIVERSAL MOD-ULE OF DERIVATIONS

In [27, Erdoğan], it is proved that under some conditions the Betti series of the universal module of second order derivations,  $B(\Omega_2(R_m), t)$ , is rational where R is the coordinate ring of an affine irreducible curve represented by  $\frac{k[x,y]}{(f)}$  and m is a maximal ideal of R. It is proved in [13, Prop. 3.4.2] that if R is a regular ring of dimension one, then

$$\Omega_{n+1}(R) \cong J_n(\Omega_1(R))$$

but it is not true in the general case. Further, notice that while trying to generalize the dimension of R in the theorem (3.3.1), we obtain in (3.3.3) that the dimension of R must be two and n must be two in the sequence (11). So, there is two natural questions arise from these results.

Is the Betti series of  $\Omega_2(R_m)$  rational where R is the coordinate ring of an affine irreducible curve represented by

$$\frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)}$$
?

In other words, can we generalize the dimension of R? More generally, can we generalize this result for  $\Omega_n(R_m)$  where R and m are defined as above?

# 4.1 Some Homological Background

The aim of the present subsection is to construct a framework for further investigation. Thus, we recall some concepts of homology and derived functors, such as Ext functor, which will play a role in examining the rationality of the Betti series of the universal module of derivations of order n. The following definitions, examples and results can be found in [22], [23], [24], [25] and [26].

**Definition 4.1.1** Let A be an R-module. An exact sequence

$$\mathcal{P}: \ldots \to P_{n+1} \stackrel{d_{n+1}}{\to} P_n \stackrel{d_n}{\to} P_{n-1} \ldots \stackrel{d_1}{\to} P_0 \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\to} A \to 0$$

in which every  $P_n$  is projective is called a projective resolution of A.

**Remark 4.1.2** It is a well-known fact that every R-module A has a projective resolution.

**Example 4.1.3** Let G be a finite cyclic group of order n. Then G is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the additive group of integers. Then we have the following  $\mathbb{Z}$ -projective resolution

$$\dots \to P_{n+1} \to P_m \to \dots \to P_1 \stackrel{d_1}{\to} P_0 \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\to} G \to 0$$

of G where  $P_1 = P_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $P_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is the natural projection and  $d_1$  is the multiplication map by n.

Let A and D be R-modules. For any projective resolution of A

$$\dots \to P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \to \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \to 0$$

let us consider the following sequence:

$$0 \to Hom_R(A, D) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Hom_R(P_0, D) \xrightarrow{d_1} Hom_R(P_1, D) \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} Hom_R(P_{n-1}, D) \xrightarrow{d_n} Hom_R(P_n, D) \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} \dots$$

where to simplify the notation, we denoted the induced maps in the same way.

**Definition 4.1.4** Let A and D be R-modules. For any projective resolution of A let

$$d_n: Hom_R(P_{n-1}, D) \to Hom_R(P_n, D).$$

Define

$$Ext_{R}^{n}(A, D) = kerd_{n+1}/imd_{n}$$

where  $Ext_R^0(A, D) = kerd_1$ . The group  $Ext_R^n(A, D)$  is called the nth cohomology group derived from the functor  $Hom_R(-, D)$ .

Note that these cohomology groups depend only on A and D, that is, they are independent on the choice of projective resolution of A. And, in the following remark we see that we can identify the  $0^{th}$  cohomology group.

**Remark 4.1.5** For any R-module A we have  $Ext_R^0(A, D) \cong Hom_R(A, D)$ .

**Example 4.1.6** Let the abelian group  $A = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $m \geq 2$ . By the remark given above,  $Ext^0_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, D) \cong Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, D)$ .

Consider the projective resolution

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

where m denotes the multiplication by m on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Then we have

$$Ext^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z},D) \cong D/mD$$

and

$$Ext_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z},D)=0$$
 for all  $n\geq 2$ .

**Definition 4.1.7** A free resolution of  $\Omega_n(R)$  where R is a local k-algebra with maximal ideal m is called a minimal resolution if the followings are satisfied:

$$\dots \to F_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Omega_n(R) \to 0$$

 $F_i$ 's are free R-modules of finite rank for all i and  $\partial_n(F_n) \subseteq mF_{n-1}$  for all  $n \ge 1$  (see [29] for definition).

