

**DOES THE AUCTION CYCLE HAVE AN EFFECT ON
THE MOMENTUM STRATEGIES IN TURKISH BOND
MARKET?**

A Thesis
by
İlkay Öztürk

Submitted to the
Graduate School of Science and Engineering
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of Financial Engineering and Risk Management
Master's in Science

Özyeğin University

May 2018

Copyright © 2018 by İlkay Öztürk

DOES THE AUCTION CYCLE HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE MOMENTUM STRATEGIES IN TURKISH BOND MARKET?

Approved by:

Asst. Prof. Muzaffer Akat, Advisor,
Department of International Finance
Özyeğin University

Asst. Prof. Erkan Yönder,
Department of International Finance
Özyeğin University

Assoc. Prof. Murat Ermiş,
Department of Engineering and Natural
Sciences
Altınbaş University

Date Approved: 14 May 2018



To my family

ABSTRACT

A momentum strategy is applied on the 5-year and the 10-year maturity Turkish bonds between March 2010 and August 2017 with various look-back periods. The U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield and the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index are found to be the best performing momentum indicators. There is an improvement of 10.46% annual return while using the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield as the momentum indicator.

Because of the liquidity-thin emerging markets' supply pressure of public debt, the debt auctions need further attention. After a minor change to Beetsma et al. (2016a, 2016b, 2018) methodology, the treasury debt auction cycle effect is calculated for the 5-year and 10-year maturity Turkish bonds. In accordance with the auction cycle effect, the employed simple momentum strategy is adjusted. This new adjusted momentum strategy improves annual returns between 0% and 6.90% (%3.5 on average) across all the momentum indicators and the look-back periods.

The time series momentum is found to be existent in the Turkish bond market from March 2010 to August 2017. In addition, the supply side pressure by the treasury auctions has a delaying effect on the time series momentum. Employing the other momentum indicators performs better than the bond momentum itself. The adjusted momentum strategy enhances the annual returns up to 11.46%.

ÖZET

5 yıl ve 10 yıl vadeli Türk tahvillerine Mart 2010 ve Ağustos 2017 tarihleri arasında farklı geriye dönük bakış periyotlarıyla bir momentum stratejisi uygulanmıştır. Amerikan 10 yıl vadeli tahvil getirisi ve Borsa İstanbul 100 toplam getiri endeksi en iyi performans gösteren momentum göstergeleri olarak bulunmuştur. Amerikan 10 yıl vadeli tahvil getirisini momentum göstergesi olarak kullanarak yıllık getirilerde %10.46 iyileşme olmuştur.

Likidite koşulları zayıf gelişmekte olan ülke piyasalarındaki kamu borçlanmaları kaynaklı arz baskısı nedeniyle borçlanma ihalelerine daha da ilgi gösterilmesi gerekiyor. Beetsma ve ark. (2016a, 2016b, 2018) uyguladığı metodolojiye ufak bir değişiklik yaparak 5 yıl vadeli ve 10 yıl vadeli Türk tahvillerinde hazine borçlanma ihalesi etkisi hesaplanmıştır. Hazine borçlanma ihalesi etkisine uygun olarak yeni bir düzenlenmiş momentum stratejisi kullanılmıştır. Bu düzenlenmiş momentum stratejisi; tüm momentum göstergeleri ve geriye dönük bakış periyotlarında yıllık getirileri %0 - %6.9 arasında iyileştirmiştir.

Mart 2010 ve Ağustos 2017 dönemleri arasında Türk bono piyasasında zaman serisi momentumunun varlığı tespit edilmiştir. Buna ek olarak hazine ihalelerinin zaman serisi momentumunda geciktici bir etkisinin olduğu gözlemlenmiştir. Diğer momentum göstergelerini kullanmak tahvillerin kendi performansını momentum göstergesi olarak kullanmaktan daha iyi performans göstermiş olup; düzenlenmiş momentum stratejisi yıllık getirileri %11.46'ya kadar iyileştirmiştir.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my thesis advisor Muzaffer Akat for his support and expertise during my thesis and my academic life. I also thank my colleagues at Sekerbank for providing crucial information regarding the Turkish bond markets and the Turkish Treasury bond auctions.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	ABSTRACT.....	vi
II	ÖZET	vii
III	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	viii
IV	LIST OF TABLES	x
V	LIST OF FIGURES	x
VI	INTRODUCTION	11
VII	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	13
VIII	LITERATURE REVIEW	18
IX	DATA AND METHODOLOGY	21
X	RESULTS	23
XI	CONCLUSION.....	27
XII	FUTURE WORK	29
XIII	APPENDIX A.....	30
XIV	BIBLIOGRAPHY	42
XV	VITA.....	44

LIST OF TABLES

1	Table 1 Long-only Portfolio Comparison.....	26
2	Table 2 R-Squares of Regressions	27
3	Table 3 Summary Statistics of Yield Changes After Bond Auctions.....	30
4	Table 4 Summary Statistics of Net Returns After Bond Auctions	31
5	Table 5 Annual Returns Across the Indicators and Periods	32
6	Table 6 Information Ratios Across the Indicators and Periods	33
7	Table 7 Auction Cycle Adjusted Returns Across the Indicators and Periods	34
8	Table 8 Auction Cycle Adjusted Information Ratios Across the Indicators and Periods	35

LIST OF FIGURES

9	Figure 1 10-Year Maturity Bond Yields of G7 Countries	12
10	Figure 2 - Treasury Debt Auction Process.....	17
11	Figure 3 Positioning methodology basen on the momentum indicators.....	36
12	Figure 4 Cumulative Yield Changes After the Auctions and Historical Yields.	37
13	Figure 5 Cumulative Auction Cycle Adjusted Momentum Returns by the US 10- Year Yield Indicator	38
14	Figure 6 5-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the Volatility of the US 10-year Bond Yield.....	39
15	Figure 7 10-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the Volatility of the US 10-year Bond Yield.....	39
16	Figure 8 5-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the Volatility of the MSCI World Equity Index.....	40
17	Figure 9 10-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the Volatility of the MSCI World Equity Index.....	40
18	Figure 10 - 5-Year Maturity Bond Auction Returns.....	41
19	Figure 11 - 10-Year Bond Auction Returns.....	41