**Remark 4.1.8** Let (R, m) be a local ring. Every finitely generated R-module has a minimal resolution. ([24, Prop. 11.184])

**Definition 4.1.9** Let (R, m) be a local ring. The Betti series of  $\Omega_n(R)$  is defined to be the series

$$B(\Omega_n(R), t) = \sum_{i>0} \dim_{R/m} Ext_R^i(\Omega_n(R), \frac{R}{m}) t^i \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

**Example 4.1.10** Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra over k with s variables and let m be any maximal ideal of R. By the example (2.5.6), we know that  $\Omega_n(R)$  is a free R-module. Then  $\Omega_n(R_m)$  is a free  $R_m$ -module with basis

$$\{\delta_n(x^{\alpha}): 0 < |\alpha| \le n\}$$

where  $\delta_n: R_m \to \Omega_n(R_m)$  is the universal derivation of order n of  $R_m$ . Since  $\Omega_n(R_m)$  is a free  $R_m$ -module, we have  $Ext^n_{R_m}(\Omega_n(R_m), R_m/mR_m) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

On the other hand,

$$Ext_{R_m}^0(\Omega_n(R_m), R_m/mR_m) \cong Hom_{R_m}(\Omega_n(R_m), R_m/mR_m)$$
$$\cong \oplus_1^d R_m/mR_m$$

where  $d = \binom{n+s}{s} - 1$ . Hence,  $B(\Omega_n(R_m), t) = d$ .

Next, we will give a well-known fact.

**Lemma 4.1.11** Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal m and M be a finitely generated R-module. Suppose that

$$0 \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0 \to M \to 0$$

is a minimal resolution of M. Then  $Ext_R^1(M, R/m)$  is not zero.

**Proof.** Assume that the following exact sequence is a minimal resolution of M

$$0 \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0 \to M \to 0$$

in other words,  $F_i$  is of finite rank for i = 0, 1 and  $\partial(F_1) \subseteq mF_0$ . Then we have the complex

$$0 \to Hom_R(M, R/m) \to Hom_R(F_0, R/m) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} Hom_R(F_1, R/m) \to 0$$

of R/m-vector spaces. Therefore,  $\partial^*$  has a matrix representation.

**Claim 1**. All the entries of this matrix belong to m, that is,  $\partial^* = 0$ .

Proof of Claim 1. Assume  $F \in Im\partial^*$ . Then there exists  $f \in Hom_R(F_0, R/m)$  such that  $\partial^*(f) = F$ , that is,  $f\partial = F$ . Hence, we obtain

$$F(F_1) = f\partial(F_1) \subseteq f(mF_0) = mf(F_0) = 0.$$

This ensures that  $Im\partial^* = 0$ . So,  $\partial^* = 0$ .

Claim 2. We have  $Hom_R(F_1, R/m) \neq mHom_R(F_1, R/m)$ .

Proof of Claim 2. Conversely, assume that  $Hom_R(F_1, R/m) = mHom_R(F_1, R/m)$ . Then by Nakayama's Lemma, we get  $Hom_R(F_1, R/m) = 0$ . So, we get  $M \cong F_0$  and this contradicts the minimality of the sequence. Hence, we conclude

$$Ext^{1}(M, R/m) = \frac{Hom_{R}(F_{1}, R/m)}{mHom_{R}(F_{1}, R/m)} \neq 0$$

as desired.  $\blacksquare$ 

# 4.2 Some Results on Rationality of Betti Series

In this subsection, we prove some results on rationality of Betti series of  $\Omega_2(R_m)$  where R is a coordinate ring of an affine irreducible curve represented by  $\frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)}$  and m is a maximal ideal of R containing f. Then we generalize these results for  $\Omega_n(R_m)$ . Next, we provide some examples which illustrate our results.

**Lemma 4.2.1** Let  $k[x_1, x_2..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra over k with s variables and let m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2..., x_s]$  containing f. Let

$$d_2: k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s] \to \Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$$

be the universal derivation of second order. Suppose that  $d_2(f)$  and  $d_2(x_i f)$  belong to  $m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$  for all i = 1, ..., s. Then a module generated by

$$\{d_2(g): g \in fk[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]\}$$

is a submodule of  $m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $d_2(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}...x_s^{\alpha_s}f) \in m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$ . By the properties of  $d_2$ , we have

$$d_2(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} ... x_s^{\alpha_s} f) = a_1(x_1, ... x_s) d_2(x_1 f) + ... + a_s(x_1, ... x_s) d_2(x_s f)$$

$$+ a_{s+1}(x_1, ... x_s) d_2(f) + f(\sum_{\gamma, \beta} \gamma(x_1, ... x_s) d_2(x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} ... x_s^{\beta_s}))$$

where  $0 < \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + ... + \beta_s \le 2$  and  $\gamma, a_i \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  for all i = 1, ..., s + 1. On the other hand, we have

$$d_2(x_i f), d_2(f) \in m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$$

for all i = 1, ..., s and  $f \in m$  and this ensures that

$$d_2(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}...x_s^{\alpha_s}f) \in m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]).$$

Hence, the result follows. ■

**Proposition 4.2.2** Let  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra over k with s variables and let m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  containing an irreducible element f. If  $d_2(f)$  and  $d_2(x_i f)$  are elements of  $m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$  for all i = 1, ..., s then

$$\Omega_2((\frac{k[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_s]}{(f)})_{\bar{m}})$$

admits a minimal resolution of  $(\frac{k[x_1,x_2,...,x_s]}{(f)})_{\bar{m}}$ - modules where  $\bar{m}=m/(f)$  is a maximal ideal of  $\frac{k[x_1,x_2,...,x_s]}{(f)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $R = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)}$  and  $\bar{m}$  be a maximal ideal of R. Then we have the following exact sequence of  $R_{\bar{m}}$ -modules:

$$0 \to \ker \alpha_{\bar{m}} \to \left(\frac{\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])}{f\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])}\right)_{\bar{m}} \stackrel{\alpha_{\bar{m}}}{\to} \Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}) \to 0.$$
 (16)

We claim that this exact sequence is a minimal resolution of  $\Omega_2(R_{\overline{m}})$ . We know that  $\ker \alpha$  is of the form

$$\frac{N + f\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])}{f\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])}$$

where N is a submodule of  $\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$  generated by the elements

$${d_2(g): g \in fk[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\ker \alpha_{\overline{m}} \subseteq \overline{m} \left( \frac{\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n])}{f\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n])} \right)_{\overline{m}}.$$

Now, we need to show that  $\ker \alpha_{\overline{m}}$  is a free  $R_{\overline{m}}$  module. We know that

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_2(k[x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_s])}{f\Omega_2(k[x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_s])}\right)_{\bar{m}}$$

is a free module of rank  $\binom{s+2}{s}-1$ . On the other hand, the Krull dimension of  $R_{\overline{m}}$  is s-1 and let K be the field of fractions of  $R_{\overline{m}}$ . Then  $Tr \deg K = s-1$ . Note that

$$\dim_K \Omega_2(R_{\overline{m}}) \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} K = \dim_K \Omega_2(K) = \binom{s+1}{s-1} - 1.$$

By tensoring the exact sequence given in (16) with K, we obtain an exact sequence of K-vector spaces.

Therefore, we get

$$\dim_{K} \ker \alpha_{\bar{m}} \otimes_{R_{\bar{m}}} K = \dim_{K} \left( \frac{\Omega_{2}(k[x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{s}])}{f\Omega_{2}(k[x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{s}])} \right)_{\bar{m}} \otimes_{R_{\bar{m}}} K - \dim_{K} \Omega_{2}(K)$$

$$= \binom{s+2}{s} - \binom{s+1}{s-1} = s+1.$$

Since  $\ker \alpha$  is generated by the elements  $d_2(f), d_2(x_1 f), ..., d_2(x_s f)$  as an R-module,  $\ker \alpha_{\bar{m}}$  is generated by the images of these elements in  $R_{\bar{m}}$ . Therefore, by using the lemma (2.9.10) we get  $\ker \alpha_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $R_{\bar{m}}$  module.

Let R be a finitely generated regular algebra and m be a maximal ideal of R. Then we know that  $\Omega_2(R_m)$  is a free  $R_m$  module and so,  $Ext^n_{R_m}(\Omega_2(R_m), R_m/mR_m) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Hence, we can conclude that  $B(\Omega_2(R_m), t)$  is rational.