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Since the rapid rise in the global savings, known as the savings glut, the yields in developed markets have fallen vastly (Figure 1). The drop in the developed market yields has generated a yield seeking behavior that is also called “the yield hunt” for the pension funds managers, the asset managers, the wealth fund managers and the individual investors. The yield seeking behavior has led scopes of the savings managers to shift towards high yielding emerging market assets with strengthening economic fundamentals with decreasing debt-to-GDP ratios. At the beginning of the yield hunt, emerging market countries borrowings were mostly dominated in hard-currencies such as U.S. Dollar, British Sterling, Euro and Japanese Yen. The demand for the yield supported emerging market countries to transform their borrowings to local-currency from the hard currencies. However, fluctuations in global risk appetite let emerging markets exposed to fund inflows or outflows, which became one of the major determinants of emerging market returns, caused by foreign investors. Therefore, the market timing and the momentum strategies have a significant role for substantial excess returns for the asset managers. In analogy to (Beetsma, Giulidori, Hanson, & de Jong, 2018), the public debt auctions have an increasing impact on the secondary market yields in the developed markets. The emerging market bonds, which have thinner liquidity than the developed markets, are also affected by the public auctions that is called as the public debt auction cycles.

In this study, the determinants of momentum and the effect of public debt auctions are studied for Turkish government local currency bond market. Results suggest that the momentum is existent in the Turkish government bond markets between March 2010 and August 2017. Additionally, the public debt auctions have a delaying effect on the momentum which is a valuable information for the market timing in the bond markets.




Figure 1 10-Year Maturity Bond Yields of G7 Countries

CHAPTER II

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Non-Technical Summary

The time series momentum and the auction cycle are widely studied fields in the finance literature. The former field pays attention to the effect of the past returns on the future returns of a financial asset. The latter field investigates the transient yield increasing influence of the treasury debt auctions on the secondary bond markets. However, these fields are insufficiently researched for the emerging market bond markets, in particular for the Turkish bond markets. In this paper, both the time series momentum and the auction cycle are studied for the recent period between March 2010 and August 2017. The 5-year maturity and 10-year maturity fixed coupon bonds of Turkey are the selected assets for this study, since they are the most liquid bonds that are traded in the market.

In this study, investments are made weekly, i.e. one trade¹ every Friday on the closing prices. While making these investments 1 week, 4 weeks and 13 weeks look-back periods are used. The look-back periods should be understood as the previous performance of the chosen momentum indicator, e.g. the return of the Istanbul Stock Exchange total return index for the chosen period.

In addition to the simple momentum strategy, other momentum indicators are employed for the look-back periods to determine the weekly positions for the 5-year and 10-year maturity Turkish bonds. Afterward, the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index and the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield are two favorable indicators for the selected maturity Turkish bonds.

Recent empirical researches have documented that bond yields follow an inverted V-shaped pattern at the treasury debt auctions periods (Beetsma, Giulidori, Hanson, & de Jong, 2018). The existence of the auction cycle is revealed also in the Turkish bond markets in this study.

As a result, the study arrives at an adjusted strategy that is a time series momentum strategy modified according to the treasury debt auction cycles. The weekly

¹ Only long or short positions are made. One should understand that a long position (short position) simply means buying (selling) the security.

positioning is determined mainly by the selected momentum indicators' performance. However, a long position in the selected bonds is taken on the auction weeks regardless of the momentum indicators' buy or sell signal. The results show that the momentum strategy with an auction adjustment results significant improvement in the sample period.

2.2 *Fixed Income Mathematics*

2.2.1 **Bond Pricing**

Determining the price of an asset is crucial in the financial markets. In order to calculate the price of a bond, the cashflow information is essential for the fixed income products. Though not every fixed income product has a pre-determined cashflow structure such as floating rate notes and inflation linked bonds etc. However, only the fixed coupon bonds are of interest for this study. The Turkish fixed coupon bonds have semi-annual coupon paying structure. The initial offering pricing formula is represented at the Equation 1 (Fabozzi & Mann, 2012).

$$Price = c \left[\frac{1 - \left\{ \frac{1}{(1+r)^n} \right\}}{r} \right] + \frac{F}{(1+r)^n}$$

Equation 1 - Bond Pricing

where

c: semiannual coupon payment

n: number of periods

r: periodic interest rate

F: face value

In the secondary market, there is a small adjustment for the pricing in the Equation 2.

$$Price = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{CF_i}{(1 + R)^{P_i}}$$

Equation 2 - Bond Pricing

where

CF_i: cashflow of *ith* period

R: compounded yield calculated by $\left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)\right)^n$

2.2.2 Duration

The duration is the approximate sensitivity of the bond's value to the yield changes.

For the fixed coupon bonds, the modified duration is utilized. The modified duration is calculated as in Equation 3.

$$Modified\ Duration = \left[\frac{1}{(1 + r)^2} \right] \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{PVCF_i}{k \cdot price}$$

Equation 3 - Modified Duration

where

PVCF_i: present value of cashflow *ith* discounted at the interest rate

The change in the price of the bond is approximated by the multiplication of the modified duration and the yield change.

2.3 Treasury Bond Auctions

The governments, the municipalities and the corporations fulfill their funding needs via the auctions process, the bought deal process and the continuous offerings for the public financing purposes (Fabozzi & Mann, 2012). The bought deal process and the continuous offerings are not in the scope of this study; hence only the auction process is described in detail in this section.

The widely known bond auctions are the single-price auction and multi-price auction. In the single-price auction, known as uniform auction or the Dutch auction, all

financiers (or bidders) would purchase the bonds at the market-clearing price (the lowest winning price or the highest winning yield). The multi-price auction, known as discriminatory auction or “pay-as-bid”, is the mostly used auction type that has a different distribution structure in which the bidders would purchase the bonds at the price they quoted instead of purchasing at the single market-clearing price with the other bidders. Treasuries execute the borrowing operations for the governments, as it is the same for Turkey. The Turkish treasury employs the discriminatory auction for the bills, the fixed coupon bonds, the floating rate notes and the inflation linked bonds sales as most of other country treasuries.

Figure 2 demonstrates the process of Turkish treasury public debt auctions. Each last workday of the month, the Turkish treasury announces its planned bond auctions with the intended borrowing amounts, the bond types, the auction dates and the maturities of the bonds for the next three months. The treasury also announces the detailed information of the auctions at least one workday before the auction date. The intraday process of the auctions are as follows; the non-competitive bidding, the competitive (multi-price) auction bidding and the option bids (Post-Auction bids). The dealers submit their intended buying amount at the average price and yield on the auction to the Turkish treasury for the non-competitive bidding before 11:30 (local time). Following that, the treasury announces the amount of non-competitive bids covered until 12:00. After the non-competitive bids’ result announcement, the bidders submit their bid prices and amount before 13:30. The detailed result of the auction is announced after 13:30 via Bloomberg Terminal and Reuters Terminal. Finally, the bidders, who participated in the competitive auction and purchased the bond at the higher price than the auction average price, submit their “intent to buy” the auctioned bond at the average price (Post-auction bidding). The bidders can purchase at the average price up to 40% of the net value of the auction bids that are higher than the average auction price (Treasury, 2013). The settlements of the auctioned bonds always actualized on the next Wednesdays.




Figure 2 - Treasury Debt Auction Process

2.4 Momentum Trading

The momentum trading can be broadly categorized into two parts as the cross-sectional momentum and the time-series momentum. This study investigates the Turkish fixed coupon bonds; therefore, using the time-series momentum is a better selection.

The cross-sectional momentum takes the historical relative performance of at least two stocks. To exemplify, if stock A outperforms stock B previous year, the momentum strategy recommends that the stock A will outperform the stock B again next period. Hence, buying Stock A and selling stock B is the best option.

On the other hand, the time-series momentum pays attention to historical performance of stock A (single financial asset) instead of its relative performances to stock B. The time-series momentum suggests that performance of the stock A will continue the next period. For this reason, buying (selling) an asset with positive (negative) returns is the best option. Hence, the strategy suggests taking a long (short) position in a stock A for the following week if its last 13-week return is positive (negative).

CHAPTER III

LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 Literature Review on Momentum

Momentum in the financial markets is a well-known behavioral anomaly that has been vastly studied in the academic literature. In broad terms, the momentum is defined as the pricing over-reaction to the past excess returns with the assumption of excess returns tend to proceed to the next period. In the literature, momentum is divided into two main categories as cross-sectional and time series momentum.

As the earlier studies for the cross-sectional momentum, (Jegadeesh & Titman, 1993) and (Jegadeesh & Titman, 2001) document outperformances of the individual stocks with superior past returns relative to the stocks with the inferior past returns. They argue that the outperformance remains up to five years. (Menkhoff, Sarno, Schmeling, & Schrimpf, 2012) investigates the excess returns between the past winner

currencies and the past loser currencies. They claim that the currency momentum returns are not related to widely studied carry trade returns. (Durham, 2013) documents that the cross-sectional momentum also applies for the bond markets across the different maturities which is widely known as the yield curve trading.

On the other hand, the time series momentum focuses on the effects of the securities' own past periods' excess returns on the following periods' performances. (Chan, Jegadeesh, & Lakonishok, 1996) points out that the previous returns and the previous earnings surprises of individual stocks predict the future returns which suggests gradual adjustments to new information by the market participants. (Moskowitz, Ooi, & Pedersen, 2012) investigates the time series momentum with 58 liquid instruments across all asset classes. Accordingly, the prior performances of the instruments tend to persist for one to twelve months. (Griffin, Ji, & Martin, 2003) studies whether momentum profits are related to macroeconomic risks. Accordingly, the relation does not exist, and the momentum gains are reliable regardless of the economic states. (Pastor & Stambaugh, 2003) discover the momentum strategy profits are affected mostly by the liquidity risk factors. To extend this study, (Asness, Moskowitz, & Pedersen, 2013) investigates the negative-correlation between momentum and value strategies across asset classes. They argue that the funding liquidity has positive impact on the momentum returns and negative impact on the value returns which partly explain the negative correlation between value and momentum. Followingly, (Cakici & Tan, 2013) and (de Groot, Pang, & Swinkels, 2010) discover the value and momentum effects exist also in the emerging markets and frontier emerging markets.

(Luu & Yu, 2012) examines the momentum in six major government-bond markets as Australia, Canada, Germany, Japan, the U.K., and the U.S. After dividing bonds into different maturity buckets, they use their prior excess returns as a predictor for the buckets' future returns. (Ilmanen, 1995) and (Ilmanen, 1997) point out that the previous equity performances predict future bond returns. Followingly, (Duyvesteyn & Martens, 2014) employs other measures such as the past equity returns and the yield curve steepness in addition to the past bond returns for the prediction of emerging market bond future returns. None of the cited studies examines the momentum in Turkish Bond Market, therefore, this study may fill the gap in the academic literature.

3.2 Literature Review on the Public Debt Auction Cycle

Literature on the relation between the primary market (public debt auctions) and the secondary market is scarce especially for the emerging markets, which makes this research more interesting.

The bonds issued by the treasury are grouped into two as “on-the-run” and “off-the-run” bonds. “On-the-run” bonds are the recently issued bonds with high liquidity feature. On the other hand, “off-the-run” bonds are the previously issued bonds (“on-the-run” bonds). (Warga, 1992) claims that “on-the-run” bonds are more preferred by the bond dealers thanks to its high-liquidity, which generates a price premium for “on-the-run” bonds. (Fleming & Remolona, 1997), (Fleming & Rosenberg, 2007) and (Lou, Yan, & Zhang, 2013) find the initial result of the public debt auction cycle in the U.S. markets. They find out that the secondary market yields have an inverted V-shaped pattern which tend to move up before the auctions, then revert to its pre-auction levels after the auctions. (Beetsma, Giuliadori, de Jong, & Widijanto, 2013) investigates the debt auction cycle of the German and Italian treasuries. This auction yield effect increases as the market uncertainty and the auction volume surges. Afterwards, (Beetsma, Giuliadori, Hanson, & de Jong, 2016) investigates effect of foreign public debt auctions to the sovereign public debt auctions in the Euro Area. They find an empirical evidence of the spill-over effect of other Euro Area counties’ auctions can amount about five basis points. In addition to the auction cycle, (Beetsma, Giulidori, Hanson, & de Jong, 2018) investigates the effect of Euro Zone public debt auctions to the secondary market with regards to the bid-to-cover ratio. Accordingly, higher bid-to-cover ratio and the market volatility increase secondary market yield decrease after the auctions or vice versa. To the best of our knowledge, there is a shortage in the literature for auction cycles of emerging markets and Turkey. This study is aimed to fulfill this shortage for Turkey.