**Theorem 4.2.3** Let  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra over k with s variables and let m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  containing an irreducible polynomial f. Suppose that  $R = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)}$  is not a regular ring at  $\bar{m} = \frac{m}{(f)}$ . Let  $d_2(f)$  and  $d_2(x_i f)$  be the elements of  $m\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$  for all i = 1, ..., s. Then  $B(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), t)$  is a rational function.

**Proof.** By the proposition (4.2.2), we have that

$$0 \to \ker \alpha_{\overline{m}} \to (\frac{\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])}{f\Omega_2(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])})_{\overline{m}} \stackrel{\alpha_{\overline{m}}}{\to} \Omega_2(R_{\overline{m}}) \to 0$$

is a minimal resolution of  $\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}})$  and we know  $Ext^1(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we get the result.

Next, we will generalize these results for the universal module of derivations of order n. Before proving them, it is worth to point out the difficulties encountered in proving the results for the nth order case. Let us give some examples:

**Example 4.2.4** [13, Example 3.1.6 and example 3.4.7] Let R = k[x, y, z] be the polynomial algebra over k and let I be an ideal of R generated by  $f = z^2 - x^3$  and  $g = y^2 - xz$ . Suppose S = R/I. Then  $pd(\Omega_1(S)) \le 1$  but  $pd(\Omega_2(S))$  is not finite.

**Example 4.2.5** [30, Proposition 4.2.1] Let  $R = k[x_1, ..., x_s]$  and  $S = k[y_1, ..., y_t]$  be polynomial algebras and let I be an ideal of R generated by the elements  $\{f_1, ..., f_m\}$ . Assume that R/I is an affine k-algebra with dimension s - m and  $pd(J_2(R/I)) \leq 1$ . Then

$$pd(J_2(R/I \otimes_k S)) \leq 1.$$

But note that this result fails even for the case n = 3.

**Proposition 4.2.6** Let  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra and m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  containing an irreducible element f. If the elements

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_s^{\alpha_s}f)$$

belong to  $m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s])$  whenever  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_s \le n-1$ , then  $\Omega_n(\frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)})_{\bar{m}}$  admits a minimal resolution of  $(\frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)})_{\bar{m}}$ -modules where  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$  is a maximal ideal of  $\frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)}$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $R = S/I = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]}{(f)}$  and  $\bar{m}$  be a maximal ideal of R. Then we have the following short exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{N + I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)} \longrightarrow \frac{\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)} \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} \Omega_n(R) \longrightarrow 0$$
(17)

where N is a submodule of  $\Omega_n(S)$  generated by the elements of the form

$$\{d_n(g): g \in fk[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]\}.$$

By localizing (17) at  $\bar{m}$ , we get the following exact sequence of  $R_{\bar{m}}-$ modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(\frac{N + I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}\right)_{\bar{m}} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}\right)_{\bar{m}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\bar{m}}} \Omega_n(R)_{\bar{m}} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{18}$$

**Step 1.** A module generated by the set

$$\{d_n(q): q \in fk[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]\}$$

is a submodule of  $m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s])$ .

Proof of Step 1. Since  $d_n$  is k-linear, it suffices to show

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_s^{\alpha_s}f)\in m\Omega_n(k[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_s]).$$

By using the properties of  $d_n$ , we get

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s} f) = \sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) d_n(x_1^{\gamma_1} x_2^{\gamma_2} \dots x_s^{\gamma_s} f)$$
$$+ f \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta}'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) d_n(x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \dots x_s^{\beta_s})$$

where  $a_{\gamma}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s), a'_{\beta}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \in k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s], 0 \leq \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_s \leq n - 1, 0 < \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_s \leq n$ . By the assumption, we know

$$d_n(x_1^{\gamma_1} x_2^{\gamma_2} \dots x_s^{\gamma_s} f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s])$$

whenever  $0 \le \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \ldots + \gamma_s \le n - 1$  and  $f \in m$ , then the result follows.

Step 2. 
$$(\frac{N+I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)})_{\bar{m}} \subseteq \bar{m}(\frac{\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)})_{\bar{m}}$$
.

*Proof of Step 2.* By step 1, we know  $N \subseteq m\Omega_n(S)$  and the rest is clear.

Step 3.  $(\frac{N+I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)})_{\bar{m}}$  is generated by  $\binom{n+s-1}{s}$  elements.

*Proof of Step 3.* It is known that  $\frac{N+I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}$  is generated by the set

$$\{d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_s^{\alpha_s}f) + I\Omega_n(S) : 0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_s \le n-1\}.$$

And, it has  $\binom{n+s-1}{s}$  elements.