CHAPTER IV

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

4.1. Data

Data for this research can be grouped into two categories as the historical treasury bond auction data and the historical market data. The sample period has been chosen from March 2010 to August 2017 with weekly frequency. Entire dataset has been obtained from the Bloomberg Terminal except TR Libor Rates data which is provided by The Banks Association of Turkey.

Treasury bond auction data, published by Republic of Turkey Prime Ministry Undersecretariat of Treasury, contain the issue dates, the value dates, the total amount sold and the yields of Turkish treasury fixed coupon bonds historically. However, in this research the only information used is 5 and 10-year fixed coupon treasury bonds' issue dates and non-competitive compound yield² for this paper. The reason for that is to observe the existence of the treasury bond auctions effects on momentum. The remaining part of the data is intended to be used for further researches.

Unlike the US treasury bonds, Turkish treasury bond market's generic historical prices are not available on the financial data vendors. To cope up with this issue, the historical compound yield data has been used in this research. The estimated price changes are calculated with the multiplication of the compound yield net change and the estimated durations of the corresponding bonds. Estimated durations for 10-year and 5-year maturity bonds are taken as 6.5 and 3.5 respectively with respect to the actual 10-year and 5-year maturity Turkish treasury bonds. To assess weekly net (excess) returns of each bond, weekly borrowing cost are calculated from TR Libor 1-week mid-rate (Turkish Lira Reference Interest Rate).

Instead of using net returns of only bonds itself, a broader perspective is adopted by taking notice of different momentum indicators which can be categorized into two classes: the global momentum indicators and the local momentum indicators. As global momentum indicators, the mostly known assets and indices such as US Treasury 10-year maturity bond yield, SP500 Volatility Index (VIX), MSCI World Total Net Return,

² The non-competitive issue yield is the auction average yield, sold by Turkish treasury.

MSCI Emerging Markets Total Net Return are employed. In addition, as the local momentum indicators, total Net Return of Turkish Stock Market Index (XU100), Turkey 5-year maturity Dollar CDS (Credit Default Swap), 5-year and 10-year maturity bond excess returns are employed.

4.2. Methodology

In this study, one-week investment horizon is considered to be a reasonable length for the examination of momentum and treasury issuance effect. Based on the momentum measures and the auction calendar, one unit of long or short position is taken on 5-year and 10-year maturity Turkish treasury bond every week. Mainly, two strategies have been employed in this study. The first strategy tries to capture returns via momentum and the second strategy attempts to capture lagged momentum effects that is caused by the treasury issuance. The weekly borrowing costs are calculated from one-week TR Libor mid yield for the excess return calculations.

4.2.1. Momentum Methodology

In this study, the time series momentum is employed. The momentum methodology adopts a weekly trading strategy by taking one unit of long or short position in 5-year and 10-year maturity Turkish treasury bonds considering prior cumulative return of several momentum indicators. However, the methodology never allows to take a zero-position on any week for the robustness check. To identify the best past return horizon, one-, four-, and thirteen- week cumulative past excess returns of momentum indicators that are employed. For example, if the excess return (after considering the funding cost) of 10-year maturity Turkish treasury bond is positive (negative) on last thirteen weeks, then the methodology signals for taking a long (short) position in 10-year maturity Turkish treasury bond for one-week duration (please see the Figure 3). The positions are hypothetically opened and closed at the weekly closing prices of each week on the last business day. The returns are calculated additively instead of compounding weekly. In other words previous profits and losses do not affect the size of the positions for the following week. In addition, the stop-loss methodology,

which automatically closes the position if the position's loss exceeds a pre-determined level, is not used for the momentum portfolio.

4.2.2. Debt Auction Cycle Strategy

The treasury issuance strategy takes Turkish treasury bond auctions into consideration. Streetwise knowledge points out that treasury bond auctions delay momentum on the bond market regarding supply pressure, which is called as “the debt auction cycle effect” in the academic literature. To examine the bond auctions effect, regardless of momentum signal, one unit of long position from the non-competitive price (the weighted average of the accepted bids) is taken on every treasury auctions of 5-year and 10-year maturity bonds. Afterwards, the position taken on the auctions are closed on the last working day of the week. In the case of consistent gains in the strategy, it can be concluded as the bond issuance effect has a momentum delaying impact (the supply side pressure effect).

CHAPTER V

RESULTS

5.1. Initial Results of Turkish Debt Auction Cycle Effect

In parallel to the academic literature on the public debt auction cycle, 128 fixed coupon bond auctions of the Turkish Treasury, from March 2010 to August 2017, were examined as (Beetsma, Giuliadori, de Jong, & Widijanto, 2013) investigates the public debt auctions effect on the secondary market in Euro Zone. Because of the better secondary market liquidity conditions, the 5 and the 10-year maturity bond auctions are selected for this study. However, despite the relatively better liquidity factor for the 5-year and the 10-year maturity bonds, the pre-auction secondary market data is still insufficient to use the same methodology of Beetsma (2013, 2016, 2018) by using the pre-auction secondary market levels in Turkish bond markets. The reason for that is the emerging markets such as Turkey have less liquidity, higher bid-ask spreads and less trading volumes than the developed markets such as Euro Area, Japan, and the U.S.

Therefore, another methodology is used to measure the effect of the auctions on the secondary market. According to this methodology, the yield difference between the non-competitive auction bid accepted yield (which is set by the accepted average yield in the competitive biddings) and the last traded yield of corresponding week has been calculated. If there is a consistent yield decline (positive gain) on the positions taken at the auction weeks, the public debt auction cycle's existence could be empirically proved in the Turkish bond markets.

The auction cycle effect is observed in the sample period. The cumulative yield decline is 4.09% (on average 0.07%) for the 10-year maturity bonds and 9.27% (on average 0.14%) for the 5-year maturity bonds. The summary statistics are available on Table 2 and the historical returns graph of the auctions is available on Figure 10&11. However, because of the duration differences and the funding costs of the positions, one may argue that the net return comparison would be more useful for this study. Hence, the net returns of the 5-year and the 10-year maturity bonds were also analyzed (Table 3). Analyzing the net returns leads to almost the same results as the net yield changes of the auctions. Both results suggest that the 5-year maturity bonds have more sensitivity to the public debt auction cycles in the selected sample period.

Another issue to be considered for the auction cycle analysis could be the economic cycles. A declining yield trend may be overshadowing the net returns gained on the auction weeks. However, Figure 4 shows that the yields of the 5-year and the 10-year maturity bonds are stationary in the sample period. The returns gained by the auctions are consistent despite the upward and the downward trends in the nonstationary sample period (Figure 4), which could be an empirical evidence of the public debt auction cycle's existence.

5.2. Event Study Analysis and Interpretation of Results

5.2.1. The Momentum Strategies Results

The momentum in the Turkish bond markets are observed in terms of the one week, the four weeks and the thirteen weeks momentum signals (Table 5&6). However, some of the momentum indicators such as the credit default swaps (CDS), the SP500

volatility index (VIX), the MSCI World Index (MXWO), and the MSCI Emerging Markets Index (MXEF) do not signal the same direction as the other indicators do. The reason for the negative correlation between the MSCI World Index performance and the MSCI Emerging Markets Index performances might represent the growth expectations which influence the stock markets positive performances. The global growth expectations have an impact on the inflation expectations and therefore the yields.

On the other hand, the best performing momentum indicators for Turkish Bonds in general are the bond's past performance itself, the total return index of the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 (XU100T), and the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield. Contrary to the MSCI World and the MSCI Emerging Markets, the past performance of the XU100T can give a clue about the capital flows to Turkish assets. Explanation for that might be the systematic impact of capital inflows and outflow on the Turkish assets. The U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield (US10) is the best performing momentum indicator for the 5-year and the 10-year maturity Turkish bonds in the chosen sample period. In addition to the best performance indicators, the best performance momentum period is the 13 weeks horizon overall.

One can argue that a long only portfolio instead of using the auction cycle adjusted momentum strategy might perform almost the same. In order to reply these kind of this disbelief, a comparison between a long-only bond portfolio and the adjusted momentum bond portfolio is performed. The long-only portfolio holds 1 unit of 5-year or 10-year maturity Turkish bonds with the auction cycle adjustment for the entire sample period. For the comparison, the auction cycle adjusted momentum strategy employing US 10-year maturity bond yield as the momentum indicator with 13-week lookback period is selected. Table 1 summarizes the performances of the two selected portfolios. Accordingly, the long-only portfolio has a poor performance compared to the adjusted momentum strategy for both returns and volatility adjusted returns (information ratio). Both results support the superiority of the strategy in the sample period.

LONG ONLY PORTFOLIO STATISTICS VS ADJUSTED MOMENTUM STRATEGY					
Maturity	Strategy	Total Return	Annual Return	Standart Deviation	Information Ratio
10-year Bond	Long Only	4,30%	0,60%	7,65%	0,08
5-Year Bond	Long Only	13,09%	1,83%	11,54%	0,16
10-Year Bond	Adj. Momentum with US10 (13-week)	81,96%	11,46%	11,44%	1,00
5-Year Bond	Adj. Momentum with US10 (13-week)	59,53%	8,32%	7,60%	1,09

Table 1 Long-only Portfolio Comparison

The long-only portfolio has a poor performance compared to the adjusted momentum strategy for both returns and volatility adjusted returns (information ratio) across two maturities that supports the superiority of the strategy.

5.2.2. The Momentum Strategy Results with the Auction Cycle Adjustment

The effect of the auction cycle to the momentum strategy improves the performance considerably in the sample period (Table 7&8). The annual returns are improved across all the momentum indicators and the momentum periods. This result supports this study's proposition that the debt auction cycle has a momentum delaying effect in the sample period.

5.3. Possible Explanations for Auction Cycle Returns

The auction cycle returns in the sample period are analyzed for the 5-year and the 10-year maturity Turkish bonds. A regression analysis is performed to analyze the effect of the historical volatility of the previously selected momentum indicators to the auction returns.

4- week and 13-week periods are used for the historical volatility calculations. Similar to the dominant performance of the 5-year maturity bonds in the auction cycle strategy (Table 3&4) (Figure 8&9), 5-year maturity bond auction returns are more predictable compared to the 10-year maturity bond auction returns by the use of selected momentum indicators' volatility. In Table 2, R-Square results of the regressions of the selected momentum indicators and different periods support the former statement. On

the other hand, one can conclude that the driving factors of the 10-year maturity bond auction cycle effect are not the same as the 5-year maturity bond auctions. For the 5-year bond auctions; the MSCI World Equity Index volatility and the US 10-year maturity bond yield volatility are the best performing factors across the 4-week period and the 13-week period. However, after narrowing down the analysis to only 4-week period, volatility of the 5-year maturity Turkish credit default swaps and 5-year Turkish bond yield volatility have more explanatory power.

R-Squares of Regressions									
Period	Maturity	5-year	10-year	XU100T	US10	CDS-TR	VIX	MXEF	MXWO
13 Weeks	10-Year	0,0%	0,1%	0,8%	0,1%	0,2%	1,2%	0,0%	0,0%
	5-Year	1,5%	0,9%	1,1%	10,8%	4,2%	2,5%	6,3%	12,4%
4 Weeks	10-Year	0,6%	0,0%	3,8%	0,0%	0,0%	5,1%	2,0%	4,6%
	5-Year	11,6%	5,3%	3,7%	5,1%	17,7%	3,4%	5,7%	8,1%

Table 2 R-Squares of Regressions

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION

A simple momentum strategy is applied on the 5-year and the 10-year maturity Turkish bonds in the sample period from March 2010 to August 2017 with the 1 week, the 4 weeks and the 13 weeks look-back periods. The annual returns with the simple momentum strategy were 2.28% and 2.71% for the 5 and the 10-year maturity Turkish bonds respectively.