Step 4.  $(\frac{N+I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)})_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $R_{\bar{m}}\text{-module}.$ 

*Proof of Step 4.* The Krull dimension of  $R_{\overline{m}}$  is s-1 and let K be the field of fractions of  $R_{\overline{m}}$ . Then by tensoring the exact sequence in (18) by K, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow K \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} \left(\frac{N + I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}\right)_{\overline{m}} \longrightarrow K \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} \left(\frac{\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}\right)_{\overline{m}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\overline{m}}} K \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} \Omega_n(R)_{\overline{m}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$\tag{19}$$

We know that  $(\frac{\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)})_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $R_{\bar{m}}$ - module of rank  $\binom{n+s}{s} - 1$ .

By using the isomorphism

$$K \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} \Omega_n(R_{\overline{m}}) \cong \Omega_n(K),$$

we have

$$dim K \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} \left(\frac{N+I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}\right)_{\overline{m}} = dim K \otimes_{R_{\overline{m}}} \left(\frac{\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)}\right)_{\overline{m}} - dim \Omega_n(K)$$
$$= \binom{n+s}{s} - \binom{n+s-1}{s-1} = \binom{n+s-1}{s}.$$

Hence,  $(\frac{N+I\Omega_n(S)}{I\Omega_n(S)})_{\bar{m}}$  is a free  $R_{\bar{m}}$ -module. Therefore, the short exact sequence given in (18) is a minimal resolution for  $\Omega_n(R_{\bar{m}})$ .

Let R be a finitely generated regular k-algebra and m be a maximal ideal of R. Then  $\Omega_n(R_m)$  is a free  $R_m$ -module. Hence, by a similar argument for the second order case we can conclude that  $B(\Omega_n(R_m), t)$  is rational.

**Theorem 4.2.7** Let  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  be a polynomial algebra and m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_s]$  containing an irreducible element f. Let

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s} f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s])$$

for  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_s \le n - 1$ . Assume that  $R = \frac{k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s]}{(f)}$  is not a regular ring at  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$ . Then  $B(\Omega_n(R_{\bar{m}}), t)$  is a rational function.

**Proof.** By the previous proposition, the exact sequence of  $R_{\overline{m}}$ -modules in (18) is a minimal resolution of  $\Omega_n(R_{\overline{m}})$ . And we get the result.

**Example 4.2.8** Let R be a k-algebra represented by k[x, y, z]/(f) where  $f = y^4 - x^4 z$ . Then it is known that R is not regular at the origin. Let us compute the Betti series of  $\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}})$  where  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$  is the maximal ideal of R with m = (x, y, z). Since

$$d_3(x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}z^{\gamma}f) \in m\Omega_3(k[x,y,z])$$

where  $0 \le \alpha + \beta + \gamma \le 2$ , we get that  $pd \Omega_3(\frac{k[x,y,z]}{(y^4-x^4z)}) = 1$  and let

$$0 \to F_1 \stackrel{\partial}{\to} F_0 \to \Omega_3(R) \to 0 \tag{20}$$

be the projective resolution (also free resolution) for  $\Omega_3(R)$ .

Then

$$0 \to (F_1)_{\bar{m}} \xrightarrow{\partial} (F_0)_{\bar{m}} \to \Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}) \to 0$$

is a free resolution of  $R_{\bar{m}}$ -modules for  $\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}})$ . If we apply the contravariant functor  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(-, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$ , then we get the following complex

$$0 \to Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \to Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_0)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_1)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \to 0.$$

So, we obtain

$$Ext^1_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = \bigoplus_{1}^{10} (R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$$

and this ensures that  $dim_{R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}} Ext^1_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = 10.$ On the other hand,

$$Ext^{0}_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_{3}(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \cong Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_{3}(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}).$$

Observe that

$$dim \ Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_0)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = 19.$$

And, by considering the facts that the sequence given in (20) is a minimal resolution and  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$  is a subspace of  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_0)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$  we obtain that

$$dim \ Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = d$$

where  $1 \leq d < 19$ . So, we have  $B(\Omega_3(R_{\bar{m}}), t) = d + 10t$  which is a rational function.