In addition to this simple momentum strategy, as (Duyvesteyn & Martens, 2014) employs other momentum indicators such as the bond momentum, the stock momentum and the term spread; this study employed other momentum indicators such as the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield, the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index, the credit default swap spread of Turkey, the SP 500 volatility index, the MSCI World Equity Index and MCSI Emerging Market Equity Index. Consequently, the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield, the Istanbul Stock Exchange total return index and time series

momentum of bonds perform well across all look-back periods as momentum indicators.

The underperformance of the 5-year maturity CDS, VIX, MXWO and MXEM Index can be explained in two ways. First, the CDS and the VIX have a mean-reverting structure which is not coherent with any momentum strategy. Secondly, the growth expectations on MXWO and MXEM reflect on the inflation expectations thus drive the yields upwards.

The best performing momentum indicator is the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield in the sample period. The indicator results in 10.46% annual return for the entire period. This outperformance could be explained by the risk-free asset structure of the U.S. 10-year maturity bond. It is well-known that the treasury bond yields in the emerging markets are highly sensitive to the risk-free yields. The investors demand more (less) premium when risk-free yields are rising (declining).

However, the liquidity-thin emerging markets' supply pressure of public debt auctions needs further attention beyond the study of (Duyvesteyn & Martens, 2014). The lack of liquidity in the emerging markets leads to the higher treasury debt auction cycle effect than the developed markets. After a minor change to Beetsma et al. (2016a, 2016b, 2018) methodology, the treasury debt auction cycle effect is calculated to be 7 bps for the 10-year maturity bonds and 14 bps for the 5-year maturity bonds for the Turkish bond market.

In accordance with the auction cycle effect, the employed simple momentum strategy is adjusted by taking a long position on the auction weeks regardless of the momentum indicator. This new adjusted momentum strategy improves annual returns by 0% to 6.90% (%3.5 on average) across all the momentum indicators and the look-back periods in the sample period.

Finally, the time series momentum is observed in the Turkish bond market from March 2010 to August 2017. However, the supply side pressure by the treasury auctions have a delaying effect on the time series momentum. In addition, employing other momentum indicators results in better returns than employing the bond momentum itself. The adjusted momentum strategy enhances the annual returns by 6.49% to 10.86% considering the long-only portfolio.

CHAPTER VII

FUTURE WORK

The bond momentum strategies and the debt auction cycles are not widely studied fields for the emerging markets in the finance literature. To expand the literature, it would be important to analyze the effect of several factors such as monthly announced issue amount, total bond redemption amount and the bond auction of the other countries on the Turkish markets for future study. In addition, the cross-sectional momentum across the different maturities by taking auction cycles into consideration would be an interesting study for the literature. Lastly, applying this study and the future work studies above to the other emerging market country bonds would be a well contribution to the financial literature.

APPENDIX A

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3 – Summary Statistics of Yield Changes After Bond Auctions

<i>Net Change (bps)</i>	<i>10 Year Bond</i>	<i>5 Year Bond</i>
Mean	-0,07	-0,14
Standard Error	0,02	0,03
Median	-0,08	-0,10
Mode	-0,28	-0,07
Standard Deviation	0,19	0,27
Sample Variance	0,04	0,07
Kurtosis	0,45	0,25
Skewness	0,59	-0,58
Range	0,92	1,26
Minimum	-0,39	-0,90
Maximum	0,53	0,36
Sum	-4,09	-9,27
Count	61	67

Table 3 Summary Statistics of Yield Changes After Bond Auctions

The auction cycle effect is revealed in the sample period. The cumulative yield decline is 4.09% (on average 0.07%) for the 10-year maturity bonds and 9.27% (on average 0.14%) for the 5-year maturity bonds.

Table 4 – Summary Statistics of Net Returns After Bond Auctions

<i>Net Return (bps)</i>	<i>10 Year Bond</i>	<i>5 Year Bond</i>
Mean	0,40%	0,48%
Standard Error	0,15%	0,11%
Median	0,43%	0,34%
Standard Deviation	1,14%	0,93%
Sample Variance	0,01%	0,01%
Kurtosis	0,50	0,18
Skewness	-0,60	0,58
Range	5,50%	4,35%
Minimum	-3,19%	-1,24%
Maximum	2,30%	3,10%
Sum	24,33%	31,98%
Count	61	67

Table 4 Summary Statistics of Net Returns After Bond Auctions

Analyzing the net returns leads to almost the same results as the net yield changes. Both results suggest that the 5-year maturity bonds have more sensitivity to the public debt auction cycles in the selected sample period.

Table 5 – Annual Returns across the Indicators and Periods

ANNUAL RETURN				
Indicator	Asset	1 Week	4 Weeks	13 Weeks
10 year Bond	10 year Bond	2,24%	1,25%	2,71%
5 year Bond	5 year Bond	0,95%	-0,33%	2,28%
XU100T	10 year Bond	0,66%	4,69%	4,16%
XU100T	5 year Bond	1,63%	2,49%	2,23%
US10	10 year Bond	8,78%	10,46%	8,09%
US10	5 year Bond	4,94%	4,87%	5,06%
CDS	10 year Bond	-4,45%	-3,88%	0,84%
CDS	5 year Bond	-1,36%	-2,12%	0,89%
VIX	10 year Bond	-3,23%	-2,03%	-5,34%
VIX	5 year Bond	-1,00%	-0,97%	-1,74%
MXWO	10 year Bond	-0,74%	-4,30%	-5,18%
MXWO	5 year Bond	1,45%	-0,69%	-1,77%
MXEF	10 year Bond	-1,45%	0,46%	-0,03%
MXEF	5 year Bond	0,18%	0,90%	0,85%

Table 5 Annual Returns Across the Indicators and Periods

The annual returns of the momentum indicators and look-back periods are presented as a heatmap table. The green colored cells represent higher returns and the red colored cells represent lower returns. Accordingly, the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield, the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index and the bond own historical performances are the best momentum indicator for both the 5-year and 10-year maturity bonds in the sample period. On the other side, the 5-year maturity CDS of Turkey, the VIX index, the MSCI World Index and the MSCI EM Index are the underperforming momentum indicators. The best performing momentum indicator in the sample period is the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield across all the look-back periods.

Table 6 – Information Ratios across the Indicators and Periods

INFORMATION RATIO				
Indicator	Asset	1 Week	4 Weeks	13 Weeks
10 year Bond	10 year Bond	0,17	0,10	0,21
5 year Bond	5 year Bond	0,12	-0,04	0,29
XU100T	10 year Bond	0,05	0,36	0,32
XU100T	5 year Bond	0,21	0,32	0,29
US10	10 year Bond	0,68	0,81	0,63
US10	5 year Bond	0,63	0,62	0,65
CDS	10 year Bond	-0,34	-0,30	0,06
CDS	5 year Bond	-0,17	-0,27	0,11
VIX	10 year Bond	-0,25	-0,16	-0,41
VIX	5 year Bond	-0,13	-0,12	-0,22
MXWO	10 year Bond	-0,06	-0,33	-0,40
MXWO	5 year Bond	0,19	-0,09	-0,23
MXEF	10 year Bond	-0,11	0,04	0,00
MXEF	5 year Bond	0,02	0,12	0,11

Table 6 Information Ratios Across the Indicators and Periods

The information ratios of the momentum indicators and look-back periods are presented as a heatmap table. The information ratios are calculated as the total returns divided by standard deviations. The green colored cells represent higher information ratios and the red colored cells represent lower information ratios. Accordingly, the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield, the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index and the bond own historical performances are the best momentum indicator for both the 5-year and 10-year maturity bonds in the sample period. On the other side, the 5-year maturity CDS of Turkey, the MSCI World Index and the MSCI EM Index are the underperforming momentum indicators. The best performing momentum indicator in the sample period is the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield across all the look-back periods. The results are coherent with the table 3.

Table 7 – Auction Cycle Adjusted Annual Returns across the Indicators and Periods

ANNUAL RETURN				
Indicator	Asset	1 Week	4 Weeks	13 Weeks
10 year Bond	10 year Bond	4,00%	4,41%	5,68%
5 year Bond	5 year Bond	2,32%	4,32%	5,67%
XU100T	10 year Bond	2,19%	4,04%	6,71%
XU100T	5 year Bond	3,90%	3,67%	6,29%
US10	10 year Bond	9,47%	10,45%	11,46%
US10	5 year Bond	7,83%	8,35%	8,32%
CDS	10 year Bond	-1,45%	1,91%	6,21%
CDS	5 year Bond	3,10%	4,80%	7,15%
VIX	10 year Bond	0,75%	2,31%	1,29%
VIX	5 year Bond	3,66%	3,87%	3,93%
MXWO	10 year Bond	3,02%	1,83%	-2,76%
MXWO	5 year Bond	5,02%	3,65%	0,95%
MXEF	10 year Bond	-0,50%	3,92%	5,89%
MXEF	5 year Bond	1,84%	3,84%	6,62%

Table 7 Auction Cycle Adjusted Returns Across the Indicators and Periods

The auction cycle adjusted annual returns of the momentum indicators and look-back periods are presented as a heatmap table. The green colored cells represent higher returns and the red colored cells represent lower returns. Accordingly, the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield, the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index and the bond own historical performances are the best momentum indicator for both the 5-year and 10-year maturity bonds in the sample period however after the auction cycle adjustment MSCI EM Index and the 5-year maturity CDS of Turkey perform well. The auction cycle adjustment enhances the annual returns around 3.0-3.3%. On the other side, the MSCI World Index and the VIX are the underperforming momentum indicators. The best performing momentum indicator in the sample period is the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield across all the look-back periods.

Table 8 – Auction Cycle Adjusted Information Ratios across the Indicators and Periods

INFORMATION RATIO				
Indicator	Asset	1 Week	4 Weeks	13 Weeks
10 year Bond	10 year Bond	0,35	0,38	0,50
5 year Bond	5 year Bond	0,30	0,56	0,74
XU100T	10 year Bond	0,19	0,35	0,58
XU100T	5 year Bond	0,51	0,48	0,82
US10	10 year Bond	0,82	0,91	1,00
US10	5 year Bond	1,02	1,10	1,09
CDS	10 year Bond	-0,13	0,16	0,54
CDS	5 year Bond	0,40	0,63	0,93
VIX	10 year Bond	0,06	0,20	0,11
VIX	5 year Bond	0,48	0,50	0,51
MXWO	10 year Bond	0,26	0,16	-0,24
MXWO	5 year Bond	0,66	0,47	0,12
MXEF	10 year Bond	-0,04	0,34	0,51
MXEF	5 year Bond	0,24	0,50	0,87

Table 8 Auction Cycle Adjusted Information Ratios Across the Indicators and Periods

The auction cycle adjusted information ratios of the momentum indicators and look-back periods are presented as a heatmap table. The information ratios are calculated as the total returns divided by standard deviations. The green colored cells represent higher information ratios and the red colored cells represent lower information ratios. Accordingly, the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield, the Istanbul Stock Exchange 100 total return index and the bond own historical performances are the best momentum indicator for both the 5-year and 10-year maturity bonds in the sample period however after the auction cycle adjustment MSCI EM Index and the 5-year maturity CDS of Turkey perform well. On the other side, the VIX index and the MSCI World Index are the underperforming momentum indicators. The best performing momentum indicator in the sample period is the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield across all the look-back periods. The information results are coherent with the table 5.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3 – Positioning based on Momentum Indicators




Figure 3 Positioning methodology basen on the momentum indicators

The weekly positioning is being determined by the performance of the momentum indicator in the look-back period. For the stock indices, the performance is measured according to the percentage change. On the other side, the performance is measured by the net change for the bonds, the volatility and the credit default swap spreads. In this example, the increase in the U.S. 10-year maturity bond yield signals for taking a short position for the following week.