**Example 4.2.9** Let R be a k-algebra represented by k[x, y, z]/(f) where  $f = x^3 - y^2 z$ . We know that R is not regular at  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$  where m = (x, y, z) is the maximal ideal of k[x, y, z]. Now, we compute the Betti series for  $\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}})$ . By a similar argument as above,

$$0 \to (F_1)_{\bar{m}} \xrightarrow{\partial} (F_0)_{\bar{m}} \to \Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}) \to 0$$

is a free resolution of  $R_{\bar{m}}$ -modules of  $\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}})$  with

$$rank(F_0)_{\bar{m}} = 9$$
 and  $rank(F_1)_{\bar{m}} = 4$ .

If we apply the contravariant functor  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(-, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$ , then we get the following complex

$$0 \to Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \to Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_0)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_1)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \to 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$Ext_{R_{\bar{m}}}^{1}(\Omega_{2}(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = \bigoplus_{1}^{4} R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}$$

and this ensures that  $dim_{R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}}Ext^1_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = 4$ . We know that

$$Ext^0_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \cong Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}).$$

By considering the fact that  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$  is a subspace of  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_0)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$ , we get

$$dim \ Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = d$$

where  $1 \leq d < 9$ . So, we have  $B(\Omega_2(R_{\bar{m}}), t) = d + 4t$  which is a rational function.

For the affine t-space  $A_k^t$ , we know that the coordinate ring of  $A_k^t$  is denoted by  $k[A_k^t]$  and is of the form  $k[y_1, \ldots, y_t]$  and if U is a reduced hypersurface, then the coordinate ring of U is of the form  $k[U] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_s]/(f)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.10** Let U be a reduced hypersurface and  $A_k^t$  be an affine t-space. Suppose that  $k[U \times A_k^t]$  is the coordinate ring of the product of U and  $A_k^t$ . Let m be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t]$  containing the irreducible element f. Let

$$d_n(x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_s^{\alpha_s} y_1^{\beta_1} y_2^{\beta_2} \dots y_t^{\beta_t} f) \in m\Omega_n(k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t])$$

for  $0 \le \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_s + \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_t \le n - 1$ . And assume that  $k[U \times A_k^t]$  is not a regular ring at  $\bar{m} = m/(f)$ . Then the Betti Series of

$$\Omega_n((k[U\times A_k^t])_{\bar{m}})$$

is a rational function.

**Proof.** Notice that, we have the following isomorphism

$$k[U \times A_k^t] \cong k[A_k^t] \otimes k[U] \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t]/(f).$$

And, by using the theorems (3.1.3) and (4.2.7) we get the desired result.

**Example 4.2.11** Let R be a k-algebra represented by k[x,y,z]/(f) where  $f=y^7-x^6$ . Then R is not regular at the origin. We will compute the Betti series of  $\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}})$  where  $\bar{m}=m/(f)$  is the maximal ideal of R with m=(x,y,z). We know that  $pd\ \Omega_5(\frac{k[x,y,z]}{(y^7-x^6)})=1$  and so,

$$0 \to (F_1)_{\bar{m}} \to (F_0)_{\bar{m}} \to \Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}}) \to 0$$

is a free resolution of  $\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}})$ . If we apply the contravariant functor  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(-, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$ , then we obtain

$$Ext^1_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = \bigoplus_{1}^{35} (R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$$

and this ensures that  $dim_{R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}}Ext^1_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = 35$ . And, we know that

$$Ext^{0}_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_{5}(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) \cong Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_{5}(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}).$$

Since  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$  is a subspace of  $Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}((F_0)_{\bar{m}}, R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}})$ , we obtain that

$$dim\ Hom_{R_{\bar{m}}}(\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}}), R_{\bar{m}}/\bar{m}R_{\bar{m}}) = d$$