Figure 4 – Cumulative Yield Changes After Auctions and Historical Yields




Figure 4 Cumulative Yield Changes After the Auctions and Historical Yields

The yields of the 5- and the 10-year maturity Turkish bonds are stationary in the sample period. The returns gained by the auctions are consistent despite the upward and the downward trends in the nonstationary sample period, which could be an empirical evidence of the public debt auction cycle's existence.

Figure 5 – Cumulative Auction Cycle Adjusted Momentum Returns by US 10-Year Yield Indicator




Figure 5 Cumulative Auction Cycle Adjusted Momentum Returns by the US 10-Year Yield Indicator

The auction cycle adjusted cumulative returns do not appear stationary in the sample period. The US 10-year maturity bond yield is the best performing momentum indicator.

Figure 6&7 – Auction Cycle Strategy Returns and Volatility of the US 10-year Maturity Yield




Figure 6 5-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the 13-week Volatility of the US 10-year Bond Yield




Figure 7 10-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the 13-week Volatility of the US 10-year Bond Yield

The US 10-year bond yield volatility (x axis) and the net return gained in the auction debt cycle strategy (y axis) shows that the 5-year maturity Turkish bond auctions are more sensitive to the volatility of the US 10-year maturity bond yield.

Figure 8&9 – Auction Cycle Strategy Returns and Volatility of the US 10-year Maturity Yield




Figure 8 5-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the Volatility of the MSCI World Equity Index




Figure 9 10-year Maturity Turkish Bond Auction Returns and the Volatility of the MSCI World Equity Index

The MSCI World Equity Index volatility (x axis) and the net return gained in the auction debt cycle strategy (y axis) shows that the 5-year maturity Turkish bond auctions are more sensitive to the volatility of the MSCI World Equity Index.

Figure 10&11 – 5-Year & 10-Year Maturity Bond Auction Returns




Figure 10 - 5-Year Maturity Bond Auction Returns




Figure 11 - 10-Year Bond Auction Returns

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Asness, C., Moskowitz, T., & Pedersen, L. (2013). Value and momentum everywhere. *The Journal of Finance*.
- Beetsma, R., Giuliodori, M., Hanson, J., & de Jong, F. (2018). Bid-to-cover and yield changes around public debt auctions in the euro-area. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 118-134.
- Beetsma, R., Giuliodori, M., de Jong, F., & Widijanto, D. (2013, September). Price Effects of Sovereign Debt Auctions in the Euro-Zone the Role of the Crisis. *European Central Bank Working Paper Series*.
- Beetsma, R., Giuliodori, M., Hanson, J., & de Jong, F. (2016). Domestic and Cross-Border Auction Cycle Effects of Sovereign Bond Issuance in the Euro Area. *CEPR No.11122*.
- Cakici, N., & Tan, S. (2013, September). Size, value and momentum in emerging market stock returns. *Emerging Markets Review*, pp. 46-65.
- Chan, L., Jegadeesh, N., & Lakonishok, J. (1996). Momentum Strategies. *The Journal of Finance*.
- Durham, J. (2013, December). Momentum and the term structure of interest rates. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports*.
- Duyvesteyn, J., & Martens, M. (2014, Winter). Emerging government bond market timing. *The Journal of Fixed Income*, pp. 36-49.
- Fabozzi, F., & Mann, S. (2012). *The Handbook of Fixed Income Securities* (8 ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 8 edition. Retrieved from <https://www.mhprofessional.com/9780071768467-usa-the-handbook-of-fixed-income-securities-eighth-edition-group>
- Fleming, M., & Remolona, E. (1997, December). What moves the bond market? *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review*.
- Fleming, M., & Rosenberg, J. (2007, August). How Do Treasury Dealers Manage Their Positions? *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports*.
- Griffin, J., Ji, X., & Martin, J. (2003). Momentum investing and business cycle risk: Evidence from pole to pole. *The Journal of Finance*, 2515-2547.
- Ilmanen, A. (1995). Time-Varying Expected Returns in International Bond Markets. *The Journal of Finance*, 481-506.
- Ilmanen, A. (1997, June). Forecasting U.S. Bond Returns. *Journal of Fixed Income*.

- Jegadeesh, N., & Titman, S. (1993). Returns to buying winners and selling losers: Implications for stocks market efficiency. *Journal of Finance*, 65-91.
- Jegadeesh, N., & Titman, S. (2001). Profitability of momentum strategies: An evaluation of alternative explanations. *The Journal of Finance*.
- Lou, D., Yan, H., & Zhang, J. (2013, May 2). Anticipated and repeated shocks in liquid markets. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1659239> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1659239>.
- Luu, B., & Yu, P. (2012). Momentum in government-bond markets. *Journal of Fixed Income*.
- Menkhoff, L., Sarno, L., Schmeling, M., & Schrimpf, A. (2012). Currency momentum strategies. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 660-684.
- Moskowitz, T., Ooi, Y., & Pedersen, L. (2012). Time series momentum. *The Journal of Financial Economics*, 228-250.
- Pastor, L., & Stambaugh, R. (2003). Liquidity risk and expected stock returns. *Journal of Political Economy*.
- Treasury, T. P. (2013). *Principles of participation in the auctions of government domestic borrowing securities*.
- Warga, A. (1992, December). Bond Returns, liquidity, and missing data. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, pp. 605-617.

VITA

İlkay Öztürk

EDUCATION

Master of Arts Student in Financial Engineering and Risk Management Özyeğin University, September 2015 – present. Thesis title: “Does the Auction Cycle Have an Effect on the Momentum Strategies in Turkish Bond Market? “

Bachelor of Arts (June 2015) in Industrial Engineering, Özyeğin University, Istanbul, Turkey

HONORS

100% success scholarship in Bachelor of Arts

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Foreign Exchange and Fixed Income Trader, Sekerbank T.A.S., May 2017 – present.

Asset and Liability Management Dealer, Sekerbank T.A.S., October 2016 – May 2017.

Financial Advisor, Burgan Securities, November 2015 – October 2016.

Long-term Intern, Ak Asset Management, July 2015 – November 2015.