where  $1 \le d < 55$ . So, we have  $B(\Omega_5(R_{\bar{m}}), t) = d + 35t$  which is a rational function.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Nakai, Y., High order derivations 1, Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 7, 1-27, **1970**.
- [2] Nakai, Y., On the theory of differentials in commutative rings, Journal of Mathematical Society of Japan, 13, 63-84, **1961**.
- [3] Osborn, H., Modules of differentials 1, Mathematische Annalen, 170, 221-244, **1967**.
- [4] Heyneman R.G., Sweedler M.E., Affine hopf algebras I, *Journal of Algebra*, 13, 192-241, **1969**.
- [5] McConnell, J.C., Robson, J.C., Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 30, 1988.
- [6] Mount, K.R., Villamayor, O.E., On a conjecture of Y. Nakai, Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 10, 325-327, 1973.
- [7] Lipman, J., Free derivation modules on algebraic varieties, American Journal of Mathematics, 87, 874-898, 1965.
- [8] Becker, J., Higher derivations and integral closure, *American Journal of Mathematics*, 100, 495-521, **1978**.
- [9] Erdoğan, A., Homological dimensions of the universal modules for hypersurfaces, *Communications in Algebra*, 24(5), 1565-1573, **1996**.
- [10] Çimen, N. and Erdoğan, A., Projective dimension of the universal modules for the product of a hypersurface and affine t-space, *Communications in Algebra*, 27(10), 4737-4741, **1999**.
- [11] Olgun, N., Erdoğan A., Some results on universal modules, *International Mathematical Forum*, 1, 13-16, 707-712, **2006**.
- [12] Erdoğan, A., Universal modules of differential operators on a ring, *International Mathematical Journal*, 4(4), 359-364, **2003**.

- [13] Erdoğan, A., Differential Operators and their Universal Modules, Phd. Thesis, University of Leeds, 1993.
- [14] Hart, R., Higher derivations and universal differential operators, *Journal of Algebra*, 184, 175-181, **1996**.
- [15] Olgun N., Erdoğan, A., Universal modules on  $R \otimes S$ , Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 34, 33-38, **2005**.
- [16] Poulton, M.D., *Universal Differential Operators*, Phd. Thesis, University of Leeds, **1998**.
- [17] Sweedler, Moss E., Groups of simple algebras, *Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques Publications Mathematiques*, 44, 79-189, **1974**.
- [18] Singh, B., Differential operators on a hypersurface, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, 103, 67-84, 1986.
- [19] Hart, R., Glued algebras and differential operators, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 23, 351-355, 1991.
- [20] Sharp R.Y., Steps in Commutative Algebra, Cambridge University Press, **2000**.
- [21] Iitaka, S., Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Text in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1982.
- [22] Northcott, D.G., An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- [23] Rotman, J.J., An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Socond Edition, Springer, 2009.
- [24] Rotman, J.J., Advanced Modern Algebra, Second Edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 114, American Mathematical Society, **2010**.
- [25] Dummit, D.S., Foote, R.M., *Abstract Algebra*, Third Edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc., **2004**.

- [26] Vermani, L.R., An Elementary Approach to Homological Algebra, Chapman Hall/CRC, 2003.
- [27] Erdoğan, A., Results on the Betti series of the universal modules of second order derivations, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 40(3), 449-452, **2011**.
- [28] Matsumara, H., Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [29] Kunz, E., Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, Berlin, Birkhauser, 1985.
- [30] Olgun, N., The Universal Modules of Finitely Generated Algebras, PhD. Thesis, 2005.

#### CURRICULUM VITAE

#### Credentials

Name, Surname: Halise Melis Tekin Akçin

Place of Birth: Çine/Aydın

Marital Status: Married

E-mail: hmtekin@hacettepe.edu.tr

Address: Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics, Beytepe/Ankara

## Education

High School: 2000-2003 Cine Mehmet Tuncer High School, Cine/AYDIN

BSc.: 2003-2007 Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics

MSc.: 2007-2009 Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics

PhD.: 2009-2015 Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics

## Foreign Languages

Italian (basic level), English

# Work Experience

- Research Assistant in Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics, 2007-...,
- Academic Visitor in University of Sheffield, Department of Mathematics, April 2013-January 2014.

# Areas of Experience

Research Assistant at Hacettepe University and teaching at Hacettepe University.

## **Projects and Budgets**

-

#### **Publications**

1. Erdoğan, A. and Tekin Akçin, H.M., On Betti Series of the Universal Modules of Second Order Derivations of  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_s]/(f)$ , Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 38, 25-28, 2014.

#### **Oral and Poster Presentations**

- 1. International Conference on Algebra dedicated to 100th anniversary of S.M. Chernikov, Kiev, Ukraine, 20-26 August 2012 (speaker).
- 16th Antalya Algebra Days (International Conference), Antalya, Turkey, 9-13
   May 2014 (speaker).
- International Conference on Algebra and Number Theory, Samsun, Turkey, 5-8
   August 2014 (speaker